Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836432 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 2:56:51 AM
City/State/Zip: Beaumont, Texas 77706
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Please take action to defend the Internet. We MUST NOT let corporate thieves turn the greatest communications system in history into a tepid, dull extension of the criminal corporatocracy!

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.
Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838414 - Andrew's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/29/2016 3:13:50 AM
City/State/Zip: Saint Charles, Missouri 63304
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836434 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 2:58:02 AM
City/State/Zip: San Jose, California 95134
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837558 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:49:14 AM
City/State/Zip: Tucson, Arizona 85739
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-Mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps — a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838415 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/29/2016 3:15:01 AM
City/State/Zip: Canal Winchester, Ohio 43110
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 833164 - Data Caps (Comcast)
Date: 2/25/2016 4:17:10 PM
City/State/Zip: Johnson City, Tennessee 37615
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Data Capping (300gb) a month...How is this still a thing?
Ticket: # 836447 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 3:16:45 AM
City/State/Zip: Cary, North Carolina 27519
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836446 - XXX XXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 3:15:35 AM
City/State/Zip: Weaverville, North Carolina 28787
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836448 - XXXXX XXXXX’s complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 3:17:56 AM  
City/State/Zip: Anderson, California 96007  
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836449 - XXXXX XXXXXX 's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 3:19:07 AM
City/State/Zip: Gaffney, South Carolina 29341
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836450 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 3:20:18 AM
City/State/Zip: Kissimmee, Florida 34747
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838416 - XXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/29/2016 3:18:28 AM
City/State/Zip: Pueblo, Colorado 81003
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835684 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 5:53:31 PM
City/State/Zip: Miami, Florida 33147
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838418 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/29/2016 3:21:58 AM
City/State/Zip: Bellingham, Washington 98229
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837549 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX 's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:38:36 AM
City/State/Zip: Trenton, New Jersey 08690
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836452 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 3:22:40 AM
City/State/Zip: Lafayette, California 94549
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836453 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/27/2016 3:23:50 AM
City/State/Zip: Cedar Falls, Iowa 50613
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838419 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/29/2016 3:24:17 AM
City/State/Zip: Saint Louis, Missouri 63101
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837559 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

**Date:** 2/28/2016 6:50:25 AM  
**City/State/Zip:** Bear, Delaware 19701  
**Company Complaining About:** Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

**Description**

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837540 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:24:34 AM
City/State/Zip: Jackson Heights, New York 11372
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838422 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/29/2016 3:28:57 AM  
City/State/Zip: Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102  
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
It was heartening that the FCC supported Net Neutrality a year ago, but since then I have noticed that the service (provided by Comcast in my area) has got worse and I have to wait longer to get things done than previously. As I am a small business owner this is a big problem as it means that it takes a long time to do my transactions. So many people rely on the internet for work and it is galling to know that internet providers are favouring leisure interests above the necessary tasks that we all need to do. These companies risk ‘killing the goose that laid the golden eggs’ by their destructive behavior and if they are allowed to get away with it then the internet will become just another good idea that failed to reach its potential, and millions of Americans will be shut out from being able to earn a living.

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.
In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836454 - XXXXXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 3:25:02 AM
City/State/Zip: San Francisco, California 94127
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836455 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/27/2016 3:26:13 AM
City/State/Zip: Oakland, California 94606
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837562 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:53:58 AM
City/State/Zip: Minnetonka, Minnesota 55345
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836461 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 3:33:12 AM
City/State/Zip: Gardnerville, Nevada 89460
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837563 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:55:09 AM
City/State/Zip: Dansville, New York 14437
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836831 - Issued data cap with no warning

Date: 2/27/2016 1:53:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Kingman, Arizona 86401
Company Complaining About: Sudden Link

Description
Issued an unreasonable data cap and has a monoply on the broadband in our area
Ticket: # 837550 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/28/2016 6:39:47 AM
City/State/Zip: Kents Store, Virginia 23084
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836462 - XXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 3:35:31 AM
City/State/Zip: Sacramento, California 95816
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837564 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 7:01:00 AM
City/State/Zip: Jacksonville, Florida 32207
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on...
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836463 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 3:37:49 AM
City/State/Zip: Columbus, Ohio 43202
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-Mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 829597 - non disclosure of data caps when setting up service

Date: 2/24/2016 11:01:26 AM
City/State/Zip: Lawrenceville, Georgia 30044
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

I am a new consumer of Comcast this only my second month of service with them. I was never informed there was data cap until I started receiving e-mails from comcast about data usage. When asked for proof where they disclosed this to me and proof of where I acknowledged it they simply kept stating a manager will call you back. Who in their right mind would want to be limited at home for data service, this why everyone tries to use their wi-fi at home because of limited data plans on mobile devices. The rep also said you know there’s no usage if you only stream from our app, really so what if I want to use another service or you tube, so now are they allowed to dictate what other internet websites or service I can use. This makes no sense
Ticket: # 836464 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/27/2016 3:39:00 AM
City/State/Zip: Dannemora, New York 12929
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837551 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:40:58 AM
City/State/Zip: Manhattan, Kansas 66503

Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837552 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:42:09 AM
City/State/Zip: Houston, Texas 77031
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836465 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 3:42:26 AM
City/State/Zip: Valencia, California 91354
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837553 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:43:20 AM
City/State/Zip: Wilkes Barre, Pennsylvania 18702
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836469 - Frank's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 3:47:11 AM
City/State/Zip: San Jose, California 95126
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can't be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837554 - XXX XXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:44:30 AM
City/State/Zip: Harbor Springs, Michigan 49740
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837566 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 7:03:22 AM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98125
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836470 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 3:49:29 AM
City/State/Zip: Sacramento, California 95818
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837567 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 7:04:33 AM
City/State/Zip: Brooklyn, New York 11233
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836471 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior  
Date: 2/27/2016 3:50:40 AM  
City/State/Zip: Weaverville, North Carolina 28787  
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description  
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862093 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 3/14/2016 6:09:26 PM
City/State/Zip: Fort Collins, Colorado 80525
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837555 - XXXXX XXXXX 's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:45:41 AM
City/State/Zip: Albuquerque, New Mexico 87122
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836473 - Loren's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 3:54:09 AM

City/State/Zip: Vergas, Minnesota 56587

Company Complaini

ng About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838066 - Donald's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 7:05:11 PM
City/State/Zip: Loveland, Colorado 80537
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836474 - XXXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/27/2016 3:55:20 AM
City/State/Zip: Reno, Nevada 89512
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838067 - Kevin's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 7:06:21 PM
City/State/Zip: Cottage Grove, Minnesota 55016
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836475 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 3:57:38 AM
City/State/Zip: Cleveland, Tennessee 37311
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836476 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 3:58:49 AM
City/State/Zip: Canfield, Ohio 44406
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836477 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 4:01:08 AM
City/State/Zip: Sister Bay, Wisconsin 54234
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836478 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/27/2016 4:02:19 AM
City/State/Zip: Riverside, California 92501
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers' data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers' data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can't be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: these companies hate the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
**Ticket: # 837568 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior**

**Date:** 2/28/2016 7:05:44 AM  
**City/State/Zip:** Berkeley, California 94708  
**Company Complaining About:** Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

---

**Description**

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837470 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 4:11:12 AM
City/State/Zip: Greenville, South Carolina 29609
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836479 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/27/2016 4:03:30 AM
City/State/Zip: Brookline, Massachusetts 02446
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837487 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 4:38:05 AM
City/State/Zip: Ventura, California 93001
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers' data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers' data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can't be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 831129 - Comcast Data Cap
Date: 2/24/2016 5:45:06 PM
City/State/Zip: Atlanta, Georgia 30339
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast monopolistic attitude toward the consumer is starting to become a stifling force that can't be avoided. Their abuse of the consumers' need for their services due to their control of large areas (such as Atlanta that is part of their trial data cap area) has now been taken to another level with data capping customers without any notification until they're close to their limit. I recently received the notification that i'm at 110% of my data usage and that continuing usage is accruing more charges. This action is in no doubt a response to the "wirecutting" that's going on with people cancelling their cable TV plans with Comcast due to their horribly inconsistent service along with poor customer service resolutions in which now there is a flow of support toward DirecTV and wireless video app options. This in turn is creating a control on the market that i believe to be dangerous and shows to limit the use of already paid subscriptions such as Netflix, Hulu, Amazon prime, and YouTube. I don't even know if this is a pebble in the bucket of many complaints to express my frustration for this new trend Comcast is starting, but I would like to play whatever part necessary to prevent any further injustices by Comcast to exert their force on the marketplace which essentially drastically effects open internet and net neutrality conditions that everyone person with internet service enjoys.
Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Net neutrality is freedom and justice. Thomas Paine would have and Alexander Hamilton would have supported it. Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.
Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836401 - Stop Data Caps!
Date: 2/27/2016 2:04:35 AM
City/State/Zip: Oxford, Alabama 36203
Company Complaining About: Time Warner

Description
I pay for accesses to the internet and good speed how much data I use should be none of there business rather i use 5mb a month or 5000gb doesn't matter stop Data caps please.
Ticket: # 836480 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 4:04:42 AM
City/State/Zip: Chatsworth, California 91311
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836402 - FRANCISCO's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 2:04:35 AM  
City/State/Zip: San Diego, California 92154  
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836482 - X XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 4:07:04 AM
City/State/Zip: Des Moines, Iowa 50314
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836407 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 2:09:15 AM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97206
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Cox has recently implemented data caps back in December of 2015. I also do not remember getting a formal notification of this idiotic implementation. I am consistently over or on the verge of going over this cap. Currently, they are not charging for this, except in the state of Ohio. This is unacceptable practice. The flow of information and entertainment should NOT be capped (especially the information bit, but who doesn't watch constant Netflix?) I think this is unfair. I do not pay for a certain amount of data, I pay for a service. You are not allocated a certain amount of water, or a certain amount of electricity, and in this day and age, Internet is a utility. With the rise of technology, and improvements in education, an unlimited amount of data should be allowed. I pay for bandwidth, NOT data. This should be considered a crime, and any business implementing these practices should be ashamed of themselves.
Ticket: # 836409 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 2:11:37 AM
City/State/Zip: San Tan Valley, Arizona 85143
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 831877 - Internet Data caps unacceptable.

Date: 2/25/2016 12:42:01 AM

City/State/Zip: Dearborn, Michigan 48126

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
No internet provider should have a data cap. ISP should only throttle users who abuse the network and in. The people of The United States of America, prefer to pay 99¢ for a mp3 delivered in high quality to all of my devices, than risk malware and viruses from pirated material.

The people of the United States of America demand unlimited access to content that is legally purchased and delivered to the highest of quality an end user's device is capable of delivering.

When you pay for something in the United States of America, you get your money worth. We understand people work hard for their money and when they decide to spend it they have the right to enjoy it and not be restricted by so called "data cap" for an untangle product. With respect to the Law.

Thank you~
Ticket: # 836419 - X XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 2:23:24 AM
City/State/Zip: Houston, Texas 77082
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838177 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 9:22:41 PM  
City/State/Zip: Oceanside, California 92056  
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836483 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/27/2016 4:08:15 AM
City/State/Zip: Two Rivers, Wisconsin 54241
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838180 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 9:23:52 PM
City/State/Zip: Mission Viejo, California 92691
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837572 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 7:12:42 AM
City/State/Zip: San Rafael, California 94903
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837573 - XXXXXX XXXX’s complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 7:13:53 AM
City/State/Zip: Naples, Florida 34102
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838202 - XXXXXXXX XXXX 's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 9:39:01 PM
City/State/Zip: Elmira, New York 14901
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838204 - XXXXXXX XXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 9:40:11 PM
City/State/Zip: Wilmette, Illinois 60091
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-Mobile

Description
Hello Mr. Durbin,

I address you as a Freshman at New Trier High School, and I ask you to once again support the cause of Net Neutrality.

Last year, under the Obama administration, strong net neutrality rules were finally passed, which enforced and made it law the basic principle that makes the internet what it is: an equal playing field, where all data is treated equally. This fundamental truth had been challenged by the internet service companies, who through their lobbying, had made the laws' ratification arduous. I remember that you had supported the movement for change; you were a cosponsor on the Internet Freedom Preservation Act of 2007, and you voted against the S.J. Resolution 6, which tried to shut down the FCC's net neutrality rules. I, as well as other student internet users, are grateful for your participation in keeping the internet fair.

Now, however, the same internet service providers who had littered the way to net neutrality with stones and spikes are trying to circumvent the principles again. They are imposing unreasonable data caps, and if you pass them, they are throttling. They are making certain services unavailable to users, and keeping others safe. This is a blatant attack on net neutrality. It's worse than the "hyperspeed-lane" idea. It's the threat of a "Kennedy Expressway during Chicago at the rush hour, with heavy snow and fog. Also construction."

Even I, young as I am, know that this is wrong. I know that you do too, since you were voted for by the people, as someone who can make the right decisions. I trust that you will stop this atrocity from continuing.

Thank you,

(b)(6)
Ticket: # 838205 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 9:41:22 PM
City/State/Zip: Roseville, California 95678
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836445 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 3:14:24 AM
City/State/Zip: Olympia, Washington 98506
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837574 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/28/2016 7:15:03 AM
City/State/Zip: Madison, Wisconsin 53705
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836484 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX’s complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 4:09:25 AM
City/State/Zip: Bear, Delaware 19701
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837575 - Keith's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 7:16:14 AM
City/State/Zip: Turner, Maine 04282
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838220 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 9:49:32 PM
City/State/Zip: Woodridge, Illinois 60517
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838262 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/28/2016 10:22:02 PM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98103
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837979 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:21:47 PM
City/State/Zip: Miami, Florida 33173
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837490 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 4:41:37 AM
City/State/Zip: Fullerton, California 92832
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-Mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838263 - XXXXXX XXXXX 's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 10:23:14 PM
City/State/Zip: East Providence, Rhode Island 02914
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836485 - Toni's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 4:10:36 AM
City/State/Zip: Cincinnati, Ohio 45230
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837491 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 4:43:56 AM
City/State/Zip: East Wenatchee, Washington 98802
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837501 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 4:59:00 AM
City/State/Zip: Pinebluff, North Carolina 28373
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837502 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/28/2016 5:00:11 AM
City/State/Zip: Bainbridge, New York 13733
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838265 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 10:24:25 PM
City/State/Zip: Mamou, Louisiana 70554
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837503 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 5:01:23 AM
City/State/Zip: Lakeway, Texas 78734
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile isfavoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836487 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 4:15:13 AM
City/State/Zip: Alameda, California 94501
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837527 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:05:48 AM
City/State/Zip: Long Branch, New Jersey 07740
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 833028 - Network Neutrality Violation
Date: 2/25/2016 3:29:17 PM
City/State/Zip: Bullhead City, Arizona 86442
Company Complaining About: Sudden Link

Description
The telecom provider Suddenlink is now enforcing arbitrary data caps on residential service, in violation of Network Neutrality. The data caps are as follows: 250gb on the 50mb/5mb plan; 350gb on the 75/7.5; 450 on the 100/7.5; 550 on the 150/7.5.

Please consider classifying Internet as a Title II utility.
Ticket: # 838331 - Cap block is preventing my studies
Date: 2/29/2016 12:01:06 AM
City/State/Zip: Dania Beach, Florida 33004
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Once again, I can not finish studying because of Comcast data blockage. I have a very small business and I am a full time college student. 100% of my studying is done on-line. I have to do research for a program. I have to raise money for my tuition. I am trying to sell products on line so I can raise the money for my research projects. In addition to all of this, I have to study for my boards.

Over the past 3 days, I have been interrupted by pop-up threatening me with more fee's. $30.00 dollars in addition because I have hit a magical cap? I have not even been home most of this month. We are in a short month and I am, once again, being haggled for additional fee's because Comcast is.... is.... is.... What exactly is Comcast? Are their feelings hurt because so many people are unplugging them? Are they not making enough money with their national monopoly?

What excuse does Comcast off for a data cap when my cell phone has unlimited access to the internet?

Should I forward my $250,000.00 dollar student loan to them? It takes alot of work to become a doctor. Should I forward transportation fee's since I have to go to the library like it is 1998? Should we remind Comcast what happened to AOL in the 90's with their petty and foolish demands to extract every penny out of the average family.

What exactly should I do? My studies are continually interrupted.

I would also like to point out that I recieved my first warning on the 27th, but the data was from the 25th. I would also like to state that Comcast has failed to contact me about this subject.... oh... wait... they did try to call me with a number that I could not actually contact anyone with. Since I am a full time college student and a business owner, I do not have the luxury of laying round my house all day waiting for them to grace me with their phone call. It was clear that the person never listens to my voicemail because I provided solutions to be able to talk on the phone.

Comcast is the most hated company in this nation. Why are they provided advantages and protection from our government?
Ticket: # 837504 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/2/2016 5:03:42 AM
City/State/Zip: Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836094 - XXXXX XXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 8:29:48 PM  
City/State/Zip: Salina, Kansas 67401  
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836489 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/27/2016 4:19:52 AM
City/State/Zip: Elizabethton, Tennessee 37643
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837507 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 5:07:14 AM
City/State/Zip: Chicago, Illinois 60657
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835720 - XXXXX XXXXX’s complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 6:05:17 PM
City/State/Zip: Urbana, Illinois 61801
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837508 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 5:08:25 AM
City/State/Zip: Los Angeles, California 90012
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837509 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 5:09:37 AM
City/State/Zip: Austin, Texas 78704
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837510 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

**Date:** 2/28/2016 5:11:55 AM  
**City/State/Zip:** Scottsdale, Arizona 85257  
**Company Complaining About:** Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-mobile

**Description**

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837511 - XXXXXX XXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 5:13:06 AM
City/State/Zip: Daytona Beach, Florida 32118
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836490 - XXXXX XXXXX 's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 4:21:02 AM  
City/State/Zip: Naperville, Illinois 60540  
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837512 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 5:14:16 AM
City/State/Zip: Leitchfield, Kentucky 42754
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836491 - XXXXX XXXXXX 's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 4:23:21 AM
City/State/Zip: Schaumburg, Illinois 60194
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836492 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 4:26:47 AM
City/State/Zip: Flagstaff, Arizona 86001
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-Mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837513 - Wen's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 5:15:27 AM
City/State/Zip: Lawrenceville, Georgia 30044
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836118 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 8:43:49 PM
City/State/Zip: Columbus, Ohio 43202
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835831 - XXXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX 's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 6:41:26 PM
City/State/Zip: San Francisco, California 94132
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835784 - chris's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 6:26:08 PM
City/State/Zip: Rohnert Park, California 94928
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835797 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 6:30:50 PM
City/State/Zip: Minneapolis, Minnesota 55431
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-Mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers' data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837514 - X XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 5:17:45 AM
City/State/Zip: Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15228
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837515 - XXXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 5:21:12 AM
City/State/Zip: Brooklyn, New York 11215
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 833963 - Horrible Data Capping by Comcast
Date: 2/25/2016 8:52:48 PM
City/State/Zip: Hollywood, Florida 33024
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Dear Sir or Madam,

I have internet only service from Comcast in the South Florida area. Comcast has instituted a 300GB per month data cap where each additional 50GB is charged at a rate of $10. It is my understanding that cable TV customers do not have video programming traveling over the same wires counted against their data cap, my viewing of any audio or video programming is logged against my data cap. This seems to privilege the video programming sold by Comcast over competing video services. Why can a cable TV customer watch 24 hour HD programming Without this usage counting against their data cap, while my viewing of HBO Now, Hulu, or Netflix accrues against the 300GB limit?
Approximately 3.5 hours of HD programming a day in a month with 30 days by one individual within a household would be impacted by Comcast's data cap. We have four members in our household and do not wish to select low quality video feeds or agree to all watch the same programs at the same time to manage our internet usage. I view the limit as arbitrarily low and the implementation of only metering signals not originating from Comcast as a preferred programming provider as opposed to the fair playing field intended by the FCC.

This is not to mention that Comcast does not provide any unbiased way to monitor your data usage or a detailed analyses of it and could easily commit fraud by reporting larger data use.

Thank you for understanding

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 835969 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 7:41:43 PM
City/State/Zip: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835840 - XXX XXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 6:47:17 PM
City/State/Zip: Conroe, Texas 77305
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836002 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 7:59:16 PM
City/State/Zip: Arnold, Maryland 21012
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836493 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 4:27:58 AM
City/State/Zip: Columbus, Ohio 43206
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835933 - XXXXX XXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 7:26:25 PM
City/State/Zip: Shoreline, Washington 98155
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-Mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836484 - XXXXXXX XXXX ‘s complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 4:29:09 AM
City/State/Zip: Mahomet, Illinois 61853
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836145 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 8:54:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Charlestown, Massachusetts 02129
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836495 - XXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/27/2016 4:30:20 AM
City/State/Zip: Florence, Alabama 35633
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836160 - Keith's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 9:00:17 PM  
City/State/Zip: Ocoee, Florida 34761  
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 834179 - Comcast Data Cap mis-use or Not accurate.
Date: 2/25/2016 11:36:28 PM
City/State/Zip: Hollywood, Florida 33021
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast has recently imposed a data cap of 300GB per month for my account, they are not providing any type of information of how data is measure, such as daily use, hourly. As of 2/25/2016 they are stating I have used 263GB of data but my router states i only used 106GB. I would like to request an investigation into this matter as i'm not the only household that this is happening to. Also this method of Data cap goes against open neutrality and third if they are going to charge for going over 300gb of usage, shouldn't we be charge based on the actual usage then? what about if we only use 10gb and not 350gb, are we getting credit back? This is a abuse tactic by Comcast to prevent users to use an alternative method of tv such as netflix, hulu, HBO one, etc since they are the only provider in my area that offer broadband access.
Ticket: # 836161 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/26/2016 9:01:28 PM
City/State/Zip: Clearwater, Florida 33763
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837528 - XXX XXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:06:59 AM
City/State/Zip: Newbury Park, California 91320
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-Mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837529 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:09:18 AM
City/State/Zip: Denver, Colorado 80202
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836328 - Anita's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 12:40:17 AM
City/State/Zip: Saugus, Massachusetts 01906
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837530 - John's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:10:29 AM
City/State/Zip: Gresham, Oregon 97030
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836496 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 4:31:32 AM
City/State/Zip: Buffalo, New York 14225
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836497 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 4:32:43 AM
City/State/Zip: New Castle, Delaware 19720
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835844 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/26/2016 6:49:36 PM
City/State/Zip: Winthrop, Massachusetts 02152
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837532 - XXXX XXXXXX 's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:12:51 AM
City/State/Zip: Middle Village, New York 11379
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836499 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 4:37:21 AM
City/State/Zip: Rose City, Michigan 48654
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-Mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837999 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:28:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Lynn, Massachusetts 01902
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836500 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 4:38:32 AM
City/State/Zip: Albuquerque, New Mexico 87114
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837982 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:22:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Nitro, West Virginia 25143
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836501 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 4:40:51 AM  
City/State/Zip: Tempe, Arizona 85283  
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

All together, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837533 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:14:02 AM
City/State/Zip: Lewisville, Texas 75077
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837534 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/28/2016 6:15:13 AM
City/State/Zip: Post Falls, Idaho 83854
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836503 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 4:42:01 AM
City/State/Zip: Martinsburg, West Virginia 25405
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836064 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 8:19:13 PM
City/State/Zip: Oliver Springs, Tennessee 37840
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836330 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 12:42:36 AM
City/State/Zip: Midvale, Utah 84047
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836335 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 12:44:58 AM
City/State/Zip: Mansfield, Texas 76063
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836359 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 1:10:46 AM
City/State/Zip: Westcliffe, Colorado 81252
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837535 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:16:23 AM
City/State/Zip: Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73107
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-Mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837570 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 7:09:13 AM
City/State/Zip: Tulsa, Oklahoma 74104
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.
Ticket: # 837537 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX ’s complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:21:01 AM
City/State/Zip: Sunland, California 91040
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836337 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 12:46:08 AM
City/State/Zip: Miami, Florida 33138
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.
Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837465 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 4:00:44 AM
City/State/Zip: Olympia, Washington 98502
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835775 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 6:21:24 PM
City/State/Zip: Terre Haute, Indiana 47807
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835606 - Ban zero rating!
Date: 2/26/2016 5:29:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Minneapolis, Minnesota 55419
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.
Ticket: # 835611 - Ban zero rating!

Date: 2/26/2016 5:30:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Minneapolis, Minnesota 55419
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can't be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.
Ticket: # 837536 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:18:42 AM  
City/State/Zip: Clifton Park, New York 12065  
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835635 - Ban zero rating!
Date: 2/26/2016 5:38:22 PM
City/State/Zip: Roslindale, Massachusetts 02131
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.
Ticket: # 835644 - Ban zero rating!
Date: 2/26/2016 5:40:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Austin, Texas 78729
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.
Ticket: # 835671 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/26/2016 5:47:38 PM
City/State/Zip: Cambridge, Wisconsin 53523
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835704 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 6:00:30 PM
City/State/Zip: Syracuse, New York 13212
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835677 - XXXXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 5:48:50 PM
City/State/Zip: Raleigh, North Carolina 27613
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835691 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 5:56:59 PM
City/State/Zip: Camden, West Virginia 26338
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835694 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 5:58:10 PM
City/State/Zip: Cathedral City, California 92234
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836339 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 12:49:38 AM
City/State/Zip: Metuchen, New Jersey 08840
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835709 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 6:01:43 PM
City/State/Zip: Hendersonville, Tennessee 37075
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835714 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior  
Date: 2/26/2016 6:02:55 PM  
City/State/Zip: Social Circle, Georgia 30025  
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837481 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 4:30:59 AM
City/State/Zip: Sweet Home, Oregon 97386
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835742 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 6:11:01 PM
City/State/Zip: Rockton, Illinois 61072
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835753 - XXXXX XXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 6:13:20 PM
City/State/Zip: Olympia, Washington 98516
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835783 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 6:24:57 PM
City/State/Zip: Leominster, Massachusetts 01453
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835805 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 6:33:12 PM
City/State/Zip: Waterford, Michigan 48328
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835786 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 6:27:20 PM
City/State/Zip: Indianapolis, Indiana 46217
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835823 - ALVERA's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 6:39:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Miami Beach, Florida 33139
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836341 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 12:53:07 AM
City/State/Zip: Glen Mills, Pennsylvania 19342
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835802 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 6:32:01 PM  
City/State/Zip: San Leandro, California 94577  
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835817 - XXXXXXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 6:36:41 PM
City/State/Zip: Huntingdon Valley, Pennsylvania 19006
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835820 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 6:37:52 PM
City/State/Zip: Panama City, Florida 32417
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835835 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 6:43:45 PM
City/State/Zip: Minneapolis, Minnesota 55407
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835837 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 6:44:56 PM
City/State/Zip: New Orleans, Louisiana 70131
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-Mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835943 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 7:29:57 PM
City/State/Zip: Battle Ground, Washington 98604
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835894 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 7:07:48 PM
City/State/Zip: Springfield, Missouri 65804
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835904 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/26/2016 7:13:40 PM
City/State/Zip: Memphis, Tennessee 38104
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835906 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 7:14:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Fairbanks, Alaska 99707
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835926 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 7:20:33 PM
City/State/Zip: Plymouth, Michigan 48170
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835927 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 7:21:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Plymouth, Michigan 48170
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835929 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 7:22:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Upland, California 91786
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-Mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835937 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 7:27:36 PM
City/State/Zip: Redwood City, California 94061
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835950 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior  

Date: 2/26/2016 7:34:41 PM  
City/State/Zip: Roanoke, Virginia 24018  
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835941 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 7:28:46 PM
City/State/Zip: San Diego, California 92126
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835953 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/26/2016 7:35:52 PM
City/State/Zip: Bellefonte, Pennsylvania 16823
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835954 - Leslie's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 7:37:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Bloomington, California 92316
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835962 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 7:39:22 PM
City/State/Zip: Virginia Beach, Virginia 23462
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835971 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 7:42:54 PM
City/State/Zip: Spokane, Washington 99202
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835974 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 7:44:05 PM
City/State/Zip: Staunton, Illinois 62088
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835982 - David's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 7:47:36 PM
City/State/Zip: Penn Valley, California 95946
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835984 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 7:48:47 PM
City/State/Zip: Costa Mesa, California 92627
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
It has become obvious that the internet is now the Press and if we are to have a free press, the internet needs to be open. We are guaranteed a free press by the 1st Amendment. That did not include stipulations to be made by the providers of the press as we have now with net providers who want a closed net (aka non free press). What are you doing about up holding the law of the land.

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use
overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835987 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 7:49:57 PM
City/State/Zip: Powell, Ohio 43065
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835989 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 7:52:16 PM
City/State/Zip: Spokane, Washington 99202
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 835992 - XXX XXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 7:54:35 PM  
City/State/Zip: Los Alamos, New Mexico 87544  
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

The FCC is our hope for any kind of level playing field in business and personal communications. Big businesses have overwhelming advantages over the average American with their overwhelming resources. Please don't permit them to take Net Neutrality from us.
Ticket: # 835993 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/26/2016 7:55:46 PM
City/State/Zip: Mankato, Minnesota 56001
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836015 - XXXXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 8:02:46 PM
City/State/Zip: Saint Paul, Minnesota 55107
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836343 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 12:56:37 AM
City/State/Zip: Fullerton, California 92831

Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836037 - XXXXXXX XXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 8:09:46 PM
City/State/Zip: Boca Raton, Florida 33487
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836038 - Benjamin's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 8:10:56 PM
City/State/Zip: Crofton, Maryland 21114
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers' data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers' data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can't be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers' data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: these companies hate the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836040 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 8:12:07 PM
City/State/Zip: Tewksbury, Massachusetts 01876
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836046 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 8:13:18 PM
City/State/Zip: Fall River, Massachusetts 02720
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can't be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836056 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 8:15:40 PM
City/State/Zip: Los Angeles, California 90036
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Please stop ISPs back door attempt to thwart net neutrality.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836073 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 8:21:32 PM
City/State/Zip: Palatine, Illinois 60074
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836076 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 8:22:43 PM
City/State/Zip: Troy, Ohio 45373
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836078 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 8:23:54 PM
City/State/Zip: Chicago, Illinois 60634
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836081 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 8:25:05 PM
City/State/Zip: Alpharetta, Georgia 30022
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836083 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 8:26:16 PM  
City/State/Zip: Attleboro, Massachusetts 02703  
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836099 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 8:31:00 PM
City/State/Zip: Salina, Kansas 67401
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-Mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836102 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 8:33:19 PM
City/State/Zip: Harrison Township, Michigan 48045
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836104 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior  
Date: 2/26/2016 8:34:29 PM  
City/State/Zip: Union City, California 94587  
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description  
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836112 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 8:40:17 PM
City/State/Zip: Tallmadge, Ohio 44278
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836108 - XXXXX XXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 8:36:48 PM
City/State/Zip: Tucson, Arizona 85705
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836109 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 8:37:59 PM
City/State/Zip: Cape Coral, Florida 33993
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836120 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 8:45:00 PM
City/State/Zip: Saint Paul, Minnesota 55105
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836124 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 8:46:11 PM
City/State/Zip: Lafayette, Louisiana 70508
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836131 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 8:47:22 PM
City/State/Zip: Cleveland, Ohio 44109
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836139 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/26/2016 8:48:32 PM
City/State/Zip: Melrose, Massachusetts 02176
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836140 - XXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 8:49:43 PM
City/State/Zip: Whiting, New Jersey 08759
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836141 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 8:50:54 PM
City/State/Zip: Frederick, Maryland 21701
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836149 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/26/2016 8:55:34 PM
City/State/Zip: Vader, Washington 98593
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837483 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 4:33:21 AM
City/State/Zip: Napa, California 94559
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837484 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 4:34:32 AM
City/State/Zip: Schenectady, New York 12345
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836322 - Keith's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 12:37:57 AM
City/State/Zip: Ocoee, Florida 34761
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836324 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 12:39:07 AM
City/State/Zip: Akron, Ohio 44333
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836319 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 12:35:38 AM
City/State/Zip: Staunton, Illinois 62088
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836348 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 1:00:10 AM
City/State/Zip: Stehekin, Washington 98852
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-Mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job. Millions of voters care about these abuses to a free internet and will be watching to see if the FCC does their mandated job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836351 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 1:02:29 AM
City/State/Zip: Maywood, New Jersey 07607
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-Mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836352 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/27/2016 1:03:39 AM
City/State/Zip: Bakersfield, California 93311

Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

At least let me pay for faster speeds with no cap but no...

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.
Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps—a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836355 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 1:06:02 AM
City/State/Zip: Santa Fe, New Mexico 87507
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836356 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 1:07:13 AM
City/State/Zip: Fair Oaks, California 95628
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836357 - XXXX XXXXXXXX’s complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 1:08:24 AM
City/State/Zip: New York, New York 10011
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836364 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 1:18:55 AM
City/State/Zip: Santa Rosa, California 95404
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can't be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836365 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 1:21:14 AM  
City/State/Zip: Los Angeles, California 90039  
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836366 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 1:23:32 AM
City/State/Zip: Talcott, West Virginia 24981
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836367 - Mark's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 1:24:43 AM
City/State/Zip: Lafayette, California 94549
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can't be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836369 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/27/2016 1:28:15 AM
City/State/Zip: Sonoma, California 95476
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers' data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers' data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can't be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836370 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 1:29:26 AM
City/State/Zip: Jacksonville, Arkansas 72076
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836371 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 1:31:45 AM
City/State/Zip: North Marshfield, Massachusetts 02059
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-Mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836387 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 1:49:16 AM
City/State/Zip: Glendale, California 91205
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836391 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/27/2016 1:52:45 AM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98108
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836392 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 1:53:55 AM
City/State/Zip: Minneapolis, Minnesota 55432
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836393 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 1:55:07 AM
City/State/Zip: Fairport, New York 14450
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers' data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers' data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can't be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836395 - XXXX XXXXX 's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 1:57:29 AM
City/State/Zip: Chicago, Illinois 60611
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-Mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837542 - XXXXXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:26:56 AM
City/State/Zip: Santa Cruz, California 95062
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836411 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/27/2016 2:12:48 AM
City/State/Zip: Philomath, Oregon 97370
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836418 - XXXXXXXXXXX XXXXXX’s complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 2:21:03 AM
City/State/Zip: Buford, Georgia 30518
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836420 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 2:24:35 AM
City/State/Zip: Bloomingdale, New Jersey 07403
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836422 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 2:26:54 AM
City/State/Zip: Bend, Oregon 97702
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836423 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 2:28:04 AM
City/State/Zip: Levittown, Pennsylvania 19054
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836424 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 2:30:24 AM
City/State/Zip: Butte, Montana 59701
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836436 - XXXXX XXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 2:59:13 AM
City/State/Zip: Denver, Colorado 80203
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836437 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 3:02:39 AM
City/State/Zip: Logan, Utah 84321
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836438 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 3:05:02 AM
City/State/Zip: Cleveland, Ohio 44102
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836439 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 3:06:13 AM

City/State/Zip: Grants Pass, Oregon 97526

Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836442 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 3:09:44 AM
City/State/Zip: Wichita, Kansas 67218
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836443 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 3:10:54 AM
City/State/Zip: East Patchogue, New York 11772
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836466 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 3:43:37 AM
City/State/Zip: Arlington, Texas 76017
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836467 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 3:44:47 AM
City/State/Zip: Winter Haven, Florida 33881
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836468 - chris's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 3:45:58 AM
City/State/Zip: Rohnert Park, California 94928
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836458 - XXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 3:28:32 AM
City/State/Zip: Los Angeles, California 90046
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836459 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

**Date:** 2/27/2016 3:29:43 AM  
**City/State/Zip:** Denison, Texas 75020  
**Company Complaining About:** Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

**Description**

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836460 - XX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/27/2016 3:30:53 AM
City/State/Zip: San Mateo, California 94401
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers' data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can't be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837448 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 3:42:09 AM
City/State/Zip: East Saint Louis, Illinois 62205
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837485 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 4:35:43 AM
City/State/Zip: Neptune, New Jersey 07753
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 836615 - Residential Internet Data caps - Mediacom

Date: 2/27/2016 11:18:22 AM
City/State/Zip: Lewes, Delaware 19958
Company Complaining About: Mediacom

Description
I cut the cable cord about two years ago. My family uses Netflix, Hulu and Amazon streaming. I also have children who play internet games and Xbox live. In the last few months we have constantly been dealing with overage charges courtesy of Internet caps. My last bill featured 1000 GB in overage and a hefty $200 overage charge. They claim they are being forced to do this because of the demands of heavier Internet usage. I think they are trying to make up lost revenue on overpriced cable. I have no desire to have cable. I prefer streaming video. Data caps are basically punishing people who choose a different way. Not to mention trying to force people into giving up services like Netflix and Xbox live or other online gaming. I'm not opposed to different packages for heavier users vs light users. However, usage based billing doesn't seem like the right way to do that. It seems like a way for them to take advantage and overcharge consumers and make it harder for competitors like Netflix. I agree with many others that the FCC should investigate the practice of data caps. There has to be a better way!
Ticket: # 836658 - Internet usage data cap

Date: 2/27/2016 11:49:28 AM
City/State/Zip: Toledo, Ohio 43612
Company Complaining About: Buckeye Cable System

Description
Buckeye Cable service who is an ISP imposes a data usage cap. It is ridiculous that as far as technology has come that any kind of data cap forced on customers. There are little to no choices when it comes to High Speed Internet. This is a real concern and it will only get worse.
Ticket: # 837486 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX’s complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 4:36:54 AM
City/State/Zip: Tupelo, Mississippi 38804
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&amp;T And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&amp;T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837464 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 3:58:25 AM
City/State/Zip: Ashland, Oregon 97520
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837462 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 3:56:04 AM

City/State/Zip: Bowling Green, Ohio 43402

Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837463 - XXXXX XXXX 's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 3:57:15 AM
City/State/Zip: Sebastian, Florida 32958
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-Mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838167 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 9:17:57 PM
City/State/Zip: San Francisco, California 94110
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers' data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers' data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can't be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837473 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 4:15:52 AM
City/State/Zip: Oceanside, California 92056
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-Mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837202 - Data Cap and Local Monopoly

Date: 2/27/2016 6:50:19 PM

City/State/Zip: Knoxville, Tennessee 37909

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
A local lack of options for internet access (comcast monopoly).

