

WRC-07 ADVISORY COMMITTEE
INFORMAL WORKING GROUP 5 (IWG-5)
Regulatory Issues

Draft Minutes

Meeting: Fifteenth Meeting of IWG-5
Date/Time: October 19, 2005, 10:00 p.m. – 11:30 p.m.
Location: The Boeing Company, 1200 Wilson Blvd, Arlington, Virginia

Committee Present: A. Allison (Chair), J. Albuquerque, J. Conner, R. Wright, C. Hofer, T. Walsh (phone), J. Wengrynuik (phone)

FCC Employees Present: L. Reed, A. Roytblat (phone)

Minutes Preparer: J. Conner

- 1. Introduction:** The meeting was open to the public.
- 2. Approval of Agenda:** The draft agenda (*Document IWG-5/053*) was approved without changes.
- 3. Approval of Minutes:** The draft minutes of the fourteenth meeting were approved as modified (*Document IWG-5/050*).
- 4. Update on Regional Preparations (CEPT/CITEL/APT, etc.):**
 - a. CITEL: A. Roytblat said that the preliminary view for Agenda Item 1.10 had been transmitted to CITEL for the 25-28 October meeting.
 - b. CEPT Project Team 1 (PT1): A Roytblat attended and his meeting report along with PT1 documents were circulated to the IWG-5 membership. He stated that for AI 1.10 there were two diverse views in PT1 regarding the time limitation on assignments which had been derived from Part B. J. Albuquerque offered that imposing time limits on real systems may be too strong of an action. He said the U.S. should not support time limits for real systems. A. Roytblat said it would be helpful if the U.S. could develop a strong position to help push CEPT PT1 towards the U.S. view. PT1 addressed Agenda Item 1.12 only in terms of cost recovery and Decision 482. The UK felt that references to “Council Decision 482” always referred to the most recent Council modifications. L. Reed said this has been the U.S. view. There was a

PT1 discussion of the applications of hard limits to secondary services which in essence makes secondary services primary if they are meeting the limits. CEPT Administrations were split on supporting this view. Finally, there was discussion of Agenda Item 2 and the incorporation by reference of Recommendation ITU-R M.1652 on Dynamic Frequency Selection for WAS/RLANS at 5 GHz. Although Annex 1 in the Recommendation seemed to be mandatory in nature, the action was not in Volume 4 of the Radio Regulations. A. Roytblat said that PT-1 may consider revisions to Resolution 27 to clarify such situations.

5. Update on Recently Concluded Other Related International Meetings: The Chair presented the results of the RRB from its October 05 meeting and noted an item considering the extension of the date of bringing into use for an Argentine system. The RRB determined this was a decision for WRC-07. The next RRB meeting will be 5-9 December 05.

6. Update on Related Domestic Meetings:

a. RCS: C. Hofer said that the RCS had forwarded a proposal for Agenda Item 4 to the WAC; however, this had not been received by IWG-5 in time for this meeting.

b. WP 4A: WP 4A will meet in Geneva 7-15 November.

c. RAG: Next U.S. prep meeting was scheduled for 27 October and there was discussion of a French contribution concerning the IBR.

d. SCRPM: U.S. prep meetings scheduled by D. Spalt with first meeting 26 October. There was a question as to the presentation of Ap30B documents to SCRPM from WP 4A. The next SCRPM meeting in Geneva is 30 November to 5 December.

7. Status of Work on Assigned Agenda Items & Preparation of Preliminary Views (PV) and Draft Proposals: There were three NTIA documents presented by C. Hofer to this meeting.

a. Document IWG-5/051, is a proposal concerning Agenda Item 1.12. There is concern that some API's do not have enough information to determine a need for coordination as evidenced by a recent API for a NGSO in the 2 GHz band. The details needed however are not required by Appendix 4. The proposal adds a mandatory requirement for some of the technical

details. The FCC representatives expressed some reservations over this proposal because of the format and there being also some commercial NGSOs not subject to coordination. The document was tabled but could be coordinated electronically if needed for the SCRPM prep meetings.

b. Document IWG-5/054 contained a preliminary view for Agenda Item 2 on incorporation by reference on the IBR. The Chair inquired if an Australian view had been considered. She also said a footnote making reference to Resolution where “shoulds” are used may not be a true incorporation by reference. She suggested a fix may be a specific item in the Radio Regulations but that Resolution 27 should not be changed. The document was tabled.

c. Document IWG-5/052 contained two proposals. The first related to a need for additional bandwidth for NASA space systems in the 22.55-23.55 GHz bands. This was determined to be an allocation issue not germane to IWG-5. The second proposal also related to the need for additional spectrum in the 275-3000 GHz region for passive services and since the action required is a modification to 5.565 for these frequencies, IWG -5 will consider the proposal. The document was tabled, but could be coordinated electronically if required.

7. **Future Meetings**: The next meeting will be 21 November 05 at Boeing from 2-4 pm.

8. **Other Business**: None.