**Approved by OMB**

**3060-1122**

**Expires: March 31, 2021**

**Estimated time per response: 10-55 hours**

Annual Collection of Information

Related to the Collection and Use of 911 and E911 Fees by States and Other Jurisdictions

Pursuant to OMB authorization 3060-1122, the FCC’s Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau seeks the following specific information in order to fulfill the Commission’s obligations under Section 6(f)(2) of the NET 911 Act:

1. **Filing Information**
2. **Name of State or Jurisdiction**

|  |
| --- |
| **State or Jurisdiction** |
| Wisconsin |

1. **Name, Title and Organization of Individual Filing Report**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Title** | **Organization** |
| Jessica Jimenez | NG9-1-1 Program Manager | Wisconsin Department of Military Affairs |

1. **Overview of State or Jurisdiction 911 System**
2. **Please provide the total number of active Public Safety Answering Points (PSAPs) in your state or jurisdiction that receive funding derived from the collection of 911/E911 fees during the annual period ending December 31, 2017:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **PSAP Type[[1]](#footnote-1)** | **Total** |
| Primary | 0 |
| Secondary | 0 |
| **Total** | 0 |

1. **Please provide the total number of active telecommunicators[[2]](#footnote-2) in your state or jurisdiction that were funded through the collection of 911 and E911 fees during the annual period ending December 31, 2017:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Number of Active Telecommunicators** | **Total** |
| Full-Time | 0 |
| Part-time | 0 |

1. **For the annual period ending December 31, 2017, please provide an estimate of the total cost to provide 911/E911 service in your state or jurisdiction.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Amount****($)** | Unknown |

**3a. If an amount cannot be provided, please explain why.**

|  |
| --- |
| In Wisconsin, county and municipal governments operate and administer the 9-1-1 systems and all public safety answering points. County and municipal governments do not report to any state agency the number of staff employed, the total cost to provide 9-1-1 services, or a statistical summary of the 9-1-1 service provided.Each county and some municipalities in Wisconsin have entered into a contract with participating local exchange carriers to provide its 9-1-1 telecommunications network. These 9-1-1 contracts specify in detail the design of the telecommunications network supporting the local 9-1-1 service, authorizes a 9-1-1 surcharge to pay for expenses related to the network, and identifies the obligations of the parties to build, operate, and maintain the 9-1-1 telecommunications network. See Wis. Stat. 256.35(3)(b).No portion of the funds collected from the 9-1-1 surcharge is shared with any state, county, or municipal agency or department, or any other governmental entity. The 9-1-1 surcharge is limited to the recovery of the telecommunications network expenses for providing the 9-1-1 service, and is retained in full by the participating local exchange carriers. County and municipal expenses related to terminating and responding to 9-1-1 calls are paid for through the respective county and municipal budgets.The total amount of the 9-1-1 surcharge collection is not available. The participating local exchange carriers collect the 9-1-1 surcharge. Those local exchange carriers do not report the results of the 9-1-1 surcharge collection to any state, county, or municipal office. |

1. **Please provide the total number of 911 calls your state or jurisdiction received during the period January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2017.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Type of Service** | **Total 911 Calls** |
| Wireline | Unknown |
| Wireless  | Unknown |
| VoIP | Unknown |
| Other | Unknown |
| **Total** | Unknown |

1. **Description of Authority Enabling Establishment of 911/E911 Funding Mechanisms**
2. **Has your State, or any political subdivision, Indian tribe, village or regional corporation therein as defined by Section 6(f)(1) of the NET 911 Act, established a funding mechanism designated for or imposed for the purposes of 911 or E911 support or implementation (please include a citation to the legal authority for such mechanism)?** *Check one.*
* Yes ………………….. [x]
* No ………………..….. [ ]