Data Capped at 300gb without antiquate prior notification or when we signed up to their plan.
Ticket: # 837545 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:30:29 AM
City/State/Zip: Florence, South Carolina 29505

Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837546 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:31:40 AM  
City/State/Zip: Cave Creek, Arizona 85331  
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837801 - Comcast's 300GB cap

Date: 2/28/2016 3:46:38 PM

City/State/Zip: Homestead, Florida 33030

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I have been a Comcast customer for sometime now and I would think that members would be treated fairly and be giving discounts, deals etc. If not the least would be that there plan stay the same or there isn't any vomplain. I have the performance package with blast! Not cable tv or voice plan just internet and I pay 65 dollars a month and I am extremely happy with that plan. I am not all happy or think it is fair that all of sudden I have to pay 30 dollars extra for the same exact plan I have now or be charged a crazy amount for surpassing my data cap which just came into play. In one month my house used about 600 GB luckily I have 3 months free of overage charge. But as you can see it is about $60 extra if I don't pay $30 more a month for unlimited. The only option a customer that has been with Comcast for years has is to pay more?? I don't get that at all. They offer me a double play package that comes with cable tv and cable internet and they raise the cap to 350 and I get a few channels, which I don't even know if those channels will have any of the stations of the series I watch on my Netflix and hulu account. They don't offer a list of channels before I choose if I want the package. On top of that I have a stay at home wife who loves binge watching shoes and puts cartoons on for my daughter who is 16 months old and attention span is not long so commercials from a cable television will make her lose focus quicker. I love the ease of Netflix and hulu being on my PS4, smart TV, and cellphones, also tablet. I am not gonna be using cable TV at all so I Don't see the need to pay 20 dollars more for cable TV and 50 GB more which I will probably still pass. I really just want to know what are my options? Other internet providers either don't offer anything near the speed of xfinity on my area, if at all provide internet in my area. I am really happy with my 125 Mbps and do no see why I have to pay more when I am fine the way it is now. I forgot to add that I was told that the 300 GB was actually part of Comcast now officially. I used to think it was a trail or something, I don't know if this is valid because I didn't ask for the Comcast agents name. All I want is my performance with blast! Package to stay at $65 a month unlimited and $10 for a modem rental. I am asking a customer who has been with Comcast for a while.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837467 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 4:03:06 AM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98126
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837477 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 4:23:59 AM
City/State/Zip: Baltimore, Maryland 21224
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837547 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:36:14 AM  
City/State/Zip: Madison, Wisconsin 53719  
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Dear Sir or Madam,

I am a Comcast customer in Shreveport, LA. I have no other option for high-speed internet. They recently sent me a letter stating that they would be implementing a 300 GB a month data cap on Dec 1. Overages will be charged at 10$ per block of 50 GB over the 300 GB limit. This will not be pro-rated or rolled over per month if I don't use the entirety of the 50 GB block; meaning even a 1 byte overage will result in a 10$ charge. These overage charges are not based on the time the usage occurs, suggesting that they are not tied to network congestion or management problems. In fact, no reason was given in the letter as to why these caps and charges are being implemented. I will now have to pay an extra 35$ a month to retain the unlimited data service I have been receiving. This is a forced change that I have no way to contest or reconcile. Even more concerning, the letter states that they will be sending an "in-browser" notification when you reach certain thresholds of the data cap. It is my understanding that Comcast would be forcing me to install a browser plugin to receive this message, or use packet injection. The possible use of packet injection raises large privacy and security concerns for me, as any of the data I send or receive may be intercepted and / or modified. All of this goes against the principles of net neutrality and having a free and open internet. The way the data caps and overage charges are being implemented amounts to extortion of the consumer. Thank-you for taking the time to investigate this matter.

Sincerely,

[Redacted]
Ticket: # 837479 - Vincent's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 4:26:21 AM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98105
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837468 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 4:07:42 AM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97220
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862011 - Margaret's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 5:27:07 PM
City/State/Zip: Anchorage, Alaska 99518
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can't be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837471 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/28/2016 4:12:23 AM
City/State/Zip: Albany Twp, Maine 04217
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837472 - XXXXX XXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 4:13:33 AM  
City/State/Zip: Atlanta, Georgia 30316  
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837480 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 4:27:32 AM
City/State/Zip: Cincinnati, Ohio 45240
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838001 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:30:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Lynn, Massachusetts 01902
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837445 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 3:36:20 AM  
City/State/Zip: Califon, New Jersey 07830  
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837446 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 3:38:39 AM
City/State/Zip: Oreland, Pennsylvania 19075
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-Mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837447 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 3:39:50 AM
City/State/Zip: New York, New York 10001
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users. The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

 Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837455 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 3:47:56 AM
City/State/Zip: New York, New York 10025
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837457 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 3:50:15 AM
City/State/Zip: Berkeley, California 94708
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837460 - XXXXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 3:51:26 AM
City/State/Zip: Berkeley, California 94709

Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837461 - X XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 3:53:45 AM
City/State/Zip: Miami, Florida 33131
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837531 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:11:41 AM
City/State/Zip: Honolulu, Hawaii 96819
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837521 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 5:57:34 AM
City/State/Zip: The Dalles, Oregon 97058
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-Mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837522 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 5:58:45 AM
City/State/Zip: Los Angeles, California 90056
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837523 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 5:59:57 AM
City/State/Zip: Orlando, Florida 32837
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837524 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:01:07 AM
City/State/Zip: Schenectady, New York 12345
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837919 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX 's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior  

Date: 2/28/2016 5:39:42 PM  
City/State/Zip: Trenton, New Jersey 08690  
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838053 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/28/2016 6:59:16 PM
City/State/Zip: Ukiah, California 95482
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838007 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:33:29 PM
City/State/Zip: Des Moines, Iowa 50317
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers' data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers' data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837936 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 5:51:22 PM
City/State/Zip: Rancho Mirage, California 92270
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837926 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 5:42:04 PM  
City/State/Zip: Chalfont, Pennsylvania 18914  
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837927 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 5:43:15 PM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98199
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
**Ticket: # 837939 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior**

**Date:** 2/28/2016 5:52:33 PM  
**City/State/Zip:** Addison, Illinois 60101  
**Company Complaining About:** Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-mobile

---

**Description**

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837943 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior  
Date: 2/28/2016 5:56:04 PM  
City/State/Zip: Pawtucket, Rhode Island 02860  
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837950 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/28/2016 5:58:25 PM
City/State/Zip: Gainesville, Florida 32607
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837952 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 5:59:36 PM
City/State/Zip: San Diego, California 92117
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
As a small Web site owner, I count on an open Title II Internet in order to have equal access by visitors to my Web site. I should not be put at a disadvantage because I cannot come up with the bribe money ISPs are demanding from me in order to keep me from being in the "slow lane". This is exactly what Net Neutrality as a policy should enforce: Fair and equal access to and from all parties on the Internet. Under these new schemes that ISPs are devising to create new ways of cheating to get around Net Neutrality rules, I and my Web site are being victimized and extorted.

It is time that the FCC step up and enforce its regulations against these greedy predators in order to ensure an open and neutral Internet. Please stop these scoundrels from subverting the law of the land.

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers' data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can't be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.
In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837954 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:01:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Rock Hill, South Carolina 29732
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837965 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:13:33 PM
City/State/Zip: New York, New York 10019
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837967 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:15:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Glendora, California 91741
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837970 - XXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/28/2016 6:17:02 PM
City/State/Zip: New Britain, Connecticut 06053
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837978 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:20:37 PM
City/State/Zip: Rock Hill, South Carolina 29730

Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 837996 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:27:42 PM
City/State/Zip: Saint Louis, Missouri 63132
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838009 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:34:40 PM
City/State/Zip: Yuma, Arizona 85365
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838010 - Tiana's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:35:52 PM
City/State/Zip: San Francisco, California 94124
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838011 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:37:03 PM  
City/State/Zip: Whitefish, Montana 59937  
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838023 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:42:54 PM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98115
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838082 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 7:19:11 PM
City/State/Zip: East Wenatchee, Washington 98802
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838015 - Terrell's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:38:14 PM
City/State/Zip: Aurora, Colorado 80013
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838029 - XXXX XXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:46:26 PM
City/State/Zip: New York, New York 10001
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838031 - XXXXXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:48:44 PM

City/State/Zip: Woods Cross, Utah 84010

Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838034 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:49:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Bowling Green, Kentucky 42104
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838044 - Liliana's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 6:56:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Grand Junction, Colorado 81504
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838061 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 7:02:49 PM
City/State/Zip: Smyrna, Georgia 30080
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838072 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 7:11:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Shreveport, Louisiana 71129
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can't be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838074 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXX 's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/28/2016 7:14:29 PM
City/State/Zip: Pembroke Pines, Florida 33027
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838076 - XXXXX XXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 7:15:39 PM
City/State/Zip: Minneapolis, Minnesota 55408
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838078 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 7:16:50 PM
City/State/Zip: Deltaville, Virginia 23043
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838141 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 8:55:40 PM
City/State/Zip: Arkadelphia, Arkansas 71923
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838143 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 8:58:00 PM
City/State/Zip: Morrisville, North Carolina 27560
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838146 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 9:01:31 PM
City/State/Zip: Toledo, Ohio 43615
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838159 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 9:13:14 PM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98105
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838163 - XXXXX XXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 9:15:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97267
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838171 - XXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 9:19:08 PM
City/State/Zip: Camarillo, California 93012
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-Mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838182 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 9:25:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Pinole, California 94564
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838183 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 9:26:13 PM
City/State/Zip: Nashville, Tennessee 37206
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838222 - XXXXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 9:50:43 PM
City/State/Zip: Venice, California 90291
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838223 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 9:51:54 PM
City/State/Zip: Altadena, California 91001
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838187 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 9:28:32 PM
City/State/Zip: Sherman Oaks, California 91423
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838209 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 9:43:41 PM
City/State/Zip: Salem, Oregon 97302
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838213 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 9:44:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Redding, California 96001
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838218 - Comcast Data Caps

Date: 2/28/2016 9:47:46 PM

City/State/Zip: Miami, Florida 33194

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast is “trialling” a 300 GB monthly data cap on consumers. The thing that’s wrong with this is in this age, the internet is used for just about everything and people burn through 300 GB of data well before the month is over. The money they’re charging for going over is $40 for me to pay extra. Not only is this infuriating, half of the time the connection drops. Comcast are a bunch of corporate moneybags who can’t get enough of screwing their customers over.
Ticket: # 838219 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 9:48:21 PM
City/State/Zip: Omaha, Nebraska 68134
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838224 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 9:54:13 PM
City/State/Zip: Encinitas, California 92024
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838227 - X XXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 9:56:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Oakland, California 94611
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. That was great. BUT, now, the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838228 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/28/2016 9:57:46 PM
City/State/Zip: Minneapolis, Minnesota 55417
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838230 - XXXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 10:02:24 PM

City/State/Zip: South Portland, Maine 04106

Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838231 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 10:03:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Shakopee, Minnesota 55379
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838237 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 10:07:02 PM
City/State/Zip: Chicago, Illinois 60614
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can't be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838239 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 10:09:21 PM
City/State/Zip: Rio Rancho, New Mexico 87124
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers: Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps—a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838250 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/28/2016 10:12:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Midlothian, Virginia 23113
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838253 - XXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 10:14:02 PM
City/State/Zip: Santa Monica, California 90404
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838257 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 10:17:28 PM
City/State/Zip: Midlothian, Virginia 23113
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838267 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 10:25:36 PM
City/State/Zip: West Reading, Pennsylvania 19611
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838268 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 10:26:46 PM
City/State/Zip: West Reading, Pennsylvania 19611
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838269 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/28/2016 10:27:57 PM
City/State/Zip: Lighthouse Point, Florida 33074
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838270 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/28/2016 10:29:07 PM  
City/State/Zip: Albuquerque, New Mexico 87110  
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838272 - Comcast Data Caps
Date: 2/28/2016 10:31:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Burlington, Vermont 05401
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast has expanded their data caps to more areas. Thankfully not in my area yet but this needs to stop. Data caps don't help the network, they just stifle infrastructure updates and make customers pay more for crappy service. There is no need for data caps to exist because that's not how the internet or any network works.
Ticket: # 838380 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/29/2016 2:27:18 AM
City/State/Zip: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19128
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838376 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/29/2016 2:19:13 AM

City/State/Zip: Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15207

Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838282 - Re: Request updated: Data cap w no rollover

Date: 2/28/2016 10:57:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Alpharetta, Georgia 30005
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
This is a follow-up to your previous request #758426 "Data cap w no rollover"

Hello,
It's been way past 30 days and I still have not heard anything. What's the next step to escalate this.

Sent from my iPhone
Ticket: # 838377 - XXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/29/2016 2:22:38 AM
City/State/Zip: North Bangor, New York 12966
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-Mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838371 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/29/2016 2:11:00 AM  
City/State/Zip: Mission Viejo, California 92691  
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile  

Description  
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838378 - Sarah Hamilton's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/29/2016 2:23:49 AM
City/State/Zip: Canastota, New York 13032
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838373 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/29/2016 2:13:22 AM
City/State/Zip: Kula, Hawaii 96790
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838436 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/29/2016 3:41:51 AM
City/State/Zip: Tulsa, Oklahoma 74105
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

I hope my Oklahoma representatives are making decisions based on what is best for the majority of the consumers and not the huge corporations. Our economy is screwing us right and left. We need your support.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps — a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.
Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838375 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/29/2016 2:18:02 AM
City/State/Zip: Holyoke, Massachusetts 01040
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838358 - Data Cap on Cable One Internet
Date: 2/29/2016 1:05:41 AM
City/State/Zip: Chubbuck, Idaho 83202
Company Complaining About: Cable One

Description
Cable One internet imposes a data cap each month of 300gb on it's $55.00/month, 100mbps download speed account. If you go over this limit more than 3 times, you are automatically bumped to a higher tier, along with a higher price of service. Recently, Cable one increased speeds from 50mbps to 100mbps, but did not raise the monthly cap. This means that netflix, youtube, and other video providers now have much more bandwidth to use. That means that they will automatically select the higher resolutions for videos, causing the customer to use more data and hit their cap faster. This is why many customers don't change their internet usage habits, but are now going over caps and being forced to higher tiers and higher prices. These higher prices are not what was agreed upon in the original contract, and it is absurd for Cable One to impose data caps like this. They call them "guidelines", but for all intents and purposes, they are simply caps and a way to force customers to higher rates.
Ticket: # 838379 - leomtodd's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/29/2016 2:26:07 AM
City/State/Zip: Limerick, Maine 04048
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Dear FCC:

Last year you took a stand for open internet, which benefited millions of Americans. As expected, ISPs are attempting to sidestep your rules on net neutrality. I'm referring to Comcast's discriminatory data caps, AT&T's and Verizon's fees for data cap exemptions, and T-Mobile's extravagant technical demands of video providers for data cap exemptions, as well as its downgrading of video across the board, which result in lengthy waits during loading/buffering. These ISP programs impede access to websites that refuse or simply can't afford to meet the new requirements.

Data caps and exemptions, as used by these providers, appear designed to discourage broadband Internet use, rather than to manage congestion. In particular, they target users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Please protect consumers from these tiered practices that violate net neutrality.
Ticket: # 838398 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/29/2016 2:51:41 AM
City/State/Zip: Canton, Ohio 44718
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers' data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers' data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can't be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers' data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838427 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/29/2016 3:33:35 AM
City/State/Zip: Chicago, Illinois 60647
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838428 - XX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/29/2016 3:34:45 AM
City/State/Zip: Mechanicsville, Virginia 23116
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can't be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: 838430 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/29/2016 3:35:57 AM
City/State/Zip: South Park, Pennsylvania 15129
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-Mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838432 - XXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 2/29/2016 3:37:07 AM
City/State/Zip: Ann Arbor, Michigan 48103
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838433 - XXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/29/2016 3:38:18 AM
City/State/Zip: Saint Paul, Minnesota 55124
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 838434 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 2/29/2016 3:39:29 AM
City/State/Zip: Canton, New York 13617
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862108 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 6:16:31 PM
City/State/Zip: Boulder Creek, California 95006
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862109 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 6:17:43 PM
City/State/Zip: Paris, Idaho 83261
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 839972 - Broadband data caps
Date: 2/29/2016 6:39:09 PM
City/State/Zip: Mauldin, South Carolina 29662
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
FCC,
Comcast, Time Warner, & soon to be AT&T are introducing data caps on home broadband that could easily be used up in minutes on high-speed plans, and that have huge overage fees. I understand why data caps are needed on mobile internet so they can limit tower usage, but for home there is no reason that this should happen. Not only that, but their own services (aka TV and on-demand) are exempted from these caps. This is a violation of Net Neutrality. Please tell these ISPs that what they are doing is unnecessarily wrong, and they should stop or face you. Thanks for your protection of the Internet. Sincerely,
Ticket: # 840155 - Stop data caps
Date: 2/29/2016 7:45:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Lake Havasu City, Arizona 86404
Company Complaining About: Sudden Link

Description
Suddenlink communications has begun enforcing crazy low data caps in my area. Doing damage to my way of life and forcing me into a "business plan" just to be able to use the internet at a normal rate. Please help
Ticket: # 840667 - Throttling connection

Date: 3/1/2016 1:43:38 AM
City/State/Zip: Greenville, Illinois 62246
Company Complaining About: Ruralcomm

Description
I am having my connection throttled during the hours of 5:00 P.M. and 10:00 P.M.

The connection being throttled goes to various sites with a video player. None of these videos are more than 480p, as 1.5 Mb/s is far too slow to go 720p, even with YouTube's HTML5 Video.

These sites include YouTube and various video sharing sites (thevideo.me, allmyvideos, etc). YouTube is throttled the most. I am incapable of watching a video as it loads, as YouTube is set up to do, during the hours between 5 and 10 P.M. I have no issues any other time of the day.

They may claim it to be due to controlling during peak hours. *If* that were the case, why has it started in the last week, instead of continuously happening for the last several years? There has never been any overloads of any kind.

They may say that it's not throttling at all, that's just when peak usage is and you're just suffering because everyone else is using up the bandwidth at the same time. While I would not put it past them to not be competent enough for this to happen, the fact is that it is completely untrue. I know this for several reasons.

First and foremost, if that were true, it would have been, as stated above, happening for the last several years. Not suddenly in the last week.

Second, I have heard directly from various residents near by that they are switching to the other WISP as it expands. A lot of those residents are within range of the Ruralcomm towers/repeaters/etc.

Finally, their own site states, and I quote: "Does Rural Comm limit how much I can download or upload? Rural Comm does not limit how much you download or upload. Rural Comm only limits the speed of your package. Unlike most satellite Internet providers, we do not have the "fair use policies" which slow you down dramatically once you download or upload a certain amount."

*Perhaps* this refers to them not having a data cap. But what do ISPs do when a data cap is reached? They throttle. How interesting that I am being limited despite their public claim that they don't do that. (Source: http://www.ruralcomminternet.com/faq/)

*IF* they are throttling to "help traffic" during peak hours for customers using already sickeningly low bandwidth and speed, why do they not simply upgrade rather than risk being caught throttling and it costing them far more to deal with legal issues and whatever else results from being caught?

*IF* they are throttling to "help traffic" during peak hours for customers using already sickeningly low bandwidth and speed, why are they not limiting other things like downloads and browsing as well?
Why do they need to hold back low quality 480p HTML5 video, which is already efficiently compressed, stable, and secure?

Ruralcomm is unwilling to upgrade their equipment. I have called several times about other issues and was given excuses as to the cause (eg. "It may be that more are getting on and it is slowing things down. There is absolutely nothing we can do about it. You're just going to have to deal with it or wait."). I never call them about issues anymore due to the constant excuses and rude treatment. Therefore I have not called about the throttling. The chances are high that I would get some excuse because to them their customers are country bumpkins. An admission to the throttling would be a glorious miracle.

The connection is already a very slow rate of 1.5 Mb/s. I have packet loss 24/7 (11% over a 5 min interval). If needed, I can provide live proof of throttling by using my router's real-time bandwidth meter to show that I'm being throttled.

I fear this may go nowhere and I will continue to be throttled and be disliked even more by Ruralcomm (not that I care about that last part). If there is anything else I can provide or do, please let me know and I will do whatever is required.
Ticket: # 850425 - Suddenlink Communications Data Cap

Date: 3/6/2016 10:30:56 PM
City/State/Zip: Bullhead City, Arizona 86442
Company Complaining About: Sudden Link

Description
I believe that Suddenlink Communications in Arizona is prohibiting the reasonable use of the internet services they provide without incurring excessive fees relating to how much internet is being used. They advertise "unlimited internet" access through local advertisement but use data caps on all residential accounts. They have monopolized many areas of Arizona and using what they claim as excessive internet usage to incur fees they claim is to help better support the use of major internet users. Except this concept cleaerly doesn't work as they claim is the reason they incur the charges in the first place. No matter how much internet a customer uses a month, charging more for using it doesn't suddenly create better access to other users who don't use as much. This is an attempt at extortion and monopolization without healthy competition for fair pricing and services for local residents of the Arizona state including Mohave county. Suddenlink has made a clear violation of the US Antitrust law, abuse of pricing for its services without fair competition, limiting internet usage and access to it without fair treatment based upon individual differential situations. Suddenlink is extorting its customers to make up for its failing cable services due to usage of other alternative sources of entertainment that require the use of infrastructure services like internet through suddenlink (example: Netflix, Hulu). It seeks to punish its customers for using a fair and legal competitor. Due to the growing need for internet connection and better performance of its services, companies that offer DSL rather than cable to not qualify as a clear and equal or fair competitor to Suddenlink communications, thus creating a monopolization over qualifying fast internet services in the areas in which it conducts business. This is also a clear attempt to find a loophole around the net neutrality laws that were created to protect the openness of the internet. Data caps only hurt internet economies and attempt to limit competitors. This affects small and large businesses alike because consumers are now being prevented from using other companies (Example: Netflix, Hulu) services as they are allowed and as the consumer see fit.
Ticket: # 843754 - Comcast Data Cap

Date: 3/2/2016 3:44:50 PM
City/State/Zip: Canton, Georgia 30114
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I filled a complaint 3 months ago regarding Comcast's unfair "data usage plan." I stated how I was explicitly told that the plan was only temporary and would be going away soon. It's still in place. When I filled the first complaint, I received a voicemail from Comcast's customer security team at 6 o'clock at night. I tried calling them back 11 times over a 1 week period. Nobody ever picked up. Since I'm just outside the serviceable area for google fiber I'm stuck with Comcast. Since I'm stuck, I'm either forced to pay an extra $35 a month for unlimited data or ration my internet usage. It's a lose lose situation and I'm beyond frustrated. The attached image is from a Comcast employee who uses Reddit. It explains the ins and outs with how to deal with people like me who understand that data caps are money grabs and have nothing to do with "traffic congestion."
Ticket: # 862111 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 6:18:54 PM
City/State/Zip: Oakland, California 94606
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862112 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 6:20:05 PM
City/State/Zip: Modesto, California 95354
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862116 - XX XXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 6:22:24 PM  
City/State/Zip: Bear, Delaware 19701  
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 844412 - Cable One data limits are not reasonable and should not be allowed

Date: 3/2/2016 6:57:53 PM

City/State/Zip: Eagle, Idaho 83616

Company Complaining About: Cable One

Description
My Internet service Provider Cable One imposes a seemingly arbitrary data cap on accounts based on the provided speed. If I were to actually use the internet at the provided speeds, I would overrun my data limit in a few short days. I do not feel it appropriate for a family using less than 10% over the data limit arbitrarily decided on by the ISP to be forced to have internet costs increased 50% to cover this small amount of data use. We are not cord cutters, but do watch Netflix a few nights a week. We do subscribe to a full cable plan from our provider, and this small amount of data usage is going to force our monthly costs for internet and cable television over the 200$ a month amount. I request that you force internet providers to remove data limits. From the research I have done, it does not appear that the backbone providers they use for uplinks charge them more for more traffic, they are just using this as an easy money grab to increase rates for consumers. I am barely exceeding my cap, it is not likely our small amount of usage causes any congestion issues for the provider. In my previous house I lived in about a year ago, there were multiple issues with Cable One not being able to provide the services they sold due to oversubscription or over selling of the services. At no time when I complained many times about that was a refund or adjustment offered.
Ticket: # 846738 - Data cap
Date: 3/3/2016 8:15:32 PM
City/State/Zip: Stone Mountain, Georgia 30088
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I am getting frustrated with Comtrash 300 GB data cap. I have four computers, two Apple TV, iPad and two cell phones. Each month I am at my limit.
Ticket: # 845263 - Data caps and incorrectly metered usage
Date: 3/3/2016 12:20:32 PM
City/State/Zip: Kingman, Arizona 86401
Company Complaining About: Sudden Link

Description
Myself and several of my friends and colleagues have recently been subjected to the new data caps that Sudden Link Communications has begun imposing. We have noticed that our internet usage amounts have spiked after changing to higher data rate plans, despite the fact that we have continued with our normal habits. Sudden Link advised all of us to "pay for a higher plan and get a higher data cap" which some of us did and again, noticed that our regular usage spiked dramatically despite similar usage. One of us has a Baracuda Firewall that is now monitoring upstream/downstream through that connection and it is reporting far less usage than Sudden Link does. It would seem this company either has some kind of flaw in there ability to measure usage or they are willfully deceiving customers in order to goad them into buying a larger plan.
Ticket: # 845302 - Data caps and incorrectly metered usage

Date: 3/3/2016 12:33:45 PM
City/State/Zip: Kingman, Arizona 86401
Company Complaining About: Sudden Link

---

Description

Myself and several of my friends and colleagues have recently been subjected to the new data caps that Sudden Link Communications has begun imposing. We have noticed that our internet usage amounts have spiked after changing to higher data rate plans, despite the fact that we have continued with our normal habits. Sudden Link advised all of us to "pay for a higher plan and get a higher data cap" which some of us did and again, noticed that our regular usage spiked dramatically despite similar usage. One of us has a Baracuda Firewall that is now monitoring upstream/downstream through that connection and it is reporting far less usage than Sudden Link does. It would seem this company either has some kind of flaw in their ability to measure usage or they are willfully deceiving customers in order to goad them into buying a larger plan.
Description
Cable one advertises double the speed without double the cost, recently due to their data cap, I was forced into an upgrade to a higher data speed package with a higher data cap (data caps should be against open internet laws btw). I went from a 100mbps internet at 64$ a month including a modem rental of 8$ to a package that was 143$ a month including a 8$ modem rental for 200mbps and 750gb per month. Unless I don't know how to do math that is more than double the cost for double the speed. Also on the Cable one website they advertise that Customer Satisfaction is their number one priority yet no matter how many times I have told them I am not happy with the service they provided me over the phone they refuse to find a situation that will alleviate some of my angst. The failure to notify me about the reinstatement of data caps after they have previously removed all data caps I was a customer when they reinstated them resulted in me breaking my data caps, that by my own tracking I was using 300-350gb of data not the 500-700 that they have claimed. Their data tracking system is flawed their advertisements are outright lies and the statements that customer satisfaction matters to them is a lie I have never been satisfied with my service I just deal with it because they are the only reliable broadband provider in my area.
Ticket: # 1020628 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 6/6/2016 4:42:36 PM
City/State/Zip: Saint Paul, Minnesota 55109
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers' data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That's not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don't want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don't pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don't want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 1020629 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 6/6/2016 4:42:47 PM
City/State/Zip: Tulare, California 93274
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 846117 - Comcast's Stream TV draws FCC complaint over net neutrality

Date: 3/3/2016 4:43:50 PM
City/State/Zip: Key West, Florida 33040
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
"Public Knowledge" has filed a complaint with the FCC over net neutrality and other issues with Comcast, some of the same issues I sent to the FCC on December 17, 2015, FCC complaint 710987, (with a follow-up complaint 814134 because Comcast seriously delayed sending me a copy of their response to the FCC which should have been sent to me at the same time). The "Public Knowledge" complaint states: "The complaint says the zero-rated service doesn't gel with the FCC's Open Internet rules and also violates Comcast's NBCUniversal merger commitments." Comcast's reply was finally sent to me on 02/17/2016, and the FCC has this document in my ticket number 710987. Some items addressed in my complaint (which was discounted by Comcast) are raised in the Public Knowledge complaint, and these items of improper, if not illegal, practices need to be more carefully reviewed by the FCC. Comcast customers are being overcharged, cheated, bamboozled, by Comcast's schemes to increase revenue to cover the loss of revenue due to customers' downgrading and/or deleting parts of Comcast's various services, and the recent addition of Comcast's "Stream TV" and DATA CAPS.

Following is the "Public Knowledge" information as it appears in the March 3, 2016 issue of "Fierce Cable."

March 3, 2016 | By Ben Munson

Public Knowledge has filed a complaint with the FCC over Comcast (NASDAQ: CMCSA) excluding its Stream TV OTT service from its customers' data caps. The complaint says the zero-rated service doesn't gel with the FCC's Open Internet rules and also violates Comcast's NBCUniversal merger commitments.

Public Knowledge calls Stream TV's zero-rating practice "illegal" regardless of whether the service is classified as a non-broadband, specialized or MVPD service. The complaint also alleges that Comcast is creating an "artificially low usage cap" by making the service unlimited and that it would cost much more than Stream's $15 monthly rate to pay both $35 for unlimited Internet access to Comcast and subscription fees to services like Netflix (NASDAQ: NFLX) and Hulu.

In addition to running afoul of net neutrality rules, Public Knowledge says Comcast's zero-rated service violates NBCUniversal merger conditions set by the FCC and Justice Department, requiring Comcast to count traffic from competing video services the same as it counts its own so the MSO doesn't "unfairly disadvantage online video."

Public Knowledge urged the FCC to stop the Comcast from zero-rating Stream and offered up potential solutions to Open Internet concerns with the practice.
"Because Comcast's illegal behavior would harm the video marketplace and viewers, we've asked the FCC to stop it. For example, Comcast could eliminate its broadband cap and return to unlimited plans, or Comcast's customers -- and not Comcast -- could select which services to exempt from metering. We urge the FCC to take quick action to protect consumers and competition in the emerging online video marketplace."

Comcast reiterated that Stream TV is a cable service delivered over the MSO's private, managed network and is subject to all the regulations that apply to its other cable TV services such as franchise fees, PEG requirements, closed-captioning, and emergency alerts.

"Just as when addressing similar issues in the past, Public Knowledge doesn't have the facts straight. Our Stream TV cable package does not go over the Internet, so it can't possibly violate a condition which only applies to Internet content. Customers do not access Stream TV through their broadband service. Period. Public Knowledge saying so over and over does not make it so. The bottom line is that Stream TV doesn't violate any FCC or DOJ requirement from our NBCUniversal deal, just as the complaints they filed over three years ago which the FCC declined to take action on did not," Comcast said in a statement.

Controversy of zero-rated services has extended beyond the traditional pay-TV business. T-Mobile's (NYSE:TMUS) Binge On feature, which allows its mobile subscribers to stream video from numerous OVD services without counting against their data caps, has been called out for seemingly flying in the face of the Open Internet rules. Likewise, Verizon's (NYSE: VZ) plan to zero-rate its OTT service Go90 for its mobile subscribers through its FreeBee sponsored data program, has drawn critical responses. Verizon CFO Fran Shammo confirmed that Go90 will be taken off Verizon subscribers' data meter beginning this week.

For more:
- see this Public Knowledge press release
- see this FCC filing
Ticket: # 862088 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 6:07:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Littleton, Colorado 80128
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 846571 - Data Cap Overage Fees
Date: 3/3/2016 7:05:36 PM
City/State/Zip: Murfreesboro, Tennessee 37130
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I was charged for the month of December 2015 $140 and $80 on January 2016 for data overage fees due to Comcast Data Caps. Like more than 13,000 people that have complained so far I'm very disgusted by these Comcast Data Trials. I currently use data to watch Netflix, Hulu, Amazon Video. Now I live with fear of watching any video services or using the internet normally. I'm filing these complaint because internet is the future, these data caps cripple innovation and competition, specially with Comcast own streaming services which do not count against their data usage. These practices need to be stopped and FCC needs to step in and stop these practices.
Ticket: # 862090 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 6:08:15 PM
City/State/Zip: Tonawanda, New York 14150
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862031 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 3/14/2016 5:35:19 PM
City/State/Zip: Milpitas, California 95035
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862104 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 6:14:09 PM
City/State/Zip: Chanhassen, Minnesota 55317
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862148 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 6:43:28 PM
City/State/Zip: Blacksburg, Virginia 24060
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 1020630 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 6/6/2016 4:42:50 PM
City/State/Zip: Yorba Linda, California 92886
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
I'm being charged for "going over" a 300 GB data soft cap. For every 50 GB over 300, they're automatically charging $10. This is a problem in several aspects.
1) I don't believe the data metering is accurate. I've been monitoring my data usage, and from my router's metering, I don't believe I'm even hitting the 300GB cap. My last bill had an extra $20 charge for going over 300GB and using two 50 GB blocks.
2) By limiting my usage by enforcing a soft cap, comcast is effectively blocking access to content in favor of other products they offer (expanded cable packages, for example). I believe this is a tactic by comcast to try and get users into using their on demand service and away from better online video content services (netflix, youtube, hulu, etc.). The core principle of net neutrality is that ISPs should not show favor to content, and by limiting bandwidth they are basically saying I cannot access the content I would like to without paying exorbitant fees.
3) This is absolutely not a fair price for data. This is price gouging, and it's absurd.

Please help, I feel trapped. I have no other options to high speed ISP at my home, so I'm stuck with this terrible company and this ridiculous, indefensible data cap policy.

I would like to see these data cap fees removed now and not be charged in the future. Thank you
Ticket: # 862132 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 3/14/2016 6:36:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Phoenix, Arizona 85021
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 849247 - Applying Data Caps to my internet
Date: 3/5/2016 12:57:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Cooper City, Florida 33026
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
When I first signed up for Comcast Xfinity, there was no mention of a data cap. Now, without my knowledge or consent, they have decided to sneak in a 300GB/month data cap to my service. That is not what was agreed upon, and should not even be allowed.
Ticket: # 1020631 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 6/6/2016 4:43:00 PM
City/State/Zip: Atlanta, Georgia 30312
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 851002 - Re: [FCC Complaints] Re: Comcast Internet Data Caps

Date: 3/7/2016 12:48:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Stone Mountain, Georgia 30083
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
This is a follow-up to your previous request #663947 "Comcast Internet Data Caps"

I have not received a response from Comcast. I did see activity on my Comcast account where it appeared they were applying a credit but that credit never went through.

Please Advise
Ticket: # 852523 - Consistently not meeting speed, throttling of netflix, and data caps.

Date: 3/7/2016 11:43:40 PM

City/State/Zip: Ridgecrest, California 93555

Company Complaining About: Mediacom

Description
I pay for 100mbps with my only cable company. I get on average 60mbps. Netflix specifically is clearly being throttled to less than 2mbs. My coworkers, which are also on the same provider, the only provider in the area noticed the issue days ago, and has been attempting to go through their support and they have been giving him the run-around. Resetting and rebooting his modem as an excuse to get him off the phone. Enough is enough, they are abusing their power of being the only provider in the area, and not upgrading the capacity to meet demand (if that's the actual cause).

Attached is a screenshot of my network meter, downloading from kernel.org mirror (a really fast connection.) Available speeds only at 40mbps, when I pay for 100mbps. Netflix is considerably slower, limiting my speed prevents me access to netflix quality and bandwidth I PAY FOR!

I have a monthly data cap of 1tb a month. 100000/2592000 (1tb in mb/1month in seconds) = 0.38mb/s to a cap, this is way below the description of "broadband" or "high speed" internet (@ 20mbps). Instant speeds are not the only measure of bandwidth. This is not net neutral!
Ticket: # 852662 - Suddenlink data cap

Date: 3/8/2016 9:40:44 AM
City/State/Zip: Winterville, North Carolina 28590
Company Complaining About: Sudden Link

Description
Why is Suddenlink allowed to impose data caps when they do not incur any costs for the data? If I use more electricity, someone has to generate that electricity. If I download more data from Netflix, Amazon, Hulu or anyone else, Suddenlink does not incur any costs for that. They are just the conduit to the internet where I get my data. They are imposing an unfair tariff on my data. This is a scam by the industry and in my humble opinion - Unfair.
Ticket: # 853143 - Comcast Data Caps
Date: 3/8/2016 1:34:38 PM
City/State/Zip: Appling, Georgia 30802
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast data caps are fundamentally, ethically wrong in areas where they hold an Internet monopoly. Even though their data cap program has been promoted as a "trial", they provide no channel for direct customer feedback on the plan! The only way for customers to register their rejection and displeasure with this scheme is to contact government entities, such as the FCC. This plan is effectively set up as a way to unethically extract more money from users who are kept from making any meaningful complaint to the company. Consequently, Comcast can tell their shareholders that customer reaction is "neutral." This is unethical business practice in the extreme because Comcast gives customers no way to easily register their approval or disapproval of the trial program.
Ticket: # 853778 - Armstrong Monopoly - Data Cap

Date: 3/8/2016 3:49:06 PM
City/State/Zip: Butler, Pennsylvania 16001
Company Complaining About: Armstrong Cable

Description
Internet companies are using their area monopolies to force users to be subject to their data cap. In the case of armstrong, the limit is very small and according to my linux router, it is not even close to accurate at monitoring my usage. This is fraud at a minimum. The internet is now a utility that people need to learn, work and live. It needs regulation.
Ticket: # 1020654 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 6/6/2016 4:48:09 PM
City/State/Zip: Portage, Wisconsin 53901
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 1020642 - XXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 6/6/2016 4:44:43 PM
City/State/Zip: Libertyville, Illinois 60048
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862153 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 3/14/2016 6:45:46 PM
City/State/Zip: West Roxbury, Massachusetts 02132
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-Mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 1020451 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 6/6/2016 4:05:08 PM
City/State/Zip: Fremont, California 94555
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 1020508 - XXXXX XXXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 6/6/2016 4:16:43 PM
City/State/Zip: Marietta, Georgia 30062
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 1020656 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 6/6/2016 4:48:30 PM
City/State/Zip: Oak Park, Illinois 60302
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 1020510 - XXXXXX XXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 6/6/2016 4:17:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98115
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Alright, so I am moving into the address listed on the 18th. Comcast's xfinity is the only company that provides the internet speeds I require for streaming and gaming. While I was setting up service I asked if they had a data cap, they said yes and that it was 300gb. I asked if there was a plan that included unlimited data. They put me on hold and told me that the unlimited data plan was unavailable in my area.

