# Recommendations and Best Practices to Prevent Digital Discrimination and Promote Digital Equity

Submitted to the Federal Communications Commission by the Working Groups of the Communications Equity and Diversity Council

November 7, 2022

Recommendations and Best Practices to Prevent Digital Discrimination

and

**Promote Digital Equity** 



Submitted to the Federal Communications Commission

by the Working Groups of the Communications Equity and Diversity Council

Adopted: November 7, 2022

# Communications Equity and Diversity Council Federal Communications Commission

# November 7, 2022

Jessica Rosenworcel, Chairwoman Federal Communications Commission

Holly Saurer, Chief Media Bureau Federal Communications Commission

#### **CEDC Chairpersons**

Heather Gate, Chair, Vice President of Digital Inclusion Connected Nation

Nicol Turner Lee, Ph.D., Vice Chair, Director – Center for Technology Innovation Senior Fellow – Governance Studies Program, Brookings Institution

Susan Au Allen, Vice Chair, Chairman, National President and CEO US Pan Asian American Chamber of Commerce Education Foundation

## **Digital Empowerment and Inclusion Working Group**

Dominique Harrison, Ph.D., Chair Joint Center for Political and Economic Studies

## Members

Clayton Banks, CEO Silicon Harlem

Robert Branson, President and CEO Multicultural Media Telecom and Internet Council

Joi Chaney, Executive Director of the National Urban League Washington Bureau Senior Vice President for Policy & Advocacy National Urban League

Michele Cober, Director of External Affairs & Strategic Alliances in Public Policy Group Verizon

Sarah Kate Ellis, President and CEO GLAAD

Rebecca Gibbons, Strategic Initiatives Manager, Office for Community Technology City of Portland, Oregon

Chris James, President and CEO National Center for American Indian Enterprise Development

Broderick Johnson, Executive Vice President for Public Policy and Executive Vice President for Digital Equity Comcast Corporation

Nicolaine Lazarre, Vice President of Policy and External Affairs Charter Communications, Inc.

Louis Peraertz, Vice President of Policy Wireless Internet Service Providers Association

Vickie Robinson, General Manager – Airband Initiative Microsoft

#### **Alternates for CEDC Members**

Laura Berrocal, Vice President, Policy and External Affairs Charter Communications, Inc.

Antonio Williams, Vice President, Government Affairs and Local Advocacy Comcast Corporation

#### **Working Group Members**

Matthew F. Wood, Vice President of Policy and General Counsel Free Press

Anisa Green, Director, Federal Regulatory AT&T

Hooman Hedayati, Strategic Associate for Telecommunications Policy Communications Workers of America

Angela Siefer, Founder and Executive Director National Digital Inclusion Alliance John C. Yang, President and Executive Director Asian Americans Advancing Justice

#### **Alternates for Working Group Members**

Tsion Tesfaye, Research and Policy Manager National Digital Inclusion Alliance

#### **Subject Matter Experts**

Dr. Christopher Ali, Pioneers Chair in Telecommunications Professor of Telecommunications Bellisario College of Communications Penn State University

Dr. Jon Gant, Dean, School of Library and Information Sciences North Carolina Central University

Dr. Gooyong Kim, Assistant Professor of Communications Arts Cheney University of Pennsylvania

#### **Innovation and Access Working Group**

Robert Brooks, Chair, Digital Solutions Specialist WHUR-FM, Howard University, Washington, DC

#### Members

Raúl Alarcón, Chairman and CEO Spanish Broadcasting System

Matthew Bauer, Vice President and Executive Director of Connected Communities Wireless Research Center

Caroline Beasley, CEO Beasley Media Group, LLC

Anna Gomez ACDDE Chair *Emeritus*, Representing Hispanic National Bar Association

Cecelia Gordon, Vice President, Distribution Starz

David Honig, President and CEO JulGlo Productions, LLC

Sherman Kizart, Managing Director and Founder Kizart Media Partners

Henry Rivera, Partner, Wiley Rein, LLP Representing Emma Bowen Foundation

Steven Roberts, President and Principal The Roberts Companies

Joycelyn Tate, Senior Policy Advisor Black Women's Roundtable, National Coalition on Black Civic Participation

#### **Working Group Members**

Barbara Ciara, Managing Editor WTKR-TV, Norfolk, VA, Representing the National Association of Black Journalists

Susan Corbett, Founder National Digital Equity Center

Monica Desai, Global Head, Connectivity and Access Policy Meta, Representing INCOMPAS

Charles Harrell, II, President and CEO The IT Architect Corporation

C. Howie Hodges, II, Co-founder and Sr. Vice President of Government and External Affairs Centri Tech

Jennifer J. Jackson, Executive Vice President Stellar TV and Central City Productions

Leticia Latino-Van Spluteren, CEO Neptuno USA

Eve Lewis, Assistant City Attorney City of Coconut Creek, Florida

#### **Alternates for Working Group Members**

Dan Ball, Head of North America Connectivity Policy Meta, Representing INCOMPAS

#### **Subject Matter Experts**

Dr. Jon Gant, Dean, School of Library and Information Sciences North Carolina Central University

Dr. Dianne Lynch, President Stephens College

#### **Diversity and Equity Working Group**

Christopher Wood, Chair, Executive Director LGBT Technology Partnership & Institute

#### Members

Melody Spann Cooper, Chair and CEO Midway Broadcasting Corporation

Graham "Skip" Dillard, Brand Manager Audacy New York 94.7 WXBX-FM

Jill Houghton, President and CEO Disability:IN

Ronald Johnson, Ph.D., Senior Advisor and Chief Strategist for Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Wireless Infrastructure Association

Rosa Mendoza, Founder, President & CEO ALLvanza

Aama Nahuja, Legal Counsel A Wonder Media Company, LLC

Brian Scarpelli, Senior Policy Counsel ACT/The App Association

Charlyn Stanberry, Vice President, Government Relations National Association of Broadcasters

Antonio Tijerino, President and CEO Hispanic Heritage Foundation

James Winston, President National Association of Black Owned Broadcasters

#### **Working Group Members**

Jenna Alsayegh, Senior Director of Strategic Initiatives & Partnerships US Telecom

Joon Bang, CEO Iona Senior Services

Faith Bautista, CEO National Diversity Coalition

Bridgette Daniel Corbin, CEO Wilco Electronics Systems, Inc

Lili Gangas, Chief Technology Community Officer Kapor Center

Otto Padron, President and CEO Meruelo Media

Randi Parker, Senior Director of Partnership Engagement Creating IT Futures

Ellen Schned, CEO Strong Women Alliance

Dr. Cathy Schubert, Fellow American Geriatric Society

Mona Thompson, General Manager Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe Telephone Authority, Representing NTCA

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Acknowledgements11
Executive Summary12
PART ONE: Report and Recommendation from the DEI Working Group19
Introduction19
Methodology25
Findings from Interviews26
Model Policies and Best Practices to Prevent Digital Discrimination by Internet Service Providers
Best Practices to Advance Digital Equity for States and Localities
Conclusion44
Appendix A – List of DEI Working Group Interviews45
Appendix B – Summary of Definitions of Digital Discrimination from Interviews47

# **PART TWO: Report and Recommendations from the Innovation and Access Working Group – IIJA Contracting and Grants for Small and Diverse Businesses**

Introd	uction	
Metho	odology	
	Practices on Increasing Participation of Small, Minority- and Women-Owned esses in State and Local Infrastructure Grant and Contract Opportunities	
Conclu	usion63	
Appendix A – Summary of Resources for Best Practices to Promote Supplier Diversity 64		
I.	Federal Guidance and Programs64	
II.	State and Local Guidance Programs71	
III.	Educational and Think Tank Guidance and Programs77	

IV. Other Guidance and Programs	78
Appendix B – Innovation and Access Working Group/Workstream #1 Survey for Workstream #1 Members (April 2022)	79
Appendix C – Innovation and Access Working Group/Workstream #1 List of Subject M Expert Interviews and Summary of Survey Responses	
PART THREE: Report and Recommendations from the Diversity and Equity We Group – Digital Discrimination and Inclusive Populations	0
Introduction	89
Key Conclusions	90
Recommendations	92
Appendix A – List of Diversity and Equity Working Group Interviewees and Survey Respondents	96

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Digital Empowerment and Inclusion, Innovation and Access, and Diversity and Equity Working Groups would like to extend their sincere appreciation to all of the interviewees that agreed to meet with the respective working groups to examine issues of broadband deployment, entrepreneurship, education, housing, economics, and diversity and equity. Thank you also to former FCC Chairman Michael Powell for meeting with the DEI Working Group to share his unique and keen insights. We appreciate everyone's willingness to engage in this timely and important dialogue.

The CEDC expresses its most sincere appreciation to CEDC Chair Heather Gate who shepherded this important project from its inception on behalf of the Council. She brought her deep knowledge and experience on broadband issues to the report's development – attending interviews, drafting portions of the report, reviewing, and editing drafts – all with good humor and collegiality. CEDC Vice Chair Dr. Nicol Turner Lee contributed her immense expertise as a scholar of the digital divide to this work, both as a reviewer and editor. We are very grateful. CEDC Vice Chair Susan Au Allen, a highly regarded expert in diverse entrepreneurship, was very generous with her time and energy by conducting interviews, as well as reviewing and editing numerous drafts of the report.

The CEDC thanks Dr. Dominique Harrison, Chair, DEI Working Group and Dr. Jon Gant, Independent Subject Matter Expert, for their diligent efforts in coordinating the drafting of the DEI Working Group section of the overall report. They each spent countless hours reviewing the interview and research records, formatting the report, and developing, drafting, and editing the final report. Their contributions were invaluable to the final production of the CEDC's report. Our deep appreciation is also extended to Joi Chaney, National Urban League, for her leadership in conducting interviews and developing the research for the report.

Anna Gomez, ACDDE Chair *Emeritus*, led the work on Part Two of the report addressing the need for engagement of diverse subcontractors and suppliers in IIJA-funded projects. We are indebted to Anna and her subcommittee colleagues for their public service on this report. Thanks and gratitude are also extended to Jill Houghton, Randi Parker and Joon Bang for their efforts to highlight the intersectionality of digital discrimination. Their report in Part Three brings a much-needed focus to diverse Americans also deserving of digital equity. Working Group Chairs Robert Brooks and Chris Wood supported their colleagues in assembling the interviews and conducting research. Our appreciation is extended to each of them as well.

We also thank Marlene Jackson, Consumer and Government Affairs Bureau and Scott Smith (Solo Creative) on behalf of Connected Nation for the design and layout of the report. Finally, thank you to FCC CEDC staff Jamila Bess Johnson, Rashann Duvall, Keyla Hernandez-Ulloa and Aurélie Mathieu for their support of the work of the Council.

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Under the leadership of Federal Communications Commission (FCC or Commission) Chairwoman Jessica Rosenworcel, the Communications Equity and Diversity Council (CEDC), a federal advisory committee, was chartered on June 29, 2021. Under the charter of the CEDC's formation, the Commission appointed members from public interest groups, think tanks, and industry organizations to the federal advisory committee, and divided such members into three Working Groups, which include the Digital Empowerment and Inclusion Working Group ("DEI Working Group"), Innovation and Access Working Group ("I&A Working Group"), and the Diversity and Equity Working Group ("D&E Working Group").<sup>1</sup>

One of the inaugural and urgent tasks of the CEDC was to present recommendations to the Commission on the public policies, programs, and other strategic initiatives to "advance[e] equity in the provision of and access to digital communication services and products for all people of the United States, without discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, sex, or disability."<sup>2</sup> The particular request of the Commission in December 2021 was to: (a) examine issues around lack of access to broadband services and products; (b) help better understand the reasons and causes for such lack of access; and (c) offer recommendations for addressing digital discrimination and other barriers that impact equitable access to emerging technology in the U.S., including its territories, particularly in communities that remain unserved, underserved or "under-connected."<sup>3</sup> Such call to action was explicitly legislated by the Congress's Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA), or Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL), that was enacted on November 15, 2021.<sup>4</sup> The legislation directed the Commission to "adopt final rules to facilitate equal access to broadband internet access service, taking into account the issues of technical and economic feasibility...."<sup>5</sup>

This document, or the "Report," compiles the findings from the three CEDC Working Groups, and particularly offers guidance to States and localities<sup>6</sup> seeking to prohibit "digital

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Federal Communications Commission, "Working Group Members Announced for FCC Diversity Council," January 13, 2022, <u>https://www.fcc.gov/document/working-group-members-announced-fcc-diversity-council</u>. See also, Federal Communications Commission, "FCC Announces Working Group Members of the Communications Equity and Diversity Council," Public Notice, DA 22-41, January 13, 2022, <u>https://docs.fcc.gov/public/attachments/DA-22-41A1.pdf</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Federal Communications Commission, "Communications Equity and Diversity Council (2021)", <u>https://www.fcc.gov/communications-equity-and-diversity-council</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Federal Communications Commission, "Working Group Members Announced for FCC Diversity Council," January 13, 2022, <u>https://www.fcc.gov/document/working-group-members-announced-fcc-diversity-council</u>. See also, Federal Communications Commission, "FCC Announces Working Group Members of the Communications Equity and Diversity Council," Public Notice, DA 22-41, January 13, 2022, <u>https://docs.fcc.gov/public/attachments/DA-22-41A1.pdf</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Congress.gov. "H.R.3684 - 117th Congress (2021-2022): Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act," Div. F, Tit. I, Sec. 60506 et seq., Pub. L. 117-58 (Nov. 15, 2021), <u>https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/3684/text.</u> Section 60506 of the Infrastructure Act is codified at 47 U.S.C. § 1754, Digital Discrimination. <sup>5</sup> *Id.* § 1754(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Since localities were not defined in the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act or in the charge to the CEDC, for purposes of this report, includes within the term "localities" Native communities and Tribal lands through

discrimination" in broadband deployment, adoption, and use, as well as in the contracting and grants processes for funds related to forthcoming broadband infrastructure. This Report was developed with the input of the Working Group Members, and a range of interview respondents (See Appendix A). While all CEDC members may not agree on every detail included in the report, the report is an accurate representation of the work conducted.

The Report is organized by Working Group into three sections:

- 1. The DEI Working Group presents in Part One model policies and best practices for States and localities to adopt to ensure that broadband internet access service providers do not engage in digital discrimination.
- 2. Part Two expresses the findings and recommendations of the I&A Working Group that includes a roadmap for inclusive participation among diverse, small, and medium-sized businesses to prevent discrimination in the awarding of IIJA loans and grants.
- 3. Part Three reflects the findings from the D&E Working Group that promotes universal access among intersectional groups and encourages the Commission to be more inclusive and protective of other vulnerable populations, including those from older, disabled, non-gender conforming, and rural areas.

In accordance with the Commission's request for the CEDC to investigate, compile, and present findings about what States and localities can implement to prevent discriminatory behaviors and activities, the Report provides a starting point for further deliberations and actions that promote increased deployment, adoption, and use of high-speed broadband that not only make it easier for populations to engage in daily activities of remote work, learning, and health care, but also encourage affordable and widely deployed connectivity.

The Report aligns with the statutory language of the IIJA, which in Section 60506(d) requires the agency to "develop model policies and best practices that can be adopted by States and localities to ensure that broadband internet access service providers do not engage in digital discrimination." <sup>7</sup> Further, Section 60506(c) requires the Commission and the Attorney General to ensure that "federal policies promote equal access to robust broadband internet access service by prohibiting deployment discrimination based on — (1) the income level of an area; (2) the predominant race or ethnicity composition of an area; or (3) other factors the Commission determines to be relevant . . . ." <sup>8</sup> The IIJA statute also directs the Commission to "revise its public complaint process to accept complaints from consumers or other members of the public that relate to digital discrimination." <sup>9</sup>

Various other requirements regarding the prevention and elimination of digital discrimination are further considered in the statute, including the requirement of the Commission to adopt rules "to

government-to-government coordination and collaboration, as well as, Puerto Rico, American Samoa, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, and the United States Virgin Islands.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 1754(d). See also, Agenda Released for February 23, 2022, Virtual Meeting of the Communications Equity and Diversity Council, Public Notice, DA 22-164 (WCB Feb. 16, 2022).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 1754(d).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> *Id.* § 1754(e).

facilitate equal access to broadband internet access service."<sup>10</sup> In satisfying that obligation, the Commission must consider "the issues of technical and economic feasibility presented by that objective."<sup>11</sup> The Commission's rules must be aimed at "(1) preventing digital discrimination of access based on income level, race, ethnicity, color, religion or national origin; and (2) identifying necessary steps for the Commission to take to eliminate discrimination."<sup>12</sup>

The three combined draft reports and recommendations from each of the Working Groups present a series of critical and distinguishable next steps for the Commission to consider with findings largely extracted from structured interviews with subject matter experts and secondary research. Among the three Working Groups, numerous individuals were interviewed, and various documents and research reports were further analyzed and discussed for inclusion in each part.

The tireless work of CEDC Members presents to the Commission recommendations for a series of model policies and best practices that can be adopted by States, localities, and Internet Service Providers (ISPs) working to promote equitable broadband deployment while preventing digital discrimination. The Report also includes a series of other considerations to advance digital equity, including increased community engagement and K-12 digital skilling, among other action items. Notwithstanding, States and localities should seek to prevent "digital discrimination" based on income level, race, ethnicity, color, religion, or national origin to the extent they have the authority to do so. ISPs should ensure that they will not discriminate between or among any individuals in the availability of broadband. Respectively, the three Working Groups also offer the following recommendations as model polices and best practices for States and localities looking to close the digital divide and other economic opportunity gaps.

## **DEI Working Group Recommendations for Model Policies and Best Practices That Can Be Adopted for States and Localities to Prevent Digital Discrimination by ISPs:**

The DEI Working Group presents a series of recommendations for consideration to prevent digital discrimination by ISPs:

- 1. Develop, implement, and make publicly available periodic broadband equity assessments in partnership with ISPs, the community, and other local stakeholders.
- 2. Facilitate greater awareness and information sharing among multi-dwelling unit owners regarding tenant choice and competition considering broadband service agreements.
- 3. Identify local opportunities that could be used to incentivize equitable deployment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> *Id.* § 1754(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Federal Communications Commission, "FCC Initiates Inquiry on Preventing Digital Discrimination," March 17, 2022, <u>https://www.fcc.gov/document/fcc-initiates-inquiry-preventing-digital-discrimination.</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 1754(b)(1) - (2).

- 4. Engage, where permissible under state and federal law, in the management of public property, such as public rights-of-way, to avert discriminatory behaviors that result in or sustain digital discrimination and redlining.
- 5. Convene regular meetings of broadband providers and other stakeholders, including community anchor institutions, public interest groups, community advocates, labor organizations, and faith-based institutions, to evaluate areas and households unserved or underserved with competitive and quality broadband options.
- 6. Encourage fair competition and choice.

# **DEI Working Group Recommendations to Support Digital Equity:**

In addition to fulfilling the FCC's charge to the DEI working group to provide recommendations to address digital discrimination, the Working Group also provides recommendations to support digital equity more generally. The Working Group seeks to help the FCC remove barriers to equal opportunity and deliver resources and benefits equitably to all Americans to access and use digital communication and technologies.<sup>13</sup> Our interviews shed light on many factors, including possible digital discrimination, that may contribute to the lack of digital equity in the United States.

The recommendations presented in this section go beyond the goal to address digital discrimination. The DEI Working Group recognizes the importance of increasing affordability and digital navigation services for historically disadvantaged and other vulnerable populations. The Working Group does not put these recommendations forward to diminish or conflate the distinctly different effort needed to address "digital discrimination" based on income level, race, ethnicity, color, religion, or national origin presented above.<sup>14</sup> The Working Group encourages the FCC to work with States and localities to seek, develop and deepen resources and capabilities to:

- 1. Make low-cost broadband available to low-income households through government benefit programs, in combination with internet service providers' low-income programs.
- 2. Build on the success of existing benefit programs that allow low-income households to apply a credit to an internet service of their choice.
- **3.** Raise awareness about connectivity programs for programs among eligible households.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Executive Order On Advancing Racial Equity and Support for Underserved Communities Through the Federal Government, Pub. L. No. 13985 (2021). <u>https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/presidential-actions/2021/01/20/executive-order-advancing-racial-equity-and-support-for-underserved-communities-through-the-federal-government/.</u> See also, Federal Communications Commission, "Federal Communications Commission Equity Action Plan," April 14, 2022, <u>https://www.fcc.gov/document/federal-communications-commission-equity-action-plan</u>.
<sup>14</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 1754.

- 4. Strengthen marketing and communications about available federal and state connectivity programs and other programs that target low-income or other unconnected members of a community.
- 5. Streamline the application process for government benefit programs referred to above.
- 6. Increase support and funding for organizations such as schools, nonprofits, and faith-based organizations to provide digital navigation assistance in communities they serve.
- 7. Fund, promote and leverage the use of digital navigators.
- 8. Stakeholders should encourage Congress to create a digital public service and engagement program (e.g., digital navigators), which could conduct trainings and outreach in non-adopting communities.
- 9. Increase device access and participation.
- 10. Use public-private partnerships to facilitate remote learning and close the homework gap.
- 11. Ensure that members of the community have safe spaces to access the internet.
- 12. Strengthen digital skilling efforts in underserved communities.
- 13. Encourage the creation of workforce development/training opportunities, focusing on historically underrepresented communities.

#### **I&A Working Group Recommendations (Part Two):**

The I&A Working Group presents a series of recommendations for consideration to close the opportunity gaps for diverse, and predominantly minority- and women-owned businesses by encouraging States and localities to:

- 1. Adopt definitions of small minority- and women-owned (SMW) businesses.
- 2. Designate a government-wide office to oversee supplier diversity initiatives, including the creation of an annual plan to increase supplier diversity.
- 3. Adopt an accountable goal of no less than 30% participation of SMW businesses in state and local infrastructure grant and contract opportunities and provide incentives to first-tier contractors to partner with SMW businesses.

- 4. Include auditing and in-progress reporting in the contracts/subgrants; implement thoughtful auditing, in-progress reporting, real-time accountability, and enforcement to ensure that SMW goals are met.
- 5. The grantees, working in conjunction with the supplier diversity office, should proactively identify contracting and procurement forecasts and needs.
- 6. Ensure diverse participation in task forces or committees that advise grantees on their broadband plans, including broadband supplier diversity.
- 7. Promote certifications prior to disbursement of funds so that SMW businesses are prepared to participate in the funding opportunities.
- 8. Grantees, subgrantees, and contractors should be required to reach out to SMW businesses.

# **D&E Working Group Recommendations (Part Three):**

The D&E Working Group presents a series of recommendations for consideration by States and localities to ensure the diversity and inclusion of the range of marginalized populations in the U.S. who should benefit from the economic and social benefits of increased broadband access, as well as the digital skills – whether postsecondary or adult workforce training – to compete in the digital economy.

- 1. The Commission needs to examine and expand the definition of "equal access" to facilitate greater adoption and use of high-speed broadband, especially among populations experiencing a range of inequalities resulting from a protected characteristic, or an intersection of various attributes or social determinants that limit their full digital engagement.
- 2. The Commission should play a more active role in promoting the relevance of highspeed broadband among populations where broadband can improve quality of lives and increase consumer demand for more equitably deployed broadband services.

In conclusion, this Report presents findings from the three Working Groups and responds to the Commission's request for recommendations to inform its work in developing model policies and best practices for States and localities to prevent digital discrimination by ISPs and advance digital equity.

{This page left intentionally blank.}

# PART ONE: REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE DEI WORKING GROUP

## Introduction

All communities deserve to have equal access to high-speed broadband, which should embolden "[an] equal opportunity to subscribe to an offered [internet access] service that provides comparable speeds, capacities, latency, and other quality of service metrics in a given area, for comparable terms and conditions."<sup>15</sup> At least, this is the language in the recently enacted Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA) that is poised to accelerate high-speed broadband as one of its core pillars. According to a recent study from BroadbandNow, 42 million Americans lack affordable, high-speed, quality internet with actual download speeds of at least 25 megabits per second (Mbps) and upload speeds of at least 3 Mbps.<sup>16</sup> The Federal Communications Commission reports that 14.5 million Americans lack access to broadband internet, including wired and fixed wireless connections.<sup>17</sup> Microsoft's data usage suggests as many as 120.4 million people in the U.S. do not use the internet at broadband speeds 25/3 Mbps.<sup>18</sup> This data reflects digital access before the pandemic, and not necessarily the millions of Americans who were left digitally disconnected during the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic.