**1a. If YES, provide a citation to the legal authority for such a mechanism.**

|  |
| --- |
| Wis. Stats. 256.35(3) and 20.465(3)(qm) |

**1b. If YES, during the annual period January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2017, did your state or jurisdiction amend, enlarge, or in any way alter the funding mechanism.**

|  |
| --- |
| There have been no changes to the funding mechanism under Wis. Stat. 256.35(3). Under Wis. Stat. 20.465(3)(qm), $6.7 million annually has been allocated to the Wisconsin Department of Military Affairs beginning in July 2018 for the purposes of administering contracts for an emergency services IP network necessary for NextGen 9-1-1 and for the 9-1-1 Subcommittee to administer its duties under Wis. Stat. 256.35(3s)(d). |

1. **Which of the following best describes the type of authority arrangement for the collection of 911/E911 fees?** *Check one*.
* The State collects the fees ………………………………….. [ ]
* A Local Authority collects the fees ……………………….. [ ]
* A hybrid approach where two or more governing bodies

 (*e.g.*, state and local authority) collect the fees …………….. [ ]

1. **Describe how the funds collected are made available to localities.**

|  |
| --- |
| In Wisconsin, no portion of the funds collected from the 9-1-1 surcharge is shared with any state, county, or municipal agency or department, or any other governmental entity. The 9-1-1 surcharge is limited to the recovery of the telecommunications network expense for providing the 9-1-1 service. County and municipal expenses related to terminating and responding to 9-1-1 calls is paid for through the respective county and municipal budgets. |

1. **Description of State or Jurisdictional Authority That Determines How 911/E911 Fees are Spent**

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **Indicate which entities in your state have the authority to approve the expenditure of funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes.**
 |
| **Jurisdiction** | **Authority to Approve** **Expenditure of Funds*****(Check one)*** |
| **Yes** | **No** |
| State | **[ ]**  | **[x]**  |
| Local (*e.g.*, county, city, municipality) | **[ ]**  | **[x]**  |
| **1b. Please briefly describe any limitations on the approval authority per jurisdiction (*e.g.*, limited to fees collected by the entity, limited to wireline or wireless service, etc.)** |
| In Wisconsin, the 9-1-1 surcharge is limited to the recovery of the telecommunications network expense for providing the 9-1-1 service. The collection from the 9-1-1 surcharge reimburses the participating local exchange carriers for their network costs. County and municipal expenses related to terminating and responding to 9-1-1 calls is paid for through the respective county and municipal budgets. |

1. **Has your state established a funding mechanism that mandates *how* collected funds can be used? *Check one*.**
* Yes ………………….. [x]
* No ………………..….. **[ ]**

**2a.** **If you checked YES, provide a legal citation to the funding mechanism of any such criteria.**

|  |
| --- |
| Wis. Stat. 256.35(3)(b) |

**2b.** **If you checked NO, describe how your state or jurisdiction decides how collected funds can be used.**

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. **Description of Uses of Collected 911/E911 Fees**
2. **Provide a statement identifying with specificity all activities, programs, and organizations for whose benefit your state, or political subdivision thereof, has obligated or expended funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes and how these activities, programs, and organizations support 911 and E911 services or enhancements of such services.**

|  |
| --- |
| Each county and some municipalities in Wisconsin have entered into a contract with participating local exchange carriers to provide its 9-1-1 telecommunications network. These 9-1-1 contracts specify in detail the design of the telecommunications network supporting the local 9-1-1 service, authorizes a 9-1-1 surcharge to pay for expenses related to the network, and identifies the obligations of the parties to build, operate, and maintain the 9-1-1 telecommunications network. See Wis. Stat. 256.35(3)(b). The 9-1-1 network expenses are pooled and all telephone subscribers in a county pay the same amount for the 9-1-1 surcharge. The 9-1-1 contract identifies how much expense each participating local exchange carrier has incurred to provide and maintain the 9-1-1 telecommunications network, and in turn specifies how much money each participating carrier may take as compensation from the pooled 9-1-1 surcharge collection.Some counties have elected to purchase a separate telecommunications network for its wireless 9-1-1 service. The counties that have elected to purchase a separate wireless 9-1-1 network pay for that second network through the county and municipal budget.No portion of the funds collected from the 9-1-1 surcharge is shared with any state, county, or municipal agency or department, or any other governmental entity. The 9-1-1 surcharge is limited to the recovery of the telecommunications network expense for providing the 9-1-1 service by the participating local exchange carriers. County and municipal expenses related to terminating and responding to 9-1-1 calls is paid for through the respective county and municipal budgets. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **Please identify the allowed uses of the collected funds. *Check all that apply*.**
 |
| **Type of Cost** | **Yes** | **No** |
| **Operating Costs** | Lease, purchase, maintenance of customer premises equipment (CPE) (hardware and software) | **[ ]**  | **[x]**  |
| Lease, purchase, maintenance of computer aided dispatch (CAD) equipment (hardware and software) | **[ ]**  | **[x]**  |
| Lease, purchase, maintenance of building/facility | **[ ]**  | **[x]**  |
| **Personnel Costs** | Telecommunicators’ Salaries | **[ ]**  | **[x]**  |
| Training of Telecommunicators | **[ ]**  | **[x]**  |
| **Administrative Costs** | Program Administration | **[ ]**  | **[x]**  |
| Travel Expenses | **[ ]**  | **[x]**  |
| **Dispatch Costs** | Reimbursement to other law enforcement entities providing dispatch | **[ ]**  | **[x]**  |
| Lease, purchase, maintenance of Radio Dispatch Networks | **[ ]**  | **[x]**  |
| **Grant Programs** |  | **[ ]** **If YES, see 2a.** | **[x]**  |
| **2a. During the annual period ending December 31, 2017, describe the grants that your state paid for through the use of collected 911/E911 fees and the purpose of the grant.** |
| N/A |

1. **Description of 911/E911 Fees Collected**

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **Please describe the amount of the fees or charges imposed for the implementation and support of 911 and E911 services. Please distinguish between state and local fees for each service type.**
 |
| **Service Type** | **Fee/Charge Imposed** | **Jurisdiction Receiving Remittance****(*e.g.*, state, county, local authority, or a combination)** |
| Wireline | Varies by county | Participating local exchange carriers |
| Wireless | None |  |
| Prepaid Wireless | None |  |
| Voice Over Internet Protocol (VoIP) | None |  |
| Other |  |  |

1. **For the annual period ending December 31, 2017, please report the total amount collected pursuant to the assessed fees or charges described in Question F 1.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Service Type** | **Total Amount Collected ($)** |
| Wireline | Unknown |
| Wireless | 0.00 |
| Prepaid Wireless | 0.00 |
| Voice Over Internet Protocol (VoIP) | 0.00 |
| Other |  |
| **Total** | Unknown |

**2a. If an amount cannot be provided, please explain why.**

|  |
| --- |
| The total amount of the 9-1-1 surcharge collected is not available. The participating local exchange carriers in a county collect the 9-1-1 surcharge. Those local exchange carriers do not report the results of the 9-1-1 surcharge collection to any state, county, or municipal office. |

1. **Please identify any other sources of 911/E911 funding.**

|  |
| --- |
| County and municipal general revenue.  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** |
| 1. **For the annual period ending December 31, 2017, were any 911/E911 fees that were collected by your state or jurisdiction combined with any federal, state or local funds, grants, special collections, or general budget appropriations that were designated to support 911/E911/NG911 services?** *Check one.*
 | **[ ]**  | **[x]**  |
| **4a.** **If YES, please describe the federal, state or local funds and amounts that were combined with 911/E911 fees.** |
| N/A |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. **Please provide an estimate of the proportional contribution from each funding source towards the total cost to support 911 in your state or jurisdiction.**
 | **Percent** |
| State 911 Fees | 0% |
| Local 911 Fees | 15% |
| General Fund - State | 0% |
| General Fund - County | 85% |
| Federal Grants | 0% |
| State Grants | 0% |