After digging around I learned that not only do they have unlimited data plans at additional costs in other areas, but they also have unlimited data in other areas for free.

I feel as if I am being discriminated against as a resident of my area. This practice seems very unfair and serving as a method to promote their television services, which I do not want or need.

I have a netflix account and a hulu account. I stream on several devices in my home, and at any one time my wife, my daughter, my son, and I could be watching four different things.

Furthermore, we are a family of gamers - both through LAN and online multiplayer (such as MMOS). A game installation can run anywhere from a couple hundred megabytes to dozens of gigabytes. Not to mention how many of our games receive updates every day.

We use Steam (by Valve) to acquire and play our games. Which is an online platform.

It can not, nor should it be legal for them to not provide me with the same service they provide to other areas - the unlimited data.

It shouldn't be legal for them to have limited data plans at all. In this day and age, where data is coming in larger and larger packets, it isn't feasible. It isn't fair to those whom stream or game through the internet.

This is purely conjecture, but I imagine they want it this way in order to lessen streaming so more people will buy their television services.

I applied to their service anyways. Not because I wanted to, every bit of me wanted to say "no thank you", but as I mentioned, they are the only provider with the speeds I need.

If our government doesn't protect us from these faulty practices, who will? What recourse will I have when I am charged ten dollars every fifty gigabytes I go over the data cap? There are no other providers I can go to instead of Comcast.
Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 857520 - Data Cap Issues

Date: 3/10/2016 11:07:31 AM
City/State/Zip: Hialeah, Florida 33012
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast has issued a data cap that has caught us by surprise. They have imposed since September of last year (2015) a 300 GB cap that seems more like a money gouge than anything else. Even though we pay for 3 services with this company, we utilize the internet more so than the other two (phone, cable) Comcast is blacklisting their customers who are subscribers to streaming services by imposing this cap. They are also surreptitiously circumventing net neutrality laws by offering competing services that do not count against the cap, services which they own. Comcast also says that 90% of users will now be affected, but in my household we have no heavy users, yet we have gone over the cap every month except for one. The FCC must step in and correct this situation.
Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers' data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can't be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 858771 - Comcast Data Cap ABUSE
Date: 3/10/2016 6:12:36 PM
City/State/Zip: Edisto Island, South Carolina 29438
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I am now having to pay overages to Comcast for utilizing more than 300GB of data in a month. The reasons their customer support gives me do not add up nor make ANY sense. Downloading a few games a month (as I am an avid computer gamer) can be 40-60GB alone. I also choose to consume most video media through my Internet connection. They also violate Net Neutrality by offering me their Streaming TV service (for an additional fee) that won't count against my data cap, YET my Netflix does. There is no other non-capped data provider in my region, I am forced to do business with Comcast. Data caps need to GO AWAY permanently.
Ticket: # 1020511 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 6/6/2016 4:17:12 PM
City/State/Zip: Staten Island, New York 10304
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 859204 - Comcast data caps
Date: 3/10/2016 10:19:33 PM
City/State/Zip: Johns Creek, Georgia 30022
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Two complaints:

1) Comcast arbitrary data caps - this is just a naked money grab that limits customers’ ability to fully utilize competing services like Netflix, iTunes, or Roku for streaming video content.

2) Despite charging additional fees for data over the cap, Comcast provides no tools for determining which devices and/or applications are using data so that customers can manage their monthly data use. Without such tools, customers are completely unable to figure out how to reduce usage. Lack of a tool also prevents customers from double-checking the usage that Comcast claims and bills for.
Ticket: # 859391 - Data Cap on Home Broadband Internet

Date: 3/11/2016 2:23:49 AM
City/State/Zip: Aurora, Colorado 80017
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Hello,

Would like to add my thoughts to the growing list of concerns with companies such as Comcast and their push towards revenue rather than service. As such they are attempting to impose data caps on customers for home broadband with no notion that it will increase service capability, availability or quality. The entire plan is to increase revenue for the company by enforcing a cap on data usage and forcing home users to monitor their data usage when its not required nor warranted.

As such in my household I do not, nor will not pay for services such as bundled TV or other provided services over cable. My internet connection provides the necessary capabilities for this currently. As is seen I and other like me are being implicitly penalized as Comcast is losing money on TV subscriptions which are dropping, and thus they impose a unnecessary and entirely unnecessary "fine" on their customers for that usage.

I would have already moved to a new service had there been other viable options for high speed access in my area. As it sits Comcast owns a monopoly on many of the high-speed data lines in the area and ensures that other companies that wish to provide those services have to do so at a high premium, or they refuse use of those lines and ensure these companies quality diminishes. Century Link being an alternative in my area, but its service quality (due in part to the technology) is entirely subpar.

The direct result of this monopoly of service in areas is allowing the Comcast corporation to impose these cash-grab service exceptions on their customers with no possibility for the customer to voice their opinion through cancellation or migration to another service. I would imagine in most other service industries this would be considered something the government would look into as a legal monopoly case that needs court review, but I can't say why that hasn't happened thus far with Comcast.

In short, Comcast is forcing a revenue increase through a false pretense with a "data usage cap", which in reality this is simply a way to line investor pockets and increase quarterly earning reports. And while this would only be bad on the false pretenses, its also detrimental that they are standing on their own customer's backs and forcing those customers to pay more, while knowing they have no other options.
Ticket: # 859401 - Comcast attempting to impose data caps!

Date: 3/11/2016 2:50:25 AM
City/State/Zip: Englewood, Colorado 80112
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast is trying to make a cash grab at standard home users by attempting to put data caps for standard home users. The cap listed on my account, though not currently enforced, is 250GB! All I do is watch Netflix and remote into my work to work from home at night. I have used 110GB of my "data cap" in only 11 days! This type of system should not be allowed if we wish to grow as a country internet wise. Many other countries seem to already understand this and have much faster internet speeds. Japan has 5GB/s services for less than $50 per month. Comcast has no excuse for their ridiculous internet plans and thanks to their owning of all the fiber, we have NO OPTIONS but to be Comcast customers.
Ticket: # 859414 - Data cap
Date: 3/11/2016 4:46:40 AM
City/State/Zip: Doraville, Georgia 30340
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I received a notification e-mail from Comcast about my account being close to reaching a limit of 300 GB. I have not been informed about it or not been told about it by a Comcast representative who I was signing up for an account with. The "data meter" on the website never worked until this month and I've received a notification that I only have 29 GB of data left, however, the meter on the website tells a different story. It says I have used 259 GB. I find both inaccurate, since I do keep track of my traffic using my Wi-Fi router and all traffic goes through the Wi-Fi router.

According to my Wi-Fi routers traffic manager I have used 267.71 GB which I believe to be accurate. However, in the e-mail Comcast tells me that I have used 271 GB, and on their website it tells me that I've used 259 GB. Not only I have not been informed on being limited how much data I can use by the representative who signed me up, but also I am being misinformed about how much data I have used. I do not believe that it is by any means right to not disclose that my account will have a data limit and then charge me for going over the limit I have never been aware of or misinform me about how much data I have used. Seeing how inaccurate their meter is I wonder if it is ever accurate or if I will be overcharged in the future without even approaching the data cap I have not been informed of.
Ticket: # 862686 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/15/2016 11:28:42 AM
City/State/Zip: Lacey, Washington 98503
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 859543 - Please ban zero-rate schemes

Date: 3/11/2016 10:13:47 AM
City/State/Zip: Dover, New Hampshire 03820
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast, AT&T, T-Mobile and others are trying to work around net neutrality rules passed by the FCC last year using so-called zero-rate schemes that allow users to bypass data caps for certain services that pay these telecoms a partnering fee. For example: if Netflix partners with T-Mobile then Netflix usage is fast and unlimited on that network, but YouTube would be slow and count towards data caps.

This is a blatant test to see if the FCC will enforce the law. Please ban zero-rating to protect an open internet. Free speech is at risk.
Ticket: # 1020512 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating  
Date: 6/6/2016 4:17:13 PM  
City/State/Zip: Tampa, Florida 33611  
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

The internet should be treated like our commons, it is the property of the citizens and should be protected (by the FCC) from any corporate control.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.
Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862706 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/15/2016 11:32:57 AM
City/State/Zip: Thousand Oaks, California 91362
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862085 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 3/14/2016 6:04:42 PM
City/State/Zip: Okemos, Michigan 48864
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862690 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/15/2016 11:29:19 AM
City/State/Zip: Fort Collins, Colorado 80525
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862050 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 5:47:01 PM
City/State/Zip: Fanwood, New Jersey 07023
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 861984 - Comcast Unfair Business Practices With Price Gouging Tactics
Date: 3/14/2016 5:09:48 PM
City/State/Zip: Knoxville, Tennessee 37024
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Over the course of eight years, I have considered myself to be a LOYAL customer of Comcast. During this period of time, my bill was nearly always paid in full and on time. The service, despite a few minor down times and flaws, has been fairly decent and the Internet has been moderately fast. Unfortunately, this has all traveled downhill very quickly. Approximately two years ago, Comcast began data caps once more. Previously, I felt that I could browse the Internet, watch Amazon Video and watching YouTube, as I desired. Now, this is no longer a possibility. I am severely limited in my ability to use the Internet and was even forced to cut out Amazon Prime services, due to the excessive bandwidth usage. Of course, Comcast offers no real way to monitor this usage other than an inefficient application, which simply provides the user with a number and nothing else.

Not only is Comcast shattering the concept of the “open Internet”, but also their incompetency has proven itself time and again. They’re also cramming my bill with nickels, pennies and dollars each month! Previously, my bill was approximately $90 dollars and I had television, Internet and telephone. The bill remained right around $90 from month to month. Life was great, but not anymore. After my promotion period came to an end, the bill shot up to $150 per month. I got sick and tired of calling Comcast Customer Service every 5-6 months, in order to enroll in their loyalty program once more.

Instead, I decided that I would make permanent and long-term changes, by cutting off the services, which I no longer used. Below, I will walk you through my billing period for approximately a year.

With Promotion

Comcast used to have a Loyalty Department, which provided customers with lower prices for re-initiating their contracts. I have attached two bills from 5/6/2015 and 6/6/2015. Both provide me with the same price of $91.21. This was reasonable, since I was receiving three services, TV, Internet and Voice. I would also note the $3.25 Broadcast TV Fee, which has now shot up to $5. Again, this is a common tactic of Comcast and perfectly exemplifies their attempt to nickel and dime their customers to death. The 7/6/2015 bill, which has been attached, is the one that reflects the total, without the promotional price.

The price climbed over $60 and results in me taking action and cutting off the telephone. For two months, the bill remained the same at $153. On the 8/6/2015 bill, Comcast was revamping their billing system and upgrading. During this time, we were unable to pay online and were specifically told to wait, until the upgrades were made. So, we did and were hit with a late fee, despite being told we wouldn’t be.

Changing My Service

After this, I decided to change my service. I didn’t need telephone and also decided to cut down on the television. This is visible on the 9/6/2015 bill, which comes in much cheaper. The bill remained at
approximately $84 for 10/6/2015. I was entirely fine with this, since the bill was lower and I was still receiving a few television channels. Still, the majority of the channels I did get, despite HBO, could have been obtained for free via an over-the-air antenna.

The following month, Bill Date: 11/6/2015, my bill had already shot up $4 to $88. Surprisingly, this remained the same for the next bill on 12/6/2015. Of course, it wasn't going to sit idle for long. The Broadcast TV Fee was increased in January and my bill once again shot up over $90, as reflected in bill 1/6/2016. In order to cut the bill even lower, I decided to invest in a Modem, so I could remove the $10 monthly Modem Rental Fee.

I bought a modem and returned it to Comcast on January the 5th. I will have the receipt and will attach it as part of this email. Unfortunately, Comcast kept the fee on my bill and I have been forced to contact them approximately 5 times to fix this problem. This is evident in the bill for 2/6/2016. Now, I did manage to get this removed for the 3/6/2016 bill, but problems are still present. Despite the removal, the bill has not decreased at all. Instead, it remains at $88! And the Modem Rental Fee has been removed for January and February, but remains for March To April. This is reflected in the final attached bill.

Current Progress

I would also like to say that I have taken additional steps to lower my bill. Just today, March 14, 2016, I shut off my television. I was told this would drop my bill to a little under $80, which certainly isn’t much at all. Now, I am stuck with an Internet service for $80, when I previously had all three services for $90. I do not want all three services and refuse to enroll in yet another contract. Plus, I am no longer able to utilize my $80 Internet to fullest, because I am afraid of exceeding the bandwidth limits and being hit with even more costly fees!

I am aware that I could pay $30 additional per month to eliminate the data cap, but that would put my bill up to $110 per month for Internet alone! Despite the removal of the Modem Rental fee, I am certain it will return and Comcast is also in the process of charging $10 for their television boxes. I have returned mine, but I would not be surprised to find the $10 fee on my upcoming bills.

My Dilemma

Sadly, I am a hardworking, paying customer and Comcast doesn’t care about me. If I were on welfare or food stamps, I could probably obtain the service for free. However, I refuse to accept handouts and pride myself in paying for my own services, but enough is enough. $70 a month for Internet would be fine, as long as it was fast and UNLIMITED! $120 for Internet, when I was paying $90 for three services, is inconceivable and outrageous. Comcast needs to be put to a stop, as they’re beginning to hinder the consumer’s ability to access to the Internet and the enormous wealth of content available.

Now, I lie asleep at night and worry about my bandwidth slowly creeping up higher and higher, despite it not being utilized. Yet, HostGator and such companies can offer unfiltered and unlimited bandwidth for unlimited domains. This is undoubtedly a bait and switch by Comcast, but no one seems to care. This letter and the enclosed information has and will be sent to various entities and individuals in hopes of finding a caring soul, who will actually do something about this travesty. Lord knows the consumer doesn’t have the capital to enter into a legal battle with Comcast, so someone needs to step up and defend us!
Ticket: # 862078 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 6:00:01 PM
City/State/Zip: Vidor, Texas 77662
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-Mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862038 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 5:42:18 PM
City/State/Zip: Aurora, Illinois 60506
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-mobile

Description
First, I have 2 words: municipal broadband.

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.
Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862026 - Comcast Data Caps
Date: 3/14/2016 5:33:54 PM
City/State/Zip: Lynchburg, Virginia 24503
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
This is in regards to Comcast implementing data caps across the country. Currently, in my town, my account shows a cap of a mere 250 GB, but it's "suspended". However, Comcast is currently lifting suspensions across the country and charging people to access data, just because they can. They've already admitted it has nothing to do with congestion, it's simply a way to make more money by putting arbitrary restrictions on people. In my town, Comcast is the only high-speed internet provider in most of the city. In some areas, you can get DSL, but it is limited to 3MB down, which is too slow for any modern media. There are wireless services, but many of them are slow, have high latency, and far worse data caps (such as 10 GB). Obviously you aren't going to be streaming many HD movies with a 10 GB limit, or downloading any homework videos or video games. To boot, Comcast seems to have lowered the "suspended" limit. At one point, I'm sure the limit was either 300 GB or 350 GB, but now it's a mere 250. With 4K streaming right around the corner, and video game downloads clocking in at 40-60 GB these days, that limit will be eaten up in days. Obviously, since there is no competition, I have nowhere else to take my "business", when the day comes that they start charging me for going over the tiny 250 GB limit. This is a scheme to put limits in place ahead of more and more competition such as Playstation Vue, Sling TV, Hulu, etc., as Comcast attempts to overcharge people that have cut cable or satellite TV, since they have a monopoly on high-speed internet in many places. In 5-10 years, 250 GB will most likely be looked at as we now look at 250 MB, but since they put these limits in place ahead of time, they can try and claim "it's always been this way, so why not pay $200 for the 'unlimited' plan" (prediction of Comcast CSR on the phone in 5 years).
Ticket: # 862028 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 5:34:09 PM
City/State/Zip: Mount Holly, North Carolina 28120
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862081 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 6:03:31 PM
City/State/Zip: Mountain View, California 94043
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 861994 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 5:16:28 PM
City/State/Zip: Canal Winchester, Ohio 43110
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862035 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 5:39:57 PM
City/State/Zip: Chicago, Illinois 60647
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 861997 - XXXXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX 's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 5:18:50 PM
City/State/Zip: Rosemount, Minnesota 55068
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 861998 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 5:20:02 PM
City/State/Zip: North Pole, Alaska 99705
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862000 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 3/14/2016 5:21:14 PM
City/State/Zip: Newark, Ohio 43055
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862004 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 5:23:36 PM
City/State/Zip: Blaine, Washington 98230
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act
as gatekeepers. IT'S TIME THAT THESE CARRIERS WERE REIGNED IN! They are not above the law!

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862017 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

**Date:** 3/14/2016 5:29:28 PM  
**City/State/Zip:** Kalamazoo, Michigan 49008  
**Company Complaining About:** Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile

### Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862019 - XXXXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 5:30:39 PM
City/State/Zip: Oakland, California 94612
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps - a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862020 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 5:31:50 PM
City/State/Zip: Lacey, Washington 98503
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862041 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 5:43:30 PM
City/State/Zip: Chicago, Illinois 60640
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862033 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 5:37:38 PM
City/State/Zip: Bethpage, New York 11714
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

Keep in mind the the attempted bypass of the Net Neutrality rules are not only a threat to legal precedents, but a threat to the civil liberties and natural rights of all those who use the internet. To not take action is insulting to the ideas of freedom and justice this country stands for.
Ticket: # 862054 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior  

Date: 3/14/2016 5:48:12 PM  
City/State/Zip: Huntsville, Alabama 35801  
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862056 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 5:49:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Brick, New Jersey 08724
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862059 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 5:50:33 PM
City/State/Zip: Prospect Park, New Jersey 07508
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862062 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 5:51:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Newman Lake, Washington 99025
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862065 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 5:54:08 PM
City/State/Zip: Austin, Texas 78703
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers' data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps — a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862069 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 5:56:26 PM
City/State/Zip: Farwell, Minnesota 56327
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862097 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 6:10:37 PM
City/State/Zip: Puyallup, Washington 98375
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862072 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 5:57:37 PM
City/State/Zip: Massillon, Ohio 44646
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862075 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX’s complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 5:58:49 PM
City/State/Zip: Colorado Springs, Colorado 80915
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862098 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 6:11:48 PM
City/State/Zip: Elmwood Park, Illinois 60707
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862102 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 6:12:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Rollinsville, Colorado 80474
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers' data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on...
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862120 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 6:25:50 PM  
City/State/Zip: Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52403  
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862122 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 6:28:12 PM  
City/State/Zip: Tolland, Connecticut 06084  
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862123 - robert's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 6:29:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Raleigh, North Carolina 27607
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862127 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 6:32:50 PM
City/State/Zip: Evanston, Illinois 60202
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862134 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 6:37:33 PM  
City/State/Zip: Mead, Colorado 80542  
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-Mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862137 - XXXXX XXXXX’s complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 3/14/2016 6:38:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Mead, Colorado 80542
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862144 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 6:41:06 PM
City/State/Zip: Appleton, Wisconsin 54915
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862146 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/14/2016 6:42:17 PM
City/State/Zip: Ann Arbor, Michigan 48103
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862720 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/15/2016 11:37:14 AM
City/State/Zip: West Jordan, Utah 84088
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862696 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 3/15/2016 11:30:33 AM
City/State/Zip: Blacksburg, Virginia 24060
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862703 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/15/2016 11:31:44 AM
City/State/Zip: Williamsburg Twp, Maine 04414
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers' data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862713 - XXXXXX XXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/15/2016 11:34:11 AM
City/State/Zip: Boca Raton, Florida 33487
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862714 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/15/2016 11:34:47 AM
City/State/Zip: San Mateo, California 94402
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862716 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/15/2016 11:35:22 AM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98102
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T And T-mobile

Description
Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862717 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/15/2016 11:35:57 AM
City/State/Zip: Shoreline, Washington 98155
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 862719 - XXX XXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 3/15/2016 11:36:33 AM
City/State/Zip: Santa Clarita, California 91390
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on
the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 1020513 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 6/6/2016 4:17:24 PM
City/State/Zip: Minneapolis, Minnesota 55414
Company Complaining About: Comcast

**Description**

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 863679 - Data Caps and Speed Throttling

Date: 3/16/2016 4:55:23 AM
City/State/Zip: Southaven, Mississippi 38671
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I pay for the highest speed internet available in my area, which is through Comcast. I'm now being charged for going over their "data cap". Why should I be penalized for already paying them exorbitant amounts of money? If I want high-speed internet, I have no choice but to go with Comcast and get charged "overage fees". Especially when I don't even get the speeds I pay for. (a 20Mbps difference on a good day.)
Ticket: # 863680 - Non-response to previous complaint

Date: 3/16/2016 6:18:30 AM
City/State/Zip: Knoxville, Tennessee 37919
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast did not respond to my previous complaint in writing. I complained that they are violating net neutrality laws because their video streaming service does not count towards the data cap that they are enforcing in my area while their competitors in the video streaming service do. This gives them an unfair advantage over their competitors and it's bad for consumers because I can't pick the best streaming service available. If I do, then I'll go over the data cap and have to pay Comcast a fee.

Comcast responded by phone and claimed that their video streaming service does not travel over the open internet, and therefore does not need to follow net neutrality rules. I pointed out that they have a browser based service that does, and they told me that service does count towards bandwidth usage. However, in my own test it does not appear to count towards bandwidth usage. They ended the call by stating that they would send me a written response, but they never did.
Ticket: # 863771 - Xfinity/Comcast Monopoly

Date: 3/16/2016 10:09:16 AM
City/State/Zip: Pembroke Pines, Florida 33025
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast has now placed a data cap on usage. Any data usage over 300GB will be charged an additional $10 per 50GB. In addition, the base cost of my service has been increasing slowly. We purchased unlimited internet usage for a set cost, or at least this is the service we were told we would receive. Although we did not sign a contract with Comcast, this does not give them the right to sell a service that does not in fact exist. How do you pay for a buffet and once you begin to eat are told that you only have access to half the buffet? So then you are not selling a buffet right? I do not understand how it can be legal to completely change the terms of a service at will. For those customers who purchased unlimited internet service at a given speed, the service should be just that until the consumer terminates. Comcast does offer bundles which cost more and include cable that we do not need. I can be charged an additional $10 per 50GB per overage. They also offer unlimited internet for an additional $35 dollars a month. I do not understand, this is the service I already purchased! Essentially, Comcast is forcing customers to enter a contract or pay what they want in one way or another. When Comcast told me that the service I was paying for was unlimited internet, it was meaningless. This is because they can price or change service as they choose, forcing the customer to pay. I am sure that Comcast has spent a lot of time and effort to research GB usage. It is obvious that customers use just over 300GB per month, again forcing customers to pay. How can this be legal? Please, please do something to stop Comcast from gouging consumers.
Ticket: # 1020604 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 6/6/2016 4:38:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Mascot, Tennessee 37806
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: #1020606 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 6/6/2016 4:38:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Sarasota, Florida 34243
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 864686 - Comcast Data Cap
Date: 3/16/2016 9:23:49 PM
City/State/Zip: Coconut Creek, Florida 33066
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast is slowly introducing data caps, which are meant to create revenue from those individuals who are only subscribing to their internet service. They are charging $10 for every 50 gigabytes. How they value a gigabyte like that of electricity is not a common complementary measure. This is a new masked threat to net neutrality and should be investigated. Comcast also intends to introduce its own Streaming service, which would be exempt from it's own data cap. This is a direct violation of the recently passed Net Neutrality law. With limited alternatives, due to approved mergers and such, consumers are becoming victims to illegal schemes.
Ticket: # 1020607 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 6/6/2016 4:39:05 PM
City/State/Zip: Wilkinson, West Virginia 25653
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll for a fee in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 865763 - Undisclosed data capping
Date: 3/17/2016 9:08:42 PM
City/State/Zip: Valley Bend, West Virginia 26293
Company Complaining About: Sudden Link

Description
With ads consuming 40 to 50% of the data per month the data capping that suddenlink enforces is flat out disgusting. There needs to be an option for flat rate like it has been for the last 25 years. My bill for the month is already around 200 dollars, where does it end, human blood is cheaper than there data. Also i live in an area where there is no other option for me so this is a monopoly. I thought monopolies are illegal in the U.S. Suddenlink is an internet service provider they are not suppose to control the internet, they are suppose to provide it. The least they could do is have a real time data usage monitor. This way you might have an idea what you are using with less chance of going over there very low data limit.
Ticket: # 865985 - Comcast Data policy/ Cap  
Date: 3/18/2016 10:02:35 AM  
City/State/Zip: Greeneville, Tennessee 37743  
Company Complaining About: Comcast  

Description
Comcast monitors and charges us for a soft data cap. For every 50GB we consume over the 300GB allotment we pay a fee of $10. Their billing cycle run from the 1st til the 30th of each month. However, every month they grossly overestimate the usage on our account.

As of writing this (the 18th of the month) they currently place us at 312GB of 300GB (according to their online meter). However, our FreeBSD router tracks the total data used (outgoing or incoming) on WAN and only reports a total of ~207.054GB (183.731GB DL / 20.323GB UL) consumed in the same time period. There appears to be a huge discrepancy between what Comcast reports and what is actually being consumed.

I assume there is a difference between what is recorded on our end and what is recorded by Comcast, but the difference is too large to be considered normal and it has been consistently overestimated in the past year. We’re effectively being charged for something we’re not committing. PLEASE do something. We have no one to protect us!
Ticket: # 866364 - Preserve Net Neutrality

Date: 3/18/2016 12:31:45 PM
City/State/Zip: Pendleton, Oregon 97801
Company Complaining About: Charter

Description
The Big Carriers, Charter, T-Mobile, ATT and more, are attempting to circumvent recent FCC rules ensuring Net Neutrality via the use of "data caps," placing such caps at a very low level so as to hinder access to small sites and award higher levels to businesses which can afford to pay them large fees. This policy clearly violates at least the spirit of the FCC rules and certainly, directly harms small businesses such as mine by limiting access to our web presence. Please stop these violations of your rules and the harm to the majority of those present on the web. Thanks for all you do!
Ticket: # 866756 - Internet Data Cap is restrictive and anti-competitive

Date: 3/18/2016 2:45:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Mount Pleasant, South Carolina 29464
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I have internet only service from Comcast in the Charleston, SC area. Comcast has instituted a 300GB per month data cap where each additional 50GB is charged at a rate of $10. Comcast offers a package allowing video streaming that does not count against the cap and TV customers have video programming traveling over the exact same wires as the internet which also does not count against the data cap. I have neither of these services, but my streaming of any audio or video programming is logged against my data cap since it is not "Comcast video". This heavily privileges the video programming sold by Comcast over competing video services. Internet "Data" is not a finite resource like food or water. No one person or group of people could "consume" all the data. Put another way, "data" is never going to run out, so imposing a limit on the amount a household can use is perverse and abusive in this day and age. I do understand the concept of Network Congestion, and understand the use of bandwidth tiers where consumers pay more for more bandwidth. However Comcast's implementation of their own streaming video service demonstrates that network congestion is not a concern to them and there are numerous articles stating that data caps have nothing to do with network congestion.

We have four family members who each utilize services like Netflix, YouTube, and SlingTV to watch media, and this data cap severely restricts our use of these services to the point where it is not worth it to pay for them. Ignoring any other internet usage, less than 2 hours of video programming a day for each member of our household would easily exceed the data cap. In addition the internet usage meter provided on Comcast's "My Account" website is never working/available to allow me to see if I am in danger of exceeding the cap, nor is there a way for the average consumer to know if comcast's meter is accurate.

In conclusion the data cap of 300gb per month is arbitrarily low and it's implementation is anti-competitive, anti-innovation, and anti- Net Neutrality opposing the fair playing field intended by the FCC. Please enact legislation or regulations to Ban Internet Data Caps and "Overage" Charges.
Ticket: # 881864 - On Data Caps.
Date: 3/28/2016 7:38:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Tucson, Arizona 85742
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast continues to ignore common practices when throttling, or billing for overage data. Mobile carriers that offer limited data plans with overage fees, for example, provide far more details as to data usage over time, so that issues with a device, application, or service can be identified. Comcast, provides nothing but an "aggregate report of data usage," nested deep within their account management pages. To add insult to injury, Comcast provides no information on "courtesy data overages" on their mobile/responsive website.

Comcast has also not clarified if internet augmented devices, such as their "X1 Entertainment Platform" bypasses this data cap for it's on-demand, content discovery, and reliance on an active internet connection to function.

I recently was forced to move my remote work to a 20 minute freeway commute to a co-working space, simply to avoid these overage fees from data caps. As a remote-based developer and tech startup founder, I am appalled by Comcast's misleading advertisements of its "high speed internet service" as a means to support home based entrepreneurs and internet based startup employees, as Comcast has threatened many times after learning I was a "remote worker" that they would terminate my service, if I did not upgrade to the 3x in cost "Business Class" (that turned out not to be available at my address). Furthermore, after moving my work to an office, and the removal of two roommates, my data usage is apparently increasing month-over-month, even with fewer devices, humans operating these devices, and router restrictions on daily usage.

Additionally, Comcast provides notifications of reaching, and overutilization of resources in the form of MITM attacks, packet injection, or DNS redirection on the client side. For example, when visiting a website over HTTPS, and a website that utilizes HSTS, and utilizing Google's Public DNS servers, I still receive intermodal messages of alerts and service messages from Comcast. In order to deliver these messages, Comcast must be ignoring my DNS service preference at the modem (which I own and brought to the service), router (again, I own this), and device level (laptop, desktop, IPTV devices, gaming systems, and mobile devices are affected), and to inject content over HTTPS/HSTS, posing as a MITM attacker. This is a huge disregard of privacy and security, as the same method in which Comcast is doing this to "alert" me, an attacker within their network or infrastructure could do the same.

When asked about data caps, Comcast's offices in Tucson stick to the "to reduce network congestion, and penalize high data usage offenders," yet refuse to acknowledge how the arbitrary limit per service package is determined, or to provide detailed reports on usage.

As a customer forced by the internet utility monopoly supported by the United States government, I am left with no option but to refute these outdated, insecure practices, and wait patiently for either my municipality to provide a truly open utility, or a third-party to offer the effect of competition in my situation. As such, I request that the FCC fulfill its duty to citizens affected by draconian practices and
abuses from monopolization, provide support or action against Comcast and its business units operating in Pima County, AZ.
Ticket: # 867639 - Armstrong Data Cap
Date: 3/18/2016 10:27:02 PM
City/State/Zip: Boardman, Ohio 44512
Company Complaining About: Armstrong

Description
Armstrong's home internet data cap is ridiculous. They're forcing customers to pay overages for data & it was once advertised as unlimited. Why should we pay overages for data at home? Cell phone companies have already capped their data and often use people's home wifi service as a sense of relief to the customer. If that sense of relief isn't unlimited, what relief is it? Cable companies have already created an oligopoly that we are forced to deal with, and now a data cap too?
Description

Last year your agency protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new schemes that are just as harmful to the open Internet and its users.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t pick winners and losers online by slowing down some apps while speeding up others, so Comcast found another way to pick winners and losers. Comcast exempts its own video app from customers’ data caps, while counting all competing services toward those caps – a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

The rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created a new toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. These plans distort user choice, pushing people toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll.

Finally, the rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if they meet its substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others. Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer.

In every case, sites that have not made special relationships with the ISP now face new barriers to reaching Internet users. These practices distort competition, stifle innovation, limit user choice, and drive up prices.

In many cases, there’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps, but allowing its own video app a free pass. But the company has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. They simply discourage broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: these companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number below, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email. I’m going to be a Comcast customer soon and I refuse to allow my Internet be slow by any means by them. They and other companies have violated Net neutrality rules and they should be punished severely for lying to their customers and being more than dishonest.
Ticket: # 867715 - Data caps

Date: 3/19/2016 1:36:59 AM
City/State/Zip: Carmichael, California 95608
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Data caps limit access to internet content by charging fees.
Ticket: # 867857 - Comcast / Xfinity Data Usage Caps

Date: 3/19/2016 10:00:25 AM
City/State/Zip: Decatur, Georgia 30030
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast has instituted draconian 300 GB monthly data caps, and extorts money out of residential customers who exceed the data caps. This needs to stop - Comcast's data caps are out of line with the modern reality of home Internet usage. These data caps violate the principles of net neutrality, disincentivizing customer usage of streaming media services.
Ticket: # 1020619 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 6/6/2016 4:40:28 PM
City/State/Zip: Marlborough, Massachusetts 01752
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 868863 - Comcast Data Caps
Date: 3/20/2016 6:04:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Atlanta, Georgia 30339
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast is constantly using pop up's in my browser and emailing me about hitting a "data cap." They are going to charge me for utilizing the internet in a way that they aren't used to seeing people use. The internet should be a utility that everyone should be able to take advantage of, not just people who are able to pay more because they use more of a product. I feel that they are throttling my bandwidth because I am going over the "datacap."
Ticket: # 869057 - Comcast Data Cap
Date: 3/20/2016 11:20:43 PM
City/State/Zip: Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33308
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I have been a comcast customer for nearly three years, entirely because they are the only internet provider in my area. They have a monopoly, and I am unable to choose any other provider for reasonable internet. I sometimes work from home, which requires me to use VPN, which can be expensive on broadband. Today (3/20) they said I have used 90% of my internet data (270 out of 300gb). It is entirely unfair, as I have never agreed to a data cap, as comcast just decided to enforce it for no apparent reason. This is an anti-competitive practice that is unfair to us consumers, who expect internet to be provided to us. I pay for 75mbps internet which, at their current cap, means that I can blow through their cap in just 8 hours. I consider this to be false advertisement as while I am offered 75mbps, I can't really use it as I would hit my cap in a third of a day. I believe the FCC should penalize Comcast for what amounts to extortion with their internet, as I can "pay" $35 extra to get rid of the cap. Somehow, magically, they don't have issues with congestion if I pay an extra $35. This data cap needs to be done away with immediately. It is a money grab by a monopoly in my area, and I just have to take it as I have no other choices for broadband internet.
Ticket: # 869085 - Cox Cable Data Caps

Date: 3/21/2016 12:03:34 AM
City/State/Zip: Olmsted Twp, Ohio 44138
Company Complaining About: Cox

Description
Cox cable recently implemented data caps on its internet service. These caps infringe on my ability to utilize competing services for television content and are a blatant attempt to force me to utilize their content for television. If I chose to utilize their television content, the data caps do not apply. This appears to be forcing a customer to only utilize one provider of segmented services offered by the cable company. These monopoly practices are unfair to the consumer and the openness of the Internet.
Ticket: # 869185 - SNEAK ATTACK ON NET NEUTRALITY

Date: 3/21/2016 8:35:02 AM
City/State/Zip: Fort Collins, Colorado 80524
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
With the introduction of "zero rating" content and retention of data caps from AT&T, T-Mobile, Verizon and Comcast, I find the behavior these companies to be contempuous of the FCC ruling on net neutrality. Internet fast lanes were clearly forbidden and this law clearly violated. I ask the FCC to take action to outlaw "zero rating" practicies, and other such attempts to bypass net neutrality.
Ticket: # 869549 - Comcast Xfinity Data Cap

Date: 3/21/2016 12:06:11 PM
City/State/Zip: Cordova, Tennessee 38016
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Just received notification of data cap being implemented my ISP (Comcast Memphis) Xfinity. I believe that this is new 300 GB data cap is an unlawful business practice. Comcast used my download speed to 75 MB only to implement a data cap to be able to charge me more. What about net neutrality I can not wait until there is more compition . FCC do your job and put a stop to the pricing practices being imposed by a Monopoly like comcast.