As many jobs, schools, healthcare, and government services shifted to online environments over the last two years, the need to deliver high-speed broadband connectivity across the U.S. has been amplified. The COVID-19 pandemic exposed the challenges Americans from unserved and underserved communities face in accessing high-speed internet access to meet their basic needs from working at home, participating in distance learning, or taking part in many other important activities for which internet access is crucial. One research study found that nearly half of all adults said that internet access has been essential during the COVID-19 pandemic.<sup>19</sup> School-aged children from low-income households were at an acute disadvantage as schools shut down, with one survey finding that nearly a quarter of those students used public WI-FI to complete homework assignments due to lack of home internet access.<sup>20</sup> The use of telehealth—some of which utilized video services—also expanded rapidly in some communities during this time,

<sup>17</sup> Federal Communications Commission, "Fourteenth Broadband Deployment Report," January 19, 2021, https://www.fcc.gov/reports-research/reports/broadband-progress-reports/fourteenth-broadband-deployment-report

<sup>19</sup> Emily A. Vogels, Andrew Perrin, Lee Rainie, and Monica Anderson, "53% of Americans Say the Internet Has Been Essential During the COVID-19 Outbreak," Pew Research Center, April 30, 2020, https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2020/04/30/53-of-americans-say-the-internet-has-been-essential-during-the-covid-19-outbreak/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 1754(a)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> John Busby, Julia Tanberk, and BroadbandNow Team, "FCC Reports Broadband Unavailable to 21.3 Million Americans, BroadbandNow Study Indicates 42 Million Do Not Have Access," February 3, 2020. https://broadbandnow.com/research/fcc-underestimates-unserved-by-50-percent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Microsoft Airband Initiative, "Maps showing FCC fixed broadband availability and broadband usage based on Microsoft data updated as of October 2020," October 2020,

https://app.powerbi.com/view?r=eyJrIjoiYzlhZWIyNWEtMDlkOS00MWJkLWExZGYtOWQ3NTNjNzJiNDIwIiwi dCI6ImMxMzZlZWMwLWZlOTItNDVIMC1iZWFlLTQ2OTg0OTczZTIzMiIsImMiOjF9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Katherine Schaeffer, "What we know about online learning and the homework gap amid the pandemic," Pew Research Center, October 1, 2021, <u>https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2021/10/01/what-we-know-about-online-learning-and-the-homework-gap-amid-the-pandemic/</u>.

accommodating those who could not see their doctors in person but had broadband access.<sup>21</sup> In earnest, the COVID-19 pandemic brought into focus the gap between those who could easily transition to conducting important activities at home—and those who could not.

## Race, Income, Geography and Broadband

For some communities, COVID-19 exacerbated economic disparities for those who did not already have access to broadband services, especially in communities of color, where a lack of broadband access can reinforce systemic inequality.<sup>22</sup> Black and Hispanic adults in the United States remain less likely than white adults to say they have high-speed internet at home, according to data from the Pew Research Center.<sup>23</sup> While studies have shown that 78% of English-speaking Asian Americans use the Internet, these analyses are often limited in scope and obscure key inequities within API communities.<sup>24</sup> The American Indian Policy Institute (AIPI) found that 18% of indigenous, tribal residents lack broadband internet access and have the highest poverty rate (25.4%) among all communities of color.<sup>25</sup> Similar concerns abound among U.S. territories, including Puerto Rico where some residents still have limited or no internet access, especially those living in rural areas.<sup>26</sup> In Hawaii, roughly 13% of residents do not have a broadband internet subscription.<sup>27</sup> More data on broadband connections for communities of color is needed to provide a more accurate and wholistic examination of the inequities and opportunities for internet connectivity for these groups.

Research also shows that income is correlated to the availability and adoption of the internet.<sup>28</sup> Many low-income households are not connected to high-speed broadband because they cannot afford the service.<sup>29</sup> Four-in-ten adults with households earning less than \$30,000 do not have

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> New York University, "Telemedicine During COVID-19: Video vs. Phone Visits and the Digital Divide," November 15, 2021, <u>https://www.nyu.edu/about/news-publications/news/2021/november/telemedicine-during-covid-19.html</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Nicol Turner Lee, Kaya Henderson, Marc Morial, Andre M. Perry, "Can we alleviate racism and systemic inequality by expanding broadband during COVID-19?", (panel, The Brookings Institution, Washington, DC, August 25, 2020), <u>https://www.brookings.edu/events/can-we-alleviate-racism-and-systemic-inequality-by-expanding-broadband-during-covid-19/</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Sara Atske and Andrew Perrin, "Home Broadband Adoption, Computer Ownership Vary By Race, Ethnicity In the U.S.," July 16, 2021, <u>https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2021/07/16/home-broadband-adoption-computer-ownership-vary-by-race-ethnicity-in-the-u-s/</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> National Telecommunications and Information Administration, "NTIA Data Reveal Shifts in Technology Use, Persistent Digital Divide," June 10, 2020, <u>https://www.ntia.gov/blog/2020/ntia-data-reveal-shifts-technology-use-persistent-digital-divide</u>. See also, Emily Chi and Nicole Morgenstern, "Broadband: What Is The Digital Divide And What Does It Look Like?," May 24, 2021, Medium, <u>https://medium.com/advancing-justice-aajc/broadband-what-is-the-digital-divide-and-what-does-it-look-like-6c414656361d</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Poverty USA, "The Population of Poverty USA," <u>https://www.povertyusa.org/facts</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Next Century Cities, "Puerto Rico," <u>https://nextcenturycities.org/wp-content/uploads/Puerto-Rico.pdf</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> United States Census, "QuickFacts Hawaii: Computer and Internet Use," July 1, 2021,

https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/HI#.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Arizona State University American Indian Policy Institute, "Tribal Digital Divide Policy Brief and Recommendations," April 3, 2020,

https://aipi.asu.edu/sites/default/files/tribal digital divide stimulus bill advocacy 04032020.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> John Horrigan, "Focusing on Affordability: What Broadband Adoption Rates in Cities Tell Us About Getting More People Online," Benton Institute for Broadband and Society, April 19, 2021,

https://www.benton.org/blog/focusing-affordability. See also, Dominique Harrison, "Affordability & Availability:

broadband services (43%).<sup>30</sup> Beyond income disparities, geographic differences in broadband deployment across communities may also limit full participation in the digital economy. Some studies indicate that disparities are exacerbated by the combination of neighborhood and income effects.<sup>31</sup> For example, neighborhoods with high poverty rates are sometimes found to have slower download speeds.<sup>32</sup> At the same time, significant advancements in the delivery of high-speed broadband have been made.

The Congressional Research Service found that incentivizing sustained private-sector investment in more isolated and sparsely populated communities, including rural and urban areas, has been difficult.<sup>33</sup> The same report also concluded that broadband "[m]arkets tend to be highly localized. Those with favorable geography and demographic profiles often have higher demand, and thus present relatively attractive investment opportunities for broadband providers."<sup>34</sup> While access to high-speed broadband has been increasing<sup>35</sup>, undoubtedly the intersection between income, race, geography, and broadband access needs to be better understood to provide more equitable deployment and access to the internet. Where the traditional conversations on discrimination tend to happen around the interconnection of networks and interoperability with devices, more discussion is needed that examines broadband deployment and the actual reach of the physical infrastructure itself in unserved and underserved communities.

Some members of Congress have also asserted the need to examine the practices, decisions, and outcomes facilitated by ISPs that may be related to the deployment and upgrade of broadband in medium and low-income communities. In July 2021, Representative Yvette D. Clarke, [D-NY-9] first introduced H.R.4875 - Anti Digital Redlining Act of 2021 to require the FCC to issue a notice of inquiry related to digital redlining, to prohibit digital redlining, and for other purposes, to evaluate decisions made by ISPs regarding deployment. Clarke's bill, which did not pass, sought to:

"ensure...that all Americans, especially those in traditionally underserved or marginalized communities, have access to competing broadband networks at the same

Expanding Broadband in the Black Rural South," Joint Center for Political and Economic Studies, October 2021, <u>https://jointcenter.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/Affordability-Availability-Expanding-Broadband-in-the-Black-Rural-South.pdf.</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Emily A Vogels, "Digital Divide Persists Even As Americans With Lower Incomes Make Gains in Tech Adoption," Pew Research Center, June 21, 2022, <u>https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2021/06/22/digital-divide-persists-even-as-americans-with-lower-incomes-make-gains-in-tech-adoption/.</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Kendall Swenson and Robin Ghertner, "People in Low-Income Households Have Less Access to Internet Services," Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, April 2020.

https://aspe.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/private/pdf/26301/Internet Access Among Low Income.pdf. <sup>32</sup> *Ibid*.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Brian E. Humphreys, "Demand for Broadband in Rural Areas: Implications for Universal Access," Congressional Research Service, December 9, 2019, <u>https://sgp.fas.org/crs/misc/R46108.pdf</u>;
 <sup>34</sup> See Humphreys, 2019.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Andrew, and Sara Ataske, "7% of Americans Don't Use the Internet. Who Are They?" Pew Research Center, April 2, 2021. <u>https://pewrsr.ch/2GrhLUj</u>.

quality of service, at reasonable prices, as available in other similarly situated communities with higher median incomes or different demographic makeup...<sup>36</sup>

The development of Clarke's bill was in response to what some communities experienced in their neighborhoods.<sup>37</sup> In the last decade, there have been allegations of what some characterize as digital redlining<sup>38</sup> of broadband availability in various parts of the country.

In 2014, the then New York City Mayor accused an ISP of not fulfilling its commitments under a cable franchise agreement.<sup>39</sup> In Cleveland, Ohio in 2017, three Black residents accused an ISP serving the city of not bringing published broadband speeds to their individual households or surrounding communities.<sup>40</sup> While the complaint was dismissed by the FCC in response to a joint motion filed by both parties, it made allegations that the ISP did not equally invest in their wireline broadband infrastructure and did not provide comparable service between middle- and low-income neighborhoods in the city of Cleveland.<sup>41</sup>

While what constitutes digital redlining will require further exploration by the Commission, these allegations suggest the importance of addressing and prohibiting digital discrimination as part of the deployment of IIJA resources. With digital technologies and services evolving, States and localities play a critical role in ensuring equitable broadband access in the U.S. and the FCC has been tasked under the IIJA to develop guidance that can be adopted by States and localities to prevent digital discrimination by ISPs. That is why having a solid set of recommended model policies and best practices to prevent digital discrimination based on income level, race, ethnicity, color, religion, or national origin by ISPs can facilitate greater online engagement amongst all communities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Congress.gov. "H.R.4875 - 117th Congress (2021-2022): Anti Digital Redlining Act of 2021." August 2, 2021, <u>https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/4875/</u>. Rep Clarke's bill was not adopted, but did help secure bipartisan support for the digital discrimination inquiry in the subsequent passing of the IIJA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Stephen Babcock, "With help from Baltimore leaders, US Rep. Yvette Clarke is introducing the Anti-Digital Redlining Act of 2021," August 9, 2021, https://technical.ly/civic-news/anti-digital-redlining-act/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> The Committee did not define digital discrimination or digital redlining. Rather, the Committee asked the interviewees to share a definition if they chose. The Committee used the definitions to try to understand what digital discrimination and digital redlining are from various perspectives of the interviewees.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> The dispute arose under the cable franchise agreement for alleged failure to meet certain deployment commitments which would provide service to residents of varying demographics and income levels across the entire city of New York. See also, The Official Website of the City of New York, "De Blasio Administration Releases Audit Report of Verizon's Citywide FiOS Implementation," June 18, 2015, <u>https://www1.nyc.gov/office-of-the-mayor/news/415-15/de-blasio-administration-releases-audit-report-verizon-s-citywide-fios-implementation</u>. The lawsuit filed by the City of New York in 2017 did not advance any claims of discrimination under the cable franchise agreement or otherwise. *See* Complaint, *City of New York v. Verizon New York et al.*, Index NO 45066-2-17 (filed Mar, 13, 2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Federal Communications Commission, "In the matter of Joanne Elkins, Hattie Lanfair, Rachelle Lee Complainants, v. AT&T Corp. Defendant," August 24, 2017, <u>https://digitalinclusion.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/08/ATT-Final-Complaint.08.24.2017.pdf</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Taylor, et al. v. AT&T | Federal Communications Commission (fcc.gov), www.fcc.gov/document.taylor-et-al-vatt.

# Digital Discrimination In The Infrastructure Investment And Jobs Act (IIJA))

On November 15, 2021, President Biden signed Public Law No: 117-58, the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act – which includes the largest federal investment in universal broadband since the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act under the Obama administration. The IIJA instructed the investment of \$65 billion into the provision of reliably deployed, affordable, and widely available high-speed broadband for everyone in the U.S. by the end of the decade.<sup>42</sup> Coined the "Internet for All" program, the goals are to build affordable, reliable high-speed internet infrastructure, teach digital skills, and provide necessary technology (e.g., internet-enabled hardware) that enables full participation in today's society and economy, especially for communities of color, rural residents, and older populations.<sup>43</sup>

Section 60506(d) of the IIJA<sup>44</sup> requires the FCC to "develop model policies and best practices that can be adopted by States and localities to ensure that broadband internet access service providers do not engage in digital discrimination."<sup>45</sup> Section 60506 also appears to draw upon the language in the Anti-Digital Redlining Act of 2021 (H.R.4875) introduced by Representative Yvette Clarke. In contrast to the Clarke bill, the language of the IIJA requires that the FCC, the federal agency with oversight over the nation's communications infrastructure, "take steps to ensure that all people of the United States benefit from equal access to broadband internet service. Not later than two years after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Commission shall adopt final rules to facilitate greater access to broadband internet access, considering the issues of technical and economic feasibility presented by that objective, including:

- 1. Preventing digital discrimination of access based on income level, race, ethnicity, color, religion, or national origin; and
- 2. Identifying necessary steps for the Commission to take to eliminate discrimination described in paragraph." <sup>46</sup>

# The Charge of the DEI Working Group

In December 2021, the DEI Working Group, one of three CEDC working groups, was charged with the task from FCC Chairwoman Jessica Rosenworcel to recommend model policies and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Congress.gov. "H.R.3684 - 117th Congress (2021-2022): Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act," Div. F, Pub. L. 117-58 (Nov. 15, 2021), <u>https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/3684/text</u>. See also, National Telecommunications and Information Administration, "NTIA's Role in Implementing the Broadband Provisions of the 2021 Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act," <u>https://broadbandusa.ntia.doc.gov/news/latest-news/ntias-role-implementing-broadband-provisions-2021-infrastructure-investment-and</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Biden-Harris Administration Launches \$45 Billion 'Internet for All' Initiative to Bring Affordable, Reliable High-Speed Internet to Everyone in America." May 13, 2022. <u>https://broadbandusa.ntia.doc.gov/news/latest-news/bidenharris-administration-launches-45-billion-internet-all-initiative-bring</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 1754(d).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Federal Communications Commission, "Agenda Released for February 23, 2022, Virtual Meeting of the Communications Equity and Diversity Council," Public Notice, DA 22-164 (WCB Feb. 16, 2022); 47 U.S.C. § 1754(d), <u>https://docs.fcc.gov/public/attachments/DA-22-164A1.pdf</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 1754(b)(1) - (2).

best practices that could be adopted by States and localities to prevent digital discrimination by ISPs. Members of the DEI Working Group worked alongside other CEDC working groups to identify and interview a diverse set of experts within the telecommunications and civil society sectors, including local government officials, non-profit leaders, internet service providers, economists, executive departments of the U.S. federal government, academics, and digital inclusion advocates.

Over 30 virtual interviews were conducted by the DEI Working Group, and the Working Group developed a questionnaire that explored several issues with respondents:

- 1. Proposed definitions of "digital discrimination" and "digital redlining,"
- 2. How States and localities have and can identify and address digital discrimination,
- 3. The business models and decisions of ISPs and how they can support or contribute to "digital discrimination," and
- 4. Recommendations of best practices from the public and private sectors to prevent digital discrimination.

The DEI Working Group also relied upon data and research by scholars, organizations, and local governments that have driven digital equity and inclusion scholarship. In all, the Working Group learned during the interviews that ensuring equitable technology access is a very complex endeavor, and there is some variation in how stakeholders define digital discrimination. In the end, these interviews exposed that there may be little to no agreement on what constitutes digital discrimination.

# METHODOLOGY

The DEI Working Group engaged in multiple methodologies to meet the Commission's charge.

*Interviews.* The Working Group identified experts from government, industry, academia, and advocacy groups who could discuss digital equity challenges and propose solutions to help inform its recommendations. A full list of interviewees is included in Appendix A.

Sample questions included:

- How to define digital discrimination?
- How to define digital redlining?
- How are constituents experiencing and impacted by digital discrimination?
- What efforts they and their employers/organizations have undertaken to address digital discrimination?
- What equal access looks like?
- What would make the biggest difference in advancing equal access?
- What are the economic and regulatory considerations that incentivize private investment?
- What data or research should be considered?

Interviewees also had the option to provide a formal presentation in addition to the questions that were also shared with the DEI Working Group for further analysis.

*Research.* The DEI Working Group also reviewed research publications and other publicly available documents issued by a variety of government agencies, academics and think tanks, and advocacy organizations to help inform its development of best practices and model policies to prevent digital discrimination and to promote digital equity. Among other sources, Working Group members reviewed:

- <u>Federal guidance and programs</u>, including the Affordable Connectivity Program and its predecessor the Emergency Broadband Benefit Program.
- <u>Prior reports and recommendations to the FCC</u>, including from the Broadband Deployment Advisory Committee Increasing Broadband Investment in Low-Income Communities Working Group.
- <u>Broadband adoption initiatives and digital skills programs</u>, including partnerships between state and local governments and internet service providers in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.
- <u>Advocacy group guidance and programs</u>, including from the Electronic Frontier Foundation and the National Digital Inclusion Alliance.
- <u>Academic and think tank publications</u>, including from the and Pew Research Center.
- <u>Civil Rights Organization publications</u>, including from the National Urban League.

*Working Group Meetings.* The DEI Working Group also participated in weekly meetings to prepare before interviews, debrief post interviews, and write the report. Those meetings also enabled the entire group to be able to contribute to the writing of the report in a transparent manner.

# FINDINGS FROM INTERVIEWS

Several themes emerged from the interviews to advise the deliverables of the Working Group, particularly the recommendations for state and local leaders. The findings are organized into themes and summarized below. In accordance with the Chatham House rule<sup>47</sup>, the names of respondents are not attributed to their specific input, but their scope of work may be described.

# 1. Tackling the digital divide is both urgent and imperative.

The DEI Working Group learned from the interviews that while great progress has been made to connect each person to reliable broadband, there is a sense of the "fierce urgency" to accelerate the rate at which the United States accomplishes this goal. Some snippets from respondents on this topic align with the rationale for the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act, where Congress finds namely<sup>48</sup>:

- *"Access to affordable, reliable, high-speed broadband is essential to full participation in modern life in the United States."*
- The persistent "digital divide" in the United States is a barrier to the economic competitiveness of the United States and equitable distribution of essential public services, including health care and education."
- "The digital divide disproportionately affects communities of color, lower-income areas, and rural areas, and the benefits of broadband should be broadly enjoyed by all."
- "In many communities across the country, increased competition among broadband providers has the potential to offer consumers more affordable, high-quality options for broadband service."
- *"The 2019 novel coronavirus pandemic has underscored the critical importance of affordable, high-speed broadband for individuals, families, and communities to be able to work, learn, and connect remotely while supporting social distancing."*

## 2. Digital discrimination can appear in multiple contexts.

The DEI Working Group learned from respondents that digital discrimination continues to be defined based on communal experiences in different contexts that describes instances where discrimination occurs in various frameworks moderated through the deployment and use of computers, applications, algorithms, and computer networks. Forms of digital discrimination, for example, have been a point of enforcement by the U.S. Department of Justice in cases over the past decade when access to consumer-facing websites violated the American with Disabilities

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Under the Chatham House Rule, anyone who comes to a meeting is free to use information from the discussion but neither the identity nor the affiliation of the speaker(s), nor that of any other participant, may be revealed. It is designed to increase openness of discussion. *See also*, <u>https://www.chathamhouse.org/about-us/chatham-house-rule</u>.
 <sup>48</sup> Congress.gov. "H.R.3684 - 117th Congress (2021-2022): Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act," Div. F, Tit. I,

Sec. 60101, Pub. L. 117-58 (Nov. 15, 2021), <u>https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/3684/</u>.

Act.<sup>49</sup> In addition, advances in the use of digital technologies for financial services, Friedline and Chen find:

"poor black and brown communities experience a form of digital redlining by having the lowest fintech rates. Every percentage increase in a community's black population was associated with an 18% decrease in their rate of high-speed internet access, 1% decrease in smartphone ownership, 12% decrease in online banking, and 3% decrease in mobile banking. Relationships were opposite for communities with increasing white populations where whiteness attracts higher rates of fintech, even amidst high poverty." <sup>50</sup>

Today, algorithms are also under scrutiny for their potential to contribute to discriminatory outcomes. In 2021, a Facebook user "filed a class-action lawsuit against nine companies that manage various apartment buildings in the D.C. area, alleging that they engaged in "digital housing discrimination" by excluding older people — like her — from viewing advertisements on Facebook".<sup>51</sup>

The sense of urgency to confront systemic and structural discrimination is not new to U.S. society. But there has been very little consensus on what constitutes "digital discrimination." Generally, discrimination can be described as the policies, practices, rules, or other systems that deny equal opportunity and outcomes for some groups of people. Legally, the term refers to:

"...the treatment or consideration of, or making a distinction in favor of or against, a person or thing based on the group, class, or category to which that person or thing belongs rather than on individual merit. Discrimination can be the effect of some law or established practice that confers privileges on a certain class or denies privileges to a certain class because of race, age, sex, nationality, religion, or handicap."<sup>52</sup>

The meaning and impact of discrimination in the digital context are very complex and are being defined as our society lives increasingly in the ever-growing digital information ecosystem that is used for most parts of our lives. However, within the IIJA statute, the Commission is charged with adopting rules to facilitate equal access to high-speed broadband, considering issues of technical and economic feasibility presented by that objective, including preventing digital discrimination of access, and identifying necessary steps for the Commission to take to eliminate discrimination. This reflects the policy that "subscribers should benefit from equal access to broadband internet access service within the service area of a provider of such service...with

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> Jonathon Hensley, "The High Cost of Digital Discrimination: Why Companies Should Care about Web Accessibility", *The Guardian*, December 31, 2015, <u>https://www.theguardian.com/sustainable-business/2015/dec/31/digital-discrimination-netflix-disney-target-web-accessibility-doj.</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Terri Friedline and Zibei Chen, "Digital Redlining and the Fintech Marketplace: Evidence from US Zip Codes," *Journal of Consumer Affairs* 55, no. 2 (June 1, 2021): 366–88, <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/joca.12297</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> Christianna Silva, "Facebook Ads Have A Problem. It's Called Digital Redlining. How Legal Are The Ads On Facebook?" *Mashable*, May 3, 2022, <u>https://mashable.com/article/facebook-digital-redlining-ads-protected-traits-section-230</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> USlegal.com, "Discrimination Law and Legal Definition," accessed June 21, 2022, <u>https://definitions.uslegal.com/d/discrimination/#:~:text=Discrimination%20refers%20to%20the%20treatment,rathe</u> r%20than%20on%20individual%20merit.

equal opportunity to subscribe to an offered service that provides comparable speeds, capacities, latency, and other quality of service metrics in a given area, for comparable terms and conditions."<sup>53</sup> It is within this specific context that the DEI Working Group focused its efforts and that the recommendations in this report are offered.

# 3. Available definitions to understand digital discrimination and digital redlining.

The Working Group also considered published definitions of digital redlining, which interviewed parties described as a form of digital discrimination. For example, former FCC Chairman, Ajit Pai used the term "digitally redlined" to describe the "under-investment in broadband networks —in the low-income communities in our cities, in rural areas, and on Tribal lands."<sup>54</sup> In 2019, the previously chartered Advisory Committee on Diversity and Digital Empowerment (ACDDE) submitted to the FCC its own recommendation on digital redlining.<sup>55</sup> Further, the National Digital Inclusion Alliance (NDIA) has defined digital redlining as:

"...discrimination by internet service providers in the deployment, maintenance, or upgrade of infrastructure or delivery of services. The denial of services has disparate impacts on people in certain areas of cities or regions, most frequently on the basis of income, race, and ethnicity."<sup>56</sup>

In the Working Group's interviews, respondents shared how they define digital discrimination and related terms from their perspective. See Appendix B for respondents' definitions of terms. Overall, widespread agreement among the interview participants suggested that getting to more equitable broadband must be handled with great care, and sufficient data – especially complete, or near complete broadband maps. Digital discrimination must also consider the presence of racialized and poverty differentiation of access to broadband internet services. Many respondents also shared that income and where one lives are connected to access to broadband services and the business decisions that companies make regarding deployment. In these instances, discussions on specific digital redlining cases were deliberated.

As many of the respondents observed, digital redlining as a term evolved from perspectives on redlining in housing and financial services. Interviewees also agreed that the term "redlining" is a part of housing discrimination and can be understood as the practices and decisions that excluded borrowers based on race from the mortgage lending market by denying or discouraging their use and purchase of physical property in specific communities across the United States.<sup>57</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 1754(a)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Federal Communications Commission FCC 17-155, "Statement of Chairman Ajit Pai Re: *Bridging the Digital Divide for Low-Income Consumers*, WC Docket No. 17-287; *Lifeline and Link Up Reform and Modernization*, WC Docket No. 11-42; *Telecommunications Carriers Eligible for Universal Service Support*, WC Docket No. 09-197," https://docs.fcc.gov/public/attachments/FCC-17-155A2.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> Submission by the Advisory Committee on Diversity and Digital Empowerment, FCC, June 24, 2019, available at acdde-06242019-access-subgroup-recommendation.docx (live.com).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> Caitlin Kvammen, "NDIA Adds to Digital Inclusion Definitions!" National Digital Inclusion Alliance, July 23, 2021, <u>https://www.digitalinclusion.org/blog/2021/07/23/ndia-adds-to-digital-inclusion-definitions/.</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> Keeanga-Yamahtta Taylor, Race for Profit: How Banks and the Real Estate Industry Undermined Black Homeownership, University of North Carolina Press, (2019).

