

Approved by OMB 3060-1122 Expires: March 31, 2018

Estimated time per response: 10-55

hours

Annual Collection of Information

Related to the Collection and Use of 911 and E911 Fees by States and Other Jurisdictions

Pursuant to OMB authorization 3060-1122, the FCC's Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau seeks the following specific information in order to fulfill the Commission's obligations under Section 6(f)(2) of the NET 911 Act:

A. Filing Information

1. Name of State or Jurisdiction

| State or Jurisdiction | |
|------------------------------|--|
| Nye County – State of Nevada | |

2. Name, Title and Organization of Individual Filing Report

| Name | Title | Organization |
|-------------|------------------|----------------|
| Brady Adams | Director of I.T. | Nye County, NV |



B. Overview of State or Jurisdiction 911 System

1. Please provide the total number of active Public Safety Answering Points (PSAPs) in your state or jurisdiction that receive funding derived from the collection of 911/E911 fees during the annual period ending December 31, 2016:

| PSAP Type ¹ | Total |
|------------------------|-------|
| Primary | 4 |
| Secondary | 2 |
| Total | 6 |

2. Please provide the total number of active telecommunicators² in your state or jurisdiction that were funded through the collection of 911 and E911 fees during the annual period ending December 31, 2016:

| Number of Active Telecommunicators | Total |
|---------------------------------------|-------|
| Full-Time | 18 |
| Part-time | 0 |

3. For the annual period ending December 31, 2016, please provide an estimate of the total cost to provide 911/E911 service in your state or jurisdiction.

| Amount (\$) | \$242,000 |
|-------------|-----------|
| | |

¹ A Primary PSAP is one to which 911 calls are routed directly from the 911 Control office. A secondary PSAP is one to which 911 calls are transferred from a Primary PSAP. *See* National Emergency Number Association, Master Glossary of 9-1-1 Terminology (*Master Glossary*), July 29, 2014, at 118, 126, available at https://c.ymcdn.com/sites/www.nena.org/resource/resmgr/Standards/NENA-ADM-000.18-2014_2014072.pdf.

² A telecommunicator, also known as a call taker or a dispatcher, is a person employed by a PSAP who is qualified to answer incoming emergency telephone calls and/or who provides for the appropriate emergency response either directly or through communication with the appropriate PSAP. *See Master Glossary* at 137.



| | provide the total number of 911 calls d January 1, 2014 to December 31, 20 | s your state or jurisdiction received during 016. |
|------------------|---|--|
| | Type of Service | Total 911 Calls |
| | Wireline | 10tui /11 Cuito |
| | Wireless | |
| | VoIP | |
| | Other | |
| | Other | |
| Degavinți | Total | Unknown ent of 011/F011 Funding Machanisms |
| 1. Has yo therei | on of Authority Enabling Establishm our State, or any political subdivision in as defined by Section 6(f)(1) of the nated for or imposed for the purposes | ent of 911/E911 Funding Mechanisms Indian tribe, village or regional corporat NET 911 Act, established a funding mech s of 911 or E911 support or implementationity for such mechanism)? Check one. |
| 1. Has yo therei | on of Authority Enabling Establishm our State, or any political subdivision in as defined by Section 6(f)(1) of the nated for or imposed for the purposes se include a citation to the legal authority. • Yes | ent of 911/E911 Funding Mechanisms , Indian tribe, village or regional corporat NET 911 Act, established a funding mech s of 911 or E911 support or implementatio ority for such mechanism)? Check one. |
| 1. Has yo therei | on of Authority Enabling Establishm our State, or any political subdivision in as defined by Section 6(f)(1) of the nated for or imposed for the purposes se include a citation to the legal author | ent of 911/E911 Funding Mechanisms , Indian tribe, village or regional corporat NET 911 Act, established a funding mech s of 911 or E911 support or implementatio ority for such mechanism)? Check one. |



1b. If yes, during the annual period January 1 - December 31, 2016, did your state or jurisdiction amend, enlarge, or in any way alter the funding mechanism.

| No |
|--|
| 2. Which of the following best describes the type of authority arrangement for the collection of 911/E911 fees? Check one. |
| ■ The State collects the fees |
| ■ A Local Authority collects the fees |
| A hybrid approach where two or more governing bodies |
| ($e.g.$, state and local authority) collect the fees |
| 3. Describe how the funds collected are made available to localities. |
| |
| |
| |