Thanks a lot.
Description
It is unfair that users in Georgia are unfairly being targeted by Comcast's data caps. This stifles streaming TV and other online services. I want this 300GB cap gone.
Ticket: # 871046 - Comcast Data Caps
Date: 3/21/2016 9:43:16 PM
City/State/Zip: Augusta, Georgia 30909
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast has imposed a data cap in my area within the last year or so. Even though my household is consistent in its internet usage, my data reading from Comcast is completely inconsistent. Nothing has changed about the way my two-person household uses the data, yet we randomly go over the allotted data for the month. We supposedly used the 3 "courtesy overages" over the last year. Last month (Feb 2016) we supposedly went over twice our allowance for data, and this month (March 2016) we have supposedly gone over data cap by 60 GB on the 21st. These amounts are outrageous for how we use our internet. I have put in calls to tech support, billing, security assurance, and yet no one can over me answers or relief. Tech support and security assurance suggested that my WIFI must be hacked and I should change my password, but will not offer any relief from the $90 (and counting) it is costing me. Billing sent me to security assurance again, who again, offered nothing. Tech support refused to do any trouble-shooting of my connection or modem, stating that 'experience says it must be a hacker.' While that may be the problem, they refuse to look into it further and refuse to offer financial relief. (My WIFI password has been changed, waiting to see if the data usage becomes consistent again). With this lack of consistent data monitoring, Comcast should not be allowed to charge me for 'overage data.' Comcast should not have been allowed to implement data caps on existing customers in the first place, as the practice is simply shameful and down-right unethical.
Ticket: # 871117 - Data cap
Date: 3/21/2016 11:03:16 PM
City/State/Zip: Tucson, Arizona 85719
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
It was never explicitly disclosed to me that I would be subject to a data cap. There was not explicit notice or constructive notice given to me regarding the internet data cap. Rather, I had to inquire as to why I was billed nearly twice the amount that I agreed to pay because my household went over the data cap that I was never informed of. When I called Comcast to inquire about the additional charges, I told them that I would either like to terminate my service and pay the full amount owed or pay the amount that I had originally agreed to. I was told to call one of the many departments that I had already talked to about this problem. When the representative and myself got off of the phone, my internet services were discontinued after I was explicitly told that I would be given an additional week to figure out my situation and make the payment. I continually told the Comcast representatives that I either wanted to pay the balance on my account in full and terminate my service or correct the issue, which would have been a removal of the cap, and continue to pay the agreed monthly rate. I was told that Comcast could not remove the data cap because it was either the federal mandate or regulated by state statute. Please correct this issue.
Ticket: # 871258 - Data cap
Date: 3/22/2016 9:12:04 AM
City/State/Zip: Brunswick, Maine 04011
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast has imposed a data cap of 300gb on its internet customers, with no way to monitor your data usage, and no option to upgrade to an unlimited plan. They simply charge extra when you go over 300gb. Without a way to monitor your data usage, they should not be able to penalize customers when they go over Comcast arbitrary data cap.
Ticket: # 873349 - Comcast/Xfinity Data Caps on internet usage  
**Date:** 3/22/2016 10:28:54 PM  
**City/State/Zip:** Collierville, Tennessee 38017  
**Company Complaining About:** Comcast

**Description**  
I believe that 300GB Datacap that Comcast has imposed is wrong. my family is now severely limited in what it can do because of this. I was staying at a steady 200GB average for internet usage, and in the past 2 months, Comcast states that my usage has skyrocketed to 350GB/month. I called about an unlimited Data use package and was denied, even thou i’m willing to pay an extra fee for it. The reason given was that it is not available in my area, when their website directly states that it is. In my apartment complex, Comcast has a monopoly over internet providers and will not allow competitors in here, so i am stuck with this. Comcast needs to get rid of this Data cap. Internet is no longer a luxury. It is a necessity. how am i supposed to tell my 9yo son that he cannot use the internet for homework since Comcast says we are limited monthly.
Ticket: # 874614 - incorrectly metering data usage
Date: 3/23/2016 3:52:34 PM
City/State/Zip: Little Rock, Arkansas 72227
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I have been a comcast customer for a decade and these data caps are arbitrary (im in a "beta" area) and unfair, my beta area pays more for unlimited data than any others.
Ticket: # 875310 - Cox charging for data caps

Date: 3/23/2016 10:29:34 PM

City/State/Zip: Olmsted Falls, Ohio 44138

Company Complaining About: Cox

Description
This complaint is against Cox cable for punishing customers by charging money for breaching data caps in my area. I require a solid internet connection and cannot have data caps due to my job depending on an internet connection. There is no other internet provider in my area other than Cox so I have no choice but to deal with their data caps. This is a direct result due to lack of competition in my area. Cox shouldn't be allowed to charge for data caps. I feel that this is a violation of Open Internet. If Cox should be allowed to have data caps, they should not be allowed to set them so low.
Ticket: # 875411 - Re: [FCC Complaints] Re: Their data meter is as arbitrary as their billing.

Date: 3/24/2016 3:11:13 AM
City/State/Zip: Little Rock, Arkansas 72202
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
This is a follow-up to your previous request #770740 "Their data meter is as arbi..."

Still being billed 120(176.00) a month for my 99.99 package... which even with the bs 30.00 to remove the cap shouldn't be this high...still have major discrepancies between modem passthrough bandwidth meter and comcast meter still no competition for them here they paid the city to tell google fiber no just seriously can someone put a stop to this nonsense data caps make sense for wireless service because they have to maintain a wireless p2mp network wired networks don't cost jack to maintain or upgrade comcast own internal memo said it wasn't about bandwidth, congestion, or cost of business its plain greed and no one wants to do anything about it.
please show you give a crap about consumers and take ANY form of action against these monsters..

-----------------------------
From: "FCC" <consumercomplaints@fcc.gov>
To: [Redacted]
Sent: Thursday, February 18, 2016 7:17:29 AM
Subject: [FCC Complaints] Re: Their data meter is as arbitrary as their billing.
Ticket: # 875961 - Comcast Data Caps
Date: 3/24/2016 12:43:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Norcross, Georgia 30093
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I live in the suburbs of Atlanta, GA and I am opposed to Comcast's 300 GB data cap and the charging of extra data after that cap has been reached. This is an attack on Net Neutrality and Comcast is making money where they can considering data casts and delivery costs have consistently gone down.
Ticket: # 876092 - Armstrong cable data caps

Date: 3/24/2016 1:32:16 PM
City/State/Zip: Medina, Ohio 44256
Company Complaining About: Armstrong Cable

Description
Over the last two years Armstrong, my only option in Medina, OH, has abused their monopoly status to do all of the following:

1. Raise bills consistently every 6 months by at least $5 to $10.
2. Imposed low data caps (200 Gb a month) that have skyrocketed the price for cord cutters, home offices, and other common high bandwidth users.
3. Eliminated QAM broadcasts and forced all consumers to RENT their boxes at inflated prices.

These have limited our options, made our costs skyrocket, and without competition, there is no hope for intervention by third parties. The low data caps in particular are an impediment to the further utilization of online services. As a worker in the IT field, this is a growing problem that needs to be resolved. These are clearly tactics being used by a dying industry to maintain their high profit margins through underhanded means rather than evolving to meet the needs of their customers, and because of the municipal monopoly they have, we literally have NO OPTIONS.
Ticket: # 877276 - Suddenlink
Date: 3/24/2016 10:36:01 PM
City/State/Zip: Pineville, Louisiana 71360
Company Complaining About: Sudden Link

Description
Suddenlink has a data cap on usage which I believe is ridiculous on top of that they don't even offer a unlimited data plan for their service. They have a monopoly on most areas and they are just forcing people to get their high Internet and cable. I believe it is wrong to cap data and charge people seeing how it's not a resource.
Description
I want to complain about comcast's data caps. I believe it provides an unfair restriction of customers' ability to watch the video sources of their choice. If I watch pay per view movies on comcast, it doesn't count against the 300GB a month quota. If I watch something on Netflix, Hulu or some other service, that does count against the quota. This gives comcast what in my opinion is an unfair advantage against their competitors.

This is also an unfair advantage against Comcast's competitors for phone service. I use a magicjack which uses my internet connection. Thus, my phone calls count against that 300GB quota.

Also a complaint about this form. It asks for my phone number. I gave it the 10 digits and the form tells me the number is invalid.
Ticket: # 877751 - Data
Date: 3/25/2016 11:50:08 AM
City/State/Zip: Dunwoody, Georgia 30338
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I signed up to for Comcast Internet Service. However, upon using the Comcast's website to sign-up for the service, I did not see any clear indication that my service would have data cap of 300GB per month. Comcast needs to make it front and center that the internet service you are signing up for has a data cap and it amount of the data cap. The website is certainly misleading and false advertisement. The contract should be clear absolute and unequivocal.
**Ticket: # 878356 - Comcast data usage**

**Date:** 3/25/2016 3:22:12 PM  
**City/State/Zip:** Plantation, Florida 33317  
**Company Complaining About:** Comcast

**Description**

Comcast data usage rates are an outrage and a danger to Internet freedom and innovation. They have done nothing to lower the cost on those that use less Internet and penalize those that use more than they deem acceptable. This is a scam, a reach for more money, and does nothing but hurt customs. Worse than this, it limits the freedom of the Internet which in turn ruins the innovation, invention, thought sharing and free thinking the Internet has provided for so many years. This issue needs to be dealt with immediately. Data caps cannot exist and are an infringement of free open Internet.
Description
I go to online school and rely on the internet. Not only this, but we have 6-7 people in our house that constantly use the internet. Comcast introduced this data cap of 300GB after we signed a contract with them having been under the impression of unlimited internet. We never got a notice from Comcast that these data "trials" as they call it were introduced. My education relies on the internet. If I can't have my legally required education, me and my mother will likely be contacted by a truant officer. How would we explain to him/her that I can't go to school because our internet provider limits our internet use? At my school, internet and computer problems are no excuse, no exceptions. If I don't get my work done, I'll be contacted by my school asking why I haven't done any school work in some time. We don't have any other options for internet either. At&t DSL is the only other option, but there are two problems with that. It's not fast enough for my school to be done, and they also have a data limit. I understand why DSL based internet services have a limit. Phone lines get congested, that's reasonable. We signed a 2 year agreement, which we the account payers are not able to modify but Comcast can change anything if they feel like it, because we thought it was unlimited internet. It was when we signed up but it is no longer unlimited. I now have to be very conservative, excuse me, my family has to be very conservative of internet usage so I can go to school like required by law.
Ticket: # 879187 - Comcast 300GB cap
Date: 3/26/2016 12:07:24 PM
City/State/Zip: Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33304
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Dear FCC,

As I’m sure you are aware, Comcast has recently begun enforcing a 300Gb data cap on a great part of its customer base. I find this change extremely concerning as I see no reason other companies won’t start following suit. Natural monopolies, limited options, and shady contracts make it difficult or impossible for myself and many others to reasonably switch to another provider.

I’m asking you to do everything in your power to stop this trend before it starts. The internet is not just a luxury anymore - it is a critical part of many people's lives. As we’ve seen with cell phone data, once caps are in place, it is a race to the bottom to offer ever more limited data at ever increasing prices.

Regards,

[Redacted]
Ticket: # 879517 - Data caps
Date: 3/26/2016 6:35:36 PM
City/State/Zip: Cordova, Tennessee 38018
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast's data caps are unfair.
Ticket: # 879686 - Comcast data cap
Date: 3/27/2016 12:35:33 AM
City/State/Zip: Pembroke Pines, Florida 33026
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast threatened to charge my family if we go over their data cap. Data caps go against net neutrality rules.
Ticket: # 879718 - Data Cap
Date: 3/27/2016 7:50:59 AM
City/State/Zip: Atlanta, Georgia 30318
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I would like to formally complain about the Comcast Data Cap. One month ago, after our cable bill with Comcast had crept up toward the ridiculous $250/month mark mostly for 500+ channels we would not care to watch, we cut (or more accurately, shaved) the "cord." We had to keep Comcast as our ISP because for some reason, anti-trust laws don't apply to cable providers and we had no other reasonable option. AT&T/UVerse cannot match the speed and Google Fiber is not yet here.

We are now 26 days into our first month of streaming and Comcast is alerting me that I have exceeded my "data cap". I did not know I had a "data cap". I will now be charged $10 per 50GB block of extra bandwidth I consume, whether I actually consume the full 50 GB or not.

Data caps are unfair and threaten net neutrality. Streaming content is the future of media. Please act now to prohibit unfair "data caps."

And if you forward this to Comcast, I will be investigating UVerse tomorrow and patiently await the arrival of Google Fiber so I can say goodbye to your
Ticket: # 879934 - Prevent Data Caps - or insure that ISP's who want to implement them do not also provide Television Services

Date: 3/27/2016 7:18:27 PM
City/State/Zip: West Park, Florida 33023
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Users currently have little or no competition for internet service providers. When the provider is also a cable company, then imposing a data cap is that company's attempt to discourage people from cutting the cable TV service in favor of better choices, better quality internet streaming. Funny how Comcast's Cable TV service or their streaming TV does not affect the "data cap." Since the beginning of franchises, people have not had the ability to choose their internet or cable TV providers. Now that the competition has found a way to bridge that gap and people are flocking to the better service, Comcast finds itself having to make up the difference in lost profits. Rather than compete on the same ground, they are using their franchise status and monopoly to bully people into submission. Stop the data caps, or impose the same caps on all services, including Cable TV.
Ticket: # 880359 - comcast now charging data cap usage for internet

Date: 3/28/2016 11:10:10 AM
City/State/Zip: West Miami, Florida 33155
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
i signed up for Comcast data and cable package in September 2015.

i have been a customer of Comcast, unfortunately the only provider in the area with horrific service, for 4 years now. when i renewed my contract in September 2015, i did not sign a internet package with a maximum usage or data cap.

this is ridiculous, particularly how i came to realize i now have to pay for an overage when it was not on the contract. they are just trying to make up for lost revenues from people cutting the cord, but charging more money to those who actually use the service like i do. this is extortion. should be banned.
Ticket: # 881298 - Comcast Data Allowance/Cap
Date: 3/28/2016 4:22:08 PM
City/State/Zip: Woodstock, Georgia 30188
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I live in Atlanta, GA and have the unfortunate task of having Comcast. We were required to have a data cap of 300 GB per month and to get it removed cost another $35 a month. Unfortunately we have been a victim of a bait and switch and were 'mistakenly' given a 600 GB allowance just for it be to be taken away. I have been on chats and phone calls with Comcast who admitted that the 600 GB was a mistake and I am now stuck on the 300 GB plan. The issue is that I work from home and my VPN requires a lot of bandwidth.
Ticket: # 888023 - Data Caps
Date: 3/31/2016 3:06:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Forney, Texas 75126
Company Complaining About: Sudden Link

Description
I'm writing to the FCC today to place in question the business practices of 'Suddenlink' and their policy on data caps.

Data itself is not a limited resource, all data within the internet is a direct copy of its source. The only additional costs to data is the energy required to deliver it to the end destination which in consumer terms is in the order of a fraction of a cent per gigabyte.

The excuse ‘To protect the health of the network’ is a complete lie and is willfully preying on the ignorance of their customers who in general do not have an understanding of network infrastructure.

To properly combat the ‘Health of the network’ bandwidth must be throttled so every user may receive and equal or the agreed upon amount when the service was installed. It stands that if bandwidth is not being throttled and they are charging users for exceeding data caps they are gouging customers for more money.

This is in violation of the 2010 Open Internet Order as they do not give customers a reasonable amount of data and charge them $10.00 for going over and an additional $10.00 for 50 GB of data.

Recently the FCC has taken center stage in advocating and enforcing fair practice and procedures for the consumer. I'm very impressed with Tom Wheeler and his aggressive stance against the cable industry and sincerely hope he can help others in my situation.
Description
AT&T has announced that they are going to be using enforcing data caps with the sole purpose of fighting Internet TV such as Netflix and Amazon Prime. Other ISPs such as Comcast have been doing this as well and the FCC needs to take action to protect consumers, especially those who don't have a choice for truly open broadband.
Ticket: # 883929 - COMCAST'S 300GB DATA CAP

Date: 3/29/2016 6:07:47 PM
City/State/Zip: Pembroke Pines, Florida 33026
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast's 300GB Data cap is absurd. Just now we the people are getting charged for how much "data" we use? Why didn't they start doing this from the beginning? That's because there's not such thing as a "data cap" they're just counting how much you use and slapping a bullshit price tag on it. In this day and age being punished for "going over" 300GB of data on a home network is RIDICULOUS, nothing but a MONEY GRABBING SCHEME. Have comcast REVOKE this evil business policy they have.
Ticket: # 884426 - data cap
Date: 3/29/2016 11:43:22 PM
City/State/Zip: Knoxville, Tennessee 37921
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
It appears my ISO Comcast has added the Knoxville area to their 300gb data cap. The Comcast meter shows that we have exceeded this new 300gb cap but the meter only router shows I have yet to hit the 250 mark. Not only is the data cap being only used in select markets an unfair business practice, but the way it is measured is inaccurate.
Ticket: # 927932 - Data Caps (Comcast and AT&T)

Date: 4/22/2016 12:10:54 PM

City/State/Zip: Chicago, Illinois 60640

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Hi - I live in Chicago, and I'm moving into a condo in Andersonville (the 60640 zip code). With a building built in 1924, my only options for internet access are AT&T and Comcast. I called every other ISP in the city but Comcast and AT&T are the only available options. Comcast and AT&T have similar offerings but Comcast's service is a little faster so I decided to go with them, only to learn that both Comcast & AT&T enforce a data cap and there's no way around it.

As someone who doesn't use a cable plan, internet is how I receive all of my media. I stream via Netflix and Hulu, and I have Amazon Prime. But, Comcast & AT&T are direct competitors of my preferred content providers because in addition to providing access they also have their own media which they push through bundling and other deals. And now, in addition to pushing their own content through bundling, they're disincentivizing the use of alternate content providers by capping the amount of data I can use in a month.

This seems like it should be illegal. If the ISP has a conflict of interest regarding the access they provide, particularly when there's a monopoly or duopoly, there should be regulation regarding how they treat their customers. We have some of the slowest internet in the developed world at the highest cost to consumers. Please do something about this. I shouldn't have to pay $50-$60 a month (minimum!) for mediocre service because my ISP wants to block my access to its non-ISP competitors. When I called in, they said that Chicago residents don't have the option to buy out of the data cap "yet" and that's unfair - not only am I restricted to a 300GB data cap that I can't buy out of, but I am only being restricted because of the lack of competition among access providers and a distinct dearth of regulation.

I know that I'm considered lucky - I live in a major metropolitan area with more than one ISP provider. But the two major ISPs available are so similar it's like they're colluding. They both have content to protect, and they both have the same competitors. Data caps on home internet have nothing to do with making super-users pay and everything to do with steering cord cutters "back into the fold" of cable tv. Regardless of what ISPs say the purpose of data caps are, the end result is that consumers pay while ISPs continue to make billions in profit on their old copper wire infrastructure. Basic internet access has become a necessity and it should be regulated as such. #BanTheCap
Ticket: # 884488 - Comcast Xfinity Data Caps
Date: 3/30/2016 2:58:03 AM
City/State/Zip: Hialeah, Florida 33015
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I personally believe that their implementation of a 300GB data cap on a 75mbps/10mbps connection to be completely nonsensical as that means I can only fully use what I am paying for roughly 8 hours a month, but one I still find tolerable as I am not what one would call a "cord cutter" and therefore not subject to using my data allowance in exchange for the majority of my media entertainment.

My issue with Comcast Xfinity stems from their deceptive practice of measuring their data caps. Their "My Data Usage" meter has been unavailable for my use since September 2015, a couple of weeks after I first activated my service. I have taken to monitoring my own bandwidth usage on the WAN as to not fall victim to their arbitrary data cap.

The “My Data Usage” just suddenly became available to me 7-8 months after activating my service and I suddenly find myself over their data cap even with my meticulous monitoring and rationing of internet traffic. This deceptive practice of making their bandwidth tracker unavailable for several months at a time should be investigated as they are trapping their customers into going over their data cap and therefore being subject to their $10 per 50GB overage fee.

Please investigate as to why an average customer’s method of monitoring their usage is unavailable for several months at a time when going over the data cap results in an automatic $10 charge per 50GB of data?
Ticket: # 885003 - U-Vers Data Caps
Date: 3/30/2016 11:49:58 AM
City/State/Zip: Shreveport, Louisiana 71104
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Starting May 30th, AT&T will be enforcing data caps on U-Vers internet customers in my area. These caps are anti-competitive, and discriminate against internet-only (or internet and voice) customers who do not purchase cable. Currently, AT&T will grant unlimited data (no cap) for an extra $30/month or if one purchases a cable package. For a customer such as myself, who does not watch enough TV to warrant purchasing cable but streams content via internet, this new measure is punitive and extortionate. Data is not a finite resource, like energy or water, and the cost to transmit over the internet is continually dropping. This is simply a money grab by AT&T, an attempt to recoup lost cable revenue by punishing those who cut the cord.
Ticket: # 885525 - Xfinity/Comcast

Date: 3/30/2016 2:15:32 PM
City/State/Zip: Knoxville, Tennessee 37849
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Data cap
Ticket: # 886189 - Cable One - Data cap
Date: 3/30/2016 5:26:43 PM
City/State/Zip: Idaho Falls, Idaho 83404
Company Complaining About: Cable One

Description
Cable One has switched all of the users in my area to a plan with data caps. The cap is easily reached over the course of a month, even with every-day use not involving lots of video streaming. There was no cap when I signed up (advertised as unlimited,) and I had no choice to opt-out of the updated service plan with the cap.
Ticket: # 886220 - Data Cap

Date: 3/30/2016 5:37:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Miami, Florida 33172
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

We haven't changed our internet usage that drastically other than cutting our cable and just using Netflix. Even so does it make sense to have a data cap that doesn't take into account the amount of Internet people actually use nowadays, or having a cap at all with such severe penalties? If we were to pay the overage we would be paying an extra $100-$200 bucks minimum. This is such absurd. A hundred dollars just to have internet is the stupidest thing I've heard in my life. Even from month to month the difference in usage is crazy. We tend to have the same habits. How can I know they are just making these numbers up? Am I just supposed to take their word for it and pay more in internet than I do in utilities? This severely limits people in having internet access.
Ticket: # 886402 - DATA CAPS

Date: 3/30/2016 6:55:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Atlanta, Georgia 30305
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast enforces the same data caps for all internet packages that they offer. For example, a 10Mbps is the same as 105Mbps. Here is the problem if you have a high-speed internet (I have 105Mbps) automatically your usage of data will increase, so sitting the same data caps for everybody is not fair. I called Comcast to increase my data cap, but they said they can not, and the only way to have unlimited data is to pay 35 dollars extra.
I don't want unlimited data I need them to increase my data cap.
Thanx
Ticket: # 886754 - Data Caps Should Not be Applied to Residential Internet  

**Date:** 3/30/2016 10:28:58 PM  
**City/State/Zip:** Powell, Tennessee 37849  
**Company Complaining About:** Comcast  

---

**Description**  
It seems like many Cable/DSL/Fiber providers are jumping on bandwagon of adding data caps. Many of them being unreasonably low at 250 - 300 GB and preventing people from using their internet the way they like.

As a Comcast customer, not that I have a choice, I believe there intentions are solely based upon competing with online media forcing people to watch video with their cable service.

Please don't let data caps spread to being common place, it hurts consumers and providers of digital media.
Ticket: # 886914 - Data cap notice from AT&T
Date: 3/31/2016 8:13:03 AM
City/State/Zip: Fort Worth, Texas 76179
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
I was browsing my AT&T account usage today and had an alert from them on data usage for my home internet. They are now capping your home internet data allowance for the speed I have at 600GB. I feel this is unfair as it basically means you have no choice but to have television service through them as if you are trying to cut the cord on cable which I was considering you now CANT. AT&T like other tv and internet providers charge what I feel is an outrageous amount for their service. My "promotional" period is about up and my costs monthly will balloon from $90 up to about $165. I was planning on canceling my tv and using internet based TV services because they cost less but with data cap on the amount I can use every month that it impossible now. I feel like this makes me stuck with them. I live in a brand new subdivision and they are our only TV and internet provider which also isn't fair in my opinion. This is something that needs to be addressed. Oh and I forgot to mention after three months of going over the 600GB allowance they charge you $10 for each additional 50GB of data you use. In the end I feel this basically comes down to a net neutrality issue. They have no place to charge you or limit your access to the internet or control the amount of data you use at home through their service. This is unconstitutional in my opinion.
Ticket: # 887311 - AT&T Data Cap
Date: 3/31/2016 11:56:43 AM
City/State/Zip: Dallas, Texas 75243
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Starting on May 23rd, AT&T will be enforcing a data cap on it's home internet service. It currently offers no way on their site to monitor internet usage. It offers unlimited internet usage if I subscribe to their TV service.

Do not allow these telecommunication companies to price gouge based on created data caps.
Description
AT&T has announced they will begin enforcing data caps for their home-based services, while "graciously" raising their cap limits. They are part of a growing trend of Internet providers who are effectively punishing their customers.

They clearly state on their site the data caps. But the problem lies in a few places:

1. The site currently shows me no usage data so I can't make an informed decision on what I should do. I haven't ever been able to check, in fact. It just says no data is available. So now I'm in a position where, I won't know my usage until probably MUCH closer to the May 23 enforcement date. So if I use more than the 600GB my plan allows I have to choose to pay the extra $10 per 50GB or $30 for unlimited data cap per month.
2. They clearly state that if you have TV service with your internet service you get unlimited data. This, to me, clearly indicates that this enforcement and data cap is clearly meant to target people who chose to use other, better services for their entertainment needs (Netflix, PlayStation Vue, Hulu, YouTube, Twitch, and so many others). While this isn't throttling, it's definitely against the customers ability to choose the service they want to use.

I work from home almost exclusively, my wife and I get most of our entertainment digitally. We just chose to cancel our TV service because it was too expensive and provided channels we didn't care about and streaming services provide greater choices. Now all of sudden I have to pay more to keep using the same service I've had. All the while not knowing what my usage will be.

Nevermind that I am in an apartment complex and can only have AT&T for Internet unless I want to rely on Cellular services.

This decision not only makes me have to rethink my data usage and the services that I want to use but ALSO SIGNIFICANTLY affects where I will be able to live as well.

If I didn't have to rely on Internet for my job and for most of my entertainment I'd just cancel my service. But with today's world that's practically impossible as a member of the IT community.

Here is a link to the page I referenced that indicates the change and the "exceptions"
Ticket: # 887951 - Data Caps

Date: 3/31/2016 2:46:39 PM
City/State/Zip: Richardson, Texas 75081
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
I was not concerned about my internet, until this monopoly of internet providers (ISP)'s have taken advantage of the system and imposed rules on us, that includes data caps, regulate them like utilities please for the sake of all of us
Ticket: # 888186 - Comcast Data Allotment

Date: 3/31/2016 4:07:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Pembroke Pines, Florida 33028
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast did not notify of changes to policy of a Data Cap. Paying extra on top of what is already being paid feels like underhanded business practices.
Ticket: # 889017 - Data Cap and False Data readings

Date: 3/31/2016 11:50:48 PM
City/State/Zip: Winchester, Tennessee 37398
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Data cap of 300GB and a reading of +/- 30GB off on the data usage meter.
Ticket: # 889196 - Suddenlink Offering Unlimited Data in Select Markets

Date: 4/1/2016 9:31:03 AM

City/State/Zip: Spring Hill, Kansas 66083

Company Complaining About: Sudden Link

Description

Starting April 1st, 2016 Suddenlink Communications started offering unlimited data on their internet services but only in select markets that have speeds of 100Mb or higher. I contacted SL customer service on April 1st and inquired if we are eligible even though 50Mbps is the highest tier offered, I was told no and there was no timeline on when or if this would ever be offered in our Area. I feel as if this is a discriminatory business practice because we pay for extra data upwards of $20 per month for 150Gb while other Suddenlink customers will now enjoy unlimited data for less than $10 per month. We are a small town on the edge of the Kansas City Metro which has Google fiber who offers unlimited data. The poor service and data caps that Suddenlink offers in our Area is a drain on our community and impacts the city's ability to draw new residents into the community. I thought I saw a bright spot in this service provider by them offering unlimited data but was very disappointed to find out this offer was not for everyone.

Ticket: # 904277 - ATT Data Cap

Date: 4/10/2016 9:27:40 AM

City/State/Zip: Cleveland, Ohio 44113

Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Recently I was informed that my internet plan (which currently does not have caps) is going to start having data caps with no other option.
Ticket: # 891120 - AT&T Data Usage Caps for home broadband

Date: 4/2/2016 11:02:01 AM
City/State/Zip: Pewaukee, Wisconsin 53072
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
AT&T let me sign up for a year long contract two days before they announced these data caps. Now I face an ETF to get out of something that wasn't disclosed to me at the time I agreed to the contract. Furthermore, customers were told in a press release, not an email for existing customers - though they manage to send me multiple emails a day advertising their other products. In addition - their tool to measure home data usage doesn't become active until the caps do on 5/23, and there is NO WAY for the average customer to know that the measurements AT&T provides are accurate. They're not independently verified. Finally - these data caps don't apply to AT & T UVerse TV customers - which feels like a terrible strongarm tactic to pressure customers into buying their TV service.
Ticket: # 933009 - Charter purchasing TWC

Date: 4/26/2016 1:16:28 AM  
City/State/Zip: Lexington, Kentucky 40509  
Company Complaining About: Time Warner

Description
TWC sucks. I've reported multiple issues with my service in KY with them already. Now competition will decline more. It is obvious that Charter wants to increase speeds to get people to rely on video streaming so that they can eventually use data caps to artificially create profit from their network.

See their public statement: "Charter Communications has bid more than $88 billion to buy its larger rival, Time Warner Cable, and a smaller competitor called Bright House Networks — and it's closing in on the required regulatory approval from federal authorities." "The agency said Charter agreed that for seven years it will not impose data caps on users and will abide by so-called net neutrality rules, even if the rules are overturned in a separate federal appeals court case."

This is completely unacceptable. There should never be data caps or a lack of net neutrality. The issue of net neutrality should not even be a debate. The issue of introducing a penalty to cord-cutters should be unacceptable to the FCC.

The issue of lack of competition, quality of service, data caps, and the misaligned motives of telecom conglomerates selling bundled services of TV and internet is the future of America's internet service. My ISP, like many others, will be punishing people for using the internet for content instead of TV, by charging them more via data caps. This will be a more apparent issue when more content is 1080p60 or 4k.

These concerns will not improve with Charter acquiring TWC. Charter will have less incentive to improve their network infrastructure. No customer or government will be able to stop Charter from exploiting the vital service of video streaming to Kentuckians. This will hurt both education, business, and consumers, who need significant data usage in their daily routines.

Until this time, TWC had never announced data caps for the KY area. Now the merger has instantly brought this issue to the foreground of the debate. Please do not approve this deal. It hurts consumers. Please protect consumers.
Ticket: # 892248 - Data Caps
Date: 4/3/2016 7:25:38 PM
City/State/Zip: Tacoma, Washington 98444
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I just found out about Comcast's 250GB data cap. This is a problem as it degrades video quality but also limits the legal purchase and downloading of video games over the internet. If someone exceeds 250GB cap they not only get charged more they also get throttled. Tell Comcast to put an end to this unfair business tactic or they will face a stiff penalty.
Description
This year, Comcast began enforcing a 300GB monthly data cap in Chattanooga without warning. Comcast is using fines and increasing the price of all plans to punish those that increasingly rely on internet data. We are college students who are fully dependent on internet access, and can not afford to pay even more to use a service which we are already paying for.
Ticket: # 893901 - Data Caps AT&T for broadband internet

Date: 4/4/2016 5:27:07 PM
City/State/Zip: Peoria, Illinois 61615
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
I am concerned with the acceptance of data caps by the FCC. After hearing about how Comcast has a major discrepancy between what user actually uses and what Comcast claims, I think will be another issue that AT&T will also be having. I think you guys should be obligated to step in and do something to prevent companies from price gouging its customers by fictitious allegations regarding data usage.
Ticket: # 1020658 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 6/6/2016 4:48:40 PM
City/State/Zip: Phoenix, Arizona 85022
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 896305 - Suddenlink
Date: 4/5/2016 5:43:30 PM
City/State/Zip: College Station, Texas 77840
Company Complaining About: Sudden Link

Description
Suddenlink has been extorting its customers. I have experienced "over-estimated" data usage with no explanation. They charge extra if you go over three times, and when I told them we didn't go over, they said they just won't charge because it isn't our third time. They have done this twice! I asked if they could remove that from the account record and they refused! They said if we continue to, perhaps we should pay more and increase our data cap. They never advertised data caps either. They increased our bill after a year, not telling us it was a promotion. It feels as if they are trying to bully us into a more expensive plan! This isn't a small scale issue either. Also, they have only removed data caps for the more expensive plans! The over usage charge is $50! This is madness!
Ticket: # 899213 - data cap
Date: 4/6/2016 11:42:08 PM
City/State/Zip: Northport, Alabama 35476
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I don't think that a data cap is fair. I cannot stream HD movies or download PC based games without going over my data allotment. There should not even be a data cap in the first place.
Ticket: # 897837 - Data Cap and Price Fixing

Date: 4/6/2016 2:02:32 PM
City/State/Zip: Dacula, Georgia 30019
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I have recently tried to purchase services from Comcast in Dacula GA. The sales representative did not disclose that there would be a monthly data cap on our account during our conversation. I found out after the services had been connected and their technician was installing a security system. I confronted their accounting and sales team about the issue and their only offer was to pay more to remove the cap. I opted to look for another provider that will not only offer an unlimited service, as it should be, but came to realize something remarkable. After looking around at available service providers it has come to my attention that all have a fairly similar price and have adapted a "Data Cap" for their plans. This is something that I have only seen on mobile network plans. Furthermore, Comcast "owns" the cables in my neighborhood which makes it impossible to acquire services from any of their competitors. It seems like the area that I currently live in monopolized by one single corporation. This is something I believe I read about in school; Price Fixing, Tying, and monopolizing territories. I believe that all these practices are illegal and set an unfair opportunity for customers to acquire services in an open/free market environment.
Ticket: # 1020659 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 6/6/2016 4:48:40 PM
City/State/Zip: Federal Way, Washington 98023
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 898207 - AT&T Enforcing Data Caps on Home Internet

Date: 4/6/2016 3:47:49 PM
City/State/Zip: Fairborn, Ohio 45324
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

I live in the Dayton, Ohio area and have AT&T Uverse as a home internet provider. On April 6th I received the attached email from AT&T stating that they are going to start enforcing data caps on internet usage. I feel that this is a violation of the agreement that AT&T and I have and a violation of Net Neutrality.

Internet plans are sold in bandwidth tiers, not usage. The limited resource when it comes to internet connections is not data usage, but bandwidth. They are artificially imposing a cap on service for no good reason. Based on the context of the letter they are only doing this to increase profits, as they will offer an unlimited data usage plan if I pay more for it or if I add television service to create a bundle package. They are strong arming me into paying more for a service I already have at no additional cost to them.

In this day and age Internet access is required for a plethora of reasons. Personally I need it for my job, it is how I pay bills, and it is my primary source of entertainment at home. Someone without internet access is severely handicapped now. How can anybody be expected to be a productive member of society if they are not providing fair access to a resource everyone needs.

In short, AT&T is implementing data caps on my home internet service. They are trying to get me to pay more for a service we have already agreed on, it is an artificial limit on the resource they provide, and Internet is a required to be a productive member of society today. What AT&T is doing is in poor taste and should be illegal. They do not have the best interests of their customers at heart and the FCC should classify internet service as a utility and prevent providers from artificially limiting the resource that is the internet.
Description
I received and email today from AT&T that they are 'increasing the cap on my broadband usage', to this point I was unaware of such a cap on broadband, fiber to the home internet. It seems they are making moves to expand such caps as a method to punish people who do not bundle their video service in with their data service (in the email, they state that if I bundle their DirectTV service with my data plan, I will receive 'unlimited data, a $30 value, for no additional charge').

These data caps, which have no effect on mitigating 'congestion', coupled with special anti-competitive insider offers, flies in the face of net neutrality, and to re-state, such offers are blatantly anti-competitive in nature and will destroy the open-internet as we know it if not addressed.

I have attached a PDF copy of the email I received for reference.
Ticket: # 898462 - AT&T Data Cap Implementation
Date: 4/6/2016 4:56:41 PM
City/State/Zip: Sacramento, California 95827
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
AT&T just informed me that as of May 23, 2016, a data cap will be applied to my internet usage. Although they have not specified how much data I use, or the limit with which they plan to impose, it's obvious that they will roll out something similar to the caps that Comcast has already imposed upon their customers. This is both unreasonable, and unnecessary in 2016. It's unnecessary because AT&T allows their customers to "bypass" this cap if you pay them more money ($30) AND pay to sign up for their TV service. My current contract says nothing about future data caps or any other type of metering.
Ticket: # 898972 - Comcasts data cap

Date: 4/6/2016 8:10:00 PM
City/State/Zip: Hanahan, South Carolina 29410
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast's data cap is archaic. It is unfair to be subjected to this cap when they don't enforce it on the majority of their other customers. I have no competition in my area and I have no other option than to use Comcast for my internet. I am at their mercy in regards to using the internet. I choose not to use comcasts TV service because they are not honest with their pricing structure. The data cap they enforce is only used to punish cord cutters like myself so they can try and recoup lost revenue from their failing and outdated TV service. I cannot use any services in my house without wondering how much data it might use. I had to buy DVD's of my daughters favorite shows instead of watch the very same shows on Netflix because of the data usage. I'm being sent back in time by Comcast because they refuse to innovate and choose to be blind to the fact that cable TV as they know it will be extinct very soon.
Description
My family has been with Comcast for over 19 years. The issue I have with Comcast is the forced Data cap in Tucson. I've tried to resolve the issue multiple times with different reps. Recently I was escalated to a Corporate advisor or representative who told me the reason Data caps exist is because it costs Comcast more electricity when I used more data. I'm not an Electrician but after reading online that is a complete lie. I do understand it costs them money to keep the lines running as well as increase the speeds due to new wiring but the lines must always be on as is (for 911 phone call ability). So my using more data should not be an issue.

The MAJOR complaint I have is that Tucson as well as only ONE other location are UNABLE to BUY unlimited data for 30$ a month. We are forced to pay for 50gb/10$ a month with NO CAP on the pricing. This to me is a clear attack on Netflix, twitch, hulu and all competitors of 'cable networks'. It is odd that the FCC has banned ISPs from offering internet fast lanes but not with Data Caps- Comcast does not record data usage with their services via phone or their streaming applications. Why is that allowed to be the case?

Comcast and Cox have a duopoly here in Tucson. There are strict zones where it is Comcast only or Cox only. There is NO competition. Please do not let the data caps run over. While Cox has a data cap in some areas they DO NOT enforce it- only suggest.

*Side note I do know you can get a Business account with Comcast for an additional charge but you do NOT have a data cap anymore. So why is that for them but not for the "8% of our customers are the only ones who will ever exceed the imposed data cap". If we’re such a small number then why does it make a difference to charge us? I use the majority of your bandwidth at 10PM local and to 5AM local. I'm certainly not hogging all the bandwidth at those hours.
Ticket: # 899698 - Net neutrality violations for AT&T U-verse

Date: 4/7/2016 11:33:31 AM
City/State/Zip: Midland, Texas 79701
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Back when I made the contract with at&t u-verse, I was informed of no data cap. After some researching, I found out there was a 250 g data cap that wasn't enforced. You couldn't check your data usage online, etc.