Finally, interviewees commonly agreed that while redlining has its roots in housing and financial services, the results of this kind of discrimination have led to disparate outcomes and decisions for certain communities, including digital redlining which affects the availability and quality of broadband service in different parts of the country and among U.S. territories, the denial of equitable access to information services, and lack of access to broadband services.

## 4. Intent for digital discrimination should be further examined.

Interviewees and DEI working group members offered diverging perspectives on the foundational matter of whether "discriminatory impact" as opposed to "discriminatory intent" should be the evaluation method by which digital discrimination can be ascertained. The report and the recommendations put forth do not adopt either framework. However, a definition of digital discrimination is critical to executing any best practices to prevent it. The recommendations offered in this Report are also intended to help inform the FCC as it explores the complex issue of digital discrimination, and this discussion must continue for the recommendations to be implemented.

Some interviewees focused on the concept of discrimination as related to intent. On the one hand, respondents indicated that intent can be somewhat difficult to define. One respondent, who was from an ISP, felt that impact should not be part of the conversations given the fact that focusing on impact could chill innovation, and thwart demand, cost, and technical feasibility. Conversely, respondents from the public interest community and others in the working group felt that intent is often hard to define and that focusing on intent preserves the status quo while undermining the experiences of those who are subject to discrimination. They also proposed that shifting the focus to outcomes appropriately centers the discussion on adversely affected communities. Interviewees also suggested greater transparency on technical and economic feasibility among ISPs to remove barriers to deployment in unserved and underserved communities.

## 5. Broadband adoption may drive outcome differences for vulnerable populations.

Some respondents stated that digital discrimination may contribute to the disparities in broadband adoption and the use of digital technologies that drive the digital divide. However, one subject matter expert observed that it is not accurate to simply look at differences in broadband and computer adoption data and assume that the disparities based on race, gender, income, or other attributes are digital discrimination. The overriding concern among several interview participants was to not focus on the intent as much as the outcomes. That is, among some respondents, if individuals are impacted in a negative way, there is a need to address that and figure out how to avoid it.

#### 6. Broadband deployment decisions may have unintended negative outcomes.

The Working Group asked interview participants to share their insight into how business decisions and other factors may shape the extent to which it is economically and technically feasible to connect everyone to broadband. As noted in the IIJA, "subscribers should benefit

from equal access to broadband internet access service within the service area of a provider of such service... with equal opportunity to subscribe to an offered service that provides comparable speeds, capacities, latency, and other quality of service metrics in a given area, for comparable terms and conditions." Some interviewees shared that if issues of economic and technical feasibility have different outcomes for specific communities, there may be concerns about discrimination. Other interviewees mentioned that where economic and technical feasibility exists, ISPs should ensure that their services are also widely available, affordable, and have high bandwidth for all people within their service area, including investing in network upgrades. This has implications for both home use of internet services as well as local businesses.

From the beginning, the Working Group sought to understand how the business decisions of ISPs, if at all, connected to the digital divide that certain communities were experiencing. The Working Group wanted to know how ISPs decided where to deploy their services and what if any specific factors incentivized investment in specific communities. The Working Group learned that, in general, building a network includes steps to plan and design the network, construct the network, connect users, and to operate and maintain the network. The internet delivers service to consumers through a complex network of fiber, cable, copper wire technology, fixed-wireless or mobile, or satellite. ISPs configure the network in various ways to optimize the delivery of services on top of the network to offer voice and video in addition to upgrading to next generation of technologies for access to the Internet to consumers. This creates tradeoffs of meeting the basic access needs with prices that are affordable for consumers with bearing critical investment needs for innovation and market growth strategies for ISPs.

There is uncertainty about the economics of broadband investments based on multiple factors such as the geography and typology of the service area, market demand, and expectations to future-proof the network. Several interviewees identified that the predominant approach to building broadband networks in the U.S. uses a facilities-based approach. In this approach, the ISPs bear the costs to access certain public rights of way and assets such as telephone poles if it serves a subscriber using their own network facilities.

According to some interviewees, the central concern for States and localities should be to consider how to encourage expanded coverage to narrow the digital divide for access to next-generation networks to enable high-bandwidth data transfer using fiber-to-the-premises (FTTP), Data Over Cable Service Interface Specification (DOCSIS), or other technologies without discrimination in deployment and the delivery of broadband quality. The Working Group observed that the goal for ISPs is to build a network where the customer has a sufficient quality of service for their computing needs. Quality of service starts with having enough capacity to perform the functions that are needed such as running a business, completing homework online, or working remotely.

An interview with a broadband consulting firm shared some of the quality-of-service concerns in rural America that may lead to disparate outcomes. While most of the respondents saw equity and inclusion in the adoption of broadband as the main reason to address digital discrimination, some respondents addressed the delivery of broadband to the home. For example, one respondent stated:

"Latency and jitter are a second concern. Latency is the time it takes for a message to make the trip from one end of a channel to the other. Jitter describes variations in latency; it occurs when portions of a signal arrive out of sync from their expected schedule. Think of a video call over the internet. Latency is responsible for the constant small delay between you speaking and the other person registering your voice, while jitter is responsible for glitches, freezes, and other distortions in the stream. Jitter measures the variability of the broadband connection – is it steady from one second to the next. Latency matters a lot to gamers, folks making real time stock trades, and other highly time sensitive transactions."

#### 7. The consideration of franchise agreements.

Some of the respondents brought up the consideration of franchise agreements to reduce the potential of digital redlining. Franchise agreements generally are agreements that allow an entity to construct, maintain and operate facilities, such as utility and communications networks, in the publicly owned rights of way. The rights of way include the streets, sidewalks and often beyond the sidewalk, which have been dedicated for transportation and other purposes. Generally, ISPs must get permission to access rights of way from the State and/or locality that is responsible for managing the rights of way.

For example, the franchising model is the framework for cable networks under the federal Cable Act. The Cable Act requires cable companies to obtain franchise agreements from state or local franchising authorities, and franchising authorities have an obligation to make sure that "access to cable service is not denied to any group of potential residential cable subscribers because of the income of the residents of the local area in which such group resides."<sup>58</sup> Now that cable providers are also broadband providers, the build-out provisions included in cable franchises have impacted broadband deployment as well.<sup>59</sup>

During the Working Groups interviews, franchise agreements were seen to hold cable companies accountable for service quality, tracking of customer complaints, and build-out requirements to serve specific communities. A utility official stated that franchise agreements can require service in all communities and determine whether it is equal. One expert in the telecommunications sector stated that communities should ask: who is accessing their communities' rights of way and why and what are they doing when they get there? Are they deploying in an equitable fashion?

Another interviewee suggested the Working Group review California's non-discrimination provisions in the state's video franchising law. California's Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Assembly Bill (AB) 2987, the Digital Infrastructure and Video Competition Act of 2006 (DIVCA) seeks to "[p]romote the widespread access to the most technologically advanced cable and video services to all California communities in a nondiscriminatory manner, regardless of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> 47 U.S.C. § 541(a)(3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> See, e.g., Implementation of Section 621(a)(1) of the Cable Communications Policy Act of 1984 as amended by the Cable TV Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992, Third Report and Order, 34 FCC Rcd 6844 (2019) (aff'd in relevant part by City of Eugene v. FCC, 998 F.3d 701, 706 (6th Cir. 2021)).

their socioeconomic status."<sup>60</sup> California's legislation is one example of how franchise agreements have been used to protect against discrimination.

The Working Group heard from some interviewees that franchising or other conditions on access to rights-of-way could delay broadband deployment and increase costs for consumers. While other interviewees mentioned that as franchise agreements have been utilized, States and localities can sometimes make trade-offs through negotiations to ensure that providers are offering service to all communities and/or addressing digital equity needs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> California Public Utilities Code, "General Order 169. Digital Infrastructure and Video Competition Act of 2006," accessed July 11, 2022, <u>https://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/word\_pdf/GENERAL\_ORDER/85773.pdf</u>.

# MODEL POLICIES AND BEST PRACTICES TO PREVENT DIGITAL DISCRIMINATION BY ISPS

The findings and summarized takeaways from the structured interviews provided the necessary input to construct how States and localities can prohibit digital discrimination by an ISP. More specifically, this Report outlines a series of recommended model policies and best practices that may be pertinent to States, localities, and Internet Service Providers (ISPs) working to promote equitable broadband deployment, while preventing explicit digital discrimination and potential digital redlining. States and localities should prevent "digital discrimination" based on income level, race, ethnicity, color, religion, or national origin. Where economic and technical feasibility exists, ISPs should ensure that their services are widely available to people within their service areas. To prevent any possible regression toward such goals, the DEI Working Group offers in the Report the following model policies and best practices for potential implementation by States and localities.

# 1. Develop, implement, and make publicly available periodic broadband equity assessments in partnership with ISPs, the community, and other local stakeholders.

Through the assessment process, State and local leaders should seek to identify the current broadband needs of their community to ensure equitable deployment of broadband services by ISPs and routinely assess the availability of broadband. The broadband equity assessment could consider what broadband service is currently available, who has reliable and consistent high-speed broadband service at home (e.g., via ongoing review of publicly available data and updating of broadband maps), and the cost needs of broadband services for their community. State and local leaders can use broadband equity assessment data to help identify unserved, underserved, and served areas and effectively direct funds and infrastructure towards areas that need the most support for the deployment of broadband services. Recognizing that timely and accurate data is necessary to produce a useful broadband equity assessment, States and localities should identify key data inputs and consider mechanisms to facilitate reporting by ISPs.

Using broadband equity assessment data, State and local leaders should develop broadband action plans in a way that invites collaboration from relevant stakeholders, including ISPs to better assess and identify where deployment needs to occur, and better target districts and communities for which deployment is required. Such an approach will help ensure greater feedback by ISPs and other interviewees and lead to more participation in addressing the needs identified in the assessment, including considering these needs in infrastructure build-out and upgrade of plans. Further, local broadband action plans, specifically, should include local assessments of broadband deployment efforts and where challenges still exist. This assessment would also include a review of digital adoption programs available in a local community and whether gaps exist to adequately meet the needs of communities.

In addition, ISPs should partner with communities to assess the opportunity and challenges for ISPs to meet unmet needs. ISPs should make this this assessment data publicly available which could help to prevent digital discrimination and ensure product and service delivery is not impacted or driven by such practices.

2. Facilitate greater awareness and information sharing among multi-dwelling unit owners regarding tenant choice and competition considering broadband service agreements.

States and localities should raise awareness of FCC rules regarding access to Multiple Tenant Environments (MTEs) or Multiple Dwelling Units (MDUs) and consider new ways to facilitate information sharing with MTE property owners can help inform their decision-making process when considering entering into agreements with ISPs. The FCC has rules in place that prohibit cable and telecom providers from entering into exclusive property/building access agreements with landlords.<sup>61</sup> However, these regulations may leave room for other types of deals that can lead to lack of choice, slower speeds, higher prices, and low-quality services for communities.<sup>62</sup>

States and localities should consider laws or policies that are designed to eliminate these unintended consequences and ensure expanded access to MTEs. For example, some States, such as Illinois, New Jersey, and Nevada require MTE owners to give competing providers access to their properties. Additionally, localities, like San Francisco, California, have adopted policies that discourage property owners from unreasonably interfering with residents' ability to obtain service, which may be another tool to promote the availability and deployment of broadband to MTEs.<sup>63</sup> States and localities should make efforts to ensure that property owners, cable providers, and ISPs are aware of and comply with these new obligations.

# 3. Identify local opportunities that could be used to incentivize equitable deployment.

State, and localities should, in collaboration with ISPs, community organizations, consumer advocates, and others, identify and pursue opportunities to incentivize collaborative approaches to deployment. Leaders should examine as necessary, how State and local rules, such as dig once policies, permitting requirements, among other activities, can facilitate equitable broadband deployment.

# 4. Engage, where permissible under state and federal law, in the management of public property, such as public rights-of-way, to avert discriminatory behaviors that result

<sup>62</sup> Federal Communications Commission, "FCC Bans Exclusive Contracts For Telecommunications Services in Apartment Buildings," March 19, 2008, <u>https://www.fcc.gov/document/fcc-bans-exclusive-contracts-telecommunications-services-apartment</u>. *See also*, Sydney Price, "Small, large broadband providers battle over access to multitenant buildings," S&P Global Market Intelligence, October 6, 2021, <u>https://www.spglobal.com/marketintelligence/en/news-insights/latest-news-headlines/small-large-broadband-providers-battle-over-access-to-multitenant-buildings-66751037.</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> Recently, the FCC released an order that prohibits exclusive and graduated revenue sharing agreements with cable and telecom providers, requires the disclosure of exclusive marketing arrangements, and clarifies that its existing inside wiring rules prohibit sale-and-leaseback arrangements with cable providers. In adopting this order, the FCC noted that its actions "promote tenant choice and competition in the provision of communication services to the benefit of those who live and work in MTEs." Federal Communications Commission, "FCC Acts to Increase Broadband Competition in Apartment Buildings," February 15, 2022, <u>https://www.fcc.gov/document/fcc-acts-increase-broadband-competition-apartment-buildings</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> San Francisco Police Code § 5201. *See also*, Community Contributor, "San Francisco's Communications Choice Ordinance is Working," February 21, 2020 Updated June 16, 2022, accessed June 24, 2022, <u>https://www.sfexaminer.com/our\_sections/forum/san-francisco-s-communications-choice-ordinance-is-working/article\_d0d54312-9a69-53a7-b36c-988cf49c69cb.html.</u>

#### in or sustain digital discrimination and redlining.

Agreements to use the rights-of-way should reflect that the privilege of using public assets comes with an obligation to provide a benefit to the public, which includes ensuring that all members of the community have equal access to broadband, subject to economic and technological feasibility. The appropriate public benefit(s) should be discussed by community organizations, consumer advocates, and others, and be determined by local governments based on the potential for digital discrimination in the community.

States should also consider whether statutes preempting or creating barriers to the deployment of broadband services or construction of broadband facilities by non-traditional providers such as electric service providers and municipalities are equally subjected to non-discrimination model policies and best practices. States should examine their statutes and policies to ensure broadband providers benefitting from public assets provide appropriate public benefits to address potential digital discrimination.

5. Convene regular meetings of broadband providers and other stakeholders, including community anchor institutions, public interest groups, community advocates, labor organizations, and faith-based institutions, to evaluate areas and households unserved or underserved with competitive and quality broadband options.

Local organizations, including community anchor institutions, public interest groups, community advocates, labor organizations, and faith-based institutions can help States and localities evaluate areas and households that are unserved or underserved with competitive and quality broadband options, and work collaboratively to develop best practices and solutions for overcoming such barriers to equitable broadband deployment and adoption. State and local leaders should also seek to uncover and address areas experiencing digital redlining and strategies to prevent such discrimination.

## 6. Encourage fair competition and choice.

States and localities should continue to explore the role of competition and choice in not only accelerating consumer options but also as a commitment to more regular, seamless engagement with online resources that improve the quality of life for community members through activities such as online education, telehealth, civic engagement, employment, among other activities. Competition among ISPs may lower costs for consumers and improve the quality of service by both new and incumbent ISPs.

# BEST PRACTICES TO ADVANCE DIGITAL EQUITY FOR STATE AND LOCALITIES

# 1. Make low-cost broadband available to low-income households through government benefit programs, in combination with internet service providers' low-income programs.

The FCC should continue to coordinate with State and localities to maximize the impact of programs to make low-cost broadband available. For example, the Emergency Broadband Benefit Program's (EBB) success ushered in the creation of the Affordable Connectivity Program (ACP) reflecting Congress's recognition that this targeted subsidy should not be limited to a short-term pandemic program.<sup>64</sup> EBB/ACP are available to a wide range of low-income households (including those receiving benefits from Medicaid, Federal Public Housing Assistance, and the National School Lunch Program) and the IIJA avoided requirements, such as the eligible telecommunications carrier requirement that could have limited service provider participation.<sup>65</sup>

As of July 2022, more than 1,500 service providers participate in ACP,<sup>66</sup> and more than 12 million low-income households participate in ACP.<sup>67</sup> Many internet service providers also offer low-cost broadband plans for low-income families. These service offerings can be free to consumers once the ACP benefit is applied.<sup>68</sup> While funding exists currently, the legislation does not provide long-term support. Also, additional guidelines are needed to set standards for quality of service as well as marketing and communication to reach the target audiences more effectively based on lessons learned from the implementation of EBB and ACP to date.

It is also essential for the FCC to improve the USF programs' ability to meet the goals of universal deployment, affordability, adoption, availability, and equitable access to broadband. While the Infrastructure Act provides critical investments, it does not eliminate the need for a robust Lifeline program, continued support for educational and rural healthcare connectivity, and, in all probability, some form of ongoing high-cost support. To ensure these vital programs

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> Federal Communications Commission, "Affordable Connectivity Program Providers, FCC," accessed May 9, 2022, <u>https://www.fcc.gov/sites/default/files/acp\_provider\_list.xlsx</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> Universal Service Administrative Co., "ACP Enrollment and Claims Tracker," accessed July 11, 2022, https://www.usac.org/about/affordable-connectivity-program/acp-enrollment-and-claims-tracker/; Affordable Connectivity Program Providers, FCC, accessed July 11, 2022, <u>https://www.fcc.gov/affordable-connectivity-program-providers</u> (providing participating providers by state and territory).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> Federal Communications Commission, "Affordable Connectivity Program Providers, FCC," accessed July 11, 2022, <u>https://www.fcc.gov/sites/default/files/acp\_provider\_list.xlsx</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> ACP Enrollment and Claims Tracker; Affordable Connectivity Program Providers (providing participating providers by state and territory).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> T-Mobile, "T-Mobile Brings the Federal Affordable Connectivity Program to More Customers," January 26, 2022, <u>https://www.t-mobile.com/news/offers/t-mobile-brings-the-federal-affordable-connectivity-program-to-more-customers-with-free-wireless-service-at-metro-by-t-mobile</u>; Comcast, "Comcast Expands Affordable Connectivity Program Offers with Faster Internet Essentials Service and Xfinity Mobile," March 1, 2022,

https://corporate.comcast.com/press/releases/comcast-affordable-connectivity-program-internet-essentials-servicexfinity-mobile; Verizon, "Verizon Program Helps Bridge Digital Divide," March 15, 2022,

<sup>&</sup>lt;u>https://www.verizon.com/about/news/verizon-program-helps-bridge-digital-divide;</u> Charter Communications, "Charter is Advancing Access to Affordable, Reliable High-Speed Internet Service,", April 28, 2022, <u>https://policy.charter.com/advancing-access-to-affordable-reliable-internet</u>.

truly meet the Commission's mandate, it will be critical the Commission to carry out its plan to evaluate the scope of its authority under section 254(d), consider further actions on that basis, and for Congress to provide the Commission with any additional legislative tools needed to make changes to the contributions methodology, as the Commission recommended in its recent report to Congress on the future of the universal service fund.<sup>69</sup>

### 2. Build on the success of existing benefit programs that allow low-income households to apply a credit to an internet service of their choice.

States and localities should use available funds to supplement federal broadband benefits for low-income households. For example, Maryland's Emergency Broadband Benefit Subsidy Program offers those approved for EBB or ACP an additional \$15 a month on top of the federal discount for up to one year.<sup>70</sup> ISPs, States and localities, and community organizations should have intentional strategies to make sure broadband benefit programs are easily accessible and available to anyone that meets the criteria for the programs.

## **3.** Raise awareness about connectivity programs for programs among eligible households.

States and localities administering low-income benefit programs (such as SNAP and Medicaid) should inform consumers about broadband benefits such as ACP and Lifeline while they are applying for the benefit qualifying program.<sup>71</sup> For example, during the COVID-19 pandemic, the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners ("NARUC") and FCC partnered to increase awareness about Lifeline in this manner.<sup>72</sup>

# 4. Strengthen marketing and communications about available federal and state connectivity programs and other programs that target low-income or other unconnected members of a community.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> Federal Communications Commission, "FCC Reports to Congress on Future of the Universal Service Fund," August 15, 2022, <u>https://www.fcc.gov/document/fcc-reports-congress-future-universal-service-fund</u>
<sup>70</sup> The Office of Governor Larry Hogan, "Governor Hogan Announces \$400 Million Initiative to Ensure Universal Broadband For Maryland, "August 20, 2021, <u>https://governor.maryland.gov/2021/08/20/governor-hogan-announces-400-million-initiative-to-ensure-universal-broadband-for-maryland.</u> See also, Montgomery County, "Maryland, Affordable Connectivity Program," accessed May 4, 2022, <u>https://montgomerycountymd.gov/obp/emergencybroadband-benefit.html</u>. (Highlighting that a household eligible for EBB/ACP can receive up to an additional \$15 per month toward their monthly internet service bill).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> Connect 313, "Bridging the Digital Divide in Detroit," accessed June 14, 2022, <u>https://connect313.org/about-us/</u>. In Detroit, Connect 313 brings together a coalition of companies and organizations seeking to ensure that all residents have internet connections, access to relevant devices, and digital resources/technical support by 2024. Connect 313 efforts have contributed to 67.5% of Detroit households becoming "digitally included," compared with only 30% who were digitally included three years ago. Connect 313 spearheaded an awareness campaign, "EBB 313," which included a call center where consumers could receive guidance about reduced cost internet and device options, information about EBB eligibility and plans, and connection with nonprofit partners to assist in applying. The campaign helped connect more than 82,500 such households.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> Brandon Presley, "Helping Low-Income Consumers Stay Connected During the COVID-19 Pandemic Through the Federal Lifeline Program," June 1, 2020, <u>https://bit.ly/LifelineFCCNARUC</u>. Among other recommendations, the FCC and NARUC urged state commissions to circulate a toolkit of Lifeline materials to state agency partners administering various government benefits programs.

Program materials should explain offerings or programs in clear, nontechnical language.<sup>73</sup> Program materials and support should be shared in multiple languages. State and local leaders should also explore providing translation services for consumers seeking to sign up for service. ISPs' customer service teams should be aware of available programs and be able to redirect a potential customer to the targeted support team. ISPs can also help by having call center teams that are assigned to sponsored-service programs and staffing them to ensure fast, reliable, and effective support with minimal hold times. About 40% of respondents to the national survey ranked "having someone walk me through the process step by step" as one of their top three suggestions for how to make applying easier.<sup>74</sup> Installation instructions could be made clearer with step-by-step illustrations of the installation process that are easy to follow for adults with limited technical experience. ISPs could offer options across their tiers of service offerings, and regularly evaluate ACP program to further increase internet adoption. ISPs should be transparent about any future fees or costs, explain them clearly, and ensure that enrollees consent to any future costs when signing up for a no-cost program.

## 5. Streamline the application process for government benefit programs referred to above.

Multiple steps requiring a consumer to coordinate with a community organization, school, and/or provider can confuse consumers and discourage signups. The complexity of State, localities, and ISP applications for low-income broadband programs—and the time it takes to complete them—often deter potential applicants. Also, programs could allow applicants to confirm their identity using their phone number or another form of official identification, rather than a Social Security Number (SSN), to minimize challenges and hesitancy around personal information sharing and to be more inclusive of those with differing documentation and employment statuses.

# 6. Increase support and funding for organizations such as schools, nonprofits, and faith-based organizations to provide digital navigation assistance in communities they serve.

It is not enough to establish broadband programs to close the digital divide. There is also a need for "boots on the ground" to help drive awareness about these programs, help potential program participants navigate the application and enrollment process, and work with participants to build the digital skills necessary to get the most out of their broadband service.<sup>75</sup> Research has shown that trusted voices in a community can play a pivotal role in these adoption efforts. Trusted voices can include high touch community-based organizations, volunteers or cross-trained staff

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> For example, Comcast has expanded the number of languages its Internet Essentials call center agents can speak to more than 240, plus American Sign Language, to help break down language barriers that can prevent people from applying or getting online.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> Chris Goodchild, Hannah Hill, Matt Kalmus, et al., "Boosting Broadband Adoption and Remote K-12 Education in Low-Income Households," Boston Consulting Group, May 12, 2021.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> DigitalUS, Digital Navigators: Connect to Opportunity (last visited July 20, 2022), <u>https://digitalus.org/digital-navigator-playbook/</u>. See also, National Urban League, The Lewis Latimer Plan for Digital Equity and Inclusion at 53-68 ("Closing the Adoption Gap") (Apr. 2021), <u>https://nul.org/sites/default/files/2021-04/NUL%20LL%20DEIA%20041421%20Latimer%20Plan\_vFINAL\_1136AM.pdf.</u>

that already work in education or other fields with close ties to the community and a familiarity with working one-on-one with residents.<sup>76</sup>

For example, one study conducted through a partnership with the Boston Consulting Group and Comcast, shows how local school districts can help boost broadband adoption among their students. <sup>77</sup> Arlington Public Schools in Virginia used school-based "connectivity teams," comprised of teachers, counselors, and administrators, to make students and the adults in their household aware of the sponsored-service programs. The district also relied on the trusted relationship between parents and community leaders to disseminate information. These efforts helped connect more than 900 of the 1,000 students originally identified as lacking internet access at home. As a result of these efforts, the district had a 99% participation rate in distance learning.<sup>78</sup> There are numerous other examples of schools and other community-based organizations meeting the adoption needs of their residents.<sup>79</sup>

Communications should also explain a program in clear, nontechnical language. Trusted sources (such as educators, faith leaders, and community organizations) should share program information with students and others and encourage them to enroll. Program materials and support should be shared in multiple languages. Internet service providers should make sure consumers can contact them about questions or issues and speak with a representative in their preferred language and adopt accessibility best practices across providers.