D. Description of State or Jurisdictional Authority That Determines How 911/E911 Fees are Spent

| Jurisdiction | Expenditur | Authority to Approve Expenditure of Funds (Check one) | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| | Yes | No | |
| State | \boxtimes | | |
| Local (e.g., county, city, municipality) | | | |
| 1b. Please briefly describe any limitations on to fees collected by the entity, limited to wireli | | risdiction (e.g., limited | |
| N/A | | | |
| 11/21 | | | |
| | anism that mandates <i>how</i> coll | ected funds can be | |
| 2. Has your state established a funding mechanised? <i>Check one</i> . | anism that mandates <i>how</i> coll | ected funds can be | |
| 2. Has your state established a funding mechaused? Check one. • Yes | | ected funds can be | |
| 2. Has your state established a funding mechaused? Check one. • Yes | \(\times\) | | |
| 2. Has your state established a funding mechanism used? Check one. Yes No | ation to the funding mechanis | | |
| 2. Has your state established a funding mechaused? Check one. Yes No 2a. If you checked YES, provide a legal cita | ation to the funding mechanis | sm of any such criteria. | |



E. <u>Description of Uses of Collected 911/E911 Fees</u>

| 1. | Provide a statement identifying with specificity all activities, programs, and organizations for |
|----|--|
| | whose benefit your state, or political subdivision thereof, has obligated or expended funds |
| | collected for 911 or E911 purposes and how these activities, programs, and organizations |
| | support 911 and E911 services or enhancements of such services. |
| | |

| None | | |
|------|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |



| 2. Please identify the allowed uses of the collected funds. Check all that apply. | | | |
|--|---|-----------------|-------------|
| | Yes | No | |
| | Lease, purchase, maintenance of customer premises equipment (CPE) (hardware and software) | \boxtimes | |
| Operating Costs | Lease, purchase, maintenance of computer aided dispatch (CAD) equipment (hardware and software) | \boxtimes | |
| | Lease, purchase, maintenance of building/facility | \boxtimes | |
| Personnel Costs | Telecommunicators' Salaries | \boxtimes | |
| | Training of Telecommunicators | | |
| Administrative Costs | Program Administration | | |
| | Travel Expenses | | |
| Dispatch Costs | Reimbursement to other law enforcement entities providing dispatch | | \boxtimes |
| | Lease, purchase, maintenance of Radio Dispatch Networks | \boxtimes | |
| Grant Programs | | If Yes, see 2a. | \boxtimes |
| 2a. During the annual period ending December 31, 2016, describe the grants that your state paid for through the use of collected 911/E911 fees and the purpose of the grant. | | | |
| N/A | | | |
| | | | |



F. Description of 911/E911 Fees Collected

1. Please describe the amount of the fees or charges imposed for the implementation and support of 911 and E911 services. Please distinguish between state and local fees for each service type.

| Service Type | Fee/Charge Imposed | Jurisdiction Receiving Remittance (e.g., state, county, local authority, or a combination) |
|--|-----------------------|--|
| Wireline | .25 | Nye County |
| Wireless | .25 | Nye County |
| Prepaid Wireless | | |
| Voice Over Internet Protocol (VoIP) | | |
| Other | \$2.50 per trunk line | Nye County |

2. For the annual period ending December 31, 2016, please report the total amount collected pursuant to the assessed fees or charges described in Question F 1.

| Service Type | Total Amount Collected (\$) |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Wireline | N/A |
| Wireless | N/A |
| Prepaid Wireless | N/A |
| Voice Over Internet Protocol | N/A |
| Other | N/A |
| Total | \$73,000 |



2a. If an amount cannot be provided, please explain why.

| We do not separate the collection of the surcharge | |
|--|--|
|--|--|

3. Please identify any other sources of 911/E911 funding.

The board shall determine annually the amount of money necessary to pay the costs of acquiring, operating and maintaining the system and shall fix a rate, not greater than one-half cent (\$0.005) per one hundred dollars (\$100.00) of assessed valuation which, when levied upon every dollar of assessed valuation of taxable property in the district, will raise that amount.

| 4. For the annual period ending December 31, 2016, were any 911/E911 fees that were collected by your state or jurisdiction combined with any federal, state or local funds, grants, special collections, or general budget appropriations that were designated to support 911/E911/NG911 services? Check one. | Question | Yes | No |
|--|--|-----|----|
| | any 911/E911 fees that were collected by your state or jurisdiction combined with any federal, state or local funds, grants, special collections, or general budget appropriations that were designated to support | | |

4a. If Yes, please describe the federal, state or local funds and amounts that were combined with 911/E911 fees.