Now, AT&T has decided (note: I am under a contract) that they will enforce the data cap. Not only that, they have changed the initial amount of data usage required to go over your data limit. It is a different amount than when I initially joined (note again: I am under a contract!!), and they only have us a one month warning.

AT&T is obviously trying to sell me their Tv packages to circumvent this. Offering me tv for no data cap.

I am wondering, doesn't this circumvent net neutrality? Why was it even passed into law if things like this can continue?

Is AT&T in breach of my contract? I should be able to now leave my contract, since they have changed a part of it without my permission (the data cap amount). Please look into this issue.
**Ticket: # 899756 - Comcast Internet Data Cap**

**Date:** 4/7/2016 11:45:54 AM  
**City/State/Zip:** Blacksburg, Virginia 24060  
**Company Complaining About:** Comcast

---

**Description**

I'm writing to voice my complaint against Comcast for initiating data caps on their existing (and future) internet plans. Comcast is setting the groundwork for internet censorship based on customer choice of video services, essentially punishing those who do not receive most of their television in a traditional manner. By implementing a data cap and a payment to add additional data, comcast is expanding on their already questionable and exorbitant internet prices in markets where they hold near monopolies. Acting unopposed, they can force residents to pay continually increasing rates with a very small chance of reprisals in the form of losing customers. The monopoly of Comcast and other internet providers in cities and towns should be broken up in accordance with antitrust laws.
Ticket: # 900210 - Re: [FCC Complaints] Re: Comcast data Caps

Date: 4/7/2016 1:51:39 PM
City/State/Zip: Rockville, Maryland 20852
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
This is a follow-up to your previous request #795697 "Comcast data Caps"

I never received anything from Comcast

From: FCC <consumercomplaints@fcc.gov>
To: Smb7us <smb7us@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, March 7, 2016 11:37 AM
Subject: [FCC Complaints] Re: Comcast data Caps
Ticket: # 900237 - Data cap
Date: 4/7/2016 2:01:46 PM
City/State/Zip: Pflugerville, Texas 78660
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
This is ludicrous! We've been using the same u verse Internet for the past five years and now they want to force a data cap on our home Internet that we pay 60$ a month for! They demand an extra 30$ a month for "unlimited". Except nothing has changed our Internet is as slow as ever and att service is just as unreliable as ever. As a matter of fact only a few miles away Google fiber is in town at 1gbps yet they're charging us for 60$ with a CAP at ONLY 25mbps.
Ticket: # 903888 - AT&T U-Verse Data Caps

Date: 4/9/2016 4:27:48 PM
City/State/Zip: North Little Rock, Arkansas 72116
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

To Whom it May Concern,

I recently had AT&T U-Verse Internet | Power plan installed in my house. As soon as I logged into my account, I was greeted with a notification informing me that I am subject to data caps unless I:

1. Bundle my U-Verse Internet service with U-Verse Television or DirectTV.
2. Purchase an unlimited allowance for my Internet service for $30 per month.

I have multiple issues with this "benefit to the consumer," which will be laid out in this letter. First, I'm a software engineer who works from home, meaning that I spend anywhere from 8-12 hours a day on my home internet. I regularly visit YouTube for tutorials and entertainment, download packages and programs from the internet, as well as listen to music via Spotify and SoundCloud on a near constant basis. Along with this, I play video games with multiple friends on a weekly basis. These caps interfere with my circle of friends because simply downloading three games pushes me over the edge - in a matter of hours.

I chose not to buy a television package because I rarely watch tv and have a subscription to Netflix and HBO, effectively nullifying the need for an overpriced package. I view these data caps as a way to force users such as myself to either give more money to AT&T or suffer in the long run.

As a former network engineer, I know that these data caps are an arbitrary number that are simply put in place to hurt those who choose to only use the internet. This is clearly a conflict of interest - one that needs to be addressed immediately by the FCC. Instead of using their revenue to upgrade lines throughout the United States, AT&T has decided to start a war against cable-cutters via absurd charges. They have also focused on monopolizing large regions throughout the United States (my only options were AT&T or Comcast). AT&T has also stated that these caps are to ensure that their customers have access to the best internet and make their service more reliable. However, as Google Fiber has rolled out in various cities throughout the country, we have seen AT&T speeds skyrocket and prices drop overnight, showing that they have the capability to essentially flip a switch.

Finally, we have been made aware that AT&T is making its customers pay $30 per month as a way to opt out of data tracking. Should someone be unaware of this feature, all of their online habits will be tracked for targeted advertising.

I'm offended at the notion that overnight I've had to go from paying $75 to $105 for the same internet service. This is clearly a violation of Net Neutrality, which your organization struck down last spring. It has become painstakingly clear that AT&T is refusing to follow the rules set by the FCC or create a product that is beneficial to the American people. I truly hope that the countless complaints that are filed against AT&T and Comcast on a regular basis puts a bit of fire under your organization to actually make a change. It has been a year since Net Neutrality has been enacted and now the two
major ISPs in the United States are devising new ways to bend the rules and con consumers out of more money.

Sources:


2: https://www.techdirt.com/articles/20160329/08514034038/att-tries-to-claim-that-charging-users-more-privacy-is-discount.shtml


4: https://consumerist.com/2015/09/30/att-touts-lower-prices-for-gigabit-internet-still-charges-40-more-if-google-fiber-isnt-around/


AT&T Official:


3: http://blogs.att.net/consumerblog/story/a7801212
Ticket: # 901814 - ATT Uverse data cap extortion
Date: 4/8/2016 10:50:37 AM
City/State/Zip: Aledo, Texas 76008
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
I received an email that as of May 23 2016 my data cap will be enforced or I can pay $30/month to have no data cap. My complaint is that I can have this fee waived by subscribing to their TV or satellite service indicating that this is simply a money grab and has nothing to do with their network’s lack of bandwidth.
Ticket: # 1020661 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 6/6/2016 4:48:42 PM
City/State/Zip: Denver, Colorado 80205
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses—except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 903307 - AT&T introducing data cap on my account // continuing to charge me false disconnection charges

Date: 4/8/2016 10:28:15 PM
City/State/Zip: Vero Beach, Florida 32962
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
AT&T is creating a data cap on my account. I was just informed today that I must soon pay an additional $30/mo to avoid this cap or purchase Direct TV which I do not want as I do not have a television set.

I primarily use Internet streaming for entertainment in lieu of TV and will quickly find myself unable to use the service I am paying for.

This is also on top of yet another incidence of AT&T attempting to deceive me by adding a spurious disconnection fee to my account for non payment of bill items AT&T themselves told me not to pay as they were to be removed. This same issue has rolled over into almost a year of continued problems with the same incident. Please see FCC complaints number #250948, #415747 - this is all the same issue and it is STILL ongoing!
Ticket: # 903317 - Bandwidth Cap
Date: 4/8/2016 10:45:17 PM
City/State/Zip: Boardman, Ohio 44512
Company Complaining About: Armstrong Cable

Description
This complaint is ask the FCC to require Armstrong Cable and all other ISPs in the USE to remove data caps from there service agreements. This is a completely unfair business practice with no other reason in mind other than to collect profit. As an IT Network Administrator for a large corporation, I am well informed with the workings of large networks and how usage can vary from time to time, but Armstrong has told me time and time again that the reasons behind their caps is to provide a "higher quality of service". I find this to be insulting because this is untrue. As an existing customer you are able to see the average usage per billing cycle of all customers of Armstrong cable. Since the data cap has been put in place the average usage per household is around 75GB per month. This amount of data is almost nothing to networks at this current point in time. I believe Armstrong and other ISPs with data caps are enforcing bandwidth caps because of their inability to adapt as trends have change to more Internet based applications and media. They are now taking advantage of their consumers and not providing any better service than it was before. This is especially true of speeds in the US.

In closing, due to their lack of adaptability and their greed, Armstrong and ISPs like them, have begun a horrific plague of greed and lies in order to collect more profit while providing sub par service and then limiting the amount of that service.

The Internet is meant to be open and free. So let's make it that way.
Ticket: # 1020662 - X XXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 6/6/2016 4:48:43 PM
City/State/Zip: Belgrade, Montana 59714
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 904371 - AT&T U-Verse Breech of Contract & Enforced Data Caps

Date: 4/10/2016 12:10:07 PM
City/State/Zip: Walled Lake, Michigan 48390
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Commissioner Tom Wheeler,

I have DSL service from AT&T U-Verse in a suburb of Metro Detroit.

AT&T U-verse has instituted an unspecified monthly data cap where each additional 50GB (above an unspecified threshold not made public to the customer) is charged at a rate of $10. We of course can PAY MORE for unlimited to the tune of additional $30 bucks MORE a month. This is blatant discrimination against those who do NOT want cable and only want to utilize their broadband services.

It is my understanding that cable TV customers are not held to the same restriction and or data cap, my viewing of any audio or video programming is logged against mine.

This seems to privilege the video programming sold by ATT U-Verse over competing video services. Why can a cable TV customer watch 24 hour HD programming without this usage counting against their data cap, while my viewing of HBO Now, Hulu, or Netflix accrues against this unspecified Data Cap? Also to note I have NO way of validating the amount of data I use, AT&T does not provide a viable source for recording or fact checking their perceived consumption. With water or electricity I have the ability to go read my meter, but not so with my internet.

On 2/26/2015 Tom Wheeler approved the Net Neutrality Rules! It is to be classified broadband internet service as a Utility! Tom Wheeler, the commission chairman, said the F.C.C. was using “all the tools in our toolbox to protect innovators and consumers” and preserve the Internet’s role as a “core of free expression and democratic principles.”

Furthermore; “Explaining the reason for the regulation, Mr. Wheeler, a Democrat, said that Internet access was “too important to let broadband providers be the ones making the rules.”


When my household originally signed up with AT & T, there were NO Data Caps. I signed a contract at that time that AT&T would provide simple internet service for speeds up to X amount. We started using them as an alternative to Comcast who has the same record of gouging customers due to localized monopoly. Our household NEVER signed a NEW contract NOR do I agree to the new terms they are FORCING upon us on May 23rd.

There is no third party service or viable option in the area.

I am requesting Tom Wheeler review what AT&T is doing. They have a local monopoly with Comcast. We have no other options, and this simply cannot stand.
Why are American ISPs allowed regional control over something as vital and important as the transfer of information.

This is not simply for watching television entertainment. People are utilizing the most revolutionary tool in modern history, for everything from work requirements to teaching our children. This type of obvious control and constraints are against the rights of the people. This is the most sour side of free market capitalism. This is the ugly underbelly that Teddy Roosevelt worked very hard to disassemble during the guided age of this country.

We need a local municipality to step up against these giants and level the playing field. Our counterparts in Europe/Japan do not deal with costs nearly so high as us and they are consistently delivered higher quality service and lower restrictions.

Example a Japanese internet service provider has begun offering broadband plans with 2Gbps downloads and 1Gbps uploads to residents in Tokyo and six surrounding districts. The service provider believes that these high data speeds make it the world’s fastest for commercial internet.


The United States used to be a country leading the world in innovation and setting the world stage for how things SHOULD be done. But we have given it all away in the last generation allowing giants like AT&T, Comcast, Time Warner (Who recently went through the acquisition of Charter Communication!) to set the tone in which the US Tax payers are left no choice in our utilities service.

I am begging Tom Wheeler to review this Breech of Contract by AT&T U-Verse.

Please Mr. Wheeler stand with the people. Please Mr. Wheeler be the Teddy Roosevelt of our time and break up these ridiculous monopolies!
Ticket: # 904363 - Internet Data Overages

Date: 4/10/2016 11:57:02 AM
City/State/Zip: Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
We have been Comcast customers for over 25 years. We recently cut the cable and began using internet only due to the excessive cost of the cable services.

We had initially unlimited data usage. Then they restricted us to 300 GB. We struggled to stay within that range but we did. Then about 3 months ago they bumped us up to 900GB. We suspected this was a devious move on their part and sure enough it was. Today we got notification that we had used 50% of our 300 GB plan. It is only the 10th of the month. Now when you log into our account it says we have the 300GB plan not the 900GB plan it has been for months. I called the customer service to see what was going on and they are pretending that we never had the 900GB plan.

Of course they now offer an unlimited plan at the cost of an additional $35 per month.

This is just flat out sleazy practice and very deceptive for the consumer. How can this company (who is the only cable internet provider) in our area take advantage of the consumer this way? They lulled us into using more data then pretend that we never had the 900GB plan. I have just printed off the past 3 months (it is as far back as I can go) and it clearly shows we had 900GB.

I believe the FCC should do something about this. We started monitoring our usage closely because they are trying to find ways to recoup the losses they are experiencing due to customers like us just saying no to their ridiculous predatory price. If we hadn't signed up for text alerts to let us know when we hit specific data levels we would have potentially run up an additional $10 for every 50 GB went over 300GB. How can they just go up and down with their data caps without notifying the consumer?

Please take note of their predatory practices and do something for the consumer. We either need them to stop their game playing or we need to have access to alternative providers so their is competition and a choice for the consumer.

Thank you!
Ticket: # 904442 - AT&T's Proposed Data Usage Cap of Uverse Internet Connections.
Date: 4/10/2016 2:32:42 PM
City/State/Zip: Burleson, Texas 76028
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
AT&T is now forcing me to pay an additional 30 dollars a month for "unlimited" internet connectivity for an account I have had for a while now. They have no actual method of providing accurate data for actual bandwidth used and leave it to the user to track said usage. I have no idea if I am going to just randomly be charged extra if I don't get the 30 dollar "unlimited" data plan they have. There are far more effective ways to manage a network than doing data capping and it seems this is more of an attempt to prevent people from using services like Netflix or youtube on their networks to watch TV rent/buy movies online. They of course make it clear that you are automatically set to unlimited if you package their directv or uverse tv in your plan. How is this not an attempt to make more money for nothing. Its not like they are getting oversaturated on connections.
Description
AT&T is starting to implement data caps on home internet use. It's ridiculous in this day and age that att will start implementing such asinine practices.

On top of that it's impossible to currently track how much data you are currently using. So that you can make an educated decision on what level of Internet to use.
Ticket: # 905734 - ATT Adding Data Caps

Date: 4/11/2016 1:59:54 PM
City/State/Zip: Los Angeles, California 90042
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
ATT is about to institute unnecessary Data caps on my DSL but is giving an option to have unlimited data if we deem it necessary. However, I have no way of checking my data usage to see if I even need to opt for unlimited data.

ATT should not need any data caps as they are not a solution to any problems that they are having and are instead a clear attempt to extort users for the extra $30 because we don't want to also get cable. There is no argument to be made that data caps are necessary.
Ticket: # 905849 - AT&T Data Cap
Date: 4/11/2016 2:29:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Tuscaloosa, Alabama 35405
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
I switched from Comcast to AT&T on 08/15 because of Comcast's Data Cap policies. AT&T representatives guaranteed me that the plan I was signing up for had no data cap whatsoever. I switched to AT&T. Today I called to see if their change in policy would affect me. They stated that there never was an unlimited data cap and that I was actually under a 250 GB cap now but had never seen any notices. When I asked what my data usage for the previous months were, they failed to provide me with that data saying there was no way for them to get that number. They either deceived me into agreeing to a service that didn't exist, or changed my service to add a data cap without informing me.
Ticket: # 907525 - Comcast crooked and unverifiable claims
Date: 4/12/2016 11:16:03 AM
City/State/Zip: Stuart, Florida 34997
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
In all my 60 years I have never come across a company that is more incompetent yet has more support from government. Comcast is the epitome of what Capitalism can produce. Every day comcast tries to extort more money from its customers and, we the people, have no where to complain. Data caps are now the new wave of unverifiable extortion attempts. When will the government learn.
Ticket: # 1020663 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 6/6/2016 4:48:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Lemoyne, Pennsylvania 17043
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 908741 - ATT Data Caps
Date: 4/12/2016 4:25:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Carmel, Indiana 46032
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
I just received an email from ATT telling me I will now have to start paying a fee for going over a completely arbitrary data cap, unless I agree to purchase TV service from them as well. A 150gb cap for a household is absurd. Also, it is currently not possible to see how much data I'm using monthly from ATT, so I can't even make an informed decision.
Ticket: # 908934 - 300GB Data Cap - Comcast cannot provide Usage Volume
Date: 4/12/2016 5:17:12 PM
City/State/Zip: Weston, Florida 33327
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I am writing to complain about the Comcast Internet Service and it's respective Data Cap. Comcast has instituted a so-called "trial" of what they call "Data Usage" but in reality it's a scheme to place an artificial cap in order to generate more revenue (via additional fees) for the same INTERNET SERVICE. In addition, I've noticed that Comcast has no clue how, when or why my internet account is being used. For example, on 4/01/16, I noticed my account showed a volume of 90GB used. On 4/02/16, there was an additional 35GB used. When I saw this, I called Comcast/Xfinity Customer Support because there was obviously a serious issue. I do not have Netflix nor do I download HD Videos or Stream (other than on Comcast's own App...which should be free as I already pay for Cable, Internet, Phone and Security). My only activity those 2 days were related to emails (which at most, in an exaggerated case, would be 100MB Total for 2 days). However, Comcast shows over 130GB. When I contacted Comcast, they passed me along to 7-8 different people (Customer Service to Technical Support to Security to Home Security then back again). I was on the phone for at least 2 hours trying to get them to explain to me how is it possible that I have consumed over 130GB of data from simple email usage. They could not explain and offered their usual garbage line of "We are worry this is causing you any inconvenience...can I transfer you to Customer Service". So in the end, they could not answer how my internet is being consumed and then they have the never to tell me my internet usage is over the 300GB "COURTESY" limit. Next time they will charge me $50 for any additional usage of 50GB over the 300GB Limit.

I think this is a fraud scheme as there is no way a regular home user (that does not stream or download large files could ever consume over 300GB of data). There is no way my kids could consume this (being 5 and 9 years old) as they don't download videos or can't without my permission.

Please help me. This is a matter not only impacting me (as a consumer) but also others in the South Florida area.

We are powerless to these major corporations and need FCC to step in and represent the consumer.

By the way, Comcast has repeated this practice over and over with other customers (my neighbors tell me the same).
ticket: # 909256 - open internet data cap

date: 4/12/2016 7:12:19 pm

city/state/zip: caldwell, idaho 83605

company complaining about: cable one

description

cable one is pushing data caps onto me as a customer, forcing me to either limit usage of internet based programs and services or to pay more for a slightly higher data cap. this is happening at the same time that more and more people are cutting the cord (no longer using traditional tv or cable providers) and streaming services are increasing the resolution at which one can stream. it's easier now to hit a cap of 300gb than only 2 years ago, and the cable companies know this all to well. data caps are a new tactic for cable companies to gouge customers while at the same time sidestepping the new rules put forth by the fcc in regards to a fair and open internet.
Ticket: # 910236 - Unfair Bandwidth Caps by AT&T

Date: 4/13/2016 10:49:20 AM
City/State/Zip: Oak Creek, Wisconsin 53154
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
This is a follow-up to my original FCC complaint, Ticket No. 902079.

I got a voicemail from "Scott Sims, AT&T Office of the President" (they made it so the phone rang only once, so when I went to pick it up the phone it already went to voicemail). So I have had no way to have a direct conversation with him. I had tried calling the 404-541-4223 and 877-404-2417 numbers back, but both only lead to an automated message with no way for an AT&T customer leave a voice mail.

In Scott's voicemail, he basically claimed AT&T had an "explosion of data" use and now that's supposedly why they're adding this bandwidth cap. But this obviously isn't the case since they are not adding bandwidth caps to their AT&T cable TV users. Plus, he couldn't even tell me what my bandwidth cap was. He said 150 GB and 250 GB, which is already different from what the "AT&T specialist" told me previously, which was around 300 GB. So AT&T can't even tell their customers what their limitation actually is. AT&T made all of these bandwidth caps artificially low so they can charge an additional $10-30 per month in overage fees.

Here is a screenshot of the message that every AT&T customer gets about these upcoming data caps: http://i.imgur.com/wuBqwWU.png

One needs to take note that AT&T is not "increasing" anything. They are adding bandwidth caps when there used to be no caps for years and years.

This obfuscation from AT&T still proves this unfair bandwidth cap is purely a cash grab to punish cordcutters, which are people who only want a wired internet connection with no cable TV. Since many cordcutters are already paying over $60 a month to AT&T, I think it's fair to say we want our same internet with no bandwidth caps for the same price we're already paying. I still need the FCC to correct this issue with AT&T.
Ticket: # 911117 - AT&T data caps
Date: 4/13/2016 3:18:01 PM
City/State/Zip: Lebanon, Indiana 46052
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
AT&T is imposing data caps on uverse starting in May. The cap is only 300gb, in the digital age now that is hardly enough. They also want to charge $10 every 50gb that you go over. This is not right, its a cash grab since everyone is cutting the cord on TV.
Ticket: # 911540 - AT&T UVerse Implementing Data Caps

Date: 4/13/2016 5:27:41 PM
City/State/Zip: Slidell, Louisiana 70458
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
AT&T Uverse is implementing new data caps (250GB) to home broadband users unless they subscribe to one of their two cable packages. This behavior has been ruled unconstitutional and forces users to choose between paying for unwanted cable, paying a ridiculous extra $30/month for internet, or incurring large fees. For cord-cutters, these limits are simply inexcusable and detrimental to a lifestyle without cable.
Ticket: # 1020684 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 6/6/2016 4:51:45 PM
City/State/Zip: Concord, Massachusetts 01742
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 1020682 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 6/6/2016 4:51:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Salt Lake City, Utah 84102
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 1020685 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 6/6/2016 4:51:50 PM
City/State/Zip: Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33315
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 1020686 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 6/6/2016 4:51:54 PM
City/State/Zip: Melbourne, Florida 32935
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 1020687 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 6/6/2016 4:52:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Henrico, Virginia 23238
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 914572 - ATT Data Cap Uverse

Date: 4/15/2016 1:41:16 AM
City/State/Zip: Pendleton, South Carolina 29670
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
ATT will begin enforcing data caps for users that are not subscribed to UverseTV or Directv or pay an extra $30 fee. This is directly targeting cord cutters who do not pay extra for their TV services.
Ticket: # 1020691 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 6/6/2016 4:52:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Henrico, Virginia 23238
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 1022543 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 6/7/2016 12:16:06 PM
City/State/Zip: Sugar Land, Texas 77498
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 916710 - AT&T U-Verse Data Allowance

Date: 4/16/2016 1:44:52 AM
City/State/Zip: Richmond, California 94806-1922
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
AT&T has announced that they will begin enforcing data caps on U-Verse customers (http://blogs.att.net/consumerblog/story/a7801212), except where customers bundle an AT&T television service.

This new approach seems entirely aimed at treating customers and their usage differently solely based on whether they also pay for traditional television service from AT&T. AT&T attempts to mischaracterize this as a discount of $30 per month for bundling services when they are really punitively raising prices on Internet-only customers.

The chart in the referenced announcement specifically calls out how many hours of video AT&T believes you can stream within the "allowance". This illustrates that their aim is to treat consumers differently because they choose to obtain media from a non-AT&T source—even though U-Verse TV and streaming media are delivered over the same IP network.

AT&T should be prohibited from enforcing these "data allowances" especially on such a discriminatory basis.
Ticket: # 917000 - Comcast Internet Caps
Date: 4/16/2016 12:19:21 PM
City/State/Zip: Tucson, Arizona 85742
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast advertises their Internet for streaming movies and TV but yet has a data cap of 300GB a month, which for a typical family of four is not enough, especially when you include work from home parents who rely on the Internet. The Internet is a common utility that should not be capped. This is not "unlimited" Internet; it highly limited to usage.
Ticket: # 917598 - Data Cap

Date: 4/17/2016 12:52:25 AM  
City/State/Zip: Miami Gardens, Florida 33055  
Company Complaining About: Comcast

---

**Description**

These data caps from Comcast are outrageous, as a gamer and a frequent viewer of Netflix, the 300GB data cap is easily passed. I just received their notification that I am currently at 90% and the month is only half way done. I can only imagine what this will do to a streamer on Twitch who use this medium as a form of getting paid. How is this even fair? On top of that their data usage "detailed" view shows nothing, only the modem. I expected there to be much more details than that. How do we know they are calculating our data usage correctly. From what I see, this purely only benefits the company. Something needs to be done immediately; we can't stay idle on this problem for long.
Ticket: # 1022556 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 6/7/2016 12:18:18 PM
City/State/Zip: Margate, Florida 33063
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 917711 - Comcast data cap
Date: 4/17/2016 10:23:27 AM
City/State/Zip: Smyrna, Tennessee 37167
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast data cap is 300. This is NOT a subscription service, and it violates net neutrality. It's a price gouge and effects my quality of living as the prices are far too high to maintain however there are no cheaper options in terms of companies in my local area. Google fiber is the only reasonable competition and is not available in my area. The limitations on internet usage is a blatant abuse of their power (again, they are the only acceptable internet provider in terms of speed and reliability), and they should not be allowed to disregard their customers needs at the prices they charge for what I consider to be a "necessary" upgrade in the quality of life for me and my family.
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

stephen hall
Ticket: # 966106 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 11:51:40 AM
City/State/Zip: Ashland, Oregon 97520
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. YES! Thank you, FCC! But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

I have personally experienced Comcast's slow-down of Hulu.com, Netflix.com, and YouTube.com; AND the uncanny difference in speed when I stream video on Comcast's own site. Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. THIS DECISION SHOULD NOT BE MADE BEHIND CLOSED DOORS. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules. This is extremely important since the decision will impact literally everyone in the U.S.A. and nearly everyone in the world.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection. Let’s face it: we need businesses that compete with Comcast. It’s the only way we’ll ever have choices. In Spokane, Washington, our one and only choice for a cable provider is Comcast! We used to have two or three other choices, but they were put out of business or bought out by Comcast, like Cox Cable. If the FCC doesn’t enforce net neutrality laws then Comcast and other repeat offenders will continue to finagle their way.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast and the FCC should include consumers in their decision making process. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email and I will reply with my phone number.

Respectfully submitted: 5/6/2016

[b] (b) [b]
Description
ATT is now switching from an unenforced 250gb datacap to an enforceable 600gb data cap. The only way to avoid this datacap is to upgrade to their terrible tv service. As far as they are willing to admit there is no other way around this.

The customers service rep event went as far as to lie to me about how I have "never gone over the previous cap" even though the former cap was never enforced and as far as I can tell not even tracked to being with.

The rep informed me they were unable to give my "exact" usage as the app they are developing was having problems. The main problem with this app is it doesn't even seem to even exist. They were however able to tell me it would be working tomorrow April 19th the day they begin to roll all this out.

These caps fly in the face of everything consumers want, and what an open internet is about. It is about stuffing competition from next, amazon, hulu, etc and forcing their own fiber gs down our throats.

Thank you for your time.
Ticket: # 966107 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 11:51:41 AM
City/State/Zip: Saint Joseph, Michigan 49085
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 966109 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 11:51:41 AM
City/State/Zip: Merritt, North Carolina 28556
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 966110 - Stephanie's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 11:51:43 AM
City/State/Zip: Evergreen, Colorado 80439
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 966113 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 11:51:44 AM  
City/State/Zip: San Jose, California 95136  
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Comcast data caps are internet extortion to extract yet more money for customers with their monopoly. I have a high speed service but can't use it due to a data cap of 300GB per month that I had no idea existed until I went over. Comcast identifies this as a "trial" data cap. The pricing structure is insane, if you select the "Flexible Data Option" which give you 5GB of data per month, Comcast will credit you $5 a month. So you give up 295GB for $5. That roughly $0.017 per GB. Yet if I exceed my internet usage of 300GB, Comcast will charge me $10 per 50GB, or $0.20 per GB. So on one side they value a GB at $0.017 for credits but on the other side charge $0.20 for billing, 12x the cost! While in reality the true cost of the data is practically nothing considering I'm already paying $100 for monthly service! These caps are just a way for Comcast to maintain there monopoly against video streaming services and ring even more money out of customers. Its horrible there just isn't more competition in the US for decent internet. It's a shame I don't have access to services like EPB or Google Fiber in Knoxville! I hope that the FCC steps in and prevents these monopolies from continuing these practices and ease regulator burdens to encourage competition. It's no surprise that the most hated companies in America are cable companies.
Ticket: # 966114 - Nick's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/9/2016 11:51:46 AM
City/State/Zip: Denver, Colorado 80237
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 920427 - Ad injection into web traffic
Date: 4/19/2016 12:00:12 AM
City/State/Zip: Georgetown, Texas 78626
Company Complaining About: Sudden Link

Description
Sudden link is my internet service provider. They utilize data caps. I often meet, exceed, or use a majority of the usage. This bothers me, but not as much as their recent practice to advertise their "unlimited" plan that I can pay extra for. They are compromising my web traffic so they can inject their advertisements into web sites I visit. This puts into question the security of the service I am forced to utilize due to the monopoly they have in my area. I do not feel safe using the internet when they can steal my traffic and reshape it for their own gain. I tried to call them and ask to have this stopped, but they told me that there is no way. Please help me.
Ticket: # 966115 - Crystal's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 11:51:47 AM
City/State/Zip: Chesterfield, Virginia 23832
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 966116 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 11:51:47 AM
City/State/Zip: Denver, Colorado 80237
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 966117 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 11:51:48 AM
City/State/Zip: Greenfield, Indiana 46140
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 966118 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 11:51:49 AM
City/State/Zip: Colorado Springs, Colorado 80905
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 966121 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/9/2016 11:51:51 AM
City/State/Zip: Des Plaines, Illinois 60016
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 966122 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 11:51:51 AM

City/State/Zip: South Weymouth, Massachusetts 02190

Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 966123 - Diana Dunbar's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 11:51:52 AM
City/State/Zip: Minneapolis, Minnesota 55429
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 966125 - XXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 11:51:54 AM
City/State/Zip: Appleton, Wisconsin 54915
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 966126 - XXXXXXXX XXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/9/2016 11:51:54 AM
City/State/Zip: Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53207
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 966130 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 11:51:59 AM

City/State/Zip: New York, New York 10022

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 966131 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/9/2016 11:51:59 AM
City/State/Zip: New York, New York 10002
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 966132 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 11:52:00 AM
City/State/Zip: Marietta, Georgia 30066
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 966139 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 11:52:09 AM
City/State/Zip: Breaux Bridge, Louisiana 70517
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That's not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don't want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don't pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don't want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 966133 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 11:52:01 AM
City/State/Zip: Waterville, Maine 04901
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 966134 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 11:52:02 AM
City/State/Zip: South Weymouth, Massachusetts 02190
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[5) (6)
Ticket: # 966135 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 11:52:02 AM
City/State/Zip: Des Plaines, Illinois 60016
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 966137 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 11:52:06 AM
City/State/Zip: Orland Park, Illinois 60462
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 966138 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 11:52:06 AM
City/State/Zip: Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52403
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 966140 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 11:52:09 AM
City/State/Zip: Denver, Colorado 80207
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 921950 - Comcast Data Caps
Date: 4/19/2016 4:02:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Hampton Cove, Alabama 35763
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast has implemented a data usage cap in my area of 300 Giga Bytes (GB) per month, with no way to upgrade to a plan with unlimited data usage. The problem with data caps, is that it does not address the issue of bandwidth. An example: If I were to use 1 MegaBit/sec (Mbps) of data every day, all day for a month (30 days), this would translate to 316 GB of data for the month. My data plan is 75 Mbps download, which would mean I would be using 1/75th of my plan’s download speed, and yet still exceed the data cap.

Conversely, if I were to utilize all of my bandwidth of 75 Mbps, I would exceed my data cap in 9.1 hours.

So, they've given me a service designed to go over their 300 GB data cap.
Ticket: # 966141 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 11:52:13 AM
City/State/Zip: Brighton, Massachusetts 02135
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. Because of where I live, Comcast is my only option for cable and internet. Which means I cannot make a statement to them by switching providers. So I urge the FCC to protect me and my fellow consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 966142 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 11:52:15 AM
City/State/Zip: Beverly, Massachusetts 01915
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not
be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and
how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T
created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps.
Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their
caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee -
in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and
racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and
non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer
have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps,
the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me
who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other
Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t
want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the
costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of
money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy.
I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet
rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these
kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make
these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 966144 - XXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 11:52:20 AM
City/State/Zip: Barrington, New Hampshire 03825
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 966150 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 11:52:34 AM
City/State/Zip: Broomfield, Colorado 80021
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 966152 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 11:52:37 AM
City/State/Zip: Fort Myers, Florida 33967
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 966153 - XXXXXXXXXX XXXXXX’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 11:52:41 AM
City/State/Zip: Thornton, Colorado 80229
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 922741 - Comcast Internet  Caps
Date: 4/19/2016 9:26:42 PM
City/State/Zip: Canton, Georgia 30115
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast is capping our data usage limit and charging monthly excess usage fees in an effort to monopolize the TV service market and prevent us from using a competitor. We use a satellite television service that requires internet data usage to stream movies, and to watch TV programs on demand. In addition to a monthly up charge for exceeding data limits, I believe Comcast is intentionally slowing our internet speed because we do not use their cable television service. Our programming is constantly interrupted because it doesn't stream at the rate required. We are not receiving the speed we pay for, they have ignored our complaints, and charged us fees to come out to test our internet speed. We have been forced to upgrade our internet service and pay higher fees so that we can use our satellite tv service. In addition, they are now offering a streaming tv service to their cable customers and the internet data used for this service doesn't count against data caps. I believe Comcast is in direct violation of the Open Internet Net Neutrality Regulations. I would like you to investigate this complaint.
Ticket: # 966154 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 11:52:47 AM
City/State/Zip: Cornelia, Georgia 30531
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll for a fee in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That's not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don't want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don't pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don't want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
I have a issue with Comcast and other ISP data caps. These companies advertise being able to have the speed to "support multiple devices". However when I use their services as they seem to intend I am blindsided with a overage. I don't believe these ISP have an accurate was to determine data usage and if they do the process should be made public to it's users.
Ticket: # 966155 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 11:52:59 AM
City/State/Zip: Panama City, Florida 32401
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 966157 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 11:53:04 AM
City/State/Zip: Burtonsville, Maryland 20866
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 966158 - XXXXXXXXX XXXXXX's XXXXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP
Zero Rating
Date: 5/9/2016 11:53:06 AM
City/State/Zip: Alameda, California 94501
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 966159 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

**Date:** 5/9/2016 11:53:40 AM  
**City/State/Zip:** Overland Park, Kansas 66212  
**Company Complaining About:** Comcast

**Description**

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 966161 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 11:53:51 AM
City/State/Zip: Montclair, New Jersey 07042
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Comcast, T-Mobile, Verizon, and AT&T are all acting like they’re above the law.

Each one of them is breaking the Net Neutrality rules the FCC passed last year, daring the FCC to challenge them.

I need to make sure the FCC sets the precedent that violating Net Neutrality has real consequences.

Please enforce the Net Neutrality rules you passed last year and rein in Comcast, Verizon, AT&T, and T-Mobile.

Since we won at the FCC, and since we keep winning in Congress, Comcast and friends have a new strategy: just break the rules.12 “zero rating”—the practice where Internet providers exempt some sites or services from their own monthly limits (“data caps”). Instead, the FCC said they’d look at zero rating “case by case.”

Meanwhile, data caps are still allowed. And ISPs can dramatically slow down your Internet after you hit the cap. See where this is going?

All ISPs have to do is keep our data caps low, and then exempt some sites from the cap. “Special” sites. The ones that pay them $$$.

In other words, every site that Comcast and AT&T don’t approve of gets thrown in a slow lane.
Ticket: # 926783 - Comcast internet throttling and rerouting my traffic when I have an active download

Date: 4/21/2016 5:37:21 PM
City/State/Zip: Merced, California 95340
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Recently I had an outage on my internet service with comcast. I called the technical service to get it resolved, and instead of fixing the problem, they told me to upgrade to more expensive higher bandwidth plan. I declined, and then the problem persisted until another agent called me to fix the issue which worked for a day or so.

Since then I'm having exactly the same problem I had prior to the service call. When I have an active download the upload speed decreases to 0.1 mbps, and the ping number goes way up to above 500 ms or above 1000 ms.

This makes using my internet impossible to use as long as I have a download active running at full bandwidth.

But I've never had this problem before. This all began on 4-11-16 when I had problem with the internet and had to call to fix it, and after I declined to upgrade, this problem began.

So I had a technician come by today to address the issue, and instead of fixing the problem, he offered to upgrade my service to a higher bandwidth plan for the same price for a year after which the price goes up and I have to pay a higher price for my internet.

So I declined and then the technician said they upgraded the entire neighborhood, but if they upgraded then my service should improve, not deteriorate like mine has.

Then he changed the modem for frivolous reason which didn't fix the issue. Then he said the company has to think of the entire neighborhoods and when one guy takes up all the bandwidth they have to do something so it's fair to everyone.

But the plan I have is the lowest bandwidth plan they offer. The reasoning I use more bandwidth than anyone is ridiculous.

My traffic is about 100 GB per month which pretty low compared to some other people who breach 250 GB data cap(which they claim is suspended)

He also stated flat out that if "you have call forwarding you need upgrade to higher bandwidth higher price plan"

What they're doing is killing the internet from the server side so that the customer who pay for low bandwidth plan would call the technical service, and then they use the opportunity to offer to upgrade instead of fixing the service.
This is a criminal and unfair business practice. The even worse problem is that in the town I live, AT&T uverse internet is not available nor any other reasonably priced alternatives.

So comcast has an illegal monopoly over the customers and engages in this kind of illegal and unfair business practice.

I'll summarize the problem as follows.

i have 3mbps download 756kbps upload package. When I have an active download, my upload is throttled to 0.1 mbps and ping number goes way up over 500-1000ms.

Ping number goes up like that if the firewall setting on their servers is set to reroute the traffic whenever their customers have an active download.

When upload is throttled to that low speed and ping goes up that high, your computer gets so bogged down, the entire computer become unusable.

All because I have an active download which I pay for. This practice cannot be allowed to continue.