In addition to schools, other trusted voices, including community partners, educators, and faith leaders, should be encouraged to assist in raising program awareness in historically underserved and marginalized communities.<sup>80</sup> Community anchor institutions including community organizations, faith-based institutions, and others can reinforce program marketing. Because they tend to be highly trusted, they can help recruit and support applicants, and help participants build their digital and technical skills. These organizations can also serve as the voice for applicants and households. For example, Black Churches 4 Digital Equity is training 25 national Black church leaders to support ACP sign-up and digital equity in Black communities in the US.

### 7. Fund, promote and leverage the use of digital navigators.<sup>81</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup> Nat'l Digital Inclusion Alliance, The Digital Navigator Model (last visited July 20, 2022), https://www.digitalinclusion.org/digital-navigator-model/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> Goodchild, Chris, Hannah Hill, Matt Kalmus, Jean Lee, and David Webb. Boosting Broadband Adoption and Remote K– 12 Education in Low-Income Households, Boston Consulting Group (May 21, 2021) (last visited July 20, 2022), <u>https://www.bcg.com/publications/2021/accelerating-broadband-adoption-for-remote-education-low-income-households</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> Philadelphia Office of Innovation & Tech., Digital Navigator Report at 7 (2021),

https://www.phila.gov/media/20211206155728/DigitalNavigatorReport.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>80</sup> Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>81</sup> National Urban League, The Lewis Latimer Plan For Digital Equity and Inclusion, (Washington, D.C.: April 2021), 62-63, <u>https://nul.org/program/lewis-latimer-plan</u>; DEI Working Group Meeting, Interview with Zeke Cohen, Baltimore City Council, Mar. 28, 2022; The Digital Navigator Model, NDIA, accessed June 15, 2022, <u>https://www.digitalinclusion.org/digital-navigator-model/</u>.

Digital navigators are typically hired volunteers from libraries, social service agencies, community-based organizations, and philanthropies, who already have local knowledge and experience interacting with people of different backgrounds, including non-native English speakers. Given longstanding feelings of mistrust among those who have not adopted broadband, digital navigators can help bridge gaps that exist in communities.<sup>82</sup> Digital navigators can help address barriers to getting online through one-on-one interactions or in the classroom setting, both virtually and in person:<sup>83</sup>

- *Encourage Digital Empowerment*: They can emphasize and demonstrate the benefits of broadband, including access to government services, searching and applying for jobs, education, and telehealth. All stakeholders, including leaders in the business community, elected officials, school districts, and grassroots organizations should coordinate to address this barrier to adoption.
- *Affordability*: Navigators can provide information regarding low-cost options and help users select an option.
- *Application/Installation Process*: Navigators can walk consumers through the step-bystep sign-up process and send trained staff to help with using internet self-install kits.
- *Digital Uses and Skills*: Navigators can explain basic concepts, help build comfort with basic activities, and assist consumers in connecting to the Internet.
- 8. Stakeholders should encourage Congress to create a digital public service and engagement program (e.g., digital navigators), which could conduct trainings and outreach in non-adopting communities.<sup>84</sup>

https://www.seamaac.org/digital-navigation/; Southeast Asian Mutual Assistance Association Coalition ("SEAMAAC"), based in Philadelphia, has a Digital navigation program to help new immigrants and refugees, including assistance on how to get connected, use a device, use a phone as a hotspot, and use email, as well as assistance for parents register their kids for school and other essential services. NDIA Launches National Digital Navigator Corps, NDIA, accessed June 14, 2022, https://www.digitalinclusion.org/digital-navigator-corps/; The National Digital Inclusion Alliance ("NDIA") is launching the National Digital Navigator Corps, which will involve partnership with 18 sites, including at least six sites in Tribal communities. Digital navigation services will include help with accessing affordable internet access, obtaining devices, acquiring technical skills, and getting application assistance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>82</sup> Nicol Turner Lee, "Bridging digital divides between schools and communities," The Brookings Institution, March 2, 2020, <u>https://www.brookings.edu/research/bridging-digital-divides-between-schools-and-communities/</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>83</sup> About Byte Back – Our Issues, Byte Back, accessed June 14, 2022, <u>https://byteback.org/about-us/our-</u>

issues/#digital-equality; Byte Back, a D.C.-based nonprofit, empowers digital navigators as part of its digital equity work. Program Profiles, Digital US, accessed May 14, 2022, <u>https://digitalus.org/digital-navigator-</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;u>playbook/program-profiles/</u>; Launching its Digital Navigator program in late 2020, students and alumni from Byte Back's certification program assist new adopters with technical issues and software troubleshooting and provide digital literacy training for seniors. Digital Navigation, SEAMAAC, accessed June 14, 2022,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>84</sup> National Urban League, "The Lewis Latimer Plan For Digital Equity and Inclusion," 62-63, <u>https://nul.org/sites/default/files/2021-</u>

<sup>04/</sup>NUL%20LL%20DEIA%20041421%20Latimer%20Plan\_vFINAL\_1136AM.pdf. See also, Nicol Turner-Lee, "Why America Needs A "Tech New Deal" To Build Back Better," January 12, 2021,

https://www.brookings.edu/blog/techtank/2021/01/12/why-america-needs-a-tech-new-deal-to-build-back-better/ and Nicol Turner Lee, Brookings TechTank Podcast. TechTank Podcast Episode 15: To build back better, the U.S. Needs a Digital Service Corp., https://www.brookings.edu/blog/techtank/2021/03/22/techtank-podcast-episode-15-to-build-back-better-the-u-s-needs-a-digital-service-corps/.

Allocate funding for digital navigators to ensure equity for those doing the high touch work of onboarding communities in most need. It is a time-consuming effort that should not be left to volunteers as that places an undue burden on community-based organizations already involved.<sup>85</sup>

### 9. Increase device access and participation.

Concerns about the adoption of broadband service must also account for computer or tablet access and the fact that many consumers do not have regular access to a broadband enabled device beyond their smartphones. Evaluate the use of ACP benefit for devices to enable more federal investments to reach those in need through ACP and other federal programs.<sup>86</sup>

## **10.** Use public-private partnerships to facilitate remote learning and close the homework gap.

States and localities should consider public-private partnerships with schools, libraries, and higher education institutions to help spur broadband adoption, particularly among low-income students.<sup>87</sup> The American Rescue Plan Act ("ARPA") created multiple sources of funding for broadband adoption initiatives, including to benefit students. For example, the FCC is administering a \$7.17 billion Emergency Connectivity Fund that allows eligible schools and libraries to purchase broadband service and connected devices for students and patrons to use for remote learning.<sup>88</sup> Such funding sources can be used to subsidize programs that seek to close the homework gap.

### **11.** Ensure that members of the community have safe spaces to access the internet.

A safe space for residents to get online can enable them to engage in remote learning, create resumes, apply for jobs, register for government services, and more.<sup>89</sup> Libraries and community

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>85</sup> Nicol Turner Lee, Brookings TechTank Podcast. TechTank Podcast Episode 15: To build back better, the U.S. Needs a Digital Service Corp., <u>https://www.brookings.edu/blog/techtank/2021/03/22/techtank-podcast-episode-15-to-build-back-better-the-u-s-needs-a-digital-service-corps/</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>86</sup> PCs For People, "Get Computers & Low-Cost Internet," accessed June 14, 2022,

https://www.pcsforpeople.org/get-technology/. For example, PCs for People offers refurbished desktop and laptop computers to people enrolled in an income-based government assistance program, including Medicaid, Supplemental Security Income, National School Lunch Program, Federal Public Housing Assistance, or those who provide government-issued documentation that their income is below 200% of the federal poverty level based on their household size.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>87</sup> EducationSuperHighway, "K-12 Bridge to Broadband – Leveraging Data to Identify Unconnected Households," accessed June 14, 2022, <u>https://www.educationsuperhighway.org/bridge-to-broadband</u>. For example, NCTA and EducationSuperHighway partnered to create the K-12 Bridge to Broadband program, which enables cable broadband providers to work with school districts to confidentiality exchange information to identify students without home broadband access and enable the school districts to purchase internet service for low-income families through sponsored service agreements.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>88</sup> See Federal Communications Commission, "Establishing Emergency Connectivity Fund to Close the Homework Gap," May 11, 2021, <u>https://www.fcc.gov/document/fcc-launch-717-billion-connectivity-fund-program-0</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>89</sup> In partnership with nonprofit organizations and city leaders, Comcast has also created more than 1,000 Lift Zones in community centers nationwide to provide students and families access to free, high-capacity Wi-Fi along with educational and digital skills content to help families and site coordinators navigate online learning.

centers are integral institutions for addressing connectivity gaps, including the provision of free skills training.<sup>90</sup>

### 12. Strengthen digital skilling efforts in underserved communities.

While cost can be a factor in broadband adoption, affordability is only one piece of the puzzle in facilitating equal access to broadband.<sup>91</sup> States and localities should work with nonprofits, community organizations, and the private sector to promote digital skilling—a lack of digital literacy and skills can be the greatest barrier to adoption.<sup>92</sup> Digital literacy efforts<sup>93</sup> should also focus on reaching and addressing the needs of older Americans.<sup>94</sup>

## **13.** Encourage the creation of workforce development/training opportunities, focusing on historically underrepresented communities.

Per Scholas, Reboot Representation, CodePath, Year UP, and NPower enable adults and students to develop marketable digital skills that can be leveraged for future careers in media and technology.<sup>95</sup> Broadband deployment and adoption investments can also create nontraditional

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>90</sup> AT&T, "AT&T, Los Angeles Unified and AT&T Deliver High-Speed Internet to Students' Homes to Bridge the Digital Divide," Press Release, May 3, 2022, <u>https://about.att.com/story/2022/los-angeles-unified-digital-divide.html</u>; AT&T SCREENREADY, "Digital Literacy," accessed May 5, 2022,

https://screenready.att.com/digital-literacy/ (highlighting AT&T's offering of free digital literacy courses and workshops in collaboration with the Public Library Association).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>91</sup> Doug Brake & Alexandra Bruer, "Broadband Myths: Are High Broadband Prices Holding Back Adoption?," *ITIF*, February 8, 2021, <u>https://itif.org/publications/2021/02/08/broadband-myths-are-high-broadband-prices-holding-back-adoption</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>92</sup> Collective impact models such as the Town Link and Oakland Undivided are working to leverage local operations (device distribution, digital upskilling resources) in K-12, community colleges, and community-based organizations. Greenlining, "Oakland Digital Inclusion Program – The Greenlining Institute Launches 'The Town Link,'" accessed June 14, 2022, https://greenlining.org/oakland-digital-inclusion/; OaklandUndivided, "#OaklandUndivided," accessed June 14, 2022, https://www.oaklandundivided.org. See also, Tech Goes Home, "Our Impact," accessed June 14, 2022, https://www.techgoeshome.org/impact. Tech Goes Home, a nonprofit that seeks to help individuals learn to navigate and use the internet, finds that adoption involves access to a (1) computer/tablet, (2) stable and affordable home internet connection, (3) enrollment in digital skills training courses, and (4) lasting access to the digital world and its available resources and opportunities. In 2021, with more than 100 partner sites, more than 360 courses, and more than 4,200 graduates, Tech Goes Home graduates demonstrated success in internet access and skills (2,277 graduates communicated via email and 1,569 graduates managed finances online); education and learning (1,973 graduates reported using their skills to help their children with school and 474 caregivers with school-aged children reported their children's grades improved); and economic opportunity (1,720 graduates reported using their new skills to access job search resources and 1,265 graduates got a new job, a pay raise, entered a work training program, or started a business).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>93</sup> For example, Older Adults Technology Services ("OATS") develops digital skilling curricula for older adults. In addition to offering in-person programming at Senior Planet Centers in New York City, Plattsburgh, Denver, and Palo Alto, OATS offers educational programming online at Seniorplanet.org and through its online learning platform, Senior Planet U.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>94</sup> Older Adults Technology Services, "Older Adults Technology Services," accessed June 14, 2022, <u>https://oats.org/</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>95</sup> Per Scholas, "Mission: Tuition-Free IT Training - About Per Scholas,", accessed May 5, 2022, <u>https://perscholas.org/about-per-scholas/;</u> Reboot Representation, "Reboot Representation: Home," accessed May 5, 2022, <u>https://www.rebootrepresentation.org/;</u> Codepath, "CodePath | Tech Excellence for All Computer Science Students," accessed May 5, 2022, <u>https://www.codepath.org/;</u> Year Up, "About | Year Up,", accessed May 5, 2022, <u>https://www.yearup.org/about;</u> NPower, "NPower: Home," accessed May 5, 2022, <u>https://www.npower.org/</u>.

paths into tech enabled careers. As an example, violence intervention job program models such as Blocpower's NY New York programs show upward economic mobility opportunities for populations at most risk<sup>96</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>96</sup>Bradley-Smith, Anna. "Climate Tech Startup Creates Hundreds of Jobs for Youth in Brownsville." *BKReader* (blog). Accessed July 18, 2022. <u>https://bkreader.com/2021/09/16/climate-tech-startup-creates-hundreds-of-jobs-for-youth-in-brownsville/</u>.

### Conclusion

The insights gleaned from the DEI Working Group interviews and research revealed invaluable insights that can lead to equal access to broadband service for all communities. The Working Group sought to focus on the lived experiences and inequities faced by specific communities across the U.S. This was paramount to the work that was undertaken.

The CEDC appreciates the opportunity to investigate, compile, and offer recommendations to the FCC to prevent digital discrimination and promote digital equity. Considering the unprecedented investment in broadband via the IIJA and the urgency of the request from Chairwoman Rosenworcel, CEDC members were able to meet the challenge on a very aggressive schedule of four months with the diligence and focus that the process deserved. With the diverse membership – both as individuals and institutions represented – the Council is committed to ensuring equal access and digital equity for all people as the work of all three working groups indicate. The recommendations provided are indicative of an understanding that being intentional about addressing barriers to equal access to broadband is imperative for the success of IIJA broadband programs. Therefore, it is critical that the FCC and other agencies ensure that States and localities are empowered to successfully plan, implement, and manage the equitable broadband programs funded via the IIJA. Additionally, they should ensure that diverse stakeholders can participate in IIJA-funded programs as business owners and trusted community partners.

In closing, while this Report represents a direct response to a request from the Chairwoman's office, the Council recognizes that there remains more work to be done by the Council over the next eleven months of its term. The CEDC was able to recognize other issues that require further attention and examination from the CEDC, FCC, and other relevant stakeholders. These include data transparency, addressing issues of intent and disparate impact, and the urgent issue of the Tribal Digital Divide. Thus, more work remains.

Thank you to Chairwoman Rosenworcel for trusting the CEDC with this important task.

### **APPENDIX A – LIST OF DEI WORKING GROUP INTERVIEWS**

Interviewees included:

- Virginia Lam Abrams, Co-Founder and SVP, Government Affairs and Strategic Advancement, Starry, Inc.
- **Donnel Baird**, CEO, BlocPower
- Elizabeth Bowles, President and CEO, Aristotle United Communications LLC
- **Bill Callahan**, Research and Policy Advisor, National Digital Inclusion Alliance, and President and Director, Connect Your Community
- Zeke Cohen, Councilman, Baltimore City Council
- Doug Dawson, Owner and President, CCG Consulting
- Diana Eisner, Vice President of Policy and Advocacy, USTelecom
- Ernesto Falcon, Senior Legislative Counsel, Electronic Frontier Foundation
- Amina Fazlullah, Senior Director of Equity Policy, Common Sense Media
- **Dr. Tyrone Grandison**, Director, Global Partner Technology Strategy Public Sector, Microsoft
- Dr. Tracie Hall, CEO, American Library Association
- JoAnne Hovis, CEO, CTC Technologies
- **Broderick Johnson,** Executive Vice President of Public Policy and Digital Equity, Comcast Corporation
- Rahman Khan, Vice President of Community Impact, Charter Communications, Inc.
- Blair Levin, Nonresident Senior Fellow, Brookings Metro
- Anthony Lewis, Vice President, State Government Affairs and Public Policy, Verizon
- Dr. Nishal Mohan, Founder and President, mohuman
- **Dr. Tracy Morris**, Executive Director, American Indian Policy Institute at Arizona State University
- Francella Ochillo, Executive Director, Next Century Cities
- Joe Paul, CEO, Byte Back
- Karen Charles Peterson, Commissioner, Massachusetts Department of Telecommunications and Cable
- Former FCC Chairman Michael K. Powell, President and CEO, NCTA
- Matthew Rantanen, Co-Chair of Technology Task Force and Co-Chair of Technology and Telecom Subcommittee, National Congress of American Indians Angela Siefer, Executive Director, National Digital Inclusion Alliance
- Deb Socia, President and CEO, The Enterprise Center
- Dr. Rikkin Thakker, CTO, Wireless Infrastructure Association
- **S. Jenell Trigg**, Director of Diversity, Equity & Inclusion, Partner, Lerman Senter PLLC
- **Brenda Villanueva**, Managing Director and Lead Counsel, Telecom, The Utility Reform Network
- Gino Villarini, Founder and President, AeroNet
- Joe Webster, Chief Broadband Officer, Office of Broadband Programs, Montgomery County, Maryland Government

- Nancy Werner, General Counsel, National Association of Telecommunications Officers and Advisors
- Christopher Yoo, Founding Director, Center for Technology, Innovation and Competition, Professor, University of Pennsylvania Carey Law School
- Erich Yost, Senior Community Planning and Development Specialist, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

**Note:** A wider interviewee list was generated by the DEI Working Group; however, there were some interviewees on the wider list that were not able to make meetings based on scheduling by the time this document was finalized. At least one party declined the interview request and a few people recommended that the WG speak to someone else at their organization. The FCC team scheduled interviews based on availability and clearance procedures.

### APPENDIX B – SUMMARY OF DEFINITIONS OF DIGITAL DISCRIMINATION FROM INTERVIEWS

### **Definition of Digital Discrimination (from interviews)**

- Split between Digital Discrimination and Redlining is political. The term is often used to reflect urban versus other areas. However, there are a number of different communities that are redlined. (non-profit leader)
- Assumptions that household in certain low-income brackets would not be able to afford the service or would not be interested in adopting broadband service. (entrepreneur)
- Unjust or prejudicial treatment, unequal digital opportunities and outcomes like other forms of discrimination (non-profit leader)
- Different quality of service in different parts of the territory (industry consultant).
- Lack of competition and choice in ISPs. (academic)
- Discrimination falls into multiple categories (telecom industry consultant/expert within the telecommunications sector):
  - Deployment Discrimination ISPs installing where costs of deployment are lower
  - Maintenance/Upgrade Discrimination maintenance and upgrade discrimination where cable service is not the same all-around town.
  - Greenfield Discrimination every ISP builds in fiber in new places as they are being built. As a result, richer, new subdivisions get fiber and money is not poured into older neighborhoods.
  - Regional Discrimination smaller cities are not upgraded and are stuck with older versions of technology (industry consultant)
- Form of discrimination where automated decisions treat digital users unfairly, unethically, and differently based on algorithms that can be found online. Both an indirect and direct form of discrimination. Decisions made more so by machines than individuals, but the machines are programmed by individuals. (government official)
- It's the fact that typically low-income people and people of color and rural residents do not have the same access to broadband structure and services that wealthier and non-poc people have access to. This cuts across all sorts of geographies and population densities. It is the result of underinvestment by broadband companies. (non-profit leader)
- Digital redlining is underinvestment by broadband companies that result in lower speeds and often less affordable service than in wealthier, whiter areas. (government official, citing Vinhcent Le, Greenlining Institute). The fact that typically low-income people, people of color, and rural residents do not have the same access to broadband structure and services that wealthier and non-people of color people have access to. This cuts across all sorts of geographies and population densities. (government official)
- The lack of access to high quality telecommunications service (used as a broad term) and/or have infrastructure that is not being upgraded on par with wealthier communities. (non-profit leader)
- Digital discrimination is any of the following: (expert within the telecommunications sector)
  - a place where there are no adequate networks that allows someone to do what the majority of people are using it for into the foreseeable future;
  - where the service is not affordable to all;
  - $\circ$  where everyone does not have tools to be on it;

- where using the tools doesn't provide equitable access to services healthcare, education, etc.
- Examine who has 21<sup>st</sup> century access and who does not; who has fiber and who does not. (non-profit leader)
- Defines digital discrimination to include digital redlining and both terms are relatively new but based on analogies for historical dissemination in housing and financial services, such as banking and mortgage lending. (attorney)
- Digital discrimination hard to define; states do not have data about who does not have access. (government official)
- Digital redlining is a subset or form of digital discrimination. The definition of redlining adopted by the previous FCC DEI working group is suggested: "the term most commonly refers to activity consistent with the definition offered by the NDIA. The NDIA has defined "digital redlining" as "the denial, to certain communities or neighborhoods, of equal access to the terms, conditions and level of service of advanced information or telecommunications technologies, on the basis of race, ethnicity, income, or wealth." (attorney, citing prior DEI Working Group Report.)
- "[E]conomic cherry picking" because return on investment is what animates companies' economic planning. (industry consultant)

### **Intent vs. Disparate Treatment**

- Disparate treatment (intentional based on race, gender, ability, economic status) and disparate impact (not motivated intentionally). Communities use technology in different ways, so some discriminatory effects are artifacts of the different ways tech is used. (academic)
- Guiding principle is to focus on outcomes, not intent. If individuals are impacted in a negative way, there is a need to address that and figure out how to avoid it. (public interest)
- Intent and market forces do not matter if your community is disconnected. (attorney public interest)
- Policies are created that intentionally or unintentionally result in some people being underserved. When access is provided to resources and the ability to exploit those resources in a way that is not distributed across all groups. (public interest)
- Policies put together today that lead to disparate impacts. (non-profit leader)
- The original drafts of the Infrastructure Act appeared to adopt a disparate impact interpretation if people of color have a different digital/broadband outcome, then its discrimination. If economics or the technology can determine the different outcomes for people of color, then its discrimination. Then the language shifted to a more de jure approach rather than a disparate impact approach. (expert within the telecommunications sector)

### Workforce

- Disparities in access to broadband resulting in the Inability of workforce to research available jobs, etc. (academic)
- Consideration of why digital discrimination is happening and for whom information access is considered essential and for whom nonessential. Digital discrimination occurs geographically and in terms of employee and economic hierarchy. (public interest)

### **Information Redlining**

- Redlining is intentional and unintentional "practice of arbitrarily denying or limiting financial services to specific neighborhoods, generally because its residents are people of color or are poor." (public interest)
- Information redlining is the systemic denial of equitable access to information, information services, and information retrieval methods. (public interest)
- The role of information and digital access in closing the widening health and socioeconomic divide. (public interest)
- Information poverty is further defined by the lack of visible access points to critical information and the absence of well-coordinated and appropriately scaled information infrastructure. (public interest)
- A "situation in which individuals and communities within a given context, do not have the requisite skills, abilities, or material means to obtain efficient access to information, interpret it and apply it appropriately. (public interest)

### **PART TWO:**

### **Report and Recommendations from the Innovation and Access Working Group – IIJA** Contracting and Grants for Small and Diverse Businesses

### I. INTRODUCTION

Diversity and Inclusion is a core principle and foundational to the telecommunication industry's obligation to break down long-standing and well-known barriers to entry for diverse suppliers in the supply chain ecosystem. Removing historical barriers to entry allows for the development and implementation of innovative and sustainable pathways to growing diverse businesses to scale and profitability. Mentoring, entrepreneurship training, clearinghouses, and corporate partnerships are examples of pathways that could lead to the codification of procurement best practices and industry acceptable standards.

Increasing federal spending on underserved businesses will help more Americans realize their entrepreneurial dreams and narrow persistent wealth disparities. According to new analysis from the White House Council of Economic Advisers, based on data provided by the U.S. Small Business Administration (SBA), by merely closing the gap in small business ownership rates, the average net worth of Hispanic/Latino or Black households could increase by 17-22 percent or \$138,800 or \$185,900 respectively.<sup>97</sup>

The Innovation and Access (I&A) Working Group is tasked with advancing these principles by:

- Recommending solutions to reduce entry barriers and encourage ownership and management of media, digital, communications services, and next-generation technology properties and start-ups to encourage viewpoint diversity by a broad range of voices, including people of color, women, LGBTQ+, and persons with disabilities, among others.
- Studying successful approaches to fostering diversity, equity, and non-discrimination in video, media, and technology ownership, management, and distribution; making recommendations on how to accelerate the entry of small businesses, including those owned by women and people of color, into the media, digital news and information, and audio and video programming industries, including as owners, suppliers, and employees.
- Examining issues surrounding access to capital, financing, and participation of small, diverse businesses in the media and technology sectors; and evaluating the impact of new technologies, including algorithms, on diverse consumers.

The FCC, therefore, tasked the I&A Working Group with providing recommendations to ensure inclusive practices for identifying and selecting participating entrepreneurs in IIJA contracting and grants processes. Specifically, the Working Group was directed to recommend a framework for federal and state grant administrators and procurement processes to promote access to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>97</sup> The White House, "The Benefits of Increased Equity in Federal Contracting," *The White House*. December 1, 2021, <u>https://www.whitehouse.gov/cea/written-materials/2021/12/01/the-benefits-of-increased-equity-in-federal-contracting/</u>; The White House, "FACT SHEET: Biden-Harris Administration Announces Reforms to Increase Equity and Level the Playing Field for Underserved Small Business Owners," *The White House*. December 2, 2021, <u>https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/12/02/fact-sheet-biden-harris-administration-announces-reforms-to-increase-equity-and-level-the-playing-field-for-underserved-small-business-owners/.</u>

opportunities for small and diverse businesses. The Working Group goals for this immediate request were to:

- 1. increase the participation of small minority- and women-owned (SMW) businesses in state/local infrastructure grant and contract opportunities; and
- provide best practices guidance to state/local officials on performing successful outreach to SMW businesses about funding and contract opportunities, and how such businesses can apply, partner as subcontractors, and assist in efforts to widely deploy and increase the take-up rate of broadband in diverse communities.<sup>98</sup>

The I&A Working Group offers these recommendations for best practices to increase the participation of SMW businesses in state and local infrastructure grant and contract opportunities:

- 1. Adopt Definitions of Small Minority- and Women-Owned (SMW) Businesses that are inclusive of intersectional groups, such as LGBT+ and People With Disabilities.
- 2. Designate a Government-Wide Office to Oversee Supplier Diversity Initiatives, Including the Creation of an Annual Plan to Increase Supplier Diversity.
- 3. Strongly Encourage an Accountable Goal of No Less Than 30% Participation to the Maximum Extent Practicable of SMW Businesses in State and Local Infrastructure Grant and Contract Opportunities and Provide Incentives to First Tier Contractors to Partner with SMW Businesses.
- 4. Include Purposeful Auditing and In-Progress Reporting in the Contracts/Subgrants for Real-Time Accountability and Compliance as Committed that Ensures that SMW Goals Are Met.
- 5. The Grantees, Working in Conjunction with the Supplier Diversity Office, Should Proactively Identify Contracting and Procurement Forecasts and Needs.
- 6. Require Visible Leadership.
- 7. Streamline Procurement Processes for All Businesses.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>98</sup> "Communications Equity and Diversity Council Meeting - February 2022," *Federal Communications Commission*. February 23, 2022, <u>https://www.fcc.gov/news-events/events/2022/02/communications-equity-and-diversity-council-meeting-february-2022</u>.

- 8. Ensure Diverse Participation in Task Forces or Committees that Advise Grantees on Their Broadband Plans, Including Broadband Supplier Diversity.
- 9. Promote Certifications Prior to Disbursement of Funds so that SMW Businesses are Prepared to Participate in the Funding Opportunities.
- 10. Grantees, Subgrantees, and Contractors Should be Required to Reach out to SMW Businesses.
- 11. Promote Local Business Opportunities.
- 12. NTIA Should Collect and Disseminate North Star Best Practices.

### II. METHODOLOGY

The I&A Working Group followed two paths to identify best practices for increasing participation of small minority- and women-owned businesses in state and local infrastructure grant and contract opportunities—research and interviews.

Research. The Research Team reviewed:99

- <u>Federal guidance and programs</u>, including from White House Executive Orders and the President's Management Agenda; the U.S. Department of Transportation's and Environmental Protection Agency's Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Programs; the U.S. Department of Commerce's Minority Business Development Agency, and its Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization; and the U.S. Small Business Administration.
- <u>State guidance and programs</u>, including from the California Department of Transportation, the Washington State Office of Minority and Women's Business Enterprises, the Missouri Department of Transportation, the Florida Department of Management Services Office of Supplier Diversity, the Illinois Commission on Equity and Inclusion, and the Michigan Department of Technology, Management, & Budget.
- <u>Local guidance and programs</u>, including Broward County; Florida; City of Coconut Creek, Florida; Chicago, Illinois; and Detroit, Michigan.
- <u>Academic and Think Tank publications</u>, including the Harvard Kennedy School Government Performance Lab, the Milken Institute, and PolicyLink.
- Responses to an <u>Innovation and Access Workstream Members' Survey</u>.<sup>100</sup> The survey requested feedback from organization representatives with various levels of involvement regarding supplier diversity. The inquiries centered around insights on best practices, model codes, and known initiatives that support supplier diversity initiatives that could potentially be used to support the diversity goals of the infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA) Contracting and Grant Processes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>99</sup> See Appendix A to Pat II for a full summary of the resources reviewed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>100</sup> See Appendix B to Part II for the survey sample.

- <u>Prior FCC Advisory Committee on Diversity and Digital Empowerment Reports</u>, including the Tech Diversity Best Practices Report (June 24, 2019),<sup>101</sup> the Diversity in the Tech Sector Working Group Report (June 24, 2021),<sup>102</sup> and the Digital Empowerment Subgroup Report (June 24, 2021).<sup>103</sup>
- <u>Other Guidance and Programs</u>, including from Disability:IN, LBGT Tech, Multicultural Media Telecommunications and Internet Council, National Center for American Indian Enterprise Development, National Minority Supplier Development Council, US Black Chambers, Inc./ByBlack.us, US Hispanic Chamber of Commerce, US Pan Asian American Chamber of Commerce Education Foundation, Women's Business Enterprise National Council; and Asian Business Association Los Angeles, California Asian Pacific Chamber of Commerce, and District of Columbia Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority.

*Interviews.* The Working Group identified experts who could discuss procurement or grant administration policies or practices that promote access to opportunities for SMW businesses - and how providing opportunities to SMW businesses helps address digital discrimination. The interviews provided practical advice and guidance to the Working Group. Summaries of the interviews as well as a list of the experts interviewed were compiled.<sup>104</sup> The information the Working Group gleaned from its interviews, as well as its research, are the bases for the recommendations contained herein.

### III. BEST PRACTICES ON INCREASING PARTICIPATION OF SMALL MINORITY- AND WOMEN-OWNED BUSINESSES IN STATE AND LOCAL INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT AND CONTRACT OPPORTUNITIES

The IIJA's \$65 billion investment into broadband deployment and equity presents a historic opportunity in the U.S. to close the digital divide, to eliminate historic inequities that have led to either a lack of meaningful access to high-speed broadband or to utilize broadband due to a lack of digital readiness, and to ensure that SMW businesses are able to tap into the opportunities presented by these funding programs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>101</sup> FCC Advisory Committee on Diversity and Digital Empowerment, *Tech Diversity Best Practices Report*. (FCC, June 24, 2019), <u>https://www.fcc.gov/sites/default/files/acdde-tech-diversity-best-practices-report-06242019.pdf</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>102</sup> FCC Advisory Committee on Diversity and Digital Empowerment, *Diversity in the Tech Sector Working Group Report.* (FCC, June 24, 2021), <u>https://www.fcc.gov/sites/default/files/acdde-diversity-in-tech-wg-workforce-diversity-report-06242021.pdf.</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>103</sup> FCC Advisory Committee on Diversity and Digital Empowerment, *Digital Empowerment Subgroup Report*. (FCC, June 24, 2021), <u>https://www.fcc.gov/sites/default/files/acdde-digital-empowerment-wg-digital-empowerment-report-06242021.pdf</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>104</sup> See Appendix C of Part II for the list of experts and interview summaries.

Therefore, the FCC should adopt and forward the below best practice recommendations to the National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA)<sup>105</sup> to utilize in its review of State Equity plans and to develop its technical assistance for grantees.<sup>106</sup>

## 1. Adopt Definitions of Small Minority- and Women-Owned (SMW) Businesses that are Inclusive of Intersectional Groups, such as LGBT+ and People with Disabilities.

The grantee should adopt definitions of SMW businesses, as follows:<sup>107</sup>

**Minority-Owned Business:** The Small Business Administration defines a minority-owned business as a business that meets the small business size standard for primary NAISC code which includes the majority (at least 51%) of the company is owned, controlled, and run on a daily basis by a member (or collection of members) of four ethnic or racial groups: African American, Asian American,<sup>108</sup> Hispanic American, and Native American.<sup>109</sup>

**Women-Owned Business:** A women-owned business is a small business according to SBA size standards, has at least 51% owned and controlled by one or more women who are U.S. citizens, and has women manage day-to-day operations who also make long-term decisions.<sup>110</sup>

Factors to determine whether a business qualifies as SMW include: ownership, control, and dayto-day management. Although it is important to be clear about what qualifies as an SMW business, grantees should not assume that minority- and women-owned businesses are only small and disadvantaged for outreach purposes. Rather, they should include large minority- and women-owned businesses, which could help SMW businesses, in outreach activities. Although the majority of SMW businesses are small, they could grow into larger businesses. Large minority- and women-owned businesses tend to contract with members of their own communities and could also help provide technical assistance to SMW businesses.

### 2. Designate a Government-Wide Office to Oversee Supplier Diversity Initiatives, Including the Creation of an Annual Plan to Increase Supplier Diversity.

https://transition.fcc.gov/DiversityFAC/adopted-recommendations/constitutional-sub-rec-adarand.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>105</sup> These recommended best practices can also be provided to other U.S. agencies that provide broadband funding, such as the U.S. Department of Treasury.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>106</sup> Although the Council does not have a recommendation in this regard, the Commission should consider whether *Adarand* studies are necessary to support any race-based recommendations to NTIA. See FCC Advisory Committee on Diversity for Communications in the Digital Age Constitutional Issues Subcommittee, *Recommendation for Renewed Adarand Studies* (Washington D.C., United States: September 11, 2009),

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>107</sup> This recommendation is not meant to alter prior Committee recommendations and Commission decisions as expressed in other contexts dealing with minority and female ownership.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>108</sup> "Asian American" includes Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islanders.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>109</sup> See generally 13 CFR Part 124 – 8(a), Subparts A and B, specifically § 124.105 nuanced ownership requirements, and specifically § 124.105 for Small Disadvantaged Businesses; *see also* "8(a) Business Development Program - Federal Contracting," U.S. Small Business Administration, n.d., accessed July 8, 2022, https://www.sba.gov/federal-contracting/contracting-assistance-programs/8a-business-development-program.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>110</sup> See generally 13 CFR Part 127, specifically § 127.201 for Women-owned small business (WOSB) and Economically Disadvantaged WSOB; see also "Women-Owned Small Business Federal Contracting Program," U.S. Small Business Administration. n.d., accessed July 8, 2022, <u>https://www.sba.gov/federal-contracting/contracting-assistance-programs/8a-business-development-program</u>.

State and local grantees should establish a government-wide office in charge of supplier diversity (hereinafter referred to as "Supplier Diversity Office" or "Office"), which should be involved from the beginning of the grant process. The Office should be separate from a civil rights division. It should have broader responsibilities and be at the same level as the Grants or Procurement Office. Furthermore, the Office should work hand-in-hand with the Grants or Procurement Office.<sup>111</sup>

The Office staff should participate in and sponsor supplier diversity training, as well as review all procurement practices on a government-wide basis.<sup>112</sup> For example, grantees should be required to route for review the grant publication or formal solicitation through an employee of its internal Supplier Diversity Office before advertising it to the public. Any selection/awarding panel should have meaningful diverse representation or should have at least one (1) member of the panel representing the Supplier Diversity Office.

The Office should avoid an overly lax<sup>113</sup> approach because SMW businesses and other supplier diversity issues could "be overlooked or marginalized when the Supplier Diversity Office is not involved from project inception."<sup>114</sup> Thus, smaller issues could quickly turn into bigger issues.<sup>115</sup>

The Office should include dedicated staff for outreach and technical assistance.<sup>116</sup> The staff members should build strong relationships with SMW businesses and Chambers of Commerce throughout the areas/region/state it serves, to ensure that they are properly invited to participate as potential sources for suppliers information and connection to the suppliers' community, and to help and encourage them to participate in the grant's opportunities.<sup>117</sup> The staff members should also maintain a regularly updated list of SMW businesses, Chambers of Commerce, and other supporting community-based, business, and educational organizations throughout the area/region/state it serves.<sup>118</sup> In addition, proof of the manner of solicitation should be provided to show compliance with NTIA's<sup>119</sup> requirements that grantees use the resources of organizations such as the Small Business Administration, and the Minority Business Development Agency at

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>111</sup> U.S. Department of Transportation, *Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Program* (Washington D.C., United States: U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, August 20, 2018), https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/civilrights/programs/dbe\_acm\_handbook\_20180820.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>112</sup> Supplier Diversity Best Practices Tools for Equity in Public Spending - Internal Processes (Washington, United States: Washington State Office of Minority Women's Business Enterprises, n.d.), accessed July 8, 2022,

https://omwbe.wa.gov/sites/default/files/public/tools-for-equity/Supplier-Diversity-Best-Practices.pdf, p. 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>113</sup> U.S. Department of Transportation, *Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Program*, p. 43.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>114</sup> *Ibid*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>115</sup> *Ibid*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>116</sup> Improving Government Vendor Diversity, Harvard Kennedy School, Government Performance Lab, September 2017, <u>https://hwpi.harvard.edu/files/govlabs/files/strategies\_for\_improving\_vendor\_diversity\_brief.pdf</u>, p. 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>117</sup> NTIA, Broadband Equity Access and Deployment Program, Notice Of Funding Opportunity ("BEAD NOFO"), p. 88 (Washington D.C., United States: DOC, May 2022), <u>https://broadbandusa.ntia.doc.gov/sites/default/files/2022-05/BEAD%20NOFO.pdf</u> (requiring that grantees ensure that "small and minority businesses, and women's business enterprises are solicited whenever there are potential sources.").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>118</sup> NTIA, *BEAD NOFO*, p. 88.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>119</sup> These recommendations reference NTIA because as noted, *infra*, the Working recommends that the FCC forward these recommendations to NTIA.

the U.S. Department of Commerce, in addition to diverse, minority, and women business organizations, etc.<sup>120</sup>

For example, the City of Boston's Department of Neighborhood Development and Office of Small Business Development builds strong relationships with SMW suppliers and supports their participation in the City's business opportunities. Throughout the procurement process, they provide outreach and technical assistance to small businesses, which are disproportionately SMWs.<sup>121</sup>

The Office should follow up on initial solicitations by contacting SMWs to determine if they are interested or need technical assistance.<sup>122</sup> Ultimately, the staff should use all reasonable and available means to effectively solicit and assist interested SMWs.<sup>123</sup> For example, the Office should be tasked with helping SMW businesses recruit employees capable of executing the contract tasks.

# 3. Strongly Encourage an Accountable Goal of No Less Than 30% Participation to the Maximum Extent Practicable of SMW Businesses in State and Local Infrastructure Grant and Contract Opportunities and Provide Incentives to First Tier Contractors to Partner with SMW Businesses.

Consistent with applicable State and local government regulations, the Office should develop and aim for a documented commitment to achieve a goal of no less than 30% SMW business participation.<sup>124</sup> The goal however, should consider economic factors, such as SMW businesses' ability to meet requirements in a timely and cost-efficient manner. Efforts should be publicly announced at the highest leadership level, i.e., the Governor of a state or the Mayor of a county, city, or town.<sup>125</sup> Making a public commitment to the goal elevates the priority of the effort internally and promotes the program to potential SMW businesses, thereby encouraging them to participate.<sup>126</sup> For example, the White House recently announced that its goal for governmentwide spending is 11% SMW business participation, which is, up from the statutory goal of 5%, with the ultimate goal of 15% by 2025.<sup>127</sup> And in 2016, Boston Mayor Marty Walsh signed an

https://www.atlantaga.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/53769/637774047143000000.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>120</sup> *Ibid.*, p. 89.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>121</sup> *Improving Government Vendor Diversity*, p. 3. Assistance includes one-on-one support and guidance, certification, bidding, contracting, and payment processes; conducting workshops in predominantly low-income or minority neighborhoods; partnering with nongovernmental organizations to increase access to capital and pro bono legal services for smaller vendors; attending community group meetings; and contacting publicly listed businesses to inform them of new bidding opportunities.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>122</sup> Illinois Commission on Equity & Inclusion, *Guidance for Documenting Good Faith Efforts to Meet BEP Participation Goals* (Illinois, United States: Business Enterprise Program (BEP), n.d.), accessed July 8, 2022,
 <u>https://cei.illinois.gov/content/dam/soi/en/web/cei/documents/GOOD FAITH EFFORTS GUIDANCE.pdf</u>., p. 3.
 <sup>123</sup> Illinois Commission on Equity & Inclusion, *Guidance for Documenting Good Faith Efforts to Meet BEP*

Participation Goals.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>124</sup> U.S. Department of Transportation, *Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Program*, p. 44.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>125</sup> See, e.g., participation goal of 30%, Illinois General Assembly, Public Act 101-0657 SB1608, <u>https://www.ilga.gov/legislation/publicacts/101/101-0657.htm</u>; participation goal of 35%, OCC, City of Atlanta's Small Business Opportunity Program,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>126</sup> Improving Government Vendor Diversity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>127</sup> The White House, "FACT SHEET: Biden-Harris Administration Announces Reforms to Increase Equity."

Executive Order that sets targets for utilizing SMW businesses in City contracts, as part of Boston's Economic Inclusion and Equity Agenda to address racial and economic disparities.<sup>128</sup>

The participation goal should not only quantify the dollar amount of awards alone. Where possible, it should also quantify the number of minority-, women-owned businesses, and/or diverse supplier organizations. For example, the NTIA BEAD and Middle Mile Notices of Funding Opportunities (NOFOs) require that grantees, where feasible, permit the maximum participation by SMWs by dividing total requirements into smaller tasks.<sup>129</sup> Additionally, the number of businesses signed up for a database should not be the main measure of success, but rather, how many of these businesses received contracts and how many were approved.

Incentives for first tier grantees or contractors could be helpful and when proven successful, they could include awards, recognition, and score cards to ensure that SMWs "pay it forward." If the grantee or contractor exceeds the 30% SMW businesses participation goal, they might be incentivized with access to additional funds. One example discussed during the interviews included a pension program that helped minority broker dealers enter the industry of international trade by requiring financial firms to use minority managers in order to keep the pension account.

### 4. Include Purposeful Auditing and In-Progress Reporting in the Contracts/Subgrants for Real-Time Accountability and Compliance as Committed that Ensures that SMW Goals Are Met.

The Supplier Diversity Office should report directly to senior leadership, who should ultimately be held responsible for meeting SMW contracting/subcontracting goals. The Supplier Diversity Office should evaluate progress towards the goal. The Office should make publicly available its methods of review, data collection, and documentation.

The Office should check supplier certifications to ensure the accuracy of SMW business status and participation. That could help to inform the Supplier Diversity Office of the absence of certified SMW businesses and/or their potential participation.<sup>130</sup> In addition, the Office should collect and report its data by specific minority and diverse group (e.g., African American, Asian American, Hispanic American, Native American, LGBT+, or people with disabilities). In its revisions to the federal procurement process to increase the share of federal contracts to small, disadvantaged businesses, the White House included federal contracting spending data by the race or ethnic origin of the business owner.<sup>131</sup> These data points will allow comparison at the community level, such as by county or zip code, rather than at a broad level, such as statewide or nationwide. They include data on the contract dollar amount and diversity status of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>128</sup> Mayor's Office, "Mayor Walsh Signs Executive Order to Expand Opportunities for Women and Minority Owned Businesses," City of Boston. July 13, 2016, <u>https://www.boston.gov/news/mayor-walsh-signs-executive-order-expand-opportunities-women-and-minority-owned-businesses</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>129</sup> NTIA, *BEAD NOFO*, p. 89.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>130</sup> U.S. Department of Transportation, *Obtaining [DBE] Certification*, Disadvantaged Business Enterprise. February 3, 2020, <u>https://www.transportation.gov/civil-rights/disadvantaged-business-enterprise/obtaining-certification</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>131</sup> The White House, "FACT SHEET: Biden-Harris Administration Announces Reforms to Increase Equity."

subcontractors.<sup>132</sup> These grassroots data comparisons are invaluable for assessing the success of Supplier Diversity initiatives at the community level, and for determining whether there is an imbalance unfavorable to particular SMW businesses, such as African or Asian or Hispanic or Native American or women-owned businesses.<sup>133</sup> In addition, these data should help the Office and grantee to make sure there is no double-counting of SMW businesses hired or awarded contracts. For example, an African American woman-owned business should only be counted once, not twice, as a minority- and a woman-owned business.

The grantee should be specific regarding the scope of work to be performed pursuant to the grant. The Supplier Diversity Office should ensure the SMW business participation goal is met only through direct and meaningful participation, and not incidental or ad hoc or de minimis participation. For example, for a SMW subcontractor that caters a worksite on a sporadic basis, or does irregular office trash collection, although the nature of work may be within the scope of work under a grant, these sporadic and small jobs standing alone should not be considered as within the scope of work of a grant and should not be used to count for meeting SMW business participation goals.

The NTIA BEAD and Middle Mile NOFOs require grantees to apply the same supplier diversity requirements in the NOFO to their subgrantees and their subcontractors.<sup>134</sup> Therefore, subgrantees or subcontractors should also report to their grantee or contractor and be held accountable for their own hiring of SMW businesses.

To achieve the necessary accountability, the Office should have a simple, universal form that can be used for all reporting. Grantees, subgrantees, or contractors should include this report in their quarterly performance and financial reports, and contractors should provide the same reports when they request payment. The grantees or contractors' report should be kept in a public file, such as on their website, and their Chief Procurement Officers should receive and review this information to ascertain compliance. This information could be used to determine whether grantees are meeting their goals on a progressive and timely basis.

A universal form allows the Supplier diversity Office to cross-analyze data. It also reduces incentives for individual grantees or contractors to report the data that would put them in the best light.<sup>135</sup> Making the reporting process simple will make it easier for grantees or contractors to submit their information. These processes will likewise ease the auditing process.

<sup>133</sup> Denise Fairchild, Kalima Rose, *Inclusive Procurement And Contracting: Building a Field of Policy and Practice* eds. Brian Tell, p.32 (PolicyLink, March 5, 2018), available at

https://www.policylink.org/sites/default/files/InclusiveProcurement\_final-3-5-18.pdf; Supporting Economic Inclusion in Disadvantaged Communities: A Case for Inclusive Procurement Policies (2018), available at https://www.lisc.org/media/filer\_public/64/16/64165a54-93d5-47fc-9011-

74c8873d2d7b/a\_case\_for\_inclusive\_public\_procurement\_practices.pdf; Nutua Thrash-Ntuk, *Supporting Economic Inclusion in Disadvantaged* Communities. (LISC, 2018), <u>https://www.lisc.org/media/filer\_public/64/16/64165a54-93d5-47fc-9011-74c8873d2d7b/a\_case\_for\_inclusive\_public\_procurement\_practices.pdf</u>. <sup>134</sup> NTIA, *BEAD NOFO*, p. 88.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>132</sup> See Improving Government Vendor Diversity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>135</sup> See, e.g., State of California Department of Transportation, *DBE Business Enterprises Utilization report*, <u>https://app.box.com/file/947850163254</u>.

In sum, a successful supplier diversity program should have a well-defined scope of work and real-time accountability by the grantee or contractor for their commitment to their supplier diversity goals. To that end, the diversity goal commitment must be included in the contract between the federal government and the grantee, accompanied by compliance oversight and audit procedures. If compliance fails, taking into account reasons for non-compliance, measures could be considered to address non-compliance. For example, cancellation of options to renew the contract, financial penalty, or – if appropriate – the loss of opportunity to bid on future opportunities for a period of time.

### 5. The Grantees, Working in Conjunction with the Supplier Diversity Office, Should Proactively Identify Contracting and Procurement Forecasts and Needs.

The EPA has found that, "[e]arly planning and advanced notice support supplier diversity."<sup>136</sup> The grantees therefore should work with the Supplier Diversity Office to identify all contracting and procurement forecasts. A lot could be achieved by focusing on the following objectives: (1) make information on forthcoming opportunities available to SMWs businesses early, (2) arrange time frames for specific deliverables on specific delivery schedules on contracts, and (3) wherever possible, describe the scope of work in a manner that facilitates participation by SMWs in the competitive process. This includes, whenever possible, posting solicitations for bids or proposals for a minimum of 30-calendar days before the bid or proposal closing date.<sup>137</sup>

### 6. Require Visible Leadership.

As noted above, the supplier diversity goals should be adopted by the highest level of leadership of the grantee's organization, i.e., a Governor or a Mayor. Similarly, subgrantees and contractors' highest level of leadership should certify their own compliance with supplier diversity requirements and make transparent their goals, objectives, and achievements. This could be attained by requiring social media campaigns that highlight the goals and achievements, as well as publishing commitments on the official website of the grantees and subgrantees.