We combined the telecommunications surcharge funds with the property tax assessment funds. That would be \$73.000 from surcharge and \$56,000 from tax assessment.



| 5. Please provide an estimate of the proportional contribution from each funding source towards the total cost to support 911 in your state or jurisdiction. | Percent |
|--|---------|
| State 911 Fees | |
| Local 911 Fees | 100% |
| General Fund - State | |
| General Fund - County | |
| Federal Grants | |
| State Grants | |



G. <u>Description of Diversion or Transfer of 911/E911 Fees for Other Uses</u>

| | Yes | No | | |
|---|---|----|--|--|
| 1. In the annual period ending December 31, 2014, were funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes in your state or jurisdiction made available or used solely for purposes designated by the funding mechanism identified in Question 5? Check one. | | | | |
| available or used for any used for purposes otherw funds transferred, loaned the amount, please include | please identify what amount of funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes were made or used for any purposes other than the ones designated by the funding mechanism or purposes otherwise unrelated to 911 or E911 implementation or support, including any nsferred, loaned, or otherwise used for the state's general fund. Along with identifying int, please include a statement identifying the non-related purposes for which the 911 or E911 funds were made available or used. | | | |
| Amount of Funds (\$) | Identify the non-related purpose(s) for which the 911/E911 funds were used. (Add lines as necessary) | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |



Yes

No

H. Oversight and Auditing of Collection and Use of 911/E911 Fees

Question

31, 2014. (Enter "None" if no actions were taken.)

N/A

| 1. Has your state established any oversight or auditing mechanisms or procedures to determine whether collected funds have been made available or used for the purposes designated by the funding mechanism or otherwise used to implement or support 911? <i>Check one.</i> | | |
|--|----------------------|----|
| 1a. If yes, provide a description of the mechanisms or procedure corrective actions undertaken in connection with such auditing ending December 31, 2016. (Enter "None" if no actions were taken | authority, for the a | |
| N/A | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Question | Yes | No |
| 2. Does your state have the authority to audit service providers to ensure that the amount of 911/E911 fees collected form subscribers matches the service provider's number of subscribers? <i>Check one</i> . | | |
| 2a. If yes, provide a description of any auditing or enforcement undertaken in connection with such auditing authority, for the a | | |



No

Yes

I. <u>Description of Next Generation 911 Services and Expenditures</u>

Question

| Next Generat | te or jurisdiction classify expenditures on ion 911 as within the scope of permissible of funds for 911 or E911 purposes? <i>Check</i> | | \boxtimes | |
|---|--|------|-------------|--|
| 1a. If yes, in the s | pace below, please cite any specific legal author | ity: | | |
| N/A | | | | |
| | Question | Yes | No | |
| 2. In the annual period ending December 31, 2016, has your state or jurisdiction expended funds on Next Generation 911 programs? Check one. | | | | |
| 2a. If yes, in the space below, please enter the dollar amount that has been expended. | | | | |
| Amount (\$) | \$242,000 | | | |



| 3. For the annual period ending December 31, 2016, please describe the type and number of NG911 Emergency Service IP Network(s) (ESInets) that operated within your state. | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|-----|-------------|
| Type of FSInet Ves No Total PSA | If Yes, Enter Total PSAPs Operating on | If Yes, does the type of ESInet interconnect with other state, regional or local ESInets? | | | |
| | | | the ESInet | Yes | No |
| a. A single, state-wide ESInet | | | | | |
| b. Local (e.g., county) ESInet | | | | | |
| c. Regional ESInets | | | [If more than one Regional ESInet is in operation, in the space below, provide the total PSAPs operating on each ESInet] | | |
| Name of Regional ESIn | iet: | | | | \boxtimes |
| Name of Regional ESInet: | | | | | |



4. Please provide a description of any NG911 projects completed or underway during the annual period ending December 31, 2016.

| N/A | |
|-----|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |

| | Question | Total PSAPs Accepting Texts |
|----|--|---|
| 5. | During the annual period ending December 31, 2016, how many PSAPs within your state implemented text-to-911 and are accepting texts? | 0 |
| | Question | Estimated Number of PSAPs that will Become Text Capable |
| 6. | In the next annual period ending December 31, 2017, how many PSAPs do you anticipate will become text capable? | 0 |



J. <u>Description of Cybersecurity Expenditures</u>

| Question | | k the riate box | If Yes, Amount Expended (\$) |
|--|-----|--------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1. During the annual period ending December 31, 2016, did your state expend funds on cybersecurity programs for PSAPs? | Yes | No | N/A |

| | Question | Total PSAPs |
|--------------------|--|-------------|
| many PSAPs in your | riod ending December 31, 2016, how state either implemented a cyber participated in a regional or state-run m? | N/A |

| Question | Yes | No | Unknown |
|--|-----|----|---------|
| 3. Does your state or jurisdiction adhere to the National Institute of Standards and Technology Framework for Improving Critical Infrastructure Cybersecurity (February 2014) for networks supporting one or more PSAPs in your state or jurisdiction? | | | |



1. Please provide an assessment of the effects achieved from the expenditure of state 911/E911 or NG911 funds, including any criteria your state or jurisdiction uses to measure the effectiveness

of the use of 911/E911 fees and charges. If your state conducts annual or other periodic

K. Measuring Effective Utilization of 911/E911 Fees

| assessments, please provide an electronic copy (e.g., Word, PDF) of the latest such repo submission of this questionnaire to the FCC or provide links to online versions of such in the space below. | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| N/A | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |