

Approved by OMB 3060-1122 Expires: March 31, 2021 Estimated time per response: 10-55 hours

Annual Collection of Information

#### Related to the Collection and Use of 911 and E911 Fees by States and Other Jurisdictions

Pursuant to OMB authorization 3060-1122, the FCC's Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau seeks the following specific information in order to fulfill the Commission's obligations under Section 6(f)(2) of the NET 911 Act:

#### A. Filing Information

#### 1. Name of State or Jurisdiction

State or Jurisdiction	
California	

#### 2. Name, Title and Organization of Individual Filing Report

Name	Title	Organization
Budge Currier	Branch Manager	California Governor's Office of Emergency Services



#### B. Overview of State or Jurisdiction 911 System

1. Please provide the total number of active Public Safety Answering Points (PSAPs) in your state or jurisdiction that receive funding derived from the collection of 911/E911 fees during the annual period ending December 31, 2017:

PSAP Type <sup>1</sup>	Total
Primary	390
Secondary	50
Total	440

2. Please provide the total number of active telecommunicators<sup>2</sup> in your state or jurisdiction that were funded through the collection of 911 and E911 fees during the annual period ending December 31, 2017:

Number of Active Telecommunicators	Total
Full-Time	0
Part-time	0

**3.** For the annual period ending December 31, 2017, please provide an estimate of the total cost to provide 911/E911 service in your state or jurisdiction.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A Primary PSAP is one to which 911 calls are routed directly from the 911 Control office. A secondary PSAP is one to which 911 calls are transferred from a Primary PSAP. *See* National Emergency Number Association, Master Glossary of 9-1-1 Terminology (*Master Glossary*), Aug. 8, 2017, at 167, available at https://c.ymcdn.com/sites/www.nena.org/resource/resmgr/standards/NENA-ADM-000.21-2017 FINAL 2.pdf.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  A telecommunicator, also known as a call taker or a dispatcher, is a person employed by a PSAP who is qualified to answer incoming emergency telephone calls and/or who provides for the appropriate emergency response either directly or through communication with the appropriate PSAP. *See Master Glossary* at 196.



Amount	\$78,848,130
(\$)	

#### 3a. If an amount cannot be provided, please explain why.

4. Please provide the total number of 911 calls your state or jurisdiction received during the period January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2017.

Type of Service Total 911 Calls	
Wireline	4,656,858
Wireless	22,344,045
VoIP	1,121,364
Other	7,660
Total	28,129,927

- C. <u>Description of Authority Enabling Establishment of 911/E911 Funding Mechanisms</u>
  - **1.** Has your State, or any political subdivision, Indian tribe, village or regional corporation therein as defined by Section 6(f)(1) of the NET 911 Act, established a funding mechanism designated for or imposed for the purposes of 911 or E911 support or implementation (please include a citation to the legal authority for such mechanism)? *Check one.* 
    - Yes .....
    - No .....



#### 1a. If YES, provide a citation to the legal authority for such a mechanism.

The State of California, Revenue and Taxation Code, Section 41001 et seq. known as the Emergency Telephone Users Surcharge Act, provides the statutory and defines how funds are collected and distributed in support of 911.

# **1b.** If YES, during the annual period January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2017, did your state or jurisdiction amend, enlarge, or in any way alter the funding mechanism.

In 2014, the California enacted the prepaid Mobile Telephony Services (MTS) Surcharge Collection Act to the State Revenue and Taxation Code Sections 42010-42018 et seq. The code established a prepaid MTS surcharge that is imposed on each prepaid consumer and is collected by a seller from each prepaid consumer at the time of each retail transaction in California. The prepaid MTS surcharge is imposed as a percentage of the sales price of each retail transaction that occurs in this state. Collection of fees began January 1, 2016. The surcharge rate remains .0075 percent.

# 2. Which of the following best describes the type of authority arrangement for the collection of 911/E911 fees? *Check one.*

- The State collects the fees ......
- A Local Authority collects the fees .....
- A hybrid approach where two or more governing bodies

(*e.g.*, state and local authority) collect the fees .....

#### 3. Describe how the funds collected are made available to localities.

The State of California, Revenue and Taxation Code Sections 41135-41142, Government Code Sections 53100- 53121 (Warren 911 Emergency Assistance Act) establishes the allowable uses of collected funds. The State of California 911 Operations Manual outlines the criteria and process by which qualifying local agency Public Safety Answering Points (PSAPs) can receive funding for their 911 telephone system and approved allowable uses.



#### D. Description of State or Jurisdictional Authority That Determines How 911/E911 Fees are Spent

1. Indicate which entities in your state have the authority to approve the expenditure of funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes.			
Jurisdiction	Authority to Approve Expenditure of Funds (Check one)		
	Yes	No	
State	$\boxtimes$		
Local ( <i>e.g.</i> , county, city, municipality)			
1b. Please briefly describe any limitations on the approval authority per jurisdiction ( <i>e.g.</i> , limited			

1b. Please briefly describe any limitations on the approval authority per jurisdiction (*e.g.*, limited to fees collected by the entity, limited to wireline or wireless service, etc.)

In accordance with the State of California, Government Code Sections 53100-53121 and the Revenue and Taxation Code Section 41001 et seq., the Governor's Office of Emergency Services is the authority to approve expenditures and oversight of funds collected for 911 purposes.

- 2. Has your state established a funding mechanism that mandates *how* collected funds can be used? *Check one*.
  - Yes .....
  - No .....

2a. If you checked YES, provide a legal citation to the funding mechanism of any such criteria.

State of California, Government Code Sections 53100-53121 and the Revenue and Taxation Code Section 41001 et seq



2b. If you checked NO, describe how your state or jurisdiction decides how collected funds can be used.



#### E. Description of Uses of Collected 911/E911 Fees

1. Provide a statement identifying with specificity all activities, programs, and organizations for whose benefit your state, or political subdivision thereof, has obligated or expended funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes and how these activities, programs, and organizations support 911 and E911 services or enhancements of such services.

Pursuant to Revenue and Taxation Code Section 41136. The State of California provides funding for recognized Public Safety Answering Points (PSAPs) in the California that provide 9-1-1 services. Specifically funding is used to:

- To pay refunds authorized by this part.
- To pay the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration for the cost of the administration of this part.
- To pay the Office of Emergency Services for its costs in administration of the "911" emergency telephone number system.
- To pay bills submitted to the Office of Emergency Services by service suppliers or communications equipment companies for the installation of, and ongoing expenses for, the following communications services supplied to local agencies in connection with the "911" emergency phone number system including:
  - Network costs
  - Customer Premise Equipment (CPE) Costs
  - Database Costs (ALI)
  - Training costs for PSAPs, Max \$3000 per fiscal year
  - Review and analysis of new technology (NG9-1-1 etc.)
  - Strategic planning for Next Generation 9-1-1
  - Foreign language emergency interpretation services
  - Geographic Information System



2. Please identify the allowed uses of the collected funds. <i>Check all that apply</i> .			
	Type of Cost	Yes	No
	Lease, purchase, maintenance of customer premises equipment (CPE) (hardware and software)		
Operating Costs	Lease, purchase, maintenance of computer aided dispatch (CAD) equipment (hardware and software)		$\boxtimes$
	Lease, purchase, maintenance of building/facility		$\boxtimes$
Personnel Costs	Telecommunicators' Salaries		$\boxtimes$
	Training of Telecommunicators	$\boxtimes$	
Administrative Costs	Program Administration	$\boxtimes$	
	Travel Expenses	$\boxtimes$	
Dispatch Costs	Reimbursement to other law enforcement entities providing dispatch		$\boxtimes$
	Lease, purchase, maintenance of Radio Dispatch Networks		$\boxtimes$
Grant Programs		If YES, see 2a.	$\boxtimes$
2a. During the annual period ending December 31, 2017, describe the grants that your state paid for through the use of collected 911/E911 fees and the purpose of the grant.			
None.			



#### F. Description of 911/E911 Fees Collected

1. Please describe the amount of the fees or charges imposed for the implementation and support of 911 and E911 services. Please distinguish between state and local fees for each service type.

Service Type	Fee/Charge Imposed	Jurisdiction Receiving Remittance (e.g., state, county, local authority, or a combination)
Wireline	.75 of 1% of Intrastate Voice Revenue	State
Wireless	.75 of 1% of Intrastate Voice Revenue	State
Prepaid Wireless	.75 of 1% of Intrastate Voice Revenue	State
Voice Over Internet Protocol (VoIP)	.75 of 1% of Intrastate Voice Revenue	State
Other	N/A	N/A

2. For the annual period ending December 31, 2017, please report the total amount collected pursuant to the assessed fees or charges described in Question F 1.

Service Type	Total Amount Collected (\$)
Wireline	See Note
Wireless	See Note
Prepaid Wireless	See Note



Voice Over Internet Protocol (VoIP)	See Note
Other	N/A
Total	\$76,916,882

#### 2a. If an amount cannot be provided, please explain why.

The total amount of fees collected in 2017 was not broken down into individual categories but remitted as a total based on the current surcharge rate applied

#### 3. Please identify any other sources of 911/E911 funding.

All funding for 911/E911 is provided by the state 911 surcharge.

Question	Yes	No
4. For the annual period ending December 31, 2017, were any 911/E911 fees that were collected by your state or jurisdiction combined with any federal, state or local funds, grants, special collections, or general budget appropriations that were designated to support 911/E911/NG911 services? <i>Check one.</i>		
4a. If YES, please describe the federal, state or local funds and amounts that were combined with 911/E911 fees.		



5. Please provide an estimate of the proportional contribution from each funding source towards the total cost to support 911 in your state or jurisdiction.	Percent
State 911 Fees	100%
Local 911 Fees	
General Fund - State	
General Fund - County	
Federal Grants	
State Grants	



### G. <u>Description of Diversion or Transfer of 911/E911 Fees for Other Uses</u>

	No				
1. In the annual period ending December 31, 2017, were funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes in your state or jurisdiction made available or used solely for the purposes designated by the funding mechanism? Check one. Image: Check one image: Chec					
1a. If NO, please identify what amount of funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes were made available or used for any purposes other than the ones designated by the funding mechanism or used for purposes otherwise unrelated to 911 or E911 implementation or support, including any funds transferred, loaned, or otherwise used for the state's general fund. Along with identifying the amount, please include a statement identifying the non-related purposes for which the collected 911 or E911 funds were made available or used.					
Amount of Funds (\$) Identify the non-related purpose(s) for which the 911/E911 funds were used. (Add lines as necessary)					
\$3.648 million All funds collected have been used exclusively for the purposed by the funding mechanism in support of 911 with the exception have been appropriated by the California Department of Forest Protection (CAL FIRE). While CAL FIRE's use of the State Extended expenditures, the equipment purchased is for use at emericated expenditures, the equipment purchased is for use at emericated expenditures in response to 911 call activity. The appropriate purchase and install new hardware and computer aided dispatch software at CAL FIRE's Emergency Command Centers. In ad redundant hardware and a CAD system were purchased and instific Fire Academy, which is used for training.	on of funds that stry and Fire Emergency intent for 911 mergency fiations were to tech (CAD) addition				



## H. Oversight and Auditing of Collection and Use of 911/E911 Fees

Question	Yes	No		
1. Has your state established any oversight or auditing mechanisms or procedures to determine whether collected funds have been made available or used for the purposes designated by the funding mechanism or otherwise used to implement or support 911? <i>Check one.</i>				
<b>1a. If YES, provide a description of the mechanisms or procedu corrective actions undertaken in connection with such auditing ending December 31, 2017.</b> (Enter "None" if no actions were take	authority, for the a			
The California Governor's Office of Emergency Services (CalOES) reviews requests for payment of services for accuracy and verifies equipment purchased is in line with requirements of the California Revenue and Taxation Code for the expenditure of 911 fees.				
Question	Yes	No		
2. Does your state have the authority to audit service providers to ensure that the amount of 911/E911 fees collected from subscribers matches the service provider's number of subscribers? <i>Check one.</i>				
2a. If YES, provide a description of any auditing or enforcement or other corrective actions undertaken in connection with such auditing authority, for the annual period ending December 31, 2017. (Enter "None" if no actions were taken.)				
California Revenue and Taxation Code Section 41130. Provides, "Upon proper notification to the service supplier, the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration or its authorized representative shall have the right to inspect and audit all records and returns of the service supplier at all reasonable times."				