### 7. Streamline Procurement Processes for All Businesses.

Grantees should streamline their procurement processes. For example, the Supplier Diversity Office should limit administrative burdens for suppliers by creating a single website with program information and resources, including certification and reciprocity, contract opportunities, and bidding information. It is insufficient just to point companies to the program authorization language, application processes, and acquisition regulations.<sup>138</sup> Administrative burdens may also be reduced by eliminating paper filing requirements and by writing solicitations and contracts in plain language, which helps all bidders and makes contract opportunities more readily accessible.<sup>139</sup> In addition, grantees, subgrantees, and contractors should ensure prompt payment upon receipt of a properly issued invoice for work completed

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>136</sup> Supplier Diversity Best Practices Tools for Equity in Public Spending - Internal Processes, p. 1.
 <sup>137</sup> "Frequently Asked Questions for Disadvantaged Business Enterprises," EPA. April 29, 2022, <a href="https://www.epa.gov/grants/frequently-asked-questions-disadvantaged-business-enterprises">https://www.epa.gov/grants/frequently-asked-questions-disadvantaged-business-enterprises</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>138</sup> Improving Government Vendor Diversity, p. 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>139</sup> Supplier Diversity Best Practices Tools for Equity in Public Spending - Internal Processes, p. 1.

according to agreement and goods delivered. Finally, grantees and subgrantees should provide constructive feedback to SMWs and all businesses that are not selected, to help them strengthen future applications.

## 8. Ensure Diverse Participation in Task Forces or Committees that Advise Grantees on Their Broadband Plans, Including Broadband Supplier Diversity.

NTIA specifically underscores the importance of stakeholder engagement in its NOFO: "NTIA envisions and welcomes extensive coordination and cooperation with all relevant interviewees. . . . Localities and groups representing historically excluded communities can and must make their voices heard to ensure that longstanding equity gaps are finally closed. Existing broadband providers and new entrants must communicate well with Federal, State, Territorial, Local, and Tribal partners to ensure that deployments proceed as expected and that non-deployment activities are designed and implemented in ways that most benefit the communities they are designed to serve."<sup>140</sup>

The grantees should take full use of the knowledge and connections of people in the community who are familiar with the social and economic interests and concerns of the stakeholders in their areas/regions/states. As a diverse Task Force or Advisory Committee for grantees, they could serve as the grantee's goodwill ambassadors, and interpreters of the plans, hopes, aspirations, anxiety, and disappointment that the grantees' broadband plan may bring. They could help to ensure that the grantee's plan and deployment activities will be carried out to bring the most benefits, including supplier diversity, to the communities that the IIJA intended to serve. The grantees should ensure they specifically seek feedback from the Task Force, Advisory Committee, or similar bodies on how to embed supplier diversity in their broadband plans.

## 9. Promote Certifications Prior to Disbursement of Funds so that SMW Businesses are Prepared to Participate in the Funding Opportunities.

Transparency and regular review require a means to identify bona fide SMW businesses to ensure the accuracy of data on the SMW businesses reached and utilized under the IIJA. To be qualified as an SMW business, the entity must be at least 51% owned, controlled, and operated on a day-to-day basis by one or more minorities (African American, Asian American, Hispanic American, and Native American) or by women who are U.S. citizens. The Certification will give SMW businesses access to opportunities to grow revenue, build capacity, and enhance credentials. Certification services are provided for free by governmental entities such as the U.S. Small Business Administration, or for a fee in the private sector. There are numerous federal, state, and local entities that provide free certification services for SMW and disadvantaged businesses owned by U.S. citizens. Eight (8) national nonprofit organizations provide certification services for a fee for SMW, people with disabilities, veteran, and LGBT+ businesses located in the United States. Their requirements are substantially the same, i.e., 51% ownership, control, and day-to-day management of the business.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>140</sup> NTIA, BEAD NOFO, p. 8.

In order to make it easy for SMW businesses to be certified in various areas/regions/states of the country, we recommend reciprocity of certification among the public and private certification entities.<sup>141</sup> Organizations with cultural and linguistic competence could provide for communities with particular cultural sensitivities. The Supplier Diversity Office should be thoughtful of the SMW businesses' desire for choice of association with whom personal, proprietary and financial information would be divulged during the certification process. Grantees could either adopt existing certification programs or create their own certification programs. However, reciprocity is key to avoiding duplication of efforts and expenses to the SMW businesses, as long as the existing certification program. Grantees should not adopt one certification program over another, which could create confusion and the appearance of preferential treatment. Additionally, supplier diversity officers should make available toolkits and educational opportunities to ensure SMW businesses are prepared to participate in the certification process.

If a grantee creates its own certification program, it should be streamlined, and the grantee should provide toolkits and training on completing the application form and submitting the required documentation, as well as guidelines for site visits which is an important final step in the certification process. Regardless, however, the grantee should accept reciprocity of certifications issued by similar certification organizations.

Recognizing SMW certifications granted by other entities with similar missions, a bona fide certification program, and a proven track record of integrity, is one way to improve supplier diversity data. The benefit of reciprocity is streamlining certification requirements – if an entity is already certified through one program, it should not have to jump through duplicate or multiple efforts and expense hoops, to get the same certification. There are national trade associations with a track record of certifying minority- and women-owned businesses. The Supplier Diversity Office should consider credentialing these trade associations as certification clearing houses. The more bona fide certified, thereby increasing the number of SMW businesses available in the marketplace to access and bid for the opportunities the IIJA provides. To the best of our estimation, IIJA offers more opportunities than ever and there is no better time than now for SMW businesses to get certified. This will further fulfill the intent of the law.

## 10. Grantees, Subgrantees, and Contractors Should be Required to Reach out to SMW Businesses.

Outreach and education are key to increasing SMW business participation on projects such as under the IIJA. The grantees or contractors therefore should require their subgrantees and subcontractors to engage in meaningful outreach to and education of SMW businesses. These efforts should be documented so that SMW businesses can better tailor their supporting program activities to meet the opportunities.<sup>142</sup> The grantees should not condone "window-dressing outreach" designed solely to establish or document good faith implementation.<sup>143</sup> Rather, the grantee should encourage partnership and collaboration. For example, the grantee should

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>141</sup> See Improving Government Vendor Diversity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>142</sup> *Ibid*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>143</sup> *Ibid*.

encourage subgrantees or contractors to contract with an SMW business consortium when a contract is too large for smaller firms to handle on their own.

In addition, a subgrantee or contractor should make reasonable efforts to assist interested SMW businesses in obtaining bonding, lines of credit, or insurance required by the procuring agency or the bidder/offeror;<sup>144</sup> and necessary equipment, supplies, materials, or related assistance or services.<sup>145</sup>

### 11. Promote Local Business Opportunities.

To ensure that SMW businesses are more likely to participate, grantees and subgrantees should promote local business opportunities early, continuously, and aggressively.<sup>146</sup> Furthermore, grantees and subgrantees should publicize contract awards to promote partnerships as early as such opportunities are made known.<sup>147</sup>

### 12. NTIA Should Collect and Disseminate North Star Best Practices.

As NTIA continues its federal, state, and local broadband coordination efforts, it should develop and maintain a *North Star*<sup>148</sup> of best practices across federal agencies and state and local governments for collaboration among each other to serve the best interests of the SMW businesses, close the digital divide, eliminate historic inequities, and open access to meaningful highspeed broadband service and equipment so that SMW businesses could tap with ease into the opportunities presented by the IIJA.

NTIA should disseminate *North Star* guidance among grantees through its powerful s oversight and technical assistance programs. Top-down guidance will be the critical starting point for grantees to dial into sharp focus the goals at hand--to ensure that SMW businesses are included, welcomed, encouraged, and able to participate individually or in collaboration with all Americans in the unprecedented funding and contracting opportunities flowing out of the IIJA.

### **IV. CONCLUSION**

The Commission tasked the I&A Working Group with recommending ways to increase the participation of SMW businesses in State/local infrastructure grant and contract opportunities, and to provide insightful guidance on successful outreach to SMW businesses regarding funding and contract opportunities, including how to apply directly or partner as subcontractors, to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>144</sup> Illinois Commission on Equity & Inclusion, *Guidance for Documenting Good Faith Efforts to Meet BEP Participation Goals*, p.3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>145</sup> Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>146</sup> Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>147</sup> *Ibid*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>148</sup> The North Star is the star that lies above the Earths' Northern Pole. See NASA, "What is the North Star and How Do You Find It?" July 28, 2021, available at <u>https://solarsystem.nasa.gov/news/1944/what-is-the-north-star-and-how-do-you-find-it/</u>. Metaphorically speaking, North Star refers to an overall strategy to reach a named goal. See Maximilian Schroeck, Jon Kawamura, and Anne Kwan, "Setting the North Star: Staying Focused and On Track" (2019), available at <u>https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/insights/us/articles/5186\_setting-the-north-star.pdf</u>.

increase deployment of broadband in diverse communities. The Working Group recommends that the Commission adopt and forward the above best practice recommendations to NTIA to utilize in its review of State Equity plans and to develop its technical assistance for grantees.

### **APPENDIX A**

### Summary of Resources for Best Practices to Promote Supplier Diversity

### I. FEDERAL GUIDANCE & PROGRAMS

### **A.** The White House<sup>149</sup>

- In December 2021, the White House released "Reforms to Increase Equity and Level the Playing Field for Underserved Small Business Owners." Background: On June 1, 2021, President Biden announced a goal to increase the share of contracts going to small, disadvantaged businesses by 50% by 2025. The announcement built on the President's <u>Day One</u> <u>Executive Order 13985</u>, which directed agencies to work to make contracting opportunities more readily available to all eligible firms and to remove barriers faced by underserved individuals and communities.
- ii. Prior to that, on November 18, 2021, the White House launched its <u>President's Management Agenda</u> vision. The third PMA priority *managing the business of government to build back better*—recognizes that fostering lasting improvements in the Federal acquisition system can create opportunities for underserved communities.
- iii. Reforms to the federal procurement process to increase the share of federal contracts to SDBs include:
  - 1. Asking agencies to increase their goals so that government-wide spending results in 11% of contracting dollars being awarded to small, disadvantaged businesses, up from the current statutory goal of 5%.
  - 2. Releasing disaggregated data on federal contracting spending by race/ethnicity of business owners, a powerful transparency and management tool.
  - 3. Implementing changes to the federal government's use of "category management" to boost contracting opportunities for underserved small businesses.
  - 4. Adopting management practices to drive accountability and institutionalize the achievement of small business contracting goals, with key takeaways such as: holding leaders accountable for meeting small business contracting goals; ensuring agency small business contracting offices have direct reporting lines to senior leadership; increasing the number of new entrants to the federal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>149</sup> The White House, "FACT SHEET: Biden-Harris Administration Announces Reforms to Increase Equity and Level the Playing Field for Underserved Small Business Owners," *The White House*. December 2, 2021, <u>https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/12/02/fact-sheet-biden-harris-administration-announces-reforms-to-increase-equity-and-level-the-playing-field-for-underserved-small-business-owners/.</u>

marketplace; and reversing declines in the small business supplier base.

### **B.** United States Department of Transportation<sup>150</sup>

- i. Created a DBE program to remedy ongoing discrimination and the continuing effects of past discrimination in federally assisted highway, transit, airport, and highway safety financial assistance transportation contracting markets nationwide. The goal is to provide small businesses owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals a fair opportunity to compete for federally funded transportation contracts. Background: The original Congressional Mandate that started the DBE Program focused on minority/women's business enterprises in the 1980s by regulation under the authority of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and other nondiscrimination statutes that apply to DOT financial assistance programs. Since then, Congress has codified and repeatedly reauthorized the program-most recently in Section 1101(b) of the "Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act" or "FAST-ACT" (P.L. 114-94). The statute provides that, "Except to the extent that the Secretary [of Transportation] determines otherwise, not less than 10% of the amounts made available for any program under [this Act and Section 403, Title 23 of the U.S. Code] shall be expended through small business concerns owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals." FAST-Act, § 1101(b)(3) (emphasis added).
- ii. Implementing Regulations: The DOT's implementing rules are available at 49 C.F.R. Part 26 (and, for airport concessionaires, at 49 CFR Part 23). Definitions include:
  - "Disadvantaged business enterprise" or "DBE" means "a for-profit small business concern – (1) That is at least 51% owned by one or more individuals who are both socially and economically disadvantaged or, in the case of a corporation, in which 51% of the stock is owned by one or more such individuals; and (2) Whose management and daily business operations are controlled by one or more of the socially and economically disadvantaged individuals who own it." 49 C.F.R. § 26.5.
  - 2. "African Americans, Hispanics, Native Americans, Asian-Pacific and Subcontinent Asian Americans, and women are presumed to be socially and economically disadvantaged. Other individuals can

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>150</sup> U.S. Department of Transportation, "Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Program," accessed July 12, 2022, <u>https://www.transportation.gov/civil-rights/disadvantaged-business-enterprise</u>; U.S. Department of Transportation, "DBE Laws, Policy, and Guidance," accessed July 12, 2022, <u>https://www.transportation.gov/civil-rights/disadvantaged-business-enterprise/dbe-laws-policy-and-guidance</u>; U.S. Department of Transportation, "DBE Program Best Practices," accessed July 12, 2022, <u>https://www.transportation.gov/civil-rights/disadvantaged-business-enterprise/dbe-laws-policy-and-guidance</u>; U.S. Department of Transportation, "DBE Program Best Practices," accessed July 12, 2022, <u>https://www.transportation.gov/civil-rights/disadvantaged-business-enterprise/dbe-laws-policy-and-guidance</u>; U.S. Department of Transportation, "DBE Program Best Practices," accessed July 12, 2022, <u>https://www.transportation.gov/civil-rights/disadvantaged-business-enterprise/dbe-program-best-practices</u>.

also qualify as socially and economically disadvantaged on a caseby-case basis."

- 3. Others that may qualify as economically disadvantaged include an individual who has "a personal net worth that does not exceed \$1.32 million. To be seen as a small business, a firm must meet SBA size criteria and have average annual gross receipts not to exceed \$23.98 million. Size limits for the airport concessions DBE program are higher."
- iii. Program Overview: DOT DBE regulations require state and local transportation agencies that receive DOT financial assistance to establish annual goals as well as contract-specific goals for the participation of DBEs. State and local recipients also certify the eligibility of DBE firms to participate in DOT-assisted projects. To participate in the DBE program, a small business owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals must receive DBE certification from the relevant State, which is generally obtained through the state Uniform Certification Program ("UCP"). Certifiers make determinations based upon on-site visits, personal interviews, reviews of licenses, stock ownership, equipment, bonding capacity, work completed, resume of principal owners, and financial capacity.
- iv. State and Local Transportation Agency Responsibilities (\*State and local agencies are not penalized for falling short of their overall goal unless they fail to administer their program in good faith. See 49 C.F.R. § 26.47):
  - 1. Certify the eligibility of DBE firms to participate in their DOTassisted contracts;
  - 2. Establish narrowly tailored goals for the participation of disadvantaged entrepreneurs; and
  - 3. Evaluate their DOT-assisted contracts throughout the year and establish contract-specific DBE subcontracting goals as necessary to achieve the overall goal of the agency.
- v. U.S. Dept. of Transportation Responsibilities:
  - 1. Developing the rules and regulations for the national DBE Program;
  - 2. Providing guidance and conducting oversight to make sure that these rules and regulations are followed by the recipients of DOT funds; and
  - 3. Considering appeals from state/local certification decisions.
  - 4. DBE Certification Appeals: Entities that have applied for and were denied DBE certification may file an administrative appeal with DOT's Departmental Office of Civil Rights (DOCR) within 90 days from the date of denial. Appeals may be submitted via email and must state why the recipient's decision should be reversed and other essentials. A decision to reverse, affirm, or remand will be

made within 180 days upon receipt of the appeal. All DOCR decisions are administratively final.

vi. Fraud: If fraud or any other criminal violation is suspected, the case will be referred to DOT's Office of the Inspector General for investigation.

### C. United States Environmental Protection Agency<sup>151</sup>

- i. The two relevant statutes are known as the EPA's 8% Statute (Public Law 102-389, 42 U.S.C. 4370d) and the EPA's 10% Statute (Title X of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990, 42 U.S.C. 7601 note), which require an entity to establish that it is owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals who are of good character and citizens of the United States. Entities that meet the certification criteria under either authorizing statute qualify for the EPA's DBE program. The 8% Statute presumes women to be socially and economically disadvantaged individuals and the 10% Statute presumes Historically Black Colleges and Universities, Black Americans, Hispanic Americans, Native Americans, Asian Americans, Women, and Disabled Americans are socially and economically disadvantaged individuals.
- ii. Requirement: Six Good Faith Efforts. Funding recipients are required to make the following good faith efforts whenever procuring construction, equipment, services, and supplies under an EPA financial assistance agreement:
  - 1. Ensure DBEs are made aware of contracting opportunities to the fullest extent practicable through outreach and recruitment activities. For Indian Tribal, State, and Local Government recipients, this will include placing DBEs on solicitation lists and soliciting them whenever they are potential sources.
  - 2. Make information on forthcoming opportunities available to DBEs, arrange time frames for contracts, and establish delivery schedules, where the requirements permit, in a way that encourages and facilitates participation by DBEs in the competitive process. This includes, whenever possible, posting solicitations for bids or proposals for a minimum of 30 calendar days before the bid or proposal closing date.
  - 3. Consider in the contracting process whether firms competing for large contracts could subcontract with DBEs. For Indian Tribal, State, and Local Government recipients, this will include dividing total requirements when economically feasible into smaller tasks or quantities to permit maximum participation by DBEs in the competitive process.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>151</sup> U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, "Frequently Asked Questions for Disadvantaged Business Enterprises," accessed July 12, 2022, <u>https://www.epa.gov/grants/frequently-asked-questions-disadvantaged-business-enterprises</u>.

- 4. Encourage contracting with a consortium of DBEs when a contract is too large for one of these firms to handle individually.
- 5. Use the services and assistance of the SBA and the Minority Business Development Agency of the Department of Commerce.
- 6. If the prime contractor awards subcontracts, require the prime contractor to take the steps in items 1 through 5.

### **D.** United States Department of Commerce

- i. Minority Business Development Agency (MBDA).<sup>152</sup> The MBDA is the federal agency dedicated to the growth and global competitiveness of minority business enterprises. In 2016, it issued "<u>Contracting Barriers and Factors Affecting Minority Businesses Enterprises</u>," and the MBDA underscores the most frequently cited contracting barriers:
  - Prime level discriminatory barriers: timely bid notification, explicit discrimination (stereotypes, higher and double standards), MBE/DBE stigma;
  - 2. Prime level non-discriminatory barriers: large project sizes, bonding/insurance, bid requirements, timely payment;
  - 3. Subcontractor level discriminatory barriers: timely bid notification, bid shopping, held bid, lack of good faith effort, only using an MBE if required, explicit discrimination (stereotypes, higher and double standards), MBE/DBE stigma; and
  - 4. Pervasive barriers: access to capital, network access, marketplace discrimination

The Report suggests several areas to explore and research with respect to lessening barriers faced by MBEs in public contracting. Most relevant here include:

- 1. To reduce informational asymmetries resulting from established and often exclusive networks, governments can create a centralized bidding notification hub for all city/related agencies where bid posting is mandatory. This will ensure equal access to information as well as timely and equal notification.
- 2. The federal government should be a model for state and local governments in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>152</sup> U.S. Department of Commerce Minority Business Development Agency, "Minority Business Development Agency," accessed July 12, 2022, <u>https://www.mbda.gov/;</u> Noteworthy items include U.S. Department of Commerce Minority Business Development Agency, *Contracting Barriers and Factors Affecting Minority Business Enterprises- A Review of Existing Disparity Studies*, (Orlando, Florida, Premier Quantitative Consulting, Inc.), <u>https://www.mbda.gov/sites/default/files/migrated/files-attachments/ContractingBarriers\_AReviewofExistingDisparityStudies.pdf</u>.

addressing and understanding the public contracting process. New technology or innovative tools may be used to educate and inform government contracting officers with respect to barriers faced by MBEs. Identify tools that are transferable to local contracting agencies. By standardizing tools at the federal level, it may help standardize and assist all agencies in the collection and management of procurement data at the prime and subcontractor level. Organizations like the MBDA can push for ways to standardize data collection procedures and elements.

- 3. Agencies can generate disparity study fact sheets and distribute them to buyers and office staff. This allows staff to see exactly what issues the disparity study identified with respect to discrimination and should advance the discussion towards finding solutions. An ongoing education process could focus on understanding specific problems and using teamwork to solve them. It could also encourage buy-in across the organization by starting with a thorough understanding of the problem.
- 4. Contractors who did not win a bid require objective and accurate feedback to improve in subsequent bidding opportunities. Although not cited as a major barrier, multiple minority business owners reported that they lack feedback on failed proposals.
- 5. States and municipalities should evaluate the feasibility and implementation of completely anonymous incident reporting systems. Staff members involved in issues should be apprised of the situation and if found that they contributed to the problem, should face monitored corrective action or other sanctions.

- **ii.** Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization (OSDBU).<sup>153</sup> This Office features Commerce Small Business Program Manual (CSBPM), which includes a chapter on Procurement Mechanisms. The CSBPM provides guidance to procurement offices to ensure that consistent Small Business Program management procedures and practices conform to the Small Business Act (SBA), Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR), and DOC Small Business Program policies, which require agencies to ensure that a fair proportion of contracts are awarded to small businesses, including socioeconomic small businesses. The manual is very detailed, and topics addressed include:
  - When planning an acquisition, the contracting officer shall first consider socioeconomic small business concerns for award of a prime contract before small business concerns and consider small business for award prior to seeking alternative suppliers. Socioeconomic and small business concerns must also be given first consideration as a member of a team arrangement, including joint ventures and prime contractor/subcontractor relationships.
  - 2. A focus on maximizing small business utilization by encouraging a set-aside for small businesses including socioeconomic set-asides, the Small Business 8(A) Program, multiple award contracts, the North American industry classification system, similarly situated entities and limitations on subcontracting, the non-manufacturer rule, trade agreements, bundled, consolidation, in-sourcing small business contract requirements, undue restriction, unsolicited proposals, rejecting SBA recommendations, the Small Business Subcontracting Program, small business payment assistances, and administrative responsibilities.

### E. United States Small Business Administration<sup>154</sup>

i. Regulations dealing with government contracting programs for small businesses are outlined in Title 13 Part 125 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The government's purchasing process is governed by the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR). Some government agencies are authorized to have their own supplement to the FAR. As a government contractor, you also must comply with labor standards statutes (Service Contract Act, Contract Work Hours, Safety Standards Act, and more), as well as other statutes, unless the contract states that a particular statute

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>153</sup> U.S. Department of Commerce, "Department of Commerce Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization (OSDBU)," accessed July 12, 2022, <u>https://www.osec.doc.gov/osdbu/</u>; U.S. Department of Commerce, "U.S. Department of Commerce Small Business Program Manual, Procurement Mechanisms, Chapter One," <u>https://www.osec.doc.gov/osdbu/CSBPM-Chpt%201%20Nov%2001%202018.pdf</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>154</sup> U.S. Small Business Administration, "Governing rules and responsibilities," accessed July 12, 2022, <u>https://www.sba.gov/federal-contracting/contracting-guide/governing-rules-responsibilities;</u> U.S. Small Business Administration, "Small Disadvantaged Business," accessed July 12, 2022, <u>https://www.sba.gov/federal-contracting-assistance-programs/small-disadvantaged-business</u>.

isn't applicable. There are mandatory contract provisions that protect the integrity of the government procurement process. These provisions include the "officials not to benefit" clause, the "anti-kickback" provisions, organizational conflict of interest provisions, the "gratuities" clause, and more.

### II. STATE AND LOCAL GUIDANCE & PROGRAMS

### A. California Department of Transportation (Caltrans)<sup>155</sup>

- i. Within Caltrans, there is an Office of Business and Economic Opportunity (OBEO) that tracks DBE contract goals, as well as reviews and approves subrecipient DBE contract goals for Caltrans' Division of Local Assistance (DLA). Per DLA-OB 14-06, subrecipients submit their DBE contract goals for construction contracts over \$2 million and consultant contracts over \$500,000 for Caltrans' review. Caltrans will either approve the DBE goal or recommend an adjustment. Generally, California State Law mandates public contract provisions for M/WBEs and creates definitions for minority, minority business enterprise, women business enterprise, and adopts "disadvantaged business enterprise: as used in Section 23.62 of Title 49 of the CFRs. Caltrans requires specific reporting form utilization and boilerplate language in every contract as follows: "Contractor shall maintain records of all subcontracts entered into with certified DBE Subcontractor(s) and records of materiel purchased from certified DBE supplier(s). The records shall show the name and business address of each DBE Subcontractor or vendor and the total dollar amount actually paid to each DBE Subcontractor or vendor, regardless of tier. *The records shall show the date of payment and the total dollar figure* paid to all firms. DBE (prime) Contractor shall also show the date of work performed by its own forces along with the corresponding dollar value of the work. Contractor shall prepare and submit the Disadvantaged Business Enterprises Utilization Report (ADM-3069) form (Attachment ) to the Contract Manager with every invoice (refer to Exhibit B, Budget Detail and Payment Provisions)."
  - 1. The only critique of this program is the manner of certifying as Small Business Enterprise (SBE) or a Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE). The entity seeking certification must apply with the individual reviewing entities for the specific region where they are located; and can only certify through those "Unified Certifying Partners." Firms must certify their location as either: Imperial, Riverside & San Diego Area, Los Angeles Area, Central Valley/Bay Area, or Northern California Area. It is not clear how a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>155</sup> California Department of Transportation, "Disadvantaged Business Enterprises," accessed July 12, 2022, <u>https://dot.ca.gov/programs/civil-rights/dbe;</u> California Department of Transportation, "Procurement and Contracts (DPAC)," accessed July 12, 2022, <u>https://dot.ca.gov/programs/procurement-and-contracts</u>.

certification issued by one area is recognized in the other areas. In addition, several different toolkits are offered for each area. It would be more streamlined to have one centralized clearinghouse for certification administration state-wide.