It's even more blatant that they flat out stated that If I have call forwarding using wifi then I need to upgrade to more expensive plan. I have a pay as you go phone plan which costs me very little money and I can use the VOIP phone to pick up the slack as needed.

Prior to switching to t mobile pay as you go, I was with pay as you go Verizon plan. And guess who Verizon is teamed up with? Xfinity which owns comcast.

This level of harassment and coercion should be criminalized. They need to provide the service I purchased or refund me for the days when my download affect my upload and ping, which is everyday since 4-11-16.

There is no way I'm the only person this is happening to, as this happened to me 3 times since I've been with Comcast.

Every time the pattern is the same.

1. I have an issue with the internet, and so I call the technical service.

2. And they offer to upgrade my service, and when I decline they fix the connection which then ends up working fine.

3. When the problem persists, then a technician can come out to change the modem, but not until after the technician offer to upgrade my plan.
This has happened 3 times to me over the years I've been with Comcast. I did fall for their trick once and upgraded. And then I decided that I didn't need as much bandwidth, so downgraded to save money.

And then I changed out of verizon, and then the problem with Internet began again on the week of 4-11-16 which they're refusing still to fix.

And very fact that they flat out stated "if you have call forwarding, you need to upgrade" is just so blatantly wrong and unfair.

Net neutrality is nonexistent with this company. They can't decide on their own to just throttle my upload speed back to 0.1 mbps when I pay for 0.8 mbps.

They can't reroute my traffic to the point where my ping goes up from below 100ms to over 1000ms.

The following is an acceptable resolution

Whenever I have an active download, my download bandwidth should be reduced until all downloads add up to 3mbps which I pay for.

My download cannot affect my upload speed which need to be at 0.8 mbps not 0.1 mbps.

My ping cannot be above 100 ms not over 1000 ms.

And they cannot just kill your internet so they get an opportunity to sell your more expensive plan claiming the plan you currently have doesn't meet your needs when the problem is caused by comcast.

This happened to me 3 times. There's a class of customer who are suffering from the same problems I'm guessing.

I've attached two screen caps my speed tests. One while I have an active download(with down.jpg), and another while I have no active download(without down.jpg) to show how blatant it is.
Ticket: # 926854 - Comcast 300g data cap
Date: 4/21/2016 6:06:07 PM
City/State/Zip: Goodlettsville, Tennessee 37072
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast throttles streaming services after you hit their 300gig data cap, but the main complaint is that cap. Internal Comcast memos state that it is not because of network congestion, therefore it is strictly a money grab by Comcast, like they don’t make enough. The cap was rolled out gradually to see if they could get away with it, and they have so far. Not enough public outcry or government intervention happened. Please do not let them get away with this ridiculous strategy to exploit their customers. If they want to charge more, they should make it part of the plan so that people have a flat rate and know what they’re paying.
Ticket: # 927140 - ATT Uverse Data caps
Date: 4/21/2016 8:31:48 PM
City/State/Zip: O'fallon, Illinois 62269
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
ATT Uverse provides the slowest internet speeds and charges more than competitors. They also enforce data caps and charge you more if you "use" too much internet. This is completely unacceptable.
Ticket: # 966171 - Tia’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 11:56:05 AM
City/State/Zip: Los Angeles, California 90066
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 966182 - XXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 11:57:44 AM
City/State/Zip: Layton, Utah 84040
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 966181 - XXXXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 11:57:35 AM
City/State/Zip: Hoffman Estates, Illinois 60169
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 928734 - Data caps on ATT Uverse
Date: 4/22/2016 5:12:02 PM
City/State/Zip: Los Angeles, California 90029
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Hi,

I'm filling a complaint due to ATT enforcing a data cap on home internet. It's an inherently unfair practice to limit a person's access to a service that they are paying for. TV providers don't limit the amount of time you can watch TV. Airlines don't limit how many miles you travel once you pay for a ticket. Once you pay them they provide you with the complete service they advertised. Why do ISPs feel the need to limit access to a service you are paying for?

The cap ATT is imposing starts off large but the terms they are offering for their internet plan shows that they plan to use the data caps to pressure customers to purchase additional services. As of right now there are two main ways you can escape the caps: Either pay an extra $30 a month for an "unlimited" plan, or make sure you're paying for a double-play consisting of U-verse Internet service and one of AT&T's two television services, DirecTV or U-verse TV.

If these caps result in more users either paying the $30/month fee or in purchasing additional services then it will only encourage ATT to expand the data caps in the future to get more and more of their customers to give them more money.

Luckily, I live in an area where I have a choice of ISPs. I'm fortunate that I can choose to switch services and give my business to a company that doesn't pressure their customers to buy additional services/pay a fee to get around an arbitrary data limit. However, those people that don't have a choice when it comes to ISPs are stuck.

While cancelling my service the ATT rep tried to persuade me to stay with them by saying "Everyone will be doing it, ATT is only the first". I find it funny that the rep said this given that whenever this rationale of "everyone else does it" pops up it's usually in relation to someone performing some kind of unjust act. But it's a scary thought that in the future, even those of us with multiple ISPs in their area will have no choice. We will be forced to pay money for a service with a limit. Not just any service but access to the internet which is becoming an essential thing to modern life. This highlights the dwindling choices consumers have and will have with these practices of data limits; it's so obvious that even ATT's own representative acknowledged it.

In any case, if ISPs have the opportunity to make more money by placing data caps on their customers then they will do it. Right now the data cap for my plan was 600GB, but in the future what is stopping ATT from lowering that to 200GB, 100GB, or 10GB? In addition, a few years from now it will be easy to blow past a 600GB limit as media is increasing in size (1080p video upgrading to 4k) and more and more devices are being connected to the internet (from watches to cars like Tesla). A majority of customers may not need to worry about a 600GB cap now, but 5 years from now they will. Most of these people will be poor as well as the wealthy will be able to afford $30/month.
Overall, I find the data caps on something as essential as home internet and it's implications for the future to be troubling.

Thank you for your time.
Ticket: # 929418 - Data Caps
Date: 4/23/2016 9:56:20 AM
City/State/Zip: Mission Viejo, California 92691
Company Complaining About: Cox

Description
The punitive enforcement of data caps prevents OTT video providers from having a level playing field to compete with the local monopoly cable operator. If data caps were about heavy users then when I do not go over my data cap then my bill should be equitably lower to the rates of the overage. For example the overage rates are $10 for every 50Gb I go over the data cap. If I do not go over in the month I should be refunded $50 for every 10 Gb left on my bill. That is fair, the current system is unfair and only setup to prevent a loss of profit for the companies loss of video subscribers.
Ticket: # 929476 - Data caps

Date: 4/23/2016 10:55:40 AM
City/State/Zip: Nashville, Tennessee 37221
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
The data caps that Comcast has trotted out are far, far too low for any household with multiple streamers. This is the antithesis of what an open and free internet is about.

I called to complain about this and was told that the caps were in place because of "fairness". I've been a network engineer for 20 years and "fairness" doesn't hold water unless they are significantly lower for people who use hardly any of their data allotment (they do offer a cynically-low $5 off if you use nearly none of your bandwidth). If I had a better option, I'd take it. Hopefully Google Fiber expands quickly.
Ticket: # 929501 - Data Caps - Comcast

Date: 4/23/2016 11:15:14 AM
City/State/Zip: Colorado Springs, Colorado 80920
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I would like to express my disapproval of Comcast's data caps. They are using their monopoly powers to dictate how, and in what quantities, consumers consume data services. This stifles competition, and hurts companies that provide services.

Since my online content over the internet is capped, Comcast is using their data caps to push people to subscribe to their cable TV services for content, which I do not want.
Ticket: # 929782 - Comcast is violating the open internet rules by exempting some video traffic from data caps

Date: 4/23/2016 3:17:33 PM
City/State/Zip: Nashville, Tennessee 37211
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast is violating the FCC's open internet regulations by exempting their own "Stream" streaming television service from data caps. In doing so, Comcast provides an "over the top" internet television service in which they have a financial interest an unfair advantage over competing providers which is a form of paid prioritization as prohibited by rule 3.

Comcast defends this practice by attempting to describe "Stream" as a cable television offering rather than an "over the top" streaming video service, but this assertion is easily dismissed with two simple observations. First, Stream is only offered by Comcast to existing customers with "xfinity internet" service. Second, Stream is entirely reliant on the equipment and provisioning of that "xfinity Internet" service for operation. In other words, unlike traditional cable television, Comcast is unable to deliver the "Stream" product without relying on the same infrastructure used to deliver every other internet service, including Stream's competitors. If "Stream" truly were an independent cable television service and not an "over the top" service, Comcast could offer it to every customer in their footprint and not merely as an add-on for internet customers.

This complaint was previously submitted and I was contacted by phone by Comcast, but Comcast promised to call me back with more information as to exactly why they argue Stream is not an over-the-top service. No follow-up call was received and the previous complaint was closed without comment, so I am opening a follow-up complaint.
Ticket: # 929990 - Comcast email of acknowledgement
Date: 4/23/2016 6:31:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Tucson, Arizona 85705
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast sent me a rather redundant email stating that I have acknowledged the data cap.
Ticket: # 929881 - Comcast Imposing Unnecessary Data Caps

Date: 4/23/2016 4:53:17 PM
City/State/Zip: Homestead, Florida 33033
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Dear Sir or Madam,

I have internet only service from Comcast in the Miami, FL area. Comcast has instituted a 300GB per month data cap where each additional 50GB is charged at a rate of $10. It is my understanding that cable TV customers do not have video programming traveling over the same wires counted against their data cap, my viewing of any audio or video programming is logged against my data cap. This seems to privilege the video programming sold by Comcast over competing video services. Why can a cable TV customer watch 24 hour HD programming Without this usage counting against their data cap, while my viewing of HBO Now, Hulu, or Netflix accrues against the 300GB limit?

The 300 GB data cap is also for all internet packages regardless of speed. Someone with a 100 Mbps connection is capped at the same amount of data as someone with a 6 Mbps connection which means that customers can reach their data cap faster and pay more for it. They also offer their own plans which have no "data caps" just to stop customers from choosing to use streaming services (their competition) such as Netflix and Hulu.

Approximately 3.5 hours of HD programming a day in a month with 30 days by one individual within a household would be impacted by Comcast’s data cap. We have four members in our household and do not wish to select low quality video feeds or agree to all watch the same programs at the same time to manage our internet usage. I view the limit as arbitrarily low and the implementation of only metering signals not originating from Comcast as a preferred programming provider as opposed to the fair playing field intended by the FCC.

The worst part is that I'll have to cancel my account with them and move on to AT&T just to deal with another set of data caps.
Ticket: # 930051 - Comcast data cap
Date: 4/23/2016 8:03:47 PM
City/State/Zip: Atlanta, Georgia 30329
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I've had Comcast tv and Xfinity Internet for 6 months. I'm also unfortunately in a data cap zone. In that time I've already reached my data cap 3 times, exhausting my "free" data cap months. The other 3 months were only a few gigs short of the cap. This month I used 290 of my 300 gigs in only 15 days, with no change in my habits. There is no way for me to actually know what caused this spike as I can only see a predicted usage meter online. The data cap is extremely unreasonable and a ploy by Comcast to charge more for a service I'm already paying for. While I can buy unlimited internet for even more, neither the cap or the unlimited access was mentioned by the sales rep at time of purchase. I now find myself rationing my web usage every month instead of being able to work or enjoy web content freely. I'd gladly switch to another provider but I'm in a contract based on lies and limited information and no other provider to switch to. Comcast has a monopoly on the neighborhood and is price gouging its customers with the data cap. Expecting one household to share 300 gigs of Internet in 2017 is ridiculous, especially considering the low speed and high price already put on it. Comcast is forcing me into a corner that I have to pay my way out of. Data caps cannot be accepted as a norm and Comcast is leading the push to make it so. The FCC needs to take a stand against Comcast's customer manipulation and monopolization.
Ticket: # 966197 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 12:00:13 PM
City/State/Zip: Minneapolis, Minnesota 55419
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 930181 - Suddenlink data cap
Date: 4/24/2016 12:53:09 AM
City/State/Zip: Lake Havasu City, Arizona 86404
Company Complaining About: Sudden Link

Description
Recently Suddenlink has instituted data caps on the residential broadband accounts and have not been honest or open about adding the new stipulation. There are overage fees for going over the cap that can be avoided for an extra $10 a month. While I can choose to go to my only other competitor their speed is a fraction available so I am stuck paying an extra $10. How is it that iSP’s are not utilities when they can monopolize my town and price gouge us without any recourse? How can they change the terms and conditions without bringing such drastic changes to the consumer so they are aware of what terms and conditions are being changed? This is outrageous and no more than corporate greed. Thanks for your hard work.
Ticket: # 930218 - Comcast data cap
Date: 4/24/2016 6:08:13 AM
City/State/Zip: Charleston, South Carolina 29407
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
We are subscribers of Comcast internet, which costs us $100/month for ~135Mbs. The price for such service is already high and the quality of the connection leaves a lot to be desired, as the speed varies widely, depending on the time of the day.

What is completely unacceptable is to have a data cap at 300GB per month. We moved from California where we had no data cap with Comcast, to South Carolina, where they apply such nonsense. 300GB per month, when considering multiple devices, Netflix/Amazon video, is extremely low. Now we have to pay an additional $35 to avoid such arbitrary limit. Comcast in imposing limits, with a low data cap, in order to easily extort more money per month to people who already pay an exorbitant amount.

This kind of practice is absurd. Comcast takes advantage of a situation of near-monopoly to impose unfair and unbearable costs to people who have simply no alternative but to use their service. There is no motivation for this practice, except pure greed, strengthened by a situation of dominance of the market. If this practice is uncorrected, tomorrow they might impose an arbitrary lower limit, and force people pay even more.

Not only this situation is an unfair imposition on consumers, but it hampers competitiveness in the US. Other countries provide faster Internet and no data caps.
Ticket: # 930220 - Comcast abusing power
Date: 4/24/2016 6:36:44 AM
City/State/Zip: Marietta, Georgia 30066
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Over the past year and a half I have battled with Comcast in simply getting a half decent internet service at a reasonable rate and have failed time and time again. I now have two TV boxes, both of which are complete garbage. They give me incomplete service like it's no big deal and now that they enforce data caps my service has become unbearable, expensive and frustrating. The only other provider in my area is AT&T and their speeds are only fractions better than dial up. I live in a heavily populated metro area and Comcast is a monopoly and they are abusing honest customers like myself. I mean seriously? 10$ for 50GB? On a hardwired, paying customer of 3 years, this is pathetic. Yet I have no other choice.
Ticket: # 930233 - Comcast
Date: 4/24/2016 8:28:09 AM
City/State/Zip: North Charleston, South Carolina 29406
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Reduced data "allowance" by installing data cap and raised price of service.

Ostensibly, due to "promotional rate" expiring. I could continue to receive the same rate, if I returned the equipment, and then reinstalled (~50 dollars for "self install" kit). New rate is ~20% higher, with data limits. I'm paying more for less.
Ticket: # 930260 - info not given at time of set up
Date: 4/24/2016 9:22:12 AM
City/State/Zip: Roswell, Georgia 30076
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
when I signed up for internet usage with COMCAST/XFINITY I was never advised of data caps.. i found out this info on my bill when they issued a credit to my account for the $10 charge. I checked my bill for the data usage amount since my billing cycle has started for the next month...only to find out the data cycle DOES NOT correspond with my billing cycle. I have called this company many times and NO ONE has ever mentioned to me the different in cycles from data and billing. This company in my opinion has deceptive business practices to milk customers of money!!!!!!
Ticket: # 930391 - COMCAST Data Cap
Date: 4/24/2016 1:57:17 PM
City/State/Zip: Miami, Florida 33177
Company Complaining About: Comcast

**Description**
It's obvious the FCC and our Government isn't representing it's citizens. It's clear as day that your average informed citizen is outraged by the data caps currently being enforced by both Comcast and ATT to drive away competition and nothing is being done about it.

Comcast continues to rake it's customers over the coals, I being one of them, knowing that their consumers don't have any other option. ATT is the other Internet Service Provider available to me and their caps are worse than the Comcast ones.

Our local government all the way up to the Federal is in bed with all these companies and it's frustrating knowing that a single individual has no power to change things.

I would happily vote with my wallet but the reality of 2016 is that Internet is no longer a luxury, it has become a utility and my hands are tied in having to deal with the lesser of two evils in Comcast.

If Google were an option in my area I'd gladly vote with my wallet and ditch Comcast and ATT but it isn't an option and doubtful to be on as our local politicians have no incentive to go against those that line their pockets in Comcast and ATT.

FCC, you're our only hope.
Ticket: # 930484 - Comcast
Date: 4/24/2016 4:15:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Burlingame, California 94010
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
In my area we have only one choice for high speed internet. However, I am not complaining about a monopoly. I understand the capital needed to upgrade infrastructure is enormous. We only have one choice for power and gas as well. Monopolies is not the problem, it is how Comcast takes advantage of their monopoly. PG&E who owns the gas and electric lines, charges a fair rate and is in some way shape or form, publicly held responsible for its policies by the people they serve. Comcast is a private company, and their way of doing business is about pure greed rather than serving their customers. They added a data cap of 200gb to our internet service, a ridiculously low amount with the age of digital consumerism, and they are acting like they are doing us a favor by just choosing not to enforce it for now. Internet is pretty much a necessary utility in this day and age, and data caps are proven to be all about profit, it doesn't help with "network congestion". And because Comcast has a monopoly, we as consumers are basically forced to accept the unfair policies they put in place. That is why I am writing to the FCC who are supposed to protect us from policies like this. I am okay with Comcast being a monopoly, but they should not be able to exploit it.
Ticket: # 930494 - Mediacom internet caps  
Date: 4/24/2016 4:46:50 PM  
City/State/Zip: Theodore, Alabama 36582  
Company Complaining About: Mediacom

Description
I'm writing this complaint against Mediacom for the ridiculous caps that have been placed on my landline internet service. My cable bill is costing me over $120 a month with a data cap of 350 gigs, which I pay extra for as the standard cap is 300 gigs. In today's day and age the caps are way too low and is simply a money grab as streaming video such as Netflix, Amazon, Hulu, etc are considered a threat to traditional TV services from televisions and internet providers such as Comcast, and in my case Mediacom. I have no alternative to any other provider for internet in my area and am forced to put up with constant rate hikes, low caps, and poor customer service. The real insult to injury is Mediacom, as well as other internet providers EXEMPT their streaming video services to try to persuade the customer to pay for their services instead of their competitors such as Netflix. Please do something to protect the American consumers from this blatant extorsion of our wallets.
Ticket: # 930564 - Comment re broadband caps

Date: 4/24/2016 6:13:02 PM
City/State/Zip: Windsor, Connecticut 06095
Company Complaining About: (general Comment / No Specific Carrier)

Description
Since there isn't a proceeding open, I'm using the complaint system to submit a comment on the ongoing public debate regarding broadband providers' capping subscribers' data usage.

As my wife and I prepare to move later this year, as a telecommuter, the availability of broadband access in our new home is a very significant concern to me...as is potential arbitrary limitations on the use of that connectivity.

It just occurred to me: with broadband providers also now generally being in the business of offering television and telephone service, and bundling being the preferred way for providers to sell multiple services...shouldn't an arbitrary imposition of data usage caps be seen as a means of limiting customers' access to competing services?

For example, I'd love to "cut the cord" and rely on internet video providers and VOIP telephone services. However, I feel like with the imposition of a data cap, if we want to have video entertainment, we're obliged to sign up for cable or satellite TV service and telephone service lest we run afoul of a data cap...and the pricing of bundles encourages us to restrict our business to a single vendor. (And in the areas we're looking to move to...that single vendor has a monopoly on residential broadband internet service.)

If the Commission chooses to consider exploring data caps on broadband internet service, I would encourage you to consider whether the existence of such caps and/or bundling strategies might be creating an anti-competitive environment for residential telecommunications services.

That being said, I will admit that I wouldn't object to paying a modest surcharge for uncapped service. I respect the free market...even if I think a bit of regulatory pressure is appropriate given the near-monopolistic nature of broadband access in much of the country.
Ticket: # 966206 - XXXXX XXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/9/2016 12:02:49 PM
City/State/Zip: Encinitas, California 92024
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 930684 - Data cap
Date: 4/24/2016 9:33:22 PM
City/State/Zip: Atlanta., Georgia 30327
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
The Comcast data cap is absurdly low. 300gb?? All the streaming services push higher and higher resolution. TVs have higher and higher resolution. Kids stream music, use Facebook, etc. my household survives about a week on the current data cap. It's pure greed. Please address this.
Ticket: # 930780 - Data caps

Date: 4/25/2016 12:30:58 AM
City/State/Zip: Lilburn, Georgia 30047
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
The data cap implemented by Comcast is ridiculous. They have an oligopoly which limits competition and forces the consumer in our area to use the only provider. The data caps are wildly inaccurate, but we keep getting charged extra every month, even when my family heavily restricts their internet usage. I pay a good amount of money every month to Comcast, as do all of my neighbors. Why should we be forced to limit the service that we all actively pay for?
Ticket: # 966209 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 12:03:31 PM

City/State/Zip: Ellijay, Georgia 30540

Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 966216 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 12:04:27 PM
City/State/Zip: Pueblo, Colorado 81005
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 931380 - Broadband caps
Date: 4/25/2016 12:50:46 PM
City/State/Zip: Grand Rapids, Michigan 49504
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
AT&T uverse is implementing data caps next month in my area with no notification to me as a customer, there is a higher data cap provided when subscribing to TV which can be purchased separate for almost the same price as the TV subscription. My house can only get 18meg internet from AT&T meaning Comcast is the only provider in my area that offers true broadband, I only have one choice of broadband provider. Data caps should be a mandatory advertisement of what is included along with speed and out the door cost.
I was a telecommunications policy analyst in Washington from 1989 to 1995. I spent the most productive period of my professional life working to create a competitive and open telecom marketplace. Recent proposals to allow further consolidation of the telecom industry are consolidating the power these companies have over our personal information and have led to higher prices for diminished services. Net neutrality serves the public interest by regulating the manner in which these "Common Carriers" that utilize the "public right of way" allow access to their network capacity (which in many markets exert duopoly power). The FCC Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to a democratic and informed society. I urge you to protect them.

Most Americans only have one choice for true high speed Internet access: our local cable company. Cable companies (and wireless carriers) are actively lobbying Congress and the FCC for the power to:

* Block sites and apps, to charge them "access fees"
* Slow sites and apps to a crawl, to establish paid "fast lanes" (normal speed) and slow lanes (artificially low speeds)
* Impose arbitrarily low data caps, so they can charge sites to escape those caps, or privilege their own services ("zero rating")

They're doing it so they can use their monopoly power to stand between me and the sites I want to access, extorting money from us both. I'll be forced to pay more to access the sites I want, and sites will have to pay a kind of protection money to every major cable company or wireless carrier—just to continue working properly! The FCC's Open Internet Rules are the only thing standing in their way.

I'm sending this to letter to my two senators, my representative, the White House, and the FCC. First, to the FCC: don't interfere with my ability to access what I want on the Internet, or with websites' ability to reach me. You should leave the existing rules in place, and enforce them.

To my senators: you have the power to stop FCC Chair Ajit Pai from abusing the rules by refusing to vote for his reconfirmation. I expect you to use that power. Pai, a former Verizon employee, has made it clear he intends to gut the rules to please his former employer and other major carriers, despite overwhelming support for the rules from voters in both parties. I urge you publicly oppose Pai's confirmation on these grounds.

To the White House: Ajit Pai, a former Verizon employee, is acting in the interests of his former employer, not the American people. America deserves better. Appoint an FCC Chair who will protect the economic miracle that is the Internet from media monopolies like AT&T, Time Warner Cable, and Comcast/NBC/Universal.
To my representative: please publicly oppose Ajit Pai’s plan to oppose the rules, and do everything you can to persuade the Senate and the White House to oppose Pai’s nomination.

I would be happy to speak more with anyone on your staff about the rules and why they’re so important to me. Please notify me of any opportunities to meet with you or your staff.
Respectfully,

[Redacted]
Ticket: # 931738 - AT&T Uverse implementing unreasonable datacaps

Date: 4/25/2016 2:38:06 PM
City/State/Zip: Providence, Kentucky 42450
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
AT&T Uverse has announced that they will be implementing a 150gb data cap on May 23rd of this year. This is an unreasonably low amount intended to punish "cord cutters", those users who eschew traditional cable television for online streaming services. AT&T Uverse offering to remove the cap if the customer purchases their cable or satellite service amounts to blackmail and monopoly. The only other Internet provider in my area is a cable service that I cannot afford.
Ticket: # 931801 - Internet Data Restrictions or "Monthly Allowances"

**Date:** 4/25/2016 2:53:19 PM  
**City/State/Zip:** Brenham, Texas 77833  
**Company Complaining About:** Sudden Link

**Description**
I am being charged extra money per month on the basis that I am going over my "Monthly Allowance". There is no technical reason why I should be limited to such a small 350GB amount, other than the anti-competitive behaviors our laws allow. I primarily stream video, and do not subscribe to cable TV because the value isn't good enough to compete with Netflix or YouTube. Rather than making their TV service better, SuddenLink, like many ISP's, have enacted a policy that prevents me from streaming as much as I would want to. This limits my use of a service I already pay for just to bleed more money out of me. I, not the average American can afford to pay $10 for every 50GB we use over arbitrary "Monthly Allowances" we have.

There were no "Monthly Allowances" on home cable or fiber internet a decade ago, and there is no reason that customers should suffer through them now. If an ISP says that this is to manage their bandwidth, the FCC should be asking for proof of such bandwidth limitations, ask why more expensive plans magically inflate their network and remove these restrictions, and why other countries have never even heard of home internet data caps. Places like South Korea and Germany do not have data caps, and they enjoy much better internet communications than we do with faster speeds and more availability.

Again, these "Monthly Allowances" are preventing me from using my internet service that I pay for without charging me a potentially unlimited amount of additional money per month because they claim that I have gone over. On top of this, they cannot provide me with an itemized receipt proving that I am in fact going over the 350GB amount. Their metrics can and will make mistakes and customers should not be required to blindly trust such a flawed system.

When this complaint is responded to by SuddenLink, I do not want to hear unfounded or unproven reasons why they cannot increase or remove data allowances and how "many customers have never exceeded the usage amounts set by us" or "this is something we do to manage our network and prevent issues with quality of service... etc..." If they wish to state this, I require reputable and independent sources of studies not funded by an ISP. When this complaint is responded to, I expect real resolution in the form of removing my absurd data "allowance". I will not consider this complaint resolved any other way and will file on a regular basis until "monthly allowances" are removed.
Ticket: # 932005 - Comcast data caps
Date: 4/25/2016 3:51:21 PM
City/State/Zip: Tamarac, Florida 33319
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast is saying I used more than 300GB in November, when I wasn't even home for 2 weeks during Thanksgiving!

With electricity or water bill, they at least tell me how much I'm using every day, even by the hour. With comcast, there's no such information. Just an email that says I exceeded my data cap. I can't even find out which "days" I'm using the internet, especially when I'm not at home. How do I fight, when comcast doesn't give me any information. Please help!
Ticket: # 966223 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/9/2016 12:05:07 PM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98112
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 932671 - Broadband data caps

Date: 4/25/2016 7:45:11 PM
City/State/Zip: Gulfport, Mississippi 39501
Company Complaining About: Cable One

Description
Cable one broadband internet service is using arbitrary data caps on its customers data plans while encouraging the sale of their cable packages when customers hit data limit. Cable one does not currently provide a breakdown in daily data usage that accurately tracks customers usage (they won’t share that information).
Ticket: # 932629 - Comcast Data Caps
Date: 4/25/2016 7:22:44 PM
City/State/Zip: North Little Rock, Arkansas 72118
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
The current imposed data cap is hindering of my home entertainment and work. I work from home 90% of the time, with trading software and remote logins (both which easily use 5-10GB/day), but on top of that, I stream Netflix and have PlayStation consoles which also use up a lot of bandwidth. I pay for the Blast tier which gives me 75mb/s and I am capped at near the same rate as the lower tiers. I've had to severely limit my internet usage and monitor users and I REFUSE to do this a month when data caps are unnecessary. They are being "trialed" in my area and obviously this does not work for users like myself. On top of that, the intermittent disconnects I have with my PlayStation 4 (which is prevalent with PSN servers, Destiny, and comcast routers, on a simple google search) so its like like comcast provides a top tier service either.
Ticket: # 932790 - Comcast internet data caps

Date: 4/25/2016 9:09:39 PM
City/State/Zip: Memphis, Tennessee 38104
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I paid good money for cloud backup services that I cannot use. I have to limit our Netflix viewing. I cannot send large files to colleagues (I edit video, the files can be large). I cannot do a lot of the things I should be able to do because of Comcast's damn data cap. Make them stop!
Ticket: # 932980 - Comcast Data Cap

Date: 4/26/2016 12:03:56 AM

City/State/Zip: Nashville, Tennessee 37204

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Data caps are unfair to consumers due to the competitive power that Comcast has. There is no option, data caps prevent competition as you can't stream through streaming apps only without going over data caps and are required to subscribe to cable TV.
Ticket: # 933004 - Cable tv should compete with internet tv, one company means no competition
Date: 4/26/2016 12:54:33 AM
City/State/Zip: Rochester, Minnesota 55904
Company Complaining About: Charter

Description
When one company owns both cable tv and cable internet services they raise the price of internet service so that their lucrative cable packages can stay relevant. Bundles are not good for consumers. It just shows how much we are getting gouged on non-bundle pricing for internet-only service. The conflict of interest is blatantly obvious. It is plain to see and ridiculous that this is legally allowed.

Internet services should be regulated like a utility. We don't run 2 water pipes to every house. We don't run 2 electric lines, or 2 sewer lines, etc. A wired internet connection will always beat wireless because the carry capacity of coaxial cable is far superior. It would be vastly inefficient to run 2 internet wires to every building, as much as it would be to run power/water/sewer lines to every building. Internet should be a utility built for the common wealth of the people.

Cable companies should not be allowed to insert themselves as unnecessary middle men. Net neutrality is obviously the way bits should be delivered by the network. ISPs who argue otherwise are scheming on ways to leverage their monopoly, gouging consumers who are considered lucky to have ANY choice in ISPs. Just deliver the bits. It's ridiculous that they claim to add value by creating slow lanes.

The situation with internet service in the U.S. is a disgrace. It's obvious that the cable companies have co-opted the government and thumb their nose at the toothless FCC.

The internet is the infrastructure of the future. The money we spend every month on cable bills is what built the internet. The idea that a private company now owns makes me vewy angwy.

Why are you allowing two large cable companies to merge? Why?! We need more competition between more smaller companies. This would give consumers more choices to select an ISP that best suits their needs. I currently have Charter as an ISP and have no real choice. DSL can not offer a competitive service for my needs. They technically can not compete with coaxial cable because of bandwidth limitations of twisted pair wiring.

Data Caps. I don't mind paying by GB of data delivered. But in every other consumer transaction I've ever been involved in you pay LESS per piece the more you buy. Also, the price per GB is not in line with the cost of building and maintaining the network. It is way overpriced.

How do they get away with it? A: Cable tv/isp companies have a monopoly. It's a racket and they should be split into different companies.
As soon as another company offers broadband internet to me I am switching. Most people I know feel the same way. I hate paying into this corrupt system but I have no choice as the internet has become a necessity for modern living.

Please, please, please find a way to make ISPs operate as utilities and enforce net neutrality. Help me Obiwan Kenobi, you're my only hope.

You have a difficult job but stick to the principles of competition. Break the cable/ISP companies in two. They've abused their monopoly position for too long and it's hurting consumers and small businesses.
Ticket: # 933021 - Data Caps and Lack of Competition
Date: 4/26/2016 2:03:02 AM
City/State/Zip: Miami Beach, Florida 33141
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Lets talk about data caps. Really? How are these still in effect? It's been proven that the large ISP's can run perfectly well without these caps. So much so that they change their pricing depending on the local competition. Yet, the pricing changes are effected by the change in infrastructure, but rather when a new company like Google enters the scene. In the Google example, the ISP's pricing will become lower to not attract, but keep their previous customers, but no infrastructural changes have actually occurred. Meaning, the only reason they had the higher prices in the first place, is because there was no one to challenge their regional domination. Also, their prices can get lowered, but the data caps haven't been increased. Just because new competition enters the area, their pricing is more competitive, but their infrastructure is the exact same, only they can't keep □□□□□□□ over their customers when there are viable alternatives around.

I'd like to applaud the work Tom Wheeler and the FCC have done, but it seems like Tom entered office, made some drastic changes (which were really good by the way) to get the public on his side, and has been dormant ever since. The FCC needs to grow a backbone and do its duty of standing up for the people of the United States. Stop data caps. Stop the oligarchization of the ISP's.

Note: I listed my current ISP, but this complaint pertains to almost every ISP in the U.S., mobile and laptops alike.

Regards,
A concerned, student, son, and U.S. citizen
Ticket: # 933027 - Sudden disappearance of data cap exemption

Date: 4/26/2016 3:03:30 AM
City/State/Zip: Norcross, Georgia 30093
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast has given me a "Courtesy Credit" each month for having a data cap exemption. As you can see in the files attached, the second page of each PDF shows a breakdown of what exactly is on my bill and "Courtesy Credit" is the reimbursement for going over the data cap (According to the Comcast rep). Attached are my bills for the months of December 2015, January 2016, and February 2016 all showing the "Courtesy Credit". They have removed the data cap exemption without notice bringing my bill to $300+ as you can see in the bill for March. It should be noted that I am NOT paying for Cable or Phone. I only have internet because I get entertainment from various streaming websites instead of paying for TV. Millions of others users also do this and it causes you to run through your data cap within a few days.
Description
I have been made aware that Comcast and other ISP's are beginning to impose data caps on wired internet connections on a wide scale. Although these caps have not been imposed on my connection yet, I am extremely concerned about the potential for caps in the near future.

Data caps hurt consumers and online companies. There should be absolutely no cap on how much data the consumer can use. It is evident that ISP's are making obscene profits through ridiculous fees here and there; these caps are not necessary. The FCC must act to stop ISP's from ever imposing data caps on wired connections.

The condition that data caps may not be imposed for 7 years after the merger of Charter and Time Warner Cable is not sufficient. The FCC should never allow data caps.
Ticket: # 933138 - Comcast Data Caps
Date: 4/26/2016 7:36:34 AM
City/State/Zip: Clarkston, Michigan 48346-4476
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast's ongoing implementation of data caps, for no reason other than to generate revenue from the loss of overpriced cable tv subscription prices, is a threat to a free and open internet. Promoting their own streaming services as other than internet based, is a clear violation of Net Neutrality principals that are supposed to be governed by the FCC. I would like to be able to cancel my Cable TV subscription and stream the content I choose, without being forced to pay increased fees and extra charges for the same Internet service I am currently subscribed to.
**Ticket: # 933139 - Data Caps**

**Date:** 4/26/2016 7:36:51 AM  
**City/State/Zip:** Lansdale, Pennsylvania 19446  
**Company Complaining About:** Comcast

---

**Description**  
The data caps enforced by Comcast and other companies is unfair and hurts the consumer immeasurably. Please do
Ticket: # 933178 - Comcast data caps

Date: 4/26/2016 8:20:27 AM
City/State/Zip: Ridgeland, Mississippi 39157
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
300gb/month data cap (which at times is throttled during peak hours), use 90% (270gb), last 30gb are throttled to the point of being unusable, and then once we cross the last 30gb it’s like $20 more for 50gb of the same throttled internet.

Comcast is ridiculous.
Ticket: # 933206 - Comcast Data Caps
Date: 4/26/2016 8:47:37 AM
City/State/Zip: Canton, Michigan 48188
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast's slow spread of data caps across the United States is impractical and a clear result of their monopolistic rule over the Cable Industry.

The implementation of data caps is in no way necessary, as the delivery of home internet is not affected by the amount of data 'consumed'. The only variable that is effected by high amounts of data consumption is internet speed. If and where speeds are an issue due to lack of bandwidth, then speeds can be throttled down to a reasonable amount to avoid data caps altogether. If speeds are adequate in an area there is absolutely no reason for data caps to exist on home internet.

The model of charging for data consumption is a clear result of lack of competition in the industry. Given that the ability to readily access information on the internet is what drives innovation in the USA limiting this ability and tying it to rising prices will only inhibit innovation in this country.
Ticket: # 933281 - Data caps

Date: 4/26/2016 9:24:21 AM
City/State/Zip: Nashville, Tennessee 37221
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast called about an earlier complaint I'd made and had the absolute cheek to tell me that data caps were "fair". They've admitted the caps aren't about congestion, it was about "fairness" but certainly not about trying to discourage their TV subscribers (which I've been for 15 years) from abandoning them for streaming-only (which is the direction the wind is blowing). I've never seen a company so determined to alienate their customers, most of whom don't have a decent choice of a carrier since competition is practically nonexistent in most locations. I have the good fortune to live in an area serviced by both Comcast and AT&T, both of whom have somewhat recently insisted on users paying extra for using a service that was completely non-capped for years. Maybe large conglomerates who sell internet service shouldn't sell television service since it's an obvious conflict of interest.