## I. <u>Description of Next Generation 911 Services and Expenditures</u>

Question	Yes	No
1. Does your state or jurisdiction classify expenditures on Next Generation 911 as within the scope of permissible expenditures of funds for 911 or E911 purposes? <i>Check</i> one.		
<b>1a. If YES, in the space below, please cite any specific legal auth</b> State of California, Government Code Sections 53100-53121 (Warr and Revenue and Taxation Code Section 41135-41142 requires the Services to plan, test, implement, and operate Next Generation 911 Text to 9-1-1 service, consistent with the plan and timeline required Government Code.	en 911 Emergency Governor's Office technology and ser	of emergency vices, including

	Question	Yes	No	
	period ending December 31, 2017, has your iction expended funds on Next Generation 911 eck one.	$\boxtimes$		
2a. If YES, in the space below, please enter the dollar amount that has been expended.				
Amount (\$)	\$4.2 Million			



3.	For the annual period ending December 31, 2017, please describe the type and
	number of NG911 Emergency Service IP Network(s) (ESInets) that operated
	within your state.

Type of ESInet	Yes	No	If Yes, Enter Total PSAPs Operating on the ESInet	If Yes, does the type of ESInet interconnect with other state, regional or local ESInets?		
				Yes	No	
a. A single, state-wide ESInet						
b. Local ( <i>e.g.</i> , county) ESInet						
c. Regional ESInets						
Name of Regional ESI Northeast Project	net:		36 PSAPs			
Name of Regional ESI Pasadena RING	net:		8 PSAPs			



# 4. Please provide a description of any NG911 projects completed or underway during the annual period ending December 31, 2017.

The State of California has two NG9-1-1 ESInet projects under development. The Regional Integrated Next Generation project in Pasadena and the Northeast ESInet project. Both projects will utilize a NENA i3 compliant solution. In addition each ESInet will include a hosted CPE solution that supports all or some of the PSAPS in the Regional ESInet currently under development.

	Question	Total PSAPs Accepting Texts
5.	During the annual period ending December 31, 2017, how many PSAPs within your state implemented text-to-911 and are accepting texts?	130
	Question	Estimated Number of PSAPs that will Become Text Capable
6.	In the next annual period ending December 31, 2018, how many PSAPs do you anticipate will become text capable?	90



## J. <u>Description of Cybersecurity Expenditures</u>

Question		k the riate box	If Yes, Amount Expended (\$)
1. During the annual period ending December 31, 2017, did your state expend funds on cybersecurity programs for PSAPs?	Yes	No 🖾	

	Question	Total PSAPs
2.	During the annual period ending December 31, 2017, how many PSAPs in your state either implemented a cybersecurity program or participated in a regional or state- run cybersecurity program?	Unknown

Question	Yes	No	Unknown
3. Does your state or jurisdiction adhere to the National Institute of Standards and Technology Framework for Improving Critical Infrastructure Cybersecurity (February 2014) for networks supporting one or more PSAPs in your state or jurisdiction?	$\boxtimes$		



#### K. Measuring Effective Utilization of 911/E911 Fees

1. Please provide an assessment of the effects achieved from the expenditure of state 911/E911 or NG911 funds, including any criteria your state or jurisdiction uses to measure the effectiveness of the use of 911/E911 fees and charges. If your state conducts annual or other periodic assessments, please provide an electronic copy (*e.g.*, Word, PDF) of the latest such report upon submission of this questionnaire to the FCC or provide links to online versions of such reports in the space below.

The Cal OES, California 9-1-1 Branch conducts a Fiscal and Operational Review (F.O.R.) of all PSAPS in the state. These reviews take place, on average, every other year and provide the information needed to ensure that PSAPs are in compliance with statutory requirements. Cal OES also uses the F.O.R. process to provide the PSAPs with the information and guidance the PSAPs need to run efficiently and effectively. The State recently made a staffing prediction tool available to all PSAPs to assist PSAPs with staffing levels that support P.01 level of service and call answer times established by the state. Cal OES also completes an annual review of wireless call routing for all cellular sectors in the state and tracks all outages in the state. The results of these assessments, reviews and data gathering are presented to the 9-1-1 Advisory Board and Long Range Planning Committee who provide guidance and input to the effectiveness of 9-1-1 in California.