1. **Description of Diversion or Transfer of 911/E911 Fees for Other Uses**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** |
| 1. **In the annual period ending December 31, 2017, were funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes in your state or jurisdiction made available or used solely for the purposes designated by the funding mechanism?** *Check one*.
 | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **1a.** **If NO, please identify what amount of funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes were made available or used for any purposes other than the ones designated by the funding mechanism or used for purposes otherwise unrelated to 911 or E911 implementation or support, including any funds transferred, loaned, or otherwise used for the state's general fund. Along with identifying the amount, please include a statement identifying the non-related purposes for which the collected 911 or E911 funds were made available or used.** |
| **Amount of Funds ($)** | **Identify the non-related purpose(s) for which the 911/E911 funds were used. (*Add lines as necessary*)** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

1. **Oversight and Auditing of Collection and Use of 911/E911 Fees**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** |
| 1. **Has your state established any oversight or auditing mechanisms or procedures to determine whether collected funds have been made available or used for the purposes designated by the funding mechanism or otherwise used to implement or support 911?** *Check one.*
 | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **1a.** **If YES, provide a description of the mechanisms or procedures and any enforcement or other corrective actions undertaken in connection with such auditing authority, for the annual period ending December 31, 2017.** *(Enter “None” if no actions were taken.)* |
| The Wisconsin 9-1-1 statute requires that participating local exchange carriers submit a new 9-1-1 contract, or an amendment to an existing 9-1-1 contract to the Public Service Commission for review. See Wis. Stat. 256.35(3)(i). The PSC may disapprove a contract or contract amendment if it finds the contract is not compensatory, is excessive, or is not in the public interest. No contracts or contract amendments were submitted for review in 2017. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** |
| 1. **Does your state have the authority to audit service providers to ensure that the amount of 911/E911 fees collected from subscribers matches the service provider’s number of subscribers?** *Check one.*
 | **[ ]**  | **[x]**  |
| **2a. If YES, provide a description of any auditing or enforcement or other corrective actions undertaken in connection with such auditing authority, for the annual period ending December 31, 2017.** *(Enter “None” if no actions were taken.)* |
|  |

1. **Description of Next Generation 911 Services and Expenditures**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** |
| 1. **Does your state or jurisdiction classify expenditures on Next Generation 911 as within the scope of permissible expenditures of funds for 911 or E911 purposes?** *Check one.*
 | **[x]**  | **[ ]**  |
| **1a. If YES, in the space below, please cite any specific legal authority:** |
| Wis. Stat. 256.35(3)(b) |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** |
| 1. **In the annual period ending December 31, 2017, has your state or jurisdiction expended funds on Next Generation 911 programs?** *Check one.*
 | **[ ]**  | **[x]**  |
| **2a. If YES, in the space below, please enter the dollar amount that has been expended.** |
| **Amount****($)** |  |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **For the annual period ending December 31, 2017, please describe the type and number of NG911 Emergency Service IP Network(s) (ESInets) that operated within your state.**
 |
| **Type of ESInet** | **Yes** | **No** | **If Yes, Enter Total PSAPs Operating on the ESInet** | **If Yes, does the type of ESInet interconnect with other state, regional or local ESInets?** |
| **Yes** | **No** |
| 1. A single, state-wide ESInet
 | **[ ]**  | **[x]**  |  | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  |
| 1. Local (*e.g.*, county) ESInet
 | **[ ]**  | **[x]**  |  | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  |
| 1. Regional ESInets
 | **[ ]**  | **[x]**  | [If more than one Regional ESInet is in operation, in the space below, provide the total PSAPs operating on each ESInet] | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  |
| Name of Regional ESInet: |  | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  |
| Name of Regional ESInet: |  | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  |