350GB of data in one month is an absolute joke for any family that regularly streams movies and plays games, and they know this (and I even, for now, still HAVE television service with them). There is a reason why Comcast is regularly voted the worst company in America.
Ticket: # 966233 - XXX XXXXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 12:07:27 PM
City/State/Zip: Altoona, Wisconsin 54720
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 933344 - Data caps
Date: 4/26/2016 9:57:36 AM
City/State/Zip: Herndon, Virginia 20171
Company Complaining About: Cox

Description
I currently have no data caps on my internet and I could not imagine my life with one. I'm a computer vision engineer who depends on having a good network connection. The growing trend of Internet service providers adding data caps is extremely concerning to me. Luckily I have options where I live so I can make my voice heard by leaving my current provider if they were to put a cap on me. However many people are not so lucky, and so I believe it is the FCC's job to defend those who live in a de facto monopoly for ISPs. Please prevent greedy ISPs from hindering progress in the entertainment industry and the artificial intelligence community.
Ticket: # 933501 - AT&T data caps
Date: 4/26/2016 11:01:37 AM
City/State/Zip: Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
I'm extremely frustrated with the non-competitive nature of the ISP industry and the ability of ISPs to impose data caps unless you purchase an unneeded or unwanted service. Specifically, I receive daily mail and phone call solicitations from AT&T to sign up for their bundled television service. If I do, I'm guaranteed to not get capped on my internet data download. These are two very unrelated services and the use of one should not be required to use the other. I have my video entertainment provided by internet services like Netflix, Hulu and Amazon because it provides the cost and flexibility I'm looking for in a service. I have not interest in AT&T's offering since it is extremely expensive and provides nothing that I'm interested in watching. I believe that the FCC should step in and require ISPs to provide unlimited data access and uploads/downloads for a reasonable price. Data connectivity is as important a service as electricity, water and gas and should be as open and accessible as a utility. Making people pay more for the amount of information they receive is simply going to place the intelligence into the hands of only those that can afford it. Information is not only for the wealthy. Please enact to remove all data caps, and force ISPs to be regulated as a utility service. The people already paid for the infrastructure through taxes, and should be able to use it as they wish for a reasonable price. Thank you
Ticket: # 933532 - Comcast Data Caps
Date: 4/26/2016 11:11:08 AM
City/State/Zip: Plymouth, Indiana 46563
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I honestly find it rather appalling that nothing has been done to protect consumers from data caps and data restrictions by the big name Internet Service Providers. Companies like Comcast are trying to find new ways to limit use of the connections their customers are paying for. The infrastructure they use to offer this service was built not by Comcast money, but by the taxpayers. There is absolutely no reason for these practices to be allowed to continue, especially when they are attempting to solve a problem which doesn't exist. If Comcast has a difficult time managing their infrastructure, then they need to update that infrastructure in order to account for improvements in video quality, modern entertainment, and the data needs and requirements of students and business professionals alike. We have larger and larger files as documents become more complex, online games require more information to pass through, and entertainment becomes more varied in nature.
Ticket: # 933541 - Data Caps
Date: 4/26/2016 11:13:37 AM
City/State/Zip: Wilmington, North Carolina 28405
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I am deeply concerned with the latest push by ISP's to impose data caps on their customers, thus limiting access to basic public services and information for American consumers. I hope the FCC stands with the majority of citizens in opposition against these data caps as they have a negative impact on the concept of open and free internet.
Ticket: # 933584 - No Competitors in Area and Problems

Date: 4/26/2016 11:24:39 AM
City/State/Zip: Marietta, Georgia 30068
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast is the only option available that only offers cable internet with speeds 50 Mbps and up. We currently have 75 Mbps and would like to switch companies, as Comcast is the worst company I have ever dealt with. They falsely advertised and unequivocally lied on several occasions about how our area would get a free speed upgrade if we upgraded our modem, didn't care about one of our roommates stealing more their service while using our account, and their service technicians fabricating evidence of coming to our premise when in fact they did not. The last issue went so bad that I had to contact the corporate office so that they could handle the issue. There are no other ISP providers that other the speeds even comparable to Comcast. AT&T is the only other choice and they only go up to 24 Mbps but they are DSL. Because they are the only ones in the area, they recently enforced this "data cap" so not only do we are trying to restrict our usage, but it is impossible to stay under the limit with 3 people in my residence. Even if I watch HBO Go, which is a service I have to pay for TV, it still counts against this data cap. It is almost I am being charged double for a service I already paying for through cable. My partner and I are not thrilled about having one choice of internet and are forced to move because of this service.
Ticket: # 966237 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/9/2016 12:08:12 PM
City/State/Zip: Mount Laurel, New Jersey 08054
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 966240 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 12:08:56 PM
City/State/Zip: Havana, Florida 32333
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not
be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and
how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T
created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps.
Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their
caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee
in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and
racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and
non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer
have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps,
the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me
who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other
Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t
want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the
costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of
money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy.
I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet
rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these
kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make
these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 933701 - Data Caps
Date: 4/26/2016 11:53:15 AM
City/State/Zip: Milton, West Virginia 25541
Company Complaining About: Sudden Link

Description
We have a 250gb Data Cap in a household with 4 people, this allows about 8gb of data total each day, or 2gb per person, 2gb is not enough to watch a single movie in HD. Data Caps are an unnecessary limit on our internet.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 934004 - Usage
Date: 4/26/2016 1:22:16 PM
City/State/Zip: North Augusta, South Carolina 29860
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I feel like the data cap imposed by Comcast is there to dissuade my family from using other services for entertainment. I think that allowing them to continue this practice will negatively impact innovation and healthy competition among other companies providing similar entertainment options. My family's freedom of choice for entertainment has been narrowed severely since this data cap was added to our account. I would like Comcast to remove the cap completely. Thank you for your time.
Ticket: # 966246 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 12:11:13 PM
City/State/Zip: Houston, Texas 77006
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 966245 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 12:10:45 PM
City/State/Zip: Chicago, Illinois 60651
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Comcast has a data cap on our internet service. This is crazy, I already complained once, and
comcast technically did reach out to me and did their whole PR talk. But afterwards, they most likely
flagged my account because ow my internet service is super slow. So I am filing another complaint
but anonymously so the FCC can scrutinize Comcast without reprisals against consumers like us.
Description
Data cap of 300 Gigs. WTF is this. If i could switch I would but they are the only providers in my area. Comcast is a greedy money grabbing company that does not care. They live up to their name as the #1 most hated company. FCC needs to step in and make some changes.
Ticket: # 934620 - Data cap and blocking of streaming of viacom tv shows and website

Date: 4/26/2016 4:18:59 PM
City/State/Zip: Willis, Texas 77318
Company Complaining About: Sudden Link

Description
Arbitrary data cap and restricting access to streaming websites based on cable tv packages that I don't own. Suddenlink blocks my access to viacom tv shows and movies
Ticket: # 934632 - Limited Internet Options in Idaho, Data caps force consumers to pay more and more for speeds they don't need

Date: 4/26/2016 4:22:07 PM
City/State/Zip: Meridian, Idaho 83646
Company Complaining About: Cable One

Description
In Idaho, you have two options: Century Link or CableOne. Century Link is painfully slow, and far too slow for my household's needs. We don't have TV or phone, we just use the internet to stream movies, but I also do a lot of live streaming out to a viewer base and uploading to Youtube. I hope to someday make this my career. Century Link's maximum upload speed doesn't work for this. Cable One, on the other hand, offers great speed that works perfectly for me - the only problem is their scam that is data caps. My household consistently goes over their limits and they try to charge us more and more, because they want us to pay for TV and Phone instead of livestreaming video from Netflix or Hulu. We are being forced to pay for internet packages that are faster than we need just because of their data cap scam. It costs them nothing more for users to use "data," but because we consistently go over they have milked us for every penny they can. We were forced to switch to Century Link for financial reasons, and now I can no longer live stream, upload efficiently, and my family struggles at night when everyone is online. When I called to see what it would cost to go back onto CableOne because CenturyLink is too slow, they said we couldn't for 90 days. My future job is on the line here, I'm being forced to take a 3 month vacation. Please do something about data caps, Idaho and other states are being scammed and are unable to use the internet to it's fullest.
Ticket: # 934785 - caps, ubb's, and comcast stream or proposed roku app
Date: 4/26/2016 5:07:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Fruit Cove, Florida 32259
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
First, I would very much like to add my voice to the cacophony of complaints about Comcast enforcing or experimenting with data caps or usage based billing. The sole intent of which seems to be to dissuade users from being able to stream from competing services without invoking a significant cost. While it may not be in direct conflict with the FCC rule "Paid prioritization: Broadband providers may not favor some Internet traffic in exchange for consideration of any kind — in other words, no "fast lanes."

ISP's are also banned from prioritizing content and services of their affiliates." Comcast is an ISP for end users, stream is a "prioritized" service as would be the roku app when it launches if it is exempt from the "cap" or "usage based fees" that Netflix, Hulu, Sling TV and Playstation Vue are subject to. So say you have 1 teenager, 1 young child, an adult male and adult female living under one roof and all of them want to watch a different show for 2 hours a night through Playstation Vue and Netflix [some combination] that is 8 streaming hours a night for ~30 days per cycle that will easily reach the 300GB or 250GB artificial cap that Comcast and AT&T impose well before the end of the month, at which point in order to maintain their ability to stream as they would like they will have to pay extra, "Broadband providers may not favor some Internet traffic in exchange for consideration of any kind" in this case the consideration being $$. Or they can stream all the content they want from Comcast without penalty. So while Comcast claims they are not breaking the FCC regulation I argue that they are. To keep streaming from an OTT provider a user must pay more than they would to stream from Comcast. Finally, I am not currently subject to the caps or usage based billing, but the fact that anyone is extremely troubling especially given that if they were not "suspended" I would be subject to a hefty fee because we enjoy an OTT service that is not from Comcast.
Ticket: # 935816 - Data Caps are crap  
Date: 4/27/2016 10:15:27 AM  
City/State/Zip: Lone Tree, Colorado 80124  
Company Complaining About: Comcast  

**Description** 
Don't allow for data caps on home connections. It is unreasonable for a medium that is the source of information and entertainment for all households.

I have a data cap on my phone, that's one thing but I still hate it. I currently don't have a data cap for my home connection and I want to keep it that way. I am a developer and PC hobbyist and there is no way I can continue to do my work/things I love on a data cap. Examples, downloading a game (buying pc games from brick and mortar retail isn't an option anymore) on average requires between 25GB - 50GB not including updates and the data required to play online for hours at a time. There's also a large amount of consumption for doing push/pulls (downloads/uploads) on GitHub projects for personal projects as well as work when I need to work from home. Some of the code bases are quite large.

The majority of my consumption is done at home and it would be unreasonable to put a cap on a home's service. They don't cap how many TV shows or hours you can use your Cable TV so why should they have the privileged to enforce this on the internet services they provide and profit on? Furthermore, data caps do nothing for the user. It doesn't protect us, it isn't a helpful service to us, it has only one purpose and that is to charge unreasonable amounts of money from the consumer that won't be invested into making a better or fairer internet infrastructure.

The cable companies need a serious companies to come in and give them real competition. These legal contracts with towns/cities that prevent competition like google or local ISP's to compete is wrong, especially when there is only 1 usable option available. (1.5mbps DSL is not a reasonable or usable alternative in this day and age).
Ticket: # 935370 - Data caps
Date: 4/26/2016 9:30:30 PM
City/State/Zip: Little Rock, Arkansas 72211
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
At the end of 2015 Comcast implemented a 300GB data cap, insisting that this is enough for most people. The data cap is ridiculous and creates an artificial scarcity of 'bits', the only purpose it serves is to make it more difficult to use the internet without using Comcast as your video supplier.

I am an IT professional, so I skew a little on the high side of usage. When the caps were first implemented I quickly in a single month downloaded every video game, every software download that I could foresee needing in order to avoid running into their artificial limits.

I was hoping that the FCC would step in and stop these caps.

The crux of my complaint is that the data caps are an abuse of Comcast's monopoly on high speed internet in the area that I live, that they unfairly favour their own services over their competitors (With the planned introduction of Roku 'DVRs' for example, which no doubt will not apply to data caps) and limit the usefulness of the internet unless you are willing to pay their ransom to get unlimited data back. In addition to this, when you go over the 300GB you are charged $10 for an additional 50GB, however if I only use 250GB in a month then I do not receive a 50GB credit the next month. Are GB's a consumable resource that I have paid for, or not? Comcast don't seem to be able to make up their mind. If I am paying by the GB, and if GB's are something that can be consumed and hence have to be rationed by them, surely I should receive credit for my unused portion from one month to another?

There is no technical reason for the limit, it is a pure cash grab and stifles innovation.

If I had any choice I would leave comcast in a heartbeat, I would actually be willing to pay more for my internet service to a company that was not comcast, I just do not have that choice!

It would be fair to say that I hate comcast, and despise the backwards state of ISPs and telecommunications in this country.
Ticket: # 935761 - AT&T Broadband Usage Caps going into place in May 2016
Date: 4/27/2016 9:53:44 AM
City/State/Zip: East Lansing, Michigan 48823
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year I cancelled cable TV as a result of rising and hidden fees. I successfully set up a home system that included subscribing to services such as Hulu, Netflix and SlingTV to provide me with all the content I would no longer be able to watch live. I specifically stayed with AT&T Uverse over Comcast (the only real two competitors at my address) because AT&T uverse did not enforce a data cap, even though AT&T was going to be $50 more a month for a slower speed. I'm subscribed to the 50MB/sec plan even though I've never pulled down more than 22 via an internet speed test.

Starting next month, AT&T is going to start enforcing a usage cap on internet only plans. To not have a cap, I would have to sign up for a TV package I have no need of, or pay an additional 30$ per month to not have a cap. This is directly hurting AT&T's competition (Sling / Netflix / Hulu) in that I will have to heavily monitor my usage every single month compared to if I had a TV package. This is ridiculous, and anti-competitive. Data caps are only put in place to charge more people money for services that should be available across the board to everyone cap free.
Ticket: # 935962 - Suddenlink Internet Data Caps
Date: 4/27/2016 11:06:04 AM
City/State/Zip: Russellville, Arkansas 72801
Company Complaining About: Sudden Link

Description
I'm writing to complain about data caps that Suddenlink has implemented on the internet services in my area. I find it highly unreasonable to charge customers for going over the data caps that are imposed on us. For starters the caps themselves are low and require a high priced service to even be usable for most services that are used on the internet today such as Netflix, Youtube and Sling. The data caps seem like they were put into place to prevent any one from being able to use any Streaming Video service as their main Television/Entertainment use. The bad thing for me is I only have one other provider available to me and their data caps can be even worse. From reading their policy they have a "soft" data cap at 250GB and if you go over 3 times they can choose to just cancel your service. I would like to see service providers provide a service that will allow customers like me to be able to utilize new services such as 4K video services which currently would put me way over any data caps that Sudden link would impose.
Ticket: # 936011 - Data Caps
Date: 4/27/2016 11:21:31 AM
City/State/Zip: Murfreesboro, Tennessee 37130
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I am in a single person household with exactly four devices connected to my WiFi. Inexplicably, I have gone from 23 GB to 300 GB in data usage, and Comcast cannot explain it. I have changed my WiFi password, but it was secured to begin with.

My MAIN complaint is data caps. At the FCC you promote an open internet with no throttling, etc. Data caps are nothing more than ways for Comcast and others to further increase their revenues - and they're overpriced to begin with! - and discourage competition from NetFlix, Hulu, Amazon and others. And what about those of us who work from home? We don't get reimbursed for our data usage! PLEASE - you have to make data caps illegal. It's wrong!
Ticket: # 936265 - "Data Usage Tracker" showing incorrect usage
Date: 4/27/2016 12:33:43 PM
City/State/Zip: Miami, Florida 33184
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Hello, I am filing this complaint as Comcast's Data Usage Tracker is incorrectly tracking data. I am getting a huge discrepancy (30+gb) from my own tracking and from theirs. I have called and tried troubleshooting with multiple customer service reps and technicians. All of them claim theirs is right and we do not account for 3rd party trackers. On top of that, I can not get an itemized list of data usage from them as their tracker does not track data that way. How are we supposed to hold them accountable if they want to go with whatever they say is god approach. I have a suspicion that their tracker is illegally or wrongly adding weighted values to certain data, but I can not confirm with them as they refuse to give an itemized listing of all the data that has been used. For example: I tracked my router using approx 4gb over 3 days, yet the Comcast data usage tracker tracked 20gbs. How can we ensure/audit them if they refuse to even provide the simplest request. The major discrepancy I am seeing is all the data going into the router is one number and the modem is a higher number. I believe either they are adding weight to certain internet traffic, or I am being charged for traffic I am not using/discardng (aka loss or dropped packets/ bad data). Why should I be charged if (bad data) that is the case? As an avid internet user, I am severely hampered by such restrictions as a data cap. I know they have weighted values as they do not "charge" you data usage to watch Comcast programming online, yet every technician I speak says (paraphrase) All data going into/out of the modem is treated the same. Yet they do not wish to treat their own data the same. You can not argue it is due to network congestion, as a data cap would have no significant affect on total network congestion in a given month. This is clearly an anti-consumer/competitive internet restriction that is being placed on the customer at a huge burden.
Ticket: # 943351 - Comcast Data Caps
Date: 4/30/2016 6:13:31 PM
City/State/Zip: Ellenwood, Georgia 30294
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I know I am likely wasting my time since Comcast likely already owns a large portion of your leadership via campaign contributions but here’s yet another complaint for you to conveniently ignore while pretending to use my tax money to line your pockets.

Comcast recently started a trial in my market for a 300gb data cap. I have had service with Comcast since 2002 and their marketing indicated it was unlimited internet. Comcast is enforcing these 300gb limits to see if they can get away with it and to try and prop up their overpriced cable TV services. Since services like Netflix depend on bandwidth they are artificially limiting consumers access to bandwidth in order to force us to use products and services we are not interested in.

You guys obviously don’t care but you are allowing Comcast to set a precedent here. Their trial markets cover a huge area of connectivity and all they have to do is leave a few less profitable markets out in order to blanket most of their customer base with a data cap without officially making it nationwide. This is an intentional tactic to keep you guys from getting involved. Perhaps you guys even suggested it yourself as an easy out when angry consumers demanded you actually do something about it.

It's your job to enforce the laws of this country. Your failure to do your job speaks volumes to consumers like myself who have lost faith in governmental agencies. It's an election year coming up and we certainly will make our voices heard. Perhaps someone needs to cut your funding since you don't actually do anything. If I hear any candidate talking about cutting FCC funding you can guess who's going to get my vote.
Description
I see complaints all the time regarding data caps with Comcast and Time Warner. But in Alaska it is much worse. I pay roughly $80 a month and my data is "capped" at 150GB. I have no other options for internet, not even DSL, where I live. I cannot even stream in HD, as it east through my limit too quick. If I download 1 game on my computer or console system, it eats about 1/3 of my available limit. The company advises they do not have "caps", but they slow down your connection soo much, that it is useless, once you get to the 150GB limit. I also have no options for TV providers, I can't get satellite, & there are no other cable providers, in my area. This also means I cannot readily ditch cable, if I want to stream programs, as I still run into the "cap" issue. Even at the most expensive plane, $175 / month, I still get "capped" at 750GB.
Ticket: # 937502 - Data Cap
Date: 4/27/2016 7:33:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Pocatello, Idaho 83201
Company Complaining About: Cable One

Description
Cable one has data caps that limit the full experience of the Internet. 300gb is not enough it should be unlimited like Charter. Like it used to be. Cable one has High prices for low speeds and especially low upload speeds.
Ticket: # 937666 - Shentel Data Cap
Date: 4/27/2016 8:52:22 PM
City/State/Zip: (b) (6), West Virginia 26378
Company Complaining About: Shentel

Description
I am a current subscriber to Shentel for Internet services. I pay $129.95 per month for Internet service. I do not subscribe to Shentel's video services. In May 2015, Shentel implemented a data allowance policy in which the amount of data is dependent upon the tier of service. I subscribe to the 50 Mb service as such, I have a 750 GB data allowance. Going over 750 GB results in an overage fee of $10 per 50 GB. As an example, 51 GB of additional usage would incur a fee of $20.

I recently incurred a $40 overage fee. When I contacted Shentel, they tried to sell me additional services to the tune of a 50% increase in my monthly costs. I'm already paying a premium for an Internet connection, the data allowance adds insult to injury. Shentel is the only broadband provider in my area of rural West Virginia.

This move limits me from enjoying HD online content. Mathematically if we just watch HD content online and use the Internet for nothing else, my family will get about 8 hours a day (on 1 television) of content before we go over the cap. I live in a 6 person household with 6 HD televisions connected to the Internet. We use Internet for more than just viewing HD video on our legal streaming services. This is nothing but a play by Shentel to limit the subscriber's experience and force their hands to either subscribing to their TV service or paying more for service they currently receive.

By the same token they should provide refunds for the months in which I do not hit 750 GB. It's shameful that users do not have adequate broadband choices and are locked into companies like Shentel. FCC it is your job to protect the end user and now is the time for action. This data cap has no impact on existing infrastructure, it's just to increase profits at Shentel. Please do something to stop this.
Ticket: # 943979 - Cox Data Cap Sucks
Date: 5/1/2016 7:15:59 PM
City/State/Zip: Tucson, Arizona 85705
Company Complaining About: Cox

Description
To Whom It May Concern at the FCC,

When I signed up for my home Internet service with Cox I did not realize there was a data cap on how much data I can use. Such caps are created to create an artificial scarcity. There is no technical engineering reason for the caps in fact the higher your service plan the higher the cap. If Cox were to have a single cap and set it at 500GB for example or over that there would likely be less complaints about data caps. It's possible a few heavy users may still exceed such a cap but the higher the cap the longer it takes to exceed it.

The sole reason for such caps is to protect Cox's outdated cable TV business. The cable TV business model is outdated with Internet TV being more valuable. Ideally there should be no cap at all but even if there is a cap why is Cox having different level caps for different service plans? You get there starter or low cost Internet plan and you have a cap of 150 GB. It's a good thing I got the Essential plan which has a cap of 250GB, last month I used snout 150GB before I realized I had a cap. If I want more data the next highest plan to mine has a cap of 350GB and it costs between $70 to $99. My existing plan costs $39.99 a month for 10 months and then costs $50 approximately. If I could afford the plan with 350GB naturally I would choose to upgrade to that plan but that plan is too expensive. As consumers shift to Internet streaming the cost of Internet access by cable companies goes up as they pass on programming costs from loss of subscription TV revenue to consumers to discourage cord cutting and Internet streaming. They have to make up lost pay TV revenue by holding Internet users hostage to caps. Instead of coming in with new innovative products/services they cling to the past. At least they have not tried prioritizing their own online streaming services like Comcast does last I checked which is a clear Network Neutrality violation by exempting their services from caps but still this is not acceptable.
Ticket: # 937810 - Data Cap
Date: 4/27/2016 10:28:46 PM
City/State/Zip: Franklin, Tennessee 37067
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I would like to file a complaint about Comcast's data cap in the Franklin, TN market.
The current cap is set at a lower level than should be realistically acceptable in today's Internet usage of video streaming.
Furthermore, the current data cap set by Comcast varies from market to market. Franklin customers are paying the exact same price for capped data than customers in the Nashville markets with unlimited data. We should not get markedly poorer service for identical costs within such a close geographical region.
In addition, the data cap in the Franklin market is due to a monopoly of Comcast as the sole ISP. I have lived at the same place for 17 years. Back then Comcast had competition from BellSouth DSL and did not have a data cap. Over the years, BellSouth (later AT&T U-Verse) could not offer the speed they use to offer and then they completely stopped offering DSL service in the Franklin market. This leaves Comcast as the sole ISP in the Franklin market and allows Comcast to implement a data cap a few years back.
I am part of a family with 3-4 active users of the Internet. We stream Netflix, Twitch, YouTube, and a Chinese TV service a few days of the week. We have to severely restrict our individual Internet usage to stay within the data cap but many months we will go over. It is unrealistic to have the data cap given the current usage of Internet as mainly for video streaming.
Data caps are anti-competition, are counter-intuitive to our country's values about a free and open Internet, and are set at an unreasonably low bar. Please investigate Comcast's use of data caps in Franklin/Nashville, TN and move to prevent these anti-consumer actions.
**Ticket: # 937945 - AT&T U-verse internet data caps**
**Date:** 4/28/2016 1:52:45 AM  
**City/State/Zip:** Pearland, Texas 77584  
**Company Complaining About:** AT&T

---

**Description**

At&t has increased our bill dramatically and now some really unreliable 25mbs down 1 mbs up internet, that is going to be CAPPED(effective may)?

This is straight up robbery! I host teamspeak, ftps, and other various servers that my family relies on daily. The data caps offered by AT&T cannot come close to fulfilling my data usage. I don't want to have to check around every day to make sure our data isn't costing us a fortune. Please, if there is anyone that can help us, I know it is the FCC. This is one government bureau that I really trust. Do something against these ISP monopolies.
Ticket: # 937986 - data cap on netflix competition
Date: 4/28/2016 6:34:11 AM
City/State/Zip: Miami, Florida 33176
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
New data cap by Comcast in miami only effects those of us using netflix, or amazon streaming services. They are forcing us to not use their steaming competition's services.
Ticket: # 938130 - Comcast and their data caps.
Date: 4/28/2016 9:20:50 AM
City/State/Zip: Albuquerque, New Mexico 87121
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I have just discovered Comcast has a 300GB data usage cap (currently not enforced in my area). Comcast cannot tell me how they monitor my data usage (they simply tell me to "trust them"). When I signed up they didn't fully explain the data cap to me and how it could impact my future bills.

I would switch providers but I really don't have any competition in my area. Century Link does provide DSL in my area but the speeds are much slower.

I do not think it is fair for Comcast to have a data cap on internet service it feels like they are forcing me to use their streaming services and preventing me from using my internet the way I want to use it. Further, their the cost to provide cable internet is dropping so the data cap isn't' to protect their network capacity it is simply a way to eventually (the cap isn't enforced) drive up my cost as the customer.

Please stop Comcast from creating and enforcing data caps!
Ticket: # 938319 - Comcast's BS Data Caps
Date: 4/28/2016 10:44:15 AM
City/State/Zip: Nashville, Tennessee 37206
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
It's ridiculous that currently I have to pay an extra $30/mo to get "unlimited" data with my Comcast internet because of their 300 GB cap. It's even more ridiculous that it's about to require $50 on a 1 TB plan. This is nothing but strong-arming customers into not cutting the cord and I'm tired of it. Planning to switch to AT&T, who does not enforce their data cap, just until Google Fiber comes in and saves us all from this anti-consumer crap.
Ticket: # 938476 - Comcast Data Cap
Date: 4/28/2016 11:29:57 AM
City/State/Zip: Windsor, Pennsylvania 17366
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I'd like to file a complaint regarding the potential data caps for Comcast Internet service in my area. It's clearly an attempt to limit the potential for consumers to stream media on their home networked devices and limit competition. Comcast is the only viable ISP in my area and I have no other choice. I'm sure they are aware I have no other high-speed ISP options and are going to use this to charge me even more for using their service. This is clearly an attempt to have me continue subscribing to Television service instead of using superior streaming services from other companies. I see no reason why Internet service shouldn't be classified as a utility to allow for some actual competition and consumer choice.
Ticket: # 938593 - comcast data caps
Date: 4/28/2016 12:15:24 PM
City/State/Zip: Charleston, South Carolina 29407
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast's continued use and defense of data caps is a violation to open internet use. When a company is a monopoly (as Comcast is in my area) they feel like they can push harmful policies. Please do not allow them to do so.
Ticket: # 938675 - Comcast Data Caps
Date: 4/28/2016 12:35:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Carlton, Oregon 97111
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I want to invest in a holding tank to store some bandwidth in for use later. I don't get charged less when using less data, and if it is a limited commodity as they are now telling us, then we should legally be able to store the data we have already paid for in large leak-proof containers for later use.

In all honesty, being that we are in poverty and on a subsidized internet connection, how is limiting access to the internet based upon your financial ability to pay even a thing? To me it seems that most people, businesses and employers automatically think that everybody on the planet has a cell phone or unlimited internet access. Because of this reason, limiting the amount of data, rather then the speed at what you can connect, is limiting the progression of technology, and mankind. It hurts the developing rural area's and the it hurts the opportunities given to those living in poverty, which is a very large number in the United States compared to the 10% of the Comcast customers who actually pass their data caps, in which Comcast refers to so quite often. I do not require any assistance, but I do want to be heard as this is something I feel is important, and for anyone to hinder the progression of mankind is utterly disgusting and quite possibly inhumane.
Ticket: # 938703 - Cable one data caps

Date: 4/28/2016 12:46:50 PM
City/State/Zip: Lewiston, Idaho 83501
Company Complaining About: Cable One

Description
The data caps at cable one have forced me into a plan that is nearly twice the price. They made it sound like it was an option to upgrade, but then did it without my knowledge. I don't need to have a bill larger than a car payment to watch television on my computer. At some point this is a violation on the right to internet access by pricing people out of availability.
Ticket: # 938719 - AT&T Internet Caps  
Date: 4/28/2016 12:50:49 PM  
City/State/Zip: Santa Ana, California 92707  
Company Complaining About: AT&T  

Description  
I received the notice that AT&T will now enforce Data Caps starting in May. This is unfair and should be stopped! I should not be forced into a TV service in order to get the same service that I am already paying for. I pay $50 dollars a month for 6mps internet service. I should not have a data cap for internet that is provided for my entire family and household. This is unfair and unjust. I do not want to have a TV service in my house in order to get no caps on my Internet. Please do something about this! There will be more complaints in the future regarding this! People are being treated unfairly and unjustly! Do something about this now!
Ticket: # 939033 - Data Caps.
Date: 4/28/2016 2:21:02 PM
City/State/Zip: Rossville, Georgia 30741
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I currently have Comcast for Internet, as in my area they are the only viable option. I do not have television, I opt to stream all of my digital entertainment. Right now, the data cap is a joke. We don't stream non-stop, and we go over every month. We have no way to tell if Comcast is lying when they say we go over, either. They have no tools for tracking detail.

You are the only option to protect consumers from this blatant cash grab. You are literally our only hope.
Ticket: # 941131 - Comcast Internet Data Caps
Date: 4/29/2016 12:58:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Mobile, Alabama 36606
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
This week Comcast announced their billing "trials" for data caps (of which I have no choice to be a participant in) would be better served by increasing the amount of data allowed from 300GB to 1TB. I have an issue with this since in the past year it has been acceptable to limit my account to 300GB but suddenly in June the internet got less expensive and thus I can now get more than three times the data included.

These trial markets are unfair to consumers who have no choice and I have spent at least $100 in the past year for service "overages" on an account in which when I signed up had no "trial" and thus did not have "data caps". I was forced into this billing policy and I did not sign up for it yet I have had to pay $100 in overages because of Comcasts anti-consumer bullying.

As a by product, I have changed my habits for using the internet in an open and free way by choosing what products I use based upon the data usage of said products. For instance I will purchase fewer entertainment products from online providers because I now have a real cost to burden (meanwhile, its nearly free to Comcast as a corporation, otherwise they would not have more than tripled its cap suddenly).

Comcasts billing practices are hurting competition for competing services such as streaming video while their products which use the same cable infrastructure do not get impacted. Let's not talk about how I'm forced to pay for "cable tv" to get a cheaper rate than if I only had Internet alone.
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 966260 - XXXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/9/2016 12:14:14 PM
City/State/Zip: Santa Maria, California 93455
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 941768 - Data Cap
Date: 4/29/2016 3:55:37 PM
City/State/Zip: Poland, Ohio 44514
Company Complaining About: Armstrong

Description
Armstrong has an unfair and unrealistic data cap that shouldn't exist in the first place. We were charged $50 on top of our regular internet bill for going over the cap. There are 4 people that live in our house and with Armstrong’s current crippling data cap, that only leaves 50 GB to each of us per month.
Ticket: # 966273 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 12:18:49 PM
City/State/Zip: Homestead, Florida 33030
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 942696 - Data cap
Date: 4/30/2016 10:11:12 AM
City/State/Zip: Orland Park, Illinois 60462
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Att has decided to implement an arbitrary data cap on the Internet service I pay for. This is an anti-competitive act and has no purpose other than to try to milk extra money from already existing customers. They will even wave the cap if you get tv bundled with it, proving that it has nothing to do with bandwidth issues, but solely just to force customers into paying them again for what they already pay for.
Ticket: # 942747 - Re: Comcast 300GB Data Cap
Date: 4/30/2016 11:09:26 AM
City/State/Zip: Coconut Creek, Florida 33073
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
This is a follow-up to your previous request #857237 "Comcast 300GB Data Cap"
I still don't have a resolution to this. I had a nice phone call a couple months ago basically saying that Comcast is calling to follow up. They listened to exactly what I put on this complaint and then when I asked about follow up the guy said that he couldn't be sure if Comcast would follow up or whether there would be a resolution to this problem.

I also explained to him that even thing that Comcast offered such as On Demand programming, if my kids were to watch it on their iPad in the house that counted against my internet cap. It’s ridiculous that I am paying for such a high speed I have content from all over the net (Netflix, Amazon Prime, Comcast On-Demand, YouTube, Vimeo, Etc... ) and Comcast is basically penalizing me for not watching more TV. Basically I can only use the internet highway that I pay for as long as I don't watch to much of other people's content.
Ticket: # 943742 - Data Caps ATT U Verse

Date: 5/1/2016 12:30:36 PM
City/State/Zip: Lubbock, Texas 79424
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
ATT is chaning their internet to include data caps on all package levels. Or you have the option for 30 dollars a month extra to have unlimited internet.

I thought it was illegal for these companies to inforce data caps, due to the new net neutrality legality.

ATT should be required to remove these artifical and un-reasonable data caps.
Description
I'm an AT&T U-Verse customer and they just announced they will be applying data caps, with a $10 per 50gb charge for going over the data cap. I am not aware of any physical changes to the way they provide internet that requires them to charge extra for usage, and as reported by Stop the Cap they admit that the caps are estimated to have no effect on their traffic management. Data caps are an attack on the open internet and a shameless money grab by telecom companies, and I would like to see AT&T defend this anti-consumer move and also see the FCC ban such behavior.
Ticket: # 966276 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/9/2016 12:19:31 PM
City/State/Zip: Ames, Iowa 50014
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 966278 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 12:19:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Oakland, California 94611
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 966280 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 12:19:59 PM
City/State/Zip: Melbourne, Florida 32934
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T of which I am a current customer, is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 945347 - AT&T Home Internet Data Caps

Date: 5/2/2016 2:51:38 PM
City/State/Zip: Pensacola, Florida 32514
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
AT&T is about to enforce an arbitrary data cap (300gb/month) on my home internet service. This is unacceptable for me as I stream video, games, and remotely connect to my work often enough that I am worried this will significantly change how I interact with what is supposed to be an open internet.

What happens when I build a new computer or reformat and have to redownload some very large games, software, updates, drivers, etc? I get punished.

Data caps create an artificial scarcity and there is no technical reason behind them other than a cash grab. I can pay a $30 extortion fee to remove them, or subscribe to Direct TV which is why I'm filing this under 'Open Internet/Net Neutrality' because I do not want to be forced to pay for terrible tv packages (that they should not have been allowed to own in the first place) so I can freely access the internet.

This is not about managing their networks for congestion, this is about anti-competitive practices designed to punish cord-cutters and protect their entrenched TV services. It doesn't cost them any extra money if I use more data than the next person. If it was about network management, how does that $30 extra a month solve anything on their end? It's not like they are actually going to upgrade our service with it anyway. They've received giant taxpayer windfalls before, meant for upgrading services and ISP's literally pocketed the money.


This will stifle innovation if all consumers have to carefully monitor and be selective about what we do on the internet. With internet access becoming more essential to modern life every day, don't let us fall behind the rest of the developed world.
Ticket: # 944779 - Data Cap, Excessive Overage Fees, No Usage Meter

Date: 5/2/2016 12:29:42 PM
City/State/Zip: Longview, Texas 75601
Company Complaining About: Longview Cable TV

Description
I am a subscriber of Longview/Kilgore Cable TV, 711 N. High Street
Longview, TX 75601
owned by

I am irate over the implementation of data usage caps on my internet connection. I routinely consume over 500GB in a month by using streaming media services as a replacement to regular television services. These data caps are a direct threat to any streaming provider and a direct threat to the neutrality of who I choose to do business with. These fees are a direct cash grab scenario and I am incensed at the thought of having to pay even more for an already expensive internet connection.

Additionally, I logged into my account, and there is absolutely no usage meter located anywhere. How can I be billed when I have no idea what I am using according to their equipment?

Attached is the view of my customer portal and the lack of usage meter. Also attached is their original email that was sent out.

Flatly, Data usage caps on hard-line mediums (Cable/DSL) are an absolute plague on the consumer and need to be legislated against.
Ticket: # 944797 - My Local ISP, which has a monopoly in my area, has implemented a Data Cap

Date: 5/2/2016 12:34:12 PM  
City/State/Zip: Tahlequah, Oklahoma 74464  
Company Complaining About: Cablelynx

Description
My local ISP, Tahlequah Cable, has instituted a data cap that I believe to be unfair to consumers. In my area we have no choice of ISPs besides Tahlequah Cable. The fact that this company is implementing data caps punishes consumers who choose to "Cut the cord" or consume only internet streamed content (Netflix, Hulu, etc.) and not subscribe to their cable service. I believe this practice is very anti consumer and anti competition as it makes it nearly impossible for a consumer to choose anything but their cable service to consume content. Please help
Ticket: # 945794 - Data cap issue/question

Date: 5/2/2016 4:46:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Morton, Washington 98356
Company Complaining About: Wave

Description
comcast has attempted a data cap, of 300 gb per month. I noticed multiple news articles that the FCC has stopped them/delayed this process. My complaint is about wave cable company, who is doing the exact same thing, but it is a much smaller company. How is it possible that they do this being the same type of company?
Description
Currently cable one is participating in anticompetitive practices by charging customers for a speed, but limiting them to an arbitrary low data usage. This data cap dissuades users from seeking alternative options for their video entertainment services, as cableone does not charge based on usage for their video services. This practice should be illegal, and the fcc should regulate Internet services as they are a part of our national infrastructure just as regulated phone services are. Data caps hinder a free market, product development, and competition.
Ticket: # 1020736 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating  

Date: 6/6/2016 5:03:12 PM  
City/State/Zip: Owings Mills, Maryland 21117  
Company Complaining About: Comcast  

Description  
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 1020773 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 6/6/2016 5:10:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Ashland, Oregon 97520
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Last year the FCC passed strong Net Neutrality rules based on our voice to protect open internet. Cable and phone companies are STILL a threat to all efforts by making up new rules as they go along.