### B. Washington State Office of Minority and Women's Business Enterprises<sup>156</sup>

- i. This Office offer Tools for Equity in Public Spending (e.g., toolkit workshops, outreach, inclusion plan guides, templates, and more), and Supplier Diversity Best Practices. Key Recommendations include:
  - 1. Proactively identify contracting and procurement needs. Early planning and advanced notice support supplier diversity. Biannually assess needs or review past spending data to identify general categories of goods and services regularly purchased. Also, examine the procurement type: Master contracts using the master contract sales database, Internal contracts, Direct buy purchases, etc.
  - 2. Review your procurement practices by identifying how purchases are bundled/consolidated and whether unbundling these contracts will create more inclusion opportunities. Understand direct buy purchasing authority and delegated procurement authority. If it is likely that bidders will subcontract some of the work, consider requiring bidders to submit an inclusion plan as part of their bid package where the contractor sets goals, identifies small and diverse vendors, and reports on subcontractor spending with diverse vendors. Make solicitations and contracts simple, written in plain talk, which assists all bidders and makes the contract more accessible. Reevaluate standard contract language and any requirements that may be barriers for small and diverse businesses. This includes providing ample advanced notice and directed publication to encouraging small and diverse businesses' participation.
  - 3. Identify diverse options for each category of spending. The State provides a search tool on its website to identify master contracts with small and diverse vendors. There is a centralized portal for this with a tutorial on how to use it. includes all certified veteran owned businesses and self-registered small businesses
  - 4. Conduct other market research and outreach. In addition, to direct contact with certified small and diverse businesses to make them aware of bid opportunities, the State Offices can be contacted

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>156</sup> Washington State Office of Minority & Women's Business Enterprises, "Supplier Diversity Best Practices," accessed July 12, 2022, <u>https://omwbe.wa.gov/state-supplier-diversity-reporting/supplier-diversity-best-practices;</u> Washington State Office of Minority and Women's Business Enterprises, "Tools for Equity in Public Spending," accessed July 12, 2022, <u>https://omwbe.wa.gov/state-supplier-diversity-reporting/tools-equity-public-spending.</u>

directly to identify currently certified, as well as provide options for utilization of small and diverse businesses that are not currently certified. By developing a communication strategy to engage with small and diverse businesses, more effective outreach may be achieved.

5. Monitor spending with small and diverse businesses throughout the year. Determine what is working and adjust strategies as needed. The State provides access to several government agencies' current small and diverse business spending data reported via the State's Enterprise Reporting Guidelines.

#### C. Missouri Department of Transportation (MoDOT)<sup>157</sup>

- i. MoDOT submits its a DBE Program to the U.S. Department of Transportation that documents the specific policies and adopts its general definitions for classifications. The State has a unified certification process, known as Missouri Regional Certification Committee, which was approved by USDOT in January 2005. Overall administration goals and statewide goals are updated every three (3) years. Emphasis is placed on data collection with the following in place:
  - 1. All contractors, subcontractors, suppliers, and truckers will be required to be registered and obtain a vendor number prior to authorization to commence work on a project.
  - 2. The registration form will gather the name, address, DBE/non-DBE status, age of firm, annual gross receipts, geographical preference, and type of work performed, for each firm.
  - 3. The registration will be mailed to all contractors, subcontractors, DBE firms, material suppliers, and any other firm contained in MoDOT records. The firms will receive a vendor number and the information will be entered into a database. The firms will be required to update their filing on a yearly basis.
  - 4. MoDOT will compile a listing of all registered firms and forms will be mailed at least semiannually to all firms, requesting that they provide the names of any firms they received quotes from that may not be listed. The listing will be available on the MoDOT internet site. Project office personnel will check all subcontractors, suppliers, and haulers on a project to verify they have been registered. If a firm is not registered, it must do so prior to commencement of work.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>157</sup> Missouri Department of Transportation, "DBE Program," accessed July 12, 2022, <u>https://www.modot.org/dbe-program</u>; Missouri Department of Transportation, "Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Program Submittal," August 1, 2020, <u>https://www.modot.org/sites/default/files/documents/DBE%20Program%20Submittal%20FFY20.pdf</u>.

#### D. Florida Department of Management Services Office of Supplier Diversity<sup>158</sup>

- i. Like many of the states already summarized, this State Office hosts a website that includes an Agency Resources page with documents, presentations, and templates that Florida agencies and universities can use to demonstrate compliance with supplier diversity requirements. It also offers Sample State Agency Small Business Participation Plans and assistance with applicable state certification and recertification. All forms are readily available. There is also a comprehensive vendor database and interactive calendar for current bidding opportunities. A unique aspect of this State Office is its work with The Florida Advisory Council on Small and Minority Business Development (Council). The Council was established in Section 287.0947, Fla. Stat. The State Office works with the Council to keep citizens of the State of Florida and various stakeholder groups informed on issues relating to minority enterprise procurement and other diversity issues. Membership of the Council includes practitioners, laypersons, financiers, and others with business development experience who can provide invaluable insight and expertise for this state in the diversification of its markets and networking of business opportunities. Responsibilities of the Council include:
  - 1. Research and review the roles of small and minority businesses in the state's economy.
  - 2. Review the issues and emerging topics relating to small and minority business economic development.
  - 3. Study and understand financial markets' and institutions' abilities to meet small business credit needs and determine the impact of government demands on credit for small businesses.
  - 4. Evaluate the execution of Section 287.09451, Fla. Stat., requiring a state economic development comprehensive plan, as it relates to small and minority businesses.
  - 5. Assess the efforts by any state agency or by all state agencies collectively, to assist minority business enterprises.
  - 6. Advise the Florida Governor, the Secretary of the Department of Management Services and the Legislature on matters relating to small and minority business development that are important to the international strategic planning and activities of this state.
    - a. Broward County, Florida Also has a similar advisory board and other requirements within its Code of Ordinances and Administrative Code that advance supplier diversity

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>158</sup> Florida Department of Management Services, "Office of Supplier Diversity," accessed July 12, 2022, <u>https://www.dms.myflorida.com/agency\_administration/office\_of\_supplier\_diversity\_osd</u>.

and public procurement opportunities to small and minority businesses.<sup>159</sup>

b. City of Coconut Creek, Florida – Also, recently created a grant offering targeted toward small local businesses having 25 or fewer employees: Butterfly Small Business Relief Program.<sup>160</sup>

#### E. Illinois Commission on Equity and Inclusion<sup>161</sup>

- i. The Commission on Equity and Inclusion (CEI) was created through the passage of 30 ILCS 574/40-10. CEI was created to expand access to state contracts for minorities, women, persons with disabilities, and veterans, and assist the state in enhancing the equity and inclusion throughout its workforce. Among several programs, the CEI created the Business Enterprise Program (BEP) for businesses owned by minorities, women, and persons with disabilities. The program is committed to fostering an inclusive, equitable and competitive business environment that will support underrepresented businesses and enhance their increase their capacity, grow revenue, and enhance credentials. Generally, the CEI focuses on:
  - 1. All State and university procurement;
  - 2. Standardizing scoring evaluations for State agency directors, public university presidents and chancellors, and public community college presidents that shall be based on the following three principles: (i) increasing capacity; (ii) growing revenue; and (iii) enhancing credentials;
  - 3. Fulfill duties provided to it under the Illinois Procurement Code 30 ILCS 500/5-7 and 500/45-57;
  - 4. Work with State agencies to provide support for diversity in State hiring and oversee the implementation of diversity training of the State workforce;
  - 5. Propose and submit to the Governor and the General Assembly legislative changes to increase inclusion and diversity in State government;

<u>https://library.municode.com/fl/broward\_county/codes/administrative\_code?nodeId=CH19OPPOCOADGE\_PTVII</u> <u>CESMDIBUEN</u>; Broward County Government, "Broward County, FL, Administrative Code, Part XXIII. – Small Business Development Advisory Board,"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>159</sup> Broward County Government, "Broward County, FL, Administrative Code, Part VII. – Certification of Small Disadvantaged Business Enterprises,"

https://library.municode.com/fl/broward\_county/codes/administrative\_code?nodeId=CH12ORCOBOCO\_PTXXIIIS MBUDEADBO.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>160</sup> Coconut Creek News, "City Establishes Unprecedented Business Relief Fund," April 14, 2022, <u>https://coconutcreeknews.net/city-establishes-unprecedented-business-relief-fund-p1986-186.htm</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>161</sup> State of Illinois Commission on Equity and Inclusion, "Welcome to the Business Enterprise Program Website," accessed July 12, 2022, <u>https://www2.illinois.gov/cms/business/sell2/bep/Pages/default.aspx; see also https://cei.illinois.gov/about-the-commission.html.</u>

- 6. Exercise oversight over several other entities and adopt rules necessary for the implementation and administration of the requirements of the Commission on Equity and Inclusion Act.
  - a. City of Chicago, Illinois<sup>162</sup> Chicago has a specific Minority and Women-owned Business (M/WBE) Procurement Program. Through hosting quarterly Workshops and other outreach efforts, the City promotes contracting opportunities to M/WBEs. The City has established its own certification process, and the Official City of Chicago certification is accepted by other government agencies and some private agencies.

## F. Michigan Department of Technology, Management, & Budget<sup>163</sup>

- i. The Michigan Supplier Community (MiSC) was established in 2019 to encourage expanded business opportunities within low-income communities and underutilized business areas. To be eligible for certification under MiSC, the vendor must have its principal place of business in Michigan; be a small business with less than 500 employees and annual revenues equal to or less than \$25 million; be classified as a Michigan Geographically Disadvantaged Business Enterprise; and meet one of the following criteria as defined in Executive Directive 2019-08:
  - 1. Certified HUBZone Small Business Concern by the United States Small Business Administration; or
  - 2. Have a majority of their employees maintain a Principal Residence within a Qualified Opportunity Zone; or
  - 3. Michigan-based Business with its Principal Place of Business within a Qualified Opportunity Zone; or
  - 4. Community Rehabilitation Organization (CRO); or
  - 5. Veteran-Owned or Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned (SDVOB) business.
    - **a.** City of Detroit, Michigan<sup>164</sup> Detroit has The Detroit Business Opportunity Program (DBOP), which processes

<sup>163</sup> Michigan.gov, "Michigan Supplier Community (MiSC)," accessed July 12, 2022,

 $\label{eq:media/Project/Websites/dtmb/Procurement/training/contracting101.pdf?rev=8e139d9ed3464c649d15ee3d5250b16e} \\ \label{eq:media/Project/Websites/dtmb/Procurement/training/contracting101.pdf?rev=8e139d9ed3464c649d15ee3d5250b16e} \\ \label{Project/Websites/dtmb/Procurement/training/contracting101.pdf?rev=8e139d9ed3464c649d15ee3d5250b16e} \\ \label{Project/Websites/dtmb/Procurement/training/contracting101.pdf?rev=8e139d9ed3464c649d15ee3d5250b16e} \\ \label{Project/Websites/dtmb/Procurement/training/contra$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>162</sup> City of Chicago, "MBE/ WBE/ DBE," accessed July 12, 2022,

https://www.chicago.gov/city/en/ofinterest/bus/mwdbe.html.

https://www.michigan.gov/dtmb/procurement/contractconnect/programs-and-policies/programs/misc; State of Michigran, "State of Michigan Procurement," February 2020, https://www.michigan.gov/dtmb/-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>164</sup> City of Detroit, "Detroit Business Opportunity Program," accessed July 12, 2022, <u>https://detroitmi.gov/departments/civil-rights-inclusion-opportunity-department/detroit-business-opportunity-program</u>;, Mayor Michael E. Duggan, "Executive Order No. 2014-3," City of Detroit Mayor's Office, <u>https://detroitmi.gov/sites/detroitmi.localhost/files/2018-02/EO%202014-</u> <u>3%20Certification%200f%20Businesses%20-%20Mayor%20Duggan.pdf</u>.

applications and maintains an online register of annually certified and recertifies Detroit Based Businesses (DBB), Detroit Headquartered Businesses (DHB), Detroit Resident Businesses (DRB), Detroit Small Businesses (DSB), Detroit Based Micro Businesses (DBMB), Detroit Start-Ups (DSU), Minority-Owned Business Enterprises (MBE), and Woman-Owned Business Enterprises (WBE). The Program offers appreciation events, networking and capacity building opportunities, equalization credits and visibility on the City's register.

### III. EDUCATIONAL AND THINK TANK GUIDANCE & PROGRAMS

- **A.** Harvard University, Kennedy School, Government Performance Lab 2017 Publication: *Improving Government Vendor Diversity*," (2017).<sup>165</sup>
- B. Milken Institute, Local Initiatives Support Corporation (LISC) Publications:
  - i. Supporting Economic Inclusion in Disadvantaged Communities: A Case for Inclusive Procurement Policies (2018)<sup>166</sup>
  - ii. Tactical Guide Tactical Guide: Inclusive Small Business Support (2020)<sup>167</sup>
- **C.** PolicyLink Publication: *Inclusive Procurement and Contacting: Building a Field* of Policy and Practice (2018)<sup>168</sup>
- **D.** Key Points (synthesized from all of the above publications) include:
  - i. *Publicly establish* concrete goals, and hold departments and vendors accountable for meeting them by tracking performance;
  - ii. Develop specific goals and performance targets for vendor diversity.
  - iii. Senior executive (*e.g.* Secretary-level) should *make a public commitment* to those goals, which elevates the priority for staff and helps reach potential vendors.
  - iv. *Evaluate progress* towards the goals—collect data and use it to improve the program.
  - v. Check vendor certifications to ensure accuracy and identify potential missing vendors or groups.
  - vi. Data should enable comparisons at a granular level such as by county or zip code—not just nationwide or statewide.

https://www.lisc.org/media/filer\_public/7b/a6/7ba61381-119d-4452-abfb-5c7c4b767eed/12082020\_resource\_ba-inclusive-small-business-support.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>165</sup> Improving Government Vendor Diversity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>166</sup> Milken Institute, Supporting Economic Inclusion in Disadvantaged Communities, A Case for Inclusive Public Procurement Policies, (Santa Monica, CA, 2018), <u>https://www.lisc.org/media/filer\_public/64/16/64165a54-93d5-47fc-9011-74c8873d2d7b/a\_case\_for\_inclusive\_public\_procurement\_practices.pdf</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>167</sup> Bloomberg Philanthropies COVID-19 Response, Bloomberg Associates, Local Initiatives Support Corporation (LISC), *Tactical Guide: Inclusive Small Business Support* (2020),

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>168</sup> Denise Fairchild and Kalima Rose, Inclusive Procurement and Contracting: Building a Field of Policy and Practice (Oakland, CA, 2018), <u>https://www.policylink.org/sites/default/files/InclusiveProcurement\_final-3-5-18.pdf</u>.

- vii. Data should include outputs: for example, contractors should report out subcontracts, and the dollar amount and diversity status of subcontractors
- viii. Where programs use prime contractors with subcontractor diversity goals, track and *hold primes accountable* for meeting the goals.
- ix. *Dedicate staff resources* for outreach and technical assistance to small businesses.
- x. Use the data collected for goal tracking to help target technical assistance and outreach.
- xi. Support businesses that do not have the resources or experience to navigate the federal procurement process.
- xii. Streamline procurement processes for all businesses.
- xiii. *Develop and maintain a community* of practice across Federal agencies, and state and local governments to collaborate on guidance, best practices, and simplifying processes for businesses.
- xiv. Limit administrative burdens for vendors, such as:
  - 1. Single website with program information and resources, including certification, contract opportunities, and bidding; it is not enough to just point companies to the program authorization language, application process, and the FAR.
  - 2. Enable certifications to work across multiple programs, agencies within the department, and federal departments where possible.
  - 3. Eliminate paper filing requirements, if any;
  - 4. Publicize RFI responses and winners to promote partnerships.
  - 5. *Provide feedback* to contractors who did not win to help them strengthen future applications.
  - 6. *Make prompt payments* to vendors.

### **IV. OTHER GUIDANCE & PROGRAMS**

- A. Asian Business Association Los Angeles
- **B.** District of Columbia; Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority (WMATA)152
- C. Multicultural Media Telecommunications and Internet Council
- **D.** National Center for American Indian Enterprise Development
- E. National Minority Supplier Development Council153
- F. US Black Chambers, Inc. / ByBlack.us
- G. US Hispanic Chamber of Commerce
- H. US Pan Asian American Chamber of Commerce Education Foundation
- I. Women's Business Enterprise National Council

### Appendix B

### INNOVATION AND ACCESS WORKING GROUP Workstream #1

### Survey for Workstream #1 Members in April 2022

For Recommendations to Ensure Inclusive Practices in Identifying and Selecting Entrepreneurs to Participate in Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA).

Contracting and Grants Processes

- **1.** Identify your organization:
  - a. Media -- Audio/Video/News/Information -- Including start-ups
  - b. Digital Communications Services Including start-ups
  - c. Technology Development Including start-ups
  - d. Other please list: \_\_\_\_\_.
- 3. Is your organization a:
  - a. Small business Yes or No.
  - b. Minority-owned business- Yes or No.
  - c. Woman/Women-Owned Yes or No.
  - d. Start-up– Yes or No.
  - e. Other– Please list: \_\_\_\_\_.
- 4. What policies or practices does your organization use specifically in the context of procurement (goods/services) and/or grant administration to promote access to opportunities for small minority- and women-owned (SMW) businesses?
- 5. What policies or practices does your organization use to foster diversity, equity and non-discrimination in procurement of goods/services and/or grant administration?
- 6. What procurement or grant administration policies or practices does your organization use to accelerate the entry of SMW businesses?
- 7. Are you aware of any best practices or model codes on increasing grant/contract opportunities for SMW businesses?

### **APPENDIX C**

### Innovation and Access Working Group, Workstream #1 Conducted 10 Interviews with the Following Experts

• Robert Branson, President and CEO Multicultural Media Telecommunications and Internet Council

• Ron Busby, President and CEO US Black Chambers, Inc. / Buy Black.us

• Ramiro Cavazos, President and CEO US Hispanic Chamber of Commerce

• James Clayborne (Former Illinois State Senator), Founding Partner Clayborne & Wagner, LLP

• Dennis Huang, Executive Director and CEO Asian Business Association

• Ronald Johnson, Ph.D., Senior Advisor and Chief Strategist for Diversity, Equity and Inclusion

Wireless Infrastructure Association

• Chris James, President and CEO National Center for American Indian Enterprise Development

• Pat Fong Kushida, President and CEO, Founder California Asian Pacific Chamber of Commerce

• Leticia Latino-Van Spluteren, CEO Neptuno USA

• Ralph Moore, President Ralph G. Moore & Associates

Below is a summary of the interviewees' responses to the Working Group's questions.

2. What procurement or grant administration policies or practices promote access to opportunities for small, minority- and women-owned (SMW) businesses?

The procurement or grant administration policies or practices that promote access and accelerate opportunities for small minority-, and women-owned (SMW) businesses should consider:

- The FCC Cable Procurement rules, Business Enterprise Program (BEP) in the State of Illinois, and the Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Program at the US Department of Transportation.
- Embedding supplier diversity policy as to how States will access this money through infrastructure funding.
- Create a scorecard to track infrastructure spending to ensure SMW businesses are included.
- See Public Policy Rule 955507.
- SBA 8A Personal Net Worth Analysis and increasing 8A Certification caps.
- Corporation commitments to SMW firms.

Important actions for continued access and accelerations of Contracts include goal-setting for grantor and grantee, accountability for providing accurate spend on SMW businesses, transparency of published data, stakeholder input from SMW businesses, and creation of a small business utilization department/division at the federal level that assists with truthful feedback on gaps and pitfalls, training preparation, access to accurate databases, clear methods of communication about opportunities, and relationship-building support.

# **3.** What procurement or grant administration policies or practices can accelerate the entry of SMW businesses?

See responses to Question 1. above.

# 4. What procurement incentives and penalties do you recommend to the federal grantor and local government grantee as it relates to federal contracts and grants received by grantee?

The incentives and penalties recommendations to the federal government should include:

- Internal Audit Controls with due diligence reporting with incentives tied to supplier diversity goals. For example, adopt an incentive-driven scorecard process that tracks agency/prime and subcontractor progress; the percentage of diverse board of directors; the percentage of total contracts spent with diverse suppliers; and the percentage of total number diverse suppliers and employees. An example of an incentive is to have a utility company's annual rate increase granted when the utility meets or exceeds its committed supplier diversity goal.
- Transparency, accountability, and publicized misconduct for not meeting SMW requirements. In terms of penalties, the State of Illinois Investment Act provides for felony charges for certain illegitimate or other actions that violate the law. Hold federal, state, and local governments and the business sector accountable for meeting agreed-upon targets and goals works. Recipients of major government contracts need to be held accountable for including SMW subcontractors in their projects. It is also important to conduct due diligence to verify that companies are utilizing SMW

businesses, are not just putting up a front and/or that opportunities do not just go to White women business owners.

# 5. What methods would you recommend grantees implement and execute to retain SMW businesses that ensure compliance with model codes/best practices?

Methods for grantees to implement and execute to ensure SMW compliance with model codes/best practices include intentionality, transparency, accountability, and enforcement. More specifically,

- Intentionality of the inclusion of SMW businesses: Require SMW Certification and identify qualified SMW businesses (More consistent reviews) Make sure SMW firms are certified as truly minority-owned and/or woman-owned firms. They shouldn't just be White women. Need a procedure that eliminates the possibility of using minority firms as "fronts," such as by conducting monthly or quarterly meetings with prime contractors. This eliminates the risk of not finding SMW firms. Solidify partnerships with minority chambers across the country and with the SBA. Conduct stakeholder engagement, including Black churches and other faith organizations, Minority Serving Institutions, and other community-based groups, to prepare and train diverse community representatives to work with local and state governments in the distribution of the federal funding. Provide capital and technical training to ensure vendor success. For example, banks partner with diverse firms at the front end so access to capital is not a problem in the middle of the process.
  - a. Provide training and technical assistance for present and future workforce talents. Marketing, business development and equipment investments are areas where diverse businesses often lack the necessary resources to compete effectively for the plethora of procurement opportunities that fall within their core competencies and business growth strategies. This problem is exacerbated by the payment terms offered in second and third tier procurements, where most diverse companies are relegated to in the communications supply chain. Most often, sixty-to-ninety-day payment terms are deleterious to the cashflow of diverse companies and thus create the need to acquire additional funding at higher interest rates for capital projects.
  - b. Ensure **transparency** of SMW business data reported by and to the government and other agencies/organizations.
  - c. Ensure **accountability** of grantees or recipients of major contracts, such as via score card tracking.
  - d. **Adopt enforcement** procedures. Have a policy to incentivize and/or penalize grantees or recipients for the proper or improper use of funds from grantors.

# 6. What steps should state, and local grantees take to monitor and assess these practices?

The steps that state and local grantees should take to monitor and access practices include:

- Intentionality
  - a. Undertake a business strategy analysis
  - b. Provide consistent reviews on a monthly or quarterly basis to assist firms
  - c. Engage with interviewees

### • Accountability and Transparency

- a. Require certifications
- b. Track progress via a scorecard
- c. Create timely guidelines for inclusion of SMW businesses
- d. Federal government must adopt policies to manage the expectations of state administrators.

Change and leadership occur top down, and engaging SMW businesses should be a top priority for funding and contracting. Some companies call working with minority firms a diversity tax, saying they have to pay more and get less. It requires a shift in the mindset because SMW businesses and CEOs can deliver if given the opportunity. The narrative is around partnership and collaboration as opposed to only compliance and mandates. Examples of implementation and best practices by other companies, organizations, and governing bodies include:

- Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Program at the Department of Transportation.
  - i. The Wireless Infrastructure Association (WIA) is an organization of 127 wireless carriers, infrastructure providers, and professional services firms, WIA encourages its members to understand the importance of diversity in their procurement practices and workforces. Its annual conference invites participation by SMW women- and minority-owned businesses to build partnerships between WIA members and diverse businesses. WIA also sponsors an apprenticeship program with the Department of Labor that creates pathways for disadvantaged and underrepresented communities to access employment opportunities within the wireless industry.
  - ii. The Tollway Contract monitors the contract from start to finish, reviews invoices, reports, on-site inspections, and takes enforcement action if the firm does not meet the benchmarks. Remedies upon discovery of non-compliance include to suspend payment, call them in for correction, or terminate the contract.
  - iii. The California Public Utility Commission requires that any public utility seeking a rate increase must demonstrate that they have met their supplier diversity goal, and that supplier diversity is 25% of their total spend.

7. How do you define a successful procurement program for small, minorityand women-based (SMW) business inclusion and how do you measure its success?