1. **Please provide a description of any NG911 projects completed or underway during the annual period ending December 31, 2017.**

|  |
| --- |
| In the 2017-19 Biennial Budget (Wisconsin Act 59) passed September 2017, the Department of Military Affairs was tasked with planning and contracting for a statewide emergency services IP network (ESInet) for NextGen9-1-1. Act 59 also established a 9-1-1 Subcommittee within the Interoperability Council to advise DMA on the ESInet contracts and other issues related to NG9-1-1. See Wis. Stat. 256.35(3s)(b) & (d). |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Total PSAPs****Accepting Texts** |
| 1. **During the annual period ending December 31, 2017, how many PSAPs within your state implemented text-to-911 and are accepting texts?**
 | The State of Wisconsin is aware of seven PSAPs that have implemented a text-to-911 service: Brown, Dane, Dodge, Rock, St. Croix, Sheboygan, and Manitowoc Counties.In Wisconsin, an individual county or municipality is free to add a text-to-911 service feature to its 9-1-1 service without notifying any state agency. Thus, there may be other counties and municipalities operating PSAPs in Wisconsin that have implemented the text-to-911 service feature, or have plans to do so, but have not disclosed those plans to a state agency. |
| **Question** | **Estimated Number of PSAPs****that will Become Text Capable** |
| 1. **In the next annual period ending December 31, 2018, how many PSAPs do you anticipate will become text capable?**
 | The State of Wisconsin is aware of five PSAPs that will implement text capability in 2018: Fond du Lac, Waukesha, Outagamie, Marinette, and Door Counties. |

1. **Description of Cybersecurity Expenditures**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Check the appropriate box** | **If Yes,****Amount Expended ($)** |
| 1. **During the annual period ending December 31, 2017, did your state expend funds on cybersecurity programs for PSAPs?**
 | Yes[ ]  | No**[x]**  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Total PSAPs** |
| 1. **During the annual period ending December 31, 2017, how many PSAPs in your state either implemented a cybersecurity program or participated in a regional or state-run cybersecurity program?**
 | Unknown. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** | **Unknown** |
| 1. **Does your state or jurisdiction adhere to the National Institute of Standards and Technology *Framework for Improving Critical Infrastructure Cybersecurity* (February 2014) for networks supporting one or more PSAPs in your state or jurisdiction?**
 | **[ ]**  | **[ ]**  | **[x]**  |

1. **Measuring Effective Utilization of 911/E911 Fees**
2. **Please provide an assessment of the effects achieved from the expenditure of state 911/E911 or NG911 funds, including any criteria your state or jurisdiction uses to measure the effectiveness of the use of 911/E911 fees and charges.**  **If your state conducts annual or other periodic assessments, please provide an electronic copy (*e.g.*, Word, PDF) of the latest such report upon submission of this questionnaire to the FCC or provide links to online versions of such reports in the space below.**

|  |
| --- |
| Wisconsin has not undertaken a program to measure the effective utilization of 9-1-1/E9-1-1 fees. It is not known whether any county or municipality operating a PSAP in Wisconsin has implemented a program to measure or assess the effectiveness of its 9-1-1 service. |

1. A Primary PSAP is one to which 911 calls are routed directly from the 911 Control office. A secondary PSAP is one to which 911 calls are transferred from a Primary PSAP. *See* National Emergency Number Association, Master Glossary of 9-1-1 Terminology (*Master Glossary*), Aug. 8, 2017, at 167, available at <https://c.ymcdn.com/sites/www.nena.org/resource/resmgr/standards/NENA-ADM-000.21-2017_FINAL_2.pdf>. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. A telecommunicator, also known as a call taker or a dispatcher, is a person employed by a PSAP who is qualified to answer incoming emergency telephone calls and/or who provides for the appropriate emergency response either directly or through communication with the appropriate PSAP. *See* *Master Glossary* at 196. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)