A successful diverse supplier procurement program should include:

- Capital Access. SMW businesses cannot assume carrying costs. Grantees or contractors should partner with firms at front end so there are no complications during the process.
- Require certification of the SMW business. Include reciprocity with other certification programs.
- Accountability and Equity. Agencies should ensure opportunities are for SMW businesses. In addition, grantees and contractors should track and meet targets and goals for bids, including through scorecard measurements and compliance review.
  - Furthermore, grantees should publish accurate, current data on the recipients of federal contracts including race, sex/gender, ethnicity, type of contract (competing or sole source). For example, while there is a goal to appoint 20% Hispanic Americans to the current Administration, only 10% of positions are currently held by Hispanic Americans. In addition, the U.S. Government currently awards 1.67% of contracts to Black-owned companies; the US Black Chamber goal is to increase this number to 4%.
- Stakeholder Awareness. Share information and access for SMW businesses. Include partnerships with minority organizations, such as the National Black Broadcasters, US Black Chambers, Inc./ByBlack.us, Hispanic American organizations, and Asian Pacific American organizations. Ensure database accuracy and clear communication for measurement and access to information.

Measurement defines success. Ensure accountability and transparency through scorecards and compliance review by the Federal Government for assessment and methodology to reach success goals. If the scorecards are not being assessed accurately, then equity and opportunities for SMW business goals will not be achieved. The Federal Government could provide a blueprint plan to the states. Achievement of contract goals includes educating the parties controlling the grant and contract opportunities. For example, contract administrators must understand the scope of work and how to provide opportunities to SMW businesses.

# 8. What policies or practices specific to federal dollars distributed to state and local government foster diversity, equity, and non-discrimination in procurement of goods/services and/or grant administration?

The policies or practices specific to federal dollars distributed to state and local government that foster diversity, equity, and non-discrimination in procurement of goods/services and/or grant administration should include those listed in response to questions 1. above. The President

signed an Executive Order to increase the goal from 5% to 11%, but a champion is needed to open those opportunities to get to the 15% goal.

9. How can state and local grantees (the service providers and other applicants for the infrastructure funds) ensure that SMW businesses have meaningful and robust opportunities to partner and compete for funding under grant programs?

State and local grantees should consider:

- A process similar to the FCC's cable procurement rule.
- Requesting that the FCC issue a fast-track Notice of Proposed Rulemaking to adopt a ubiquitous equal procurement opportunity rule.
- Requiring agencies to communicate with unsuccessful bidders/contractors to explain why and how they can improve their bids.
- Ensuring that information and data sharing methods are clear and accessible.
- Ensuring that businesses are receiving invitations for opportunities.
- Tracking progress, such as by requiring contractors to meet quarterly with reviewers to ensure they are delivering on the targets and goals in their bids, providing consistent reviews, adopting a methodology for accurate and current tracking model, and auditing and identifying granular details in management of opportunities.
- Maintaining a directory of SMW contractors and SMW organizations and communities.
- Including the goals in meetings, policy-setting, and discussions.
- Provide training for SMW businesses to navigate complex Federal contracting.

The Federal government must set out the expectations for the State administrators, and the State must sign-off on what is expected. For example, in the State of Illinois:

- The RFP sets out prime contractor goals.
- A Letter of Intent lists the scope of work and pricing accompanied by a utilization plan (describing how the contractor would meet the goals of utilizing SMW businesses). The Letter of Intent becomes part of the contract, and the prime and subcontractors will enter into a contract with those terms included.
- The Legislature is considering modifying its scoring for evaluating SMW contracting.

The State should be required to enter into a contract with the Federal government. The State should create a utilization Plan, which is sent to the Federal Government for incorporation into the Federal and State contract. The Federal government should conduct an evaluation, utilizing a scoring procedure, of how the State implemented the program and met its goals, The higher the State's score, the more likely that state will be eligible for more federal dollars. Senators and Congresspersons may have to answer to constituents on why that state is not eligible for federal funding. Conversely, each contractor's score will dictate eligibility.

It needs to come from the top that SMW businesses are top priorities for this funding and contracting. The Working Group and the CEDC needs to develop guidelines in a timely manner to ensure all communities are including diverse community organizations and SMW businesses in their processes.

# **10.** Are you aware of any best practices or model codes (i.e., rules or regulations) on increasing grant/contract opportunities for SMW businesses?

See responses to Question 1. above. In addition, the grantees should partner with SMW Chambers and continue conversations with the Office of Diversity and Economic Development at the U.S. Department of Treasury.

# 11. Do you have examples of successful programs for ensuring robust participation by SMW businesses at federal, state, and local levels and what characteristics do they have to make them successful?

See responses to question 6. above. In addition:

- San Antonio created a Small Business Department for minority businesses and increased accepted opportunities by 40%.
- ABC Telecom provides all telecom services to the government entity. It is important to understand the scope of work for any particular contract and how to separate the various components for SMW companies to participate. ABC Telecom uses an Application Programming Interface that allows access to internal purchasing, rather than the company making those orders, so that subcontractors can fill those orders.
- Contract caps negatively impact SMW businesses. Caps typically are set at \$4 million for black-owned firms, which can participate in the Small Business Administration's 8A Certification program for minority-owned businesses for no more than 9 years. Those caps disallow black-owned contractors from participating in major sole-source contracts and from building intergenerational wealth. USBC is asking for a \$20 million cap on contracts and 20 years on 8A Certification. There should be reciprocity between the certification programs of the USBC and the SBA; all programs should be held accountable for ensuring opportunities for all SMW businesses.

• Provide examples of programs that have established contractors work with SMW businesses and help develop their business plans. Have collective reports by the center of excellence and have information synthesized for review and accountability.

# 12. Are there examples in the private sector and what characteristics make them successful?

Examples in the private sector include:

- The City of Atlanta ensured that SMW businesses benefited from public dollars for the Atlanta Airport.
- Corporations committed to spending \$6 billion with Black firms after the murder of George Floyd, although less than \$250 million has been spent.
- The Wireless Infrastructure Association (see above).
- Replevin, which oversaw a three-year contract to replace utility meters with smart meters. The company was able to hire three more workers to monitor this contract. It met with utility companies to create sustainability and to provide ongoing work based upon the current relationship, which gives opportunities to SMW businesses.

### 13. Any other recommendations or thoughts for us?

- Sole sourcing and the general nine-year time limit for contracts is a problem for minority firms. Generally, those inhibit the opportunity for Black firms to create generational wealth.
- The processes for contracting need more transparency. It is not straightforward if minority firms are participating with prime contractors and there is no accountability.
- Having reasonable conditions is important, which may require a revision on how things are currently approached.
- Providers of telecommunication services often bundle procurements into multimillion dollar bid opportunities, which mostly puts these procurement opportunities out of the reach of diverse prime and second tier suppliers. Ironically, prime company winners of these large grants and contracts subsequently unbundle the procurements and subcontract to second tier companies, and they often outsource to third tier diverse suppliers. The economic disadvantages of this practice to second and particularly third tier diverse contractors are obvious and must be addressed.
  - a. With respect to broadband funding to grantees under present federal programs, this type of bundling procurement process is a serious

impediment to extending broadband to underserved and rural minority communities. Additionally, it could slow down the development of a welltrained telecommunications workforce that this broadband funding is mandated to reach. And most certainly, it will not enhance the utilization level of diverse suppliers.

### PART THREE: Report and Recommendations from the Diversity and Equity Working Group – Digital Discrimination and Inclusive Populations

**Introduction:** While the Commission requested the CEDC offer recommendations to the Commission on model policies and best practices for States and localities to prevent digital discrimination by Internet Service Providers (ISPs), the Diversity and Equity (D&E) Working Group was specifically charged with exploring the issue of digital discrimination from a broad and complex perspective that impacts marginalized communities across the country. The Working Group delved into interviewing a diverse group of subject matter experts to determine what populations are most affected by the lack of sufficient and widely available online access. Given the D&E Working Group's mission to affirmatively advance equity, civil rights, racial justice and equal opportunity in the telecommunications industry, the Working Group concluded that the CEDC's efforts to respond to the request from the Commission, and subsequently the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA),<sup>169</sup> may not truly cover all potential marginalized communities.

The Working Group found through interviews with subject matter experts that the Commission should view the concept of "digital discrimination" more broadly and with guidance from the Communications Act of 1934 and the Telecommunications Act of 1996, which clearly states that the agency is charged with creating and encouraging access for all residents of the United States.

Under this framework for advancing equity and inclusion, populations that extend beyond normal and prescribed federally protected categories will be covered by any statutory suggestion of the reverse of "digital discrimination," including those bound by age, economic limitations, access to local digital upskilling tools, language proficiency, sexual orientation, gender, genderidentification, and disability, among other potentially intersectional categories.

Thus, the Working Group offers both broad and specific recommendations that assist the Commission to promote greater inclusivity of populations who experience singular, multiple, and other vulnerabilities not necessarily defined or clear in the limited language of the IIJA statute around what constitutes discrimination in broadband service, adoption, and use.

Furthermore, the current charge by the Commission to the CEDC to define "digital discrimination" -pursuant to the effort to recommend model policies and best practices for ISPs to avoid it - may lead to a definition that may conflict with other congressional and previously substantiated definitions of the problem. While not addressed in Part One of this report, the D & & E Working Group found in its research a definition of digital discrimination that is unrelated to deployment by ISPs. Karen Yeung and Martin Lodge, co-authors of Algorithmic Regulation in 2019 define digital discrimination as unfair, unethical, or just differential treatment based on access to personal data that is automatically processed by an algorithm. They further underscore that instances of discrimination often found in digital formats are often reproductions of discrimination in the offline world, either inheriting the biases of prior decision-makers, or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>169</sup> Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act, Pub. L. 117-58, 135 Stat. 429, 117th Cong. (2021), <u>https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/BILLS-117hr3684enr/pdf/BILLS-117hr3684enr.pdf</u> ("Infrastructure Act").

simply reflecting widespread prejudices in society.<sup>170</sup> While the specific IIJA charge is not directly related to how emerging technologies facilitate greater precision of structural discrimination, it is worth pointing to the inferences that are extracted that contribute to multiple layers of the types of inequalities imposed on vulnerable populations.

Key conclusions of the D&E Working Group's efforts are that:

## Equal access may not necessarily result in equal treatment and outcomes

Having equal access to connectivity does not guarantee all demographic groups can adopt or fully utilize broadband and technology services available to them. The main reasons for lack of uptake include affordability, lack of education, lack of digital skills, lack of accommodations for accessibility, lack of meaningful language access, and useability needs, lack of role models, and lack of trust. Such findings were gleaned from a series of interviews conducted with various subject matter experts and local stakeholders. Select findings from some of the interviews are presented below:

## Equal access does not result in equality

- *Broadband Research Firm* In a survey of 10,000 consumers from one ISP's footprint, the firm found that 22% of respondents said that their broadband service was too expensive, 8% of respondents were not interested in using the service, and 3% were concerned about data collection efforts and thought higher speeds made them more susceptible to hackers. The survey also found that promotions about affordability programs like the Emergency Broadband Benefit (EBB) were less likely to reach older populations.
- Advocacy Organization for the hearing-impaired When there is equal access to communications, access alone is not enough to close the digital divide. Fortunately, there are a wide variety of digital solutions available to support the total life experience of deaf and hard of hearing people. Currently, hearing-impaired communities rely heavily on video-based communication, but the cost of high-speed broadband services necessary to support video is a barrier for some people. A lack of accommodations in the workplace also can prevent deaf and hard of hearing people from taking full advantage of employment opportunities. It's imperative for workplaces to identify a person's communications preferences (Do they sign? Do they wear a hearing aid? Are they reliant on captioning?) and implement best practices to accommodate those preferences.
- *Smart city initiative in major metropolitan area* Affordability and digital literacy are major barriers to adoption of broadband services for many residents of this large metropolitan area. While many of the large metropolitan residents are aware of subsidies available to them, their lack of trust in institutions and the lack of educational programs prevent them from taking advantage of affordable options as we see an example of in the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>170</sup> Natalia Criado and Jose M Such. "Digital Discrimination." In Algorithmic Regulation, edited by Karen Yeung, and Martin Lodge. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2019. Oxford Scholarship Online, 2019. doi: 10.1093/oso/9780198838494.003.0004.

report Achieving Digital Equity in Baltimore.<sup>171</sup> This study by the Johns Hopkins 21<sup>st</sup> Century Cities Initiative found that affordability and digital literacy are major barriers to adoption of broadband services.

- *Foundation* The leader of a distinguished foundation focused on leveling the playing field when it comes to technology investments among diverse start-ups shared that "Very few people understand the algorithms of technology even within the companies creating them and the impact they have on communities of color and employment. Artificial intelligence can filter out applicants and can impact the entire application process. This can impact access to high wage and growth jobs for marginalized communities." A recent study found that 80% of Black loan applicants were denied based on algorithms. Furthermore, online platforms are also associated with the increase in misinformation and disinformation, disproportionately impacting vulnerable populations who cannot decipher through the accelerated sophistication of emerging technologies. The inability to decipher misinformation decipher misinformation, which can lead to confusion, can create a chilling effect preventing marginalized communities from accessing resources, applying for jobs, and developing digital literacy.
- *Veterans Association* Digital discrimination is compounded by a range of other social and economic challenges, especially among veterans and military families. On average, 200,000 individuals transition out of service into civilian life annually with most going directly into the civilian workforce or higher education. Twenty five percent of veterans live in rural communities, compared to 17% of non-veterans meaning our veterans might have less access online and face higher rates of digital discrimination just because of their geographic location.

These snippets from a wide range of stakeholders suggest that when defining and developing solutions around digital discrimination, it is imperative to first identify the various populations explicitly and implicitly impacted by the lack of sufficient, equal access and opportunity to connect to high-speed broadband, and to recognize that these populations may not cohesively show up or be covered by the statutory aspirations of the IIJA when it comes to protections against "digital discrimination."

As it stands, the Working Group believes how the IIJA defines equal access in Section 60506 does not fully align with prior statutory language that clearly states that clearly states, "the Commission should take steps to ensure that all people in the United States benefit from equal access to broadband internet access service;" and the provisions of the Communications Act of 1934 and the Telecommunications Act of 1996 that clearly charge the Commission with ensuring ALL citizens have equal access. The IIJA excludes discrimination around age, sexual orientation, gender, gender identity, geographic location, or disabilities.

Thus, we strongly urge the commission to expand the definition under which they approach digital discrimination to comply with the original Communications Act of 1934. The D&E

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>171</sup> Mary Miller and Mac McCormas, *Achieving Digital Equity in Baltimore* (Baltimore, MD, Johns Hopkins University's 21<sup>st</sup> Century Cities Initiative, January 2021), <u>https://21cc.jhu.edu/research/current-baltimore-research/achieving-digital-equity-in-baltimore/</u>.

Working Group also finds that definitions around who is impacted by "digital discrimination" needs to be further explored, and the intersectionality of singular, and multiple circumstances and identities be further incorporated into the IIJA's statutory goals.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

To proactively address these perceived discrepancies among covered populations by the statute, the D&E Working Group proposes the following recommendations:

1. The Commission needs to examine and expand the definition of "equal access" to facilitate greater adoption and use of high-speed broadband, especially among populations experiencing a range of inequalities resulting from a protected characteristic, or an intersection of various attributes or social determinants that limit their full digital engagement.

Based upon the feedback heard during interviews, there is not a one-size fits-all approach to ensuring equal access since diverse groups have different needs and confront different barriers. In addition to equal access broadband infrastructure, we must also ensure access to resources such as digital skills training programs and the promotion of affordability programs. Technology should be made accessible and useable for individuals with disabilities, the aging population, people who are limited in language capacity, and made available to fit the needs of all individuals and communities.

2. The Commission should play a more active role in promoting the relevance of highspeed broadband among populations where broadband can improve quality of lives and increase consumer demand for more equitably deployed broadband services.

Here, the D&E Working Group espouses that as a complement to efforts to define "digital discrimination," the Commission also encourages the following best practices among States and localities to make their work more inclusive and equitable:

A. Increase outreach and awareness about existing affordability programs that address broadband access among various populations, including veterans, the limited English-proficient LGBTQ+, the disabled, and older populations.

States and localities should leverage existing affordability programs like the Affordable Connectivity Program (ACP) to increase broadband adoption rates. They also should encourage local organizations to promote affordability options and digital skills programs to their communities. Partnerships between these local organizations and industry stakeholders can help ensure a viable pathway toward hiring and retention among underrepresented groups.

B. Encourage community engagement in digital skilling and adoption activities.

Partnerships between community organizations and industry stakeholders also can help highlight the unique ways connectivity can provide workforce development opportunities and workplace accommodations to marginalized groups. Some examples of these levels of engagement include:

- Comcast's Lift Zones are centers throughout the nation developed in partnership with local community-based organizations to help connect low-income families to the internet so that they can fully participate in educational opportunities and the digital economy. Lift Zones are designed to supplement the Internet Essentials program to help students as well as older people get online.<sup>172</sup>
- AT&T is building 20 AT&T Connected Learning Centers in under-resourced communities across the U.S. to provide students and families free access to AT&T Fiber internet, Wi-Fi, and computers, as well as education, tutoring and mentoring resources.<sup>173</sup>
- CompTIA partnered with Dallas-based Girls Embracing Mothers to provide a 12week training course, vouchers for the CompTIA A+ certification exam, and a financial stipend to 10 formerly incarcerated mothers in the fall of 2021. None of the program participants had any experience or background in technology but were given the opportunity to learn new skills to further their professional development through this pilot training program.<sup>174</sup>
- Microsoft launched an initiative to help more people acquire digital skills<sup>175</sup> as well as its AI for Accessibility Initiative<sup>176</sup> in partnership with Georgia Tech to accelerate the development of accessible AI solutions for people with disabilities.
  - Verizon Innovative Learning supports Verizon's digital inclusion goal to help provide ten million youths with digital skills training by 2030, providing students free technology, access, and a next generation, techinfused curriculum. In addition, Verizon has programs that provide digital skills training to adults in rural communities with a specific focus on people of color and partnerships with 11 historically black colleges and universities to provide 15,000 adults with basic digital skills.<sup>177</sup>

<sup>176</sup> Microsoft, "AI for Accessibility," accessed May 18, 2022, <u>https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/ai/ai-for-accessibility.</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>172</sup> Comcast, "Lift Zones," accessed July 12, 2022, <u>https://corporate.comcast.com/impact/digital-equity/lift-zones</u>.
<sup>173</sup> AT&T, "AT&T Connected Learning," accessed May 18, 2022, https://about.att.com/csr/home/society/education.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>174</sup> CompTIA, "New Hopes, New Opportunities: Girls Embracing Mothers and CompTIA Helping Dallas Area Women Build Futures for Themselves and Their Children", accessed July 15, 2022.

https://www.comptia.org/newsroom/2021/10/27/new-hopes-new-opportunities-girls-embracing-mothers-and-comptia-helping-dallas-area-women-build-futures-for-themselves-and-their-

children#:~:text=CompTIA%20Press%20Releases&text=DALLAS%20%E2%80%93%20Ten%20Dallas%20area%20mothers,based%20Girls%20Embracing%20Mothers%2C%20Inc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>175</sup> Microsoft, "Microsoft launches initiative to help 25 million people worldwide acquire the digital skills needed in a COVID-19 economy", accessed May 18, 2022, <u>https://blogs.microsoft.com/blog/2020/06/30/microsoft-launches-initiative-to-help-25-million-people-worldwide-acquire-the-digital-skills-needed-in-a-covid-19-economy/</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>177</sup> Verizon, "Verizon Innovative Learning," accessed May 18, 2022,

https://www.verizon.com/about/responsibility/digital-inclusion/verizon-innovativelearning.

 Charter offers support to community organizations through Spectrum Digital Education grants, which provide computers, digital education classes, and technology labs for thousands across the country.<sup>178</sup> In 2021, Charter launched Spectrum Community Assist ("SCA"), a \$30 million, 5-year commitment to improve community centers and enhance jobs skills for communities across Charter's footprint. Through SCA, Charter is revitalizing community centers with physical improvements, gig internet service, and job skills training across underserved, rural, and urban communities.<sup>179</sup>

### C. Promote digital skilling in K-12 education

To advance equal access to job opportunities in the tech sectors, broadband infrastructure and technology education must be available to all communities from an early age. States and localities should support starting digital education as early as Kindergarten to empower students to become deeply engaged digital citizens with fundamental digital literacy skills. In addition to expanding access to home broadband, states should look at how they are investing in teachers and school resources to provide connectivity and the education needed around that connectivity in K-12 education.

# D. Support for training programs for groups transitioning into civilian life, especially among veterans and ex-offenders.

During structured interviews, some experts described the technology and workforce challenges people face when re-entering civilian life. While veterans are educated about how to attain a job or healthcare benefits upon leaving the military, they typically are not made aware of options for gaining access to connectivity. States and localities can help by promoting connectivity options to the veteran community. Formerly incarcerated citizens are also further marginalized upon reentering society due to lack of digital skills. This problem can be addressed by providing justiceimpacted populations with access to technology and digital literacy courses while they are incarcerated. Access to technology must also expand to halfway houses and other institutions that house and assist justice-impacted individuals while they transition out of the prison system.

# *E. Removal of technical and economic barriers to accelerate broadband deployment, including regulatory overreach.*

While the IIJA's \$65 billion commitment to broadband deployment is a landmark investment in the goal of universal connectivity, States and localities can take further steps to prepare their communities to receive broadband service. In addition to promoting funding programs, policies should aim to remove technical and economic barriers that slow down deployment. Broadband providers and community partners can face several delays and obstacles along the deployment journey. This can include supply chain issues, topographical challenges, acquiring access rights for infrastructure (railroad tracks, highways, bridges) and private property (landlord permissions, HOA rules, wiring inside buildings), negotiating utility pole attachments, and navigating rules

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>178</sup> Charter, Spectrum Digital Education, <u>https://corporate.charter.com/digital-education/grants</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>179</sup> Charter, Spectrum Community Assist, <u>https://corporate.charter.com/community-assist</u>.

that seek to protect and preserve historic districts.<sup>180</sup> States and localities should take the necessary actions to remove these regulatory barriers to accelerate and encourage continued investment in broadband infrastructure deployment.

- *F.* Develop, fund, and promote digital skilling programs and access to technology for mature workers and the aging population
  - a. Support for Mature Workers

According to the Indeed Hiring Lab, "unretirement" is on the rise in the United States as older workers are returning to the labor market. Analysis suggests an estimated 1.5 million retirees have returned over the past year, citing rising cost of living against their fixed incomes. Over the course of the past 50 years, mature workers have significantly increased and played an important role in the labor market. However, mature workers are being left behind from digital skilling programs and opportunities.

## b. Support for the Aging Population

The digital divide is worsening for the aging population and not enough resources exist to help older adults overcome barriers to digital access. Information and communication technologies can enable an older adult to access healthcare safely and can often be the key to overcoming isolation. An investment to bridge the digital divide experienced by older people should be made to develop programs to help them not only learn but gain access to the technology is needed now more than ever.

The proposed resolution from the D&E Working Group to expand the covered entities under the IIJA's charge to the Commission around the definition of "digital discrimination" should be further explored, and States and localities can support such inclusivity by advancing policies and engaging in collaborative outreach that encompass the wide range of historically disadvantaged and other marginalized populations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>180</sup> Diana Eisner, "Broadband Deployment: Smoothing the Nation's Path to 100 Percent Connectivity," USTelecom, May 12, 2022, <u>https://ustelecom.org/broadband-deployment-smoothing-the-nations-path-to-100-percent-connectivity/</u>.

# **APPENDIX A – LIST OF DIVERSITY AND EQUITY WORKING GROUP INTERVIEWEES AND SURVEY RESPONDENTS**

## **Interviewees**

- Michael Adams, CEO, Sage
- Brittany Barnett, Founder, Girls Embracing Mothers; Founder, Buried Alive Project
- Faith Bautista, CEO, National Diversity Coalition
- Paula Boyd, Senior Director, Government and Regulatory Affairs, Microsoft
- Dr. Iva Carruthers, General Secretary, Samuel DeWitt Proctor Conference
- Jonathan Chaplin, Wall Street Analyst
- Shelbi Doyeto, Operations Manager, United Keetoowah Bank (UKB) of Cherokee Indians, Oklahoma
- Charles Eaton, CEO, Creating IT Futures
- **Roger Entner**, Founder, Recon Analytics
- J. Michael Haney, Ph.D., Vice Chancellor for Strategic Initiatives and Innovation, IVMF Founder and Executive Director, Institute for Veterans and Military Families, Syracuse University
- Victoria Holland, Esq., Devol Law
- **Dr. Nicol Howard**, University of the Redlands
- **Dr. Nicol Pinkard**, Founder, Digital Youth Network
- Mac McComas, Senior Program Manager, 21<sup>st</sup> Century Cities Initiative
- Johns Hopkins University
- Travis Noland, Government Relations, Cherokee Nation
- Dr. Allison Scott, CEO, Kapor Foundation
- Chris Soukup, CEO, Communications Services for the Deaf

### Survey Respondents

• Joon Bang, CEO, Iona Senior Services

- Karyne Jones, CEO, National Council on Black Aging
- Christopher Wood, Executive Director, LGBT Technology Partnership & Institute