AT&T is not abiding by rules and regulations and I would like the FCC to do something about it. We as a people should be made aware of schemes like this instead of having closed hearings.

The rules say ISPs can’t charge websites and apps for faster premium access to content. They are now trying to enforce data caps and limits while at the same time exempting certain apps and websites that pay them bribe money. This is completely unacceptable and if it is allowed to continue only the mega corporations that can pay these fees to be exempt will be providing consumer access due to not counting toward their limits. This will seriously cripple small companies, innovation, and the internet as a whole.

This type of tactic would also allow AT&T and other companies to keep data caps low and would be detrimental to anyone who does not utilize the websites and apps of corporations who pay the fees to be exempt.

Lastly, these plans would hurt millions of people with no other choice for internet access due to local franchise regulations and push us to use the services of corporations who pay the exempt fee. They will be punishing millions of users who use other websites and apps that do not want to pay the unfair fees. This will raise prices in the long run and will trickle down to the consumer.

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 949229 - Re: Implementation of Data Caps on Uverse Service

Date: 5/4/2016 8:46:18 AM
City/State/Zip: Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70816
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
This is a follow-up to your previous request #889896 "Implementation of Data Caps on Uverse Service"

I have yet to hear a response from AT&T concerning this FCC request.

AT&T has NOT called me at all to discuss the implementation of Data Caps, instead used a cut and paste response to tell me about how "fair" Data Caps are.

It has also been more than 30 days since the complaint has been served. Which is a violation of FCC rules.
Ticket: # 960697 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/6/2016 4:30:14 PM
City/State/Zip: Gig Harbor, Washington 98335
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Thank you for the FCC's action last year to protect the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast, T-Mobile, AT&T, and Verizon are breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent cable and phone companies from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now these providers have found another way to pick winners and losers: They apply arbitrary data caps, but they exempt their own video content (or the content of those with whom they have deals) while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of ISPs abusing their power for their own competitive advantage. For example, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want these providers directing choices of video services by privileging their own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me and other users, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with the ISPs by offering better price, quality, and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

We should all be able to choose freely how and when we want to use the Internet. If the content I choose to view doesn't enrich the ISPs further than I already enrich them, that's business.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T, and T-Mobile hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses--except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952228 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:00:06 PM
City/State/Zip: Richfield, Ohio 44286
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 951817 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 5/5/2016 11:14:51 AM
City/State/Zip: Minneapolis, Minnesota 55419
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 951925 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 5/5/2016 11:44:04 AM
City/State/Zip: Norton, Massachusetts 02766
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 951926 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 5/5/2016 11:44:47 AM
City/State/Zip: Rancho Palos Verdes, California 90275
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 951888 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 5/5/2016 11:36:36 AM
City/State/Zip: Miami, Florida 33130
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 951903 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 5/5/2016 11:38:57 AM
City/State/Zip: Placitas, New Mexico 87043
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 1585795 - Protect Net Neutrality!
Date: 4/25/2017 12:45:20 PM
City/State/Zip: Saint Charles, Missouri 63304
Company Complaining About: Comcast, Verizon, At&t And T-mobile

Description
The FCC Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them.

Most Americans only have one choice for true high speed Internet access: our local cable company. Cable companies (and wireless carriers) are actively lobbying Congress and the FCC for the power to:

* Block sites and apps, to charge them "access fees"
* Slow sites and apps to a crawl, to establish paid "fast lanes" (normal speed) and slow lanes (artificially low speeds)
* Impose arbitrarily low data caps, so they can charge sites to escape those caps, or privilege their own services ("zero rating")

They're doing it so they can use their monopoly power to stand between me and the sites I want to access, extorting money from us both. I'll be forced to pay more to access the sites I want, and sites will have to pay a kind of protection money to every major cable company or wireless carrier—just to continue working properly! The FCC's Open Internet Rules are the only thing standing in their way.

I'm sending this to letter to my two senators, my representative, the White House, and the FCC. First, to the FCC: don't interfere with my ability to access what I want on the Internet, or with websites' ability to reach me. You should leave the existing rules in place, and enforce them.

To my senators: you have the power to stop FCC Chair Ajit Pai from abusing the rules by refusing to vote for his reconfirmation. I expect you to use that power. Pai, a former Verizon employee, has made it clear he intends to gut the rules to please his former employer and other major carriers, despite overwhelming support for the rules from voters in both parties. I urge you publicly oppose Pai's confirmation on these grounds.

To the White House: Ajit Pai, a former Verizon employee, is acting in the interests of his former employer, not the American people. America deserves better. Appoint an FCC Chair who will protect the economic miracle that is the Internet from media monopolies like AT&T, Time Warner Cable, and Comcast/NBC/Universal.

To my representative: please publicly oppose Ajit Pai's plan to oppose the rules, and do everything you can to persuade the Senate and the White House to oppose Pai's nomination.

I would be happy to speak more with anyone on your staff about the rules and why they're so important to me. Please notify me of any opportunities to meet with you or your staff.
Ticket: # 951921 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 5/5/2016 11:42:10 AM
City/State/Zip: Storrs Mansfield, Connecticut 06268
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 951924 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 5/5/2016 11:43:02 AM
City/State/Zip: Atlanta, Georgia 30350
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 951969 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 5/5/2016 11:54:14 AM
City/State/Zip: Los Altos, California 94024
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 951953 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior
Date: 5/5/2016 11:49:55 AM
City/State/Zip: Whigham, Georgia 39897
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 951976 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 5/5/2016 11:56:41 AM
City/State/Zip: Sacramento, California 95822
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 951985 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and T-Mobile behavior

Date: 5/5/2016 12:01:40 PM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98144
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952086 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 12:28:45 PM
City/State/Zip: West Valley City, Utah 84120
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952238 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:00:43 PM
City/State/Zip: Austin, Texas 78748
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952039 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 12:18:26 PM
City/State/Zip: Durham, North Carolina 27701
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952105 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 12:33:08 PM
City/State/Zip: Brooklyn, New York 11201
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
We want Verizon to stand for Net Neutrality. Verizon is becoming a very nefarious company, against its workers decent wages and against net neutrality. We will be changing our services from Verizon as a result if Verizon does not shape up to fairness. Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 966294 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 12:23:52 PM
City/State/Zip: Medford, Oregon 97501
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952189 - Kristian's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 12:51:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Chicago, Illinois 60656
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952186 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 12:51:44 PM

City/State/Zip: Wolf Creek, Oregon 97497

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

Users in outlying areas will be impacted more than others as well.
Ticket: # 952199 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 12:53:19 PM
City/State/Zip: Vallejo, California 94591
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952190 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 12:51:56 PM
City/State/Zip: Longview, Washington 98632
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952229 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 1:00:08 PM
City/State/Zip: Hopewell Junction, New York 12533
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952230 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:00:10 PM
City/State/Zip: Jonesville, Indiana 47247
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses—except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952231 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:00:14 PM
City/State/Zip: Colorado Springs, Colorado 80922
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952232 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:00:20 PM
City/State/Zip: Fort Myers, Florida 33901
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952233 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:00:29 PM
City/State/Zip: Bronx, New York 10471
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952236 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:00:39 PM
City/State/Zip: Gorham, Maine 04038
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don't want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don't pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don't want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952239 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:00:56 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97203
Company Complaining About: Comcast

**Description**

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952247 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 1:01:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Coeur D Alene, Idaho 83815
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952248 - Sam's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:01:27 PM
City/State/Zip: Valparaiso, Indiana 46383
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

The internet must remain free and open! Data caps are wrong!
Ticket: # 952249 - Luk's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:01:32 PM
City/State/Zip: Fitchburg, Wisconsin 53711
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952251 - XXXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:01:41 PM
City/State/Zip: Mechanicsville, Maryland 20659
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll for a fee in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952253 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:01:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98121
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952254 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:01:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Alpharetta, Georgia 30004
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952255 - Stephen's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:01:44 PM
City/State/Zip: El Paso, Texas 79932
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 952256 - juli's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:01:46 PM
City/State/Zip: New Orleans, Louisiana 70119
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll for a fee in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952257 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:01:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Greenville, South Carolina 29607
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: #952258 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

**Date:** 5/5/2016 1:01:56 PM  
**City/State/Zip:** San Antonio, Texas 78230  
**Company Complaining About:** T Mobile

---

**Description**

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[b] (6) Professor Emeritus
Ticket: # 952262 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:02:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Boulder, Colorado 80304
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email. [b] (6)
Ticket: # 952264 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:02:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Palatine, Illinois 60074
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 952266 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:02:43 PM
City/State/Zip: Valencia, California 91355
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952267 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:02:47 PM

City/State/Zip: Rawlings, Maryland 21557

Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

MONOPOLIES ARE KILLING US. PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY, NOT CORPORATE THIEVES!

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses — except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should
not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where
and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some
websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick
winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all
competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for
its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by
discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and
punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete
with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have
nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps
while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages
broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their
expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s
traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to
choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these
kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make
these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952272 - XXXXXXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating  
Date: 5/5/2016 1:03:26 PM  
City/State/Zip: Wylie, Texas 75098  
Company Complaining About: Verizon  

Description  
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952273 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:03:27 PM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98109
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952274 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 1:03:39 PM
City/State/Zip: San Carlos, California 94070
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952275 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 1:03:41 PM
City/State/Zip: Albin, Wyoming 82050
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952278 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:03:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Carmel, Indiana 46032
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952279 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:04:01 PM
City/State/Zip: Redwood Falls, Minnesota 56283
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That's not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don't want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don't pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don't want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952280 - Gene's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:04:02 PM

City/State/Zip: Millburn, New Jersey 07041

Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952281 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:04:07 PM
City/State/Zip: Yakima, Washington 98908
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952282 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 1:04:11 PM
City/State/Zip: Laguna Niguel, California 92677
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952283 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:04:12 PM
City/State/Zip: Brookline, Massachusetts 02446
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952292 - Mariano's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:04:56 PM
City/State/Zip: San Mateo, California 94403
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952286 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 1:04:22 PM
City/State/Zip: Trenton, Maine 04605
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952287 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:04:24 PM
City/State/Zip: Fairhaven, Massachusetts 02719
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952291 - Bill's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 1:04:52 PM
City/State/Zip: Lansdale, Pennsylvania 19446
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952293 - XXXXXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:04:58 PM
City/State/Zip: San Leandro, California 94577
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952296 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:05:06 PM
City/State/Zip: Greenville, South Carolina 29607
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

**note**
The actions taken by both of us will decide the course of human history, I intend to see a world united by information, and uplifted by mankind.
Ticket: # 952303 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:05:58 PM
City/State/Zip: New York, New York 10014
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

Steve & Nancy Gould
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

Chris J Zahller
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952307 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:06:12 PM
City/State/Zip: San Francisco, California 94131
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952308 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 1:06:14 PM
City/State/Zip: Snellville, Georgia 30039
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952312 - dave's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:06:29 PM
City/State/Zip: Frisco, Texas 75035
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952318 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:06:56 PM
City/State/Zip: San Francisco, California 94131
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952321 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:07:12 PM
City/State/Zip: Marrero, Louisiana 70072
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952324 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:07:33 PM
City/State/Zip: Oakland, California 94607
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952329 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating  
Date: 5/5/2016 1:08:11 PM  
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97230  
Company Complaining About: Verizon  

Description  
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.  

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.  

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.  

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.  

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).  

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.  

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952331 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:08:18 PM
City/State/Zip: Rockland, Massachusetts 02370
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952334 - XXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:09:03 PM
City/State/Zip: South Saint Paul, Minnesota 55075
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952335 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 1:09:06 PM
City/State/Zip: Folsom, California 95630
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952336 - XXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:09:11 PM
City/State/Zip: Flushing, New York 11358
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952337 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:09:26 PM
City/State/Zip: Chicago, Illinois 60614
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Please stop Comcast and other ISPs from ruining the internet through shady and unethical practices.

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952338 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:09:36 PM
City/State/Zip: Norwalk, California 90652
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952340 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:09:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Casper, Wyoming 82609
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952343 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:10:05 PM
City/State/Zip: Austin, Texas 78757
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952344 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:10:22 PM
City/State/Zip: New Braunfels, Texas 78130
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952346 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:10:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Redlands, California 92373
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952347 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:10:40 PM
City/State/Zip: Boulder, Colorado 80305
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952381 - XX XXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:13:07 PM
City/State/Zip: Boone, North Carolina 28607
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T, Verizon, T-Mobile and Comcast are breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so big providers created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in their ISP’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for big providers to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

I don’t want these huge companies to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC INVESTIGATE all these providers for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: The huge communications companies hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by big providers. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952358 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:11:42 PM
City/State/Zip: Elmwood Park, Illinois 60707
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
As an owner of an internet-based business I am concerned.

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules by offering exemptions to data caps, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps.

This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord. It has started now with video services, but what about social-networks or other delivery mechanisms. My own company could fall victim to these monopolistic tactics.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952360 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 1:11:46 PM
City/State/Zip: Jamestown, New York 14701
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952363 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:12:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19146
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952364 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:12:07 PM
City/State/Zip: Brooklyn, New York 11238
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952378 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:13:02 PM
City/State/Zip: Superior, Colorado 80027
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Ticket: # 952369 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:12:33 PM
City/State/Zip: Colrain, Massachusetts 01340
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. Now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC needs to put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. It is unfair on multiple levels.

There’s no public or technical reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has even admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online. We need choices.

It would appear that Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules because their business is primarily about maximizing their profits and control of the over all media and evidently, they are doing everything they can to get around FCC regulations. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. The FCC’s job to protect consumers from abuses by those who control our media. It is important that you stand up here. Meanwhile, Congress should make these companies follow the rules, and not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 952372 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:12:46 PM
City/State/Zip: Tucson, Arizona 85716
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952373 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 1:12:48 PM
City/State/Zip: Eastpointe, Michigan 48021
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
PLEASE STOP VERIZON FROM THIS "ZERO RATING" SCAM, trying to sneak it past fcc!

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952379 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:13:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Brooklyn, New York 11238
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC's net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952384 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:13:20 PM

City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97220

Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952386 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:13:24 PM
City/State/Zip: West Hartford, Connecticut 06119
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952388 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:13:33 PM
City/State/Zip: Brooklyn, New York 11217
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Please don't let these firms get away with breaking the rules!

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952400 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:14:41 PM
City/State/Zip: Overland Park, Kansas 66207
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don't pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952391 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:13:49 PM
City/State/Zip: Chicago, Illinois 60609
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
PLEASE STOP VERIZON FROM THIS "ZERO RATING" SCAM, trying to sneak it past fcc!

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempt its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952397 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

**Date:** 5/5/2016 1:14:23 PM

**City/State/Zip:** Jersey City, New Jersey 07310

**Company Complaining About:** Comcast

---

**Description**

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 952399 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 1:14:41 PM
City/State/Zip: Rice, Virginia 23966
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952402 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:15:08 PM
City/State/Zip: Rice, Virginia 23966
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can't be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[b] (6)
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952406 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:15:34 PM
City/State/Zip: Brick, New Jersey 08724
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[b] (6)
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952413 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 1:15:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Knoxville, Tennessee 37934
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[b] (b)
Ticket: # 952414 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:15:58 PM

City/State/Zip: Makawao, Hawaii 96768

Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Dear Sirs,

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

COME ON, WHAT HAS CHANGED, SINCE LAST YEAR?

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email. Sean Folsom, a Citizen of the United States of America.
Ticket: # 952415 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 1:16:01 PM
City/State/Zip: Peachtree Corners, Georgia 30092
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952419 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:16:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Gloucester City, New Jersey 08030
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952421 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:16:46 PM
City/State/Zip: Von Ormy, Texas 78073
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

My ISP is EarthLink, but they have to lease my phone land-line to provide this service. I have had many problems with AT&T during my life, so will not choose them for my ISP even though they are quite a bit cheaper than EarthLink. But once the law about "net neutrality" was made, going through my daily emails, which used to take about 30 minutes, now takes about 3-4 hours, and if I ever try to watch an hour-long TV show on my computer, it usually cuts out before reaching the end, and cannot be continued. Part of the problem is all of the ads which flash up on my screens, and prevent me from even clicking on anything for anywhere from 1 to 4 minutes. I am guessing that EarthLink must post all of this advertising to try to continue paying AT&Ts high land-line leasing fees. I'm also guessing that the cutting off of TV shows is from AT&T's "cap".

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy.
I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: AT&T hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952424 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:17:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Bayonne, New Jersey 07002
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952428 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 1:17:49 PM
City/State/Zip: Burbank, Illinois 60459
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952429 - XXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:17:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Austin, Texas 78728
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952430 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:17:54 PM
City/State/Zip: East Patchogue, New York 11772
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952431 - XXXXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:17:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Herriman, Utah 84096
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952432 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:17:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Atlanta, Georgia 30339
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952434 - XXXXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:18:07 PM
City/State/Zip: Sarasota, Florida 34241
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 952437 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:18:54 PM  
City/State/Zip: Washington, District Of Columbia 20037  
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 956304 - X XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/6/2016 12:23:08 AM
City/State/Zip: Dallas, Texas 75287
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 952441 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 1:19:52 PM
City/State/Zip: Mukilteo, Washington 98275
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952443 - Kathe's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:20:10 PM
City/State/Zip: Manhattan, Kansas 66503
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952445 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:20:25 PM
City/State/Zip: Salem, Massachusetts 01970
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952446 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:20:27 PM
City/State/Zip: Leominster, Massachusetts 01453
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952448 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:20:32 PM
City/State/Zip: Aspen, Colorado 81612
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952452 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:20:45 PM
City/State/Zip: Chico, California 95926
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952456 - XXXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:20:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Swampscott, Massachusetts 01907
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952459 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:21:40 PM
City/State/Zip: Brooklyn, New York 11229
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952462 - XXXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:21:52 PM

City/State/Zip: Houston, Texas 77055

Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952463 - Xxxxxxxx Xxxxxxx's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:22:08 PM
City/State/Zip: Tucson, Arizona 85715
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952465 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 1:22:14 PM
City/State/Zip: Lockport, Illinois 60441
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952467 - XXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:22:20 PM
City/State/Zip: San Mateo, California 94403
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952469 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:22:47 PM

City/State/Zip: Waynesboro, Virginia 22980

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952471 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:23:28 PM
City/State/Zip: Newport, Kentucky 41076
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952473 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 1:23:52 PM
City/State/Zip: Clovis, California 93611
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[Redacted]
Ticket: # 952477 - XXXXXXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 1:24:24 PM
City/State/Zip: Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27517
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should
not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where
and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select
video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video
providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-
ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video
only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking
video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through
the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this
controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical
requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of
requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine
an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone
company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these
kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make
these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number
of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952482 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:25:11 PM
City/State/Zip: Syracuse, New York 13212
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952540 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:37:00 PM
City/State/Zip: Franklin, North Carolina 28734
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952486 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:25:51 PM

City/State/Zip: Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53218

Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

I use the Internet for reach work via VPN, and for personal use. If my service is capped due to work usage that's quite unfair to me. Fast lanes for pay, or no caps for pay are one in the same. Bad for freedom, bad for business, bad for America.

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952487 - Rudolph's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:26:09 PM
City/State/Zip: Austin, Texas 78745
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952491 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:26:40 PM
City/State/Zip: Montclair, New Jersey 07042
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952493 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 1:28:07 PM
City/State/Zip: Brooklyn, New York 11231
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952495 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:28:42 PM
City/State/Zip: Saint Clair Shores, Michigan 48080
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 952496 - XXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:28:47 PM
City/State/Zip: Billings, Montana 59105
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952500 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:29:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Asheville, North Carolina 28801
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952501 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:29:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Falls Church, Virginia 22043
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952507 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:30:30 PM
City/State/Zip: Edmonds, Washington 98026
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

I'm already experiencing selective slowdowns by Comcast. It takes SEVERAL MINUTES to link to certain web sites. This is driving Internet access BACKWARDS and destroys Net Neutrality!

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952512 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:31:13 PM
City/State/Zip: Deer Park, Texas 77536
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952515 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:31:43 PM
City/State/Zip: Peachtree Corners, Georgia 30092
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Jeez, Comcast, do you even want my money? Cut this funny business now or I swear to god I'll switch to Google Fiber. I don't want to do that, it's a pain in my rear end, but it did just get installed so I'll do it if you don't nix this bull spit right away.

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952516 - Ken's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:31:48 PM
City/State/Zip: San Francisco, California 94109
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[b]
Ticket: # 952517 - XXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:31:54 PM
City/State/Zip: Minneapolis, Minnesota 55413
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses — except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952518 - XXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:31:57 PM
City/State/Zip: Mill Valley, California 94941
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952558 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 1:39:56 PM
City/State/Zip: Frederick, Maryland 21701
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952522 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:33:00 PM
City/State/Zip: Los Angeles, California 90066
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952526 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 1:34:42 PM
City/State/Zip: Chicago, Illinois 60615
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952533 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:36:07 PM
City/State/Zip: Englewood, Colorado 80112
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952543 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:37:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952545 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:38:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Bakersfield, California 93309
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952557 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:39:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Perrysburg, Ohio 43551
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952562 - XXXX XXXXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:40:45 PM
City/State/Zip: Clayton, North Carolina 27520
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952560 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:40:39 PM
City/State/Zip: Aurora, Illinois 60506
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 952564 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:41:19 PM
City/State/Zip: Minneapolis, Minnesota 55410
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952565 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:41:33 PM
City/State/Zip: Houston, Texas 77058
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952566 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:41:39 PM
City/State/Zip: Golden, Colorado 80401
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952567 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:41:42 PM
City/State/Zip: Coralville, Iowa 52241
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 952568 - Kerry's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 1:41:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Boulder, Colorado 80303
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952569 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:41:56 PM
City/State/Zip: Reseda, California 91335
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952570 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:42:06 PM
City/State/Zip: Azusa, California 91702
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952573 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 1:42:37 PM
City/State/Zip: Taylors, South Carolina 29687
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952574 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 1:42:46 PM
City/State/Zip: Missouri Valley, Iowa 51555
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 962027 - XXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/6/2016 9:10:24 PM
City/State/Zip: Palmetto Bay, Florida 33157
Company Complaining About: Comcast

---

Description
The same cable and phone companies that fought to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 952575 - XXXXX X's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:42:49 PM
City/State/Zip: Miami, Florida 33132
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Dear Comcast, I feel strongly that your company should protect Net Neutrality.

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952582 - XXXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:43:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Trenton, New Jersey 08619
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 952584 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 1:43:56 PM
City/State/Zip: Eatontown, New Jersey 07724
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952586 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:44:29 PM
City/State/Zip: Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952587 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:44:43 PM
City/State/Zip: Rio Rancho, New Mexico 87144
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952592 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:45:47 PM
City/State/Zip: Beach Haven, New Jersey 08008
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952596 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:46:28 PM
City/State/Zip: San Diego, California 92117
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952597 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:46:33 PM

City/State/Zip: Elmhurst, Illinois 60126

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952599 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:46:51 PM

City/State/Zip: Hamden, Connecticut 06517

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 952610 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:48:24 PM
City/State/Zip: Wapakoneta, Ohio 45895
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email. [b] (6) [b] (6)
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952627 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:51:26 PM
City/State/Zip: Monroe Township, New Jersey 08831
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[b] (6)
Description
Without net neutrality the freedom of speech that America holds and a right to ALL citizens would be suppressed. Please protect the rights of all American People.

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952634 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:52:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Monroe Township, New Jersey 08831
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952639 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:54:08 PM
City/State/Zip: Salt Lake City, Utah 84106
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
STOP F***ING AROUND!!!

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952664 - Philip's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:57:49 PM
City/State/Zip: Beaverton, Oregon 97007
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952660 - Zachary's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating  
Date: 5/5/2016 1:57:11 PM  
City/State/Zip: Charleston, South Carolina 29401  
Company Complaining About: Comcast  

Description  
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.  

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.  

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.  

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.  

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.  

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.  

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.  

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952661 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:57:19 PM
City/State/Zip: Yakima, Washington 98902
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952668 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:58:41 PM
City/State/Zip: Grand Rapids, Michigan 49546
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952672 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:59:13 PM
City/State/Zip: Strongsville, Ohio 44136
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can't be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952674 - XXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 1:59:20 PM
City/State/Zip: Carlsbad, California 92010
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952678 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:00:12 PM
City/State/Zip: Brea, California 92823
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 952680 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:00:25 PM
City/State/Zip: Turlock, California 95382
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952681 - XXXXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:00:37 PM
City/State/Zip: Lancaster, Pennsylvania 17601
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses—except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952687 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:01:49 PM
City/State/Zip: Swampscott, Massachusetts 01907
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952691 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:02:11 PM
City/State/Zip: Rahway, New Jersey 07065
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[b] (6) [b]
Ticket: # 952702 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:03:43 PM
City/State/Zip: Chicago, Illinois 60640
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 952705 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:03:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Stamford, Connecticut 06905
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952695 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:02:59 PM
City/State/Zip: Nederland, Colorado 80466
Company Complaining About: Comcast

**Description**

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952696 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:03:16 PM
City/State/Zip: Holland, Michigan 49423
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952700 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:03:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Tampa, Florida 33606
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952707 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:03:57 PM
City/State/Zip: Allentown, Pennsylvania 18102
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952710 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:04:13 PM
City/State/Zip: Redwood City, California 94065
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952711 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:04:18 PM
City/State/Zip: Altamonte Springs, Florida 32714
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 952713 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:04:39 PM
City/State/Zip: Redwood City, California 94065
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952715 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:04:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Queensbury, New York 12804
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952734 - XXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:06:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Meldrim, Georgia 31318
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952735 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:06:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Oswego, Illinois 60543
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 966300 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 12:25:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Lakeville, Minnesota 55044
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952738 - Cheryl's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:07:41 PM
City/State/Zip: Medford, Massachusetts 02155
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952741 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:08:00 PM
City/State/Zip: Oswego, Illinois 60543
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952747 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:08:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Dickinson, North Dakota 58601
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can't be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile's substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC's net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952750 - XXXXXXXXXX XXXXXX’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:09:19 PM
City/State/Zip: Louisville, Kentucky 40205
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952753 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:10:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Stamford, Connecticut 06903
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952756 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:11:10 PM
City/State/Zip: Ponte Vedra Beach, Florida 32082
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 952757 - XX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:11:20 PM
City/State/Zip: Cave Creek, Arizona 85331
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952758 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:11:31 PM
City/State/Zip: Waterbury, Connecticut 06708
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952763 - XXXXXX XXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:12:00 PM
City/State/Zip: Normal, Illinois 61761
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952765 - XXXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:12:20 PM
City/State/Zip: Perris, California 92570
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952804 - XXXXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:18:34 PM
City/State/Zip: San Marcos, Texas 78666
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952773 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:13:49 PM
City/State/Zip: Des Moines, Washington 98198
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952777 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:14:24 PM
City/State/Zip: Des Moines, Washington 98198
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952778 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:14:27 PM
City/State/Zip: Austin, Texas 78757
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 952779 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:14:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Strawberry Plains, Tennessee 37871
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 952780 - michael's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:14:38 PM
City/State/Zip: Providence, Rhode Island 02903
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952781 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:14:48 PM
City/State/Zip: Austin, Texas 78757
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952784 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:15:40 PM
City/State/Zip: Oregon City, Oregon 97045
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 952792 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:16:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Oak Creek, Wisconsin 53154
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952807 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:19:24 PM
City/State/Zip: Frankenmuth, Michigan 48734
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952808 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:19:28 PM
City/State/Zip: Lafayette, Colorado 80026
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952809 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:19:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Tampa, Florida 33618
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952810 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:20:01 PM
City/State/Zip: Pontiac, Michigan 48341
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 952815 - XXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:21:34 PM
City/State/Zip: Chalfont, Pennsylvania 18914
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952817 - XXXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:21:43 PM
City/State/Zip: San Diego, California 92108
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952821 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:22:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Atlanta, Michigan 49709
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 952823 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:22:20 PM
City/State/Zip: Patchogue, New York 11772
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952825 - XXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:22:24 PM
City/State/Zip: Chalfont, Pennsylvania 18914
Company Complaining About: T-Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952833 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:23:54 PM
City/State/Zip: Minneapolis, Minnesota 55417
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952838 - Doyle's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:24:50 PM
City/State/Zip: Burleson, Texas 76028
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952845 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:25:45 PM
City/State/Zip: Montgomery, Alabama 36117
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952847 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:26:07 PM
City/State/Zip: Houston, Texas 77043
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952863 - Michael's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:30:20 PM
City/State/Zip: Beaver Dam, Wisconsin 53916
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952871 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:31:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97220
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952872 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:31:57 PM
City/State/Zip: Huntington Beach, California 92648
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952879 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:33:01 PM
City/State/Zip: Ames, Iowa 50010
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952886 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating  

Date: 5/5/2016 2:34:13 PM  
City/State/Zip: La Fayette, Georgia 30728  
Company Complaining About: Verizon  

Description  
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952887 - XXXXXX X's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:34:20 PM
City/State/Zip: Willmar, Minnesota 56201
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 952890 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:34:39 PM  
City/State/Zip: Fort Worth, Texas 76244  
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952892 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:34:49 PM
City/State/Zip: Columbus, Ohio 43240
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers' data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952893 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:34:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Chicago, Illinois 60647
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[(b) (6)]
Ticket: # 952894 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:35:07 PM
City/State/Zip: Gig Harbor, Washington 98335
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952896 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:35:21 PM
City/State/Zip: Brooklyn, New York 11238
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[redacted]
Ticket: # 952897 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:35:21 PM
City/State/Zip: Takoma Park, Maryland 20912
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952900 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:35:38 PM
City/State/Zip: Sacramento, California 95831
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC's net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952903 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:35:44 PM
City/State/Zip: San Francisco, California 94117
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952912 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:36:01 PM
City/State/Zip: Geneva, Florida 32732
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952905 - XXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:35:49 PM
City/State/Zip: Zephyr Cove, Nevada 89448
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952908 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:35:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Takoma Park, Maryland 20912
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952910 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:35:56 PM
City/State/Zip: Allison Park, Pennsylvania 15101
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952911 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:35:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Columbus, Ohio 43240
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

I am a T-Mobile customer and they are breaking the rules. The FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952916 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:36:11 PM
City/State/Zip: Grand Rapids, Michigan 49548
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses — except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952918 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:36:17 PM
City/State/Zip: Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53211
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 952919 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:36:22 PM
City/State/Zip: Covington, Georgia 30014
Company Complaining About: Verizon

**Description**

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 952922 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:36:33 PM
City/State/Zip: Saint Paul, Minnesota 55110
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can't be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers' data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile's substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous "spinning wheel of death" as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC's net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 952923 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:36:33 PM
City/State/Zip: Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53211
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952924 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:36:34 PM  
City/State/Zip: Los Angeles, California 90034  
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don't want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don't want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952925 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:36:41 PM
City/State/Zip: Corrales, New Mexico 87048
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses — except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952926 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:36:41 PM  
City/State/Zip: Minneapolis, Minnesota 55414  
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952927 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:36:43 PM
City/State/Zip: Naperville, Illinois 60563
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952949 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:37:52 PM
City/State/Zip: Conowingo, Maryland 21918
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952928 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:36:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Lafayette, Colorado 80026
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952929 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:36:46 PM
City/State/Zip: Troy, New York 12180
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952930 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:36:49 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97210
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Dear sirs:

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952933 - XX XXXXXXXX’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:36:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Pittsboro, North Carolina 27312
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952936 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:37:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Waianae, Hawaii 96792
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952938 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:37:08 PM
City/State/Zip: Minneapolis, Minnesota 55414
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952939 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:37:18 PM
City/State/Zip: Lagrangeville, New York 12540
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952940 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:37:21 PM
City/State/Zip: Bend, Oregon 97702
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952942 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:37:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Richmond, Virginia 23225
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952943 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:37:39 PM
City/State/Zip: Denver, Colorado 80204
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952944 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:37:39 PM
City/State/Zip: Omaha, Nebraska 68124
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952945 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:37:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Fresh Meadows, New York 11366
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952947 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:37:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Dallas, Texas 75219
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952950 - XXXXXX XXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:37:52 PM
City/State/Zip: Chicago, Illinois 60644
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should
not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where
and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select
video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video
providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-
ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video
only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking
video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through
the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this
controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical
requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of
requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine
an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone
company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these
kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make
these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number
of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[b] (6)
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952955 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:38:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Paso Robles, California 93446
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952958 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:38:13 PM  
City/State/Zip: Santa Cruz, California 95060  
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952959 - Edward's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:38:14 PM
City/State/Zip: Swarthmore, Pennsylvania 19081
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952961 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:38:17 PM
City/State/Zip: Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70809
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952962 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:38:21 PM
City/State/Zip: San Rafael, California 94912
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952964 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:38:31 PM
City/State/Zip: Littleton, Colorado 80125
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 952967 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:38:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Paso Robles, California 93446
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That's not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don't pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don't want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952968 - XXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:38:36 PM
City/State/Zip: Denver, Colorado 80203
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952969 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:38:39 PM
City/State/Zip: Buford, Georgia 30518
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy.

I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952972 - XXX XXXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:38:45 PM
City/State/Zip: Indianapolis, Indiana 46237
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952974 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:38:50 PM
City/State/Zip: Oshkosh, Wisconsin 54901
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

Thanks,
Ticket: # 952975 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:38:50 PM  
City/State/Zip: Jamaica Plain, Massachusetts 02130  
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952976 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:38:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Wichita, Kansas 67205
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952977 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:38:52 PM
City/State/Zip: Santa Maria, California 93454
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 952978 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:38:57 PM
City/State/Zip: Los Angeles, California 90024
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952979 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:38:57 PM
City/State/Zip: Miami, Florida 33196
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 952980 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:39:01 PM
City/State/Zip: Omaha, Nebraska 68124
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952981 - John's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:39:05 PM
City/State/Zip: Southwest Harbor, Maine 04679
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952982 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:39:08 PM
City/State/Zip: Bend, Oregon 97702
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 952996 - XXXXXXXX XXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:39:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Pomona, California 91767
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952986 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:39:22 PM
City/State/Zip: Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952989 - XXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:39:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Kalama, Washington 98625
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952992 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:39:47 PM
City/State/Zip: Bronx, New York 10459
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll – for a fee – in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952995 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:39:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 952998 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:39:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Mead, Washington 99021
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953001 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:40:08 PM
City/State/Zip: Lakewood, Colorado 80227
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punished me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953002 - XXXXXXXX XXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:40:18 PM
City/State/Zip: Pomona, California 91767
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953003 - XXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:40:19 PM
City/State/Zip: Atlanta, Georgia 30341
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953004 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:40:22 PM  
City/State/Zip: Minneapolis, Minnesota 55413  
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[(b) (6)]
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953007 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:40:34 PM
City/State/Zip: Long Beach, California 90806
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email. Thank you!!!
Ticket: # 953009 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:40:38 PM
City/State/Zip: Winchester, California 92596
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953010 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:40:39 PM
City/State/Zip: Irvington, New York 10533
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers' data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That's not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don't want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don't pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953013 - XXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:40:52 PM
City/State/Zip: Frankfort, Kentucky 40601
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953014 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:41:09 PM
City/State/Zip: Salt Lake City, Utah 84124
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953015 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:41:09 PM
City/State/Zip: Lincoln, Nebraska 68521
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953016 - Earl's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:41:10 PM
City/State/Zip: Minneapolis, Minnesota 55441
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953017 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:41:16 PM
City/State/Zip: Corvallis, Oregon 97330
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Stop the exploitation of the American public!

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[Redacted]
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953019 - Christina's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:41:21 PM
City/State/Zip: Summerland, California 93067
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953020 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:41:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19119
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate. Net neutrality means
just that-neutral; not taking sides. Not favoring anyone or any group of providers or customers. Neutral! It is up to you to enforce the meaning of that word.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953021 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:41:24 PM
City/State/Zip: Reno, Nevada 89519
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll for a fee in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953023 - XXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:41:29 PM
City/State/Zip: Alameda, California 94502
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953024 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:41:33 PM
City/State/Zip: Emeryville, California 94608
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953025 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:41:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Harrisonville, Missouri 64701
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953026 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:41:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Lincoln, Nebraska 68521
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 1020774 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 6/6/2016 5:10:21 PM
City/State/Zip: Los Angeles, California 90028
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953028 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:41:37 PM
City/State/Zip: Virginia Beach, Virginia 23452
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953029 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:41:38 PM
City/State/Zip: Bessemer, Alabama 35022
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953031 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:41:46 PM
City/State/Zip: Wilmington, Delaware 19804
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953032 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:41:54 PM
City/State/Zip: Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online. In cities like Cambridge, MA where Comcast maintains a monopoly on all high speed broadband services, this behavior is anti-competitive, monopolistic, and unAmerican.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953033 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating  
Date: 5/5/2016 2:41:56 PM  
City/State/Zip: Virginia Beach, Virginia 23452  
Company Complaining About: AT&T

**Description**  
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953034 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:41:59 PM
City/State/Zip: Lakewood, Ohio 44107
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953038 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:42:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Brighton, Colorado 80601
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[redacted]
Ticket: # 953039 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:42:54 PM
City/State/Zip: Natick, Massachusetts 01760
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses — except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953043 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:43:18 PM
City/State/Zip: Redondo Beach, California 90278
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[b] (6)
Ticket: # 953044 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:43:20 PM
City/State/Zip: Federal Way, Washington 98023
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953047 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:43:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Cherokee, Alabama 35616
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953049 - XXXXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:43:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Houston, Texas 77042
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953050 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:43:48 PM
City/State/Zip: Canyon Country, California 91351
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953054 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:43:59 PM
City/State/Zip: Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53211
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953056 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:44:02 PM
City/State/Zip: Tampa, Florida 33615
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953057 - Karen's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:44:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Leavenworth, Kansas 66048
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

If AT&T gets away with this ploy, Comcast, Verizon, T-Mobile and the other ISPs will do the same.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953059 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:44:09 PM
City/State/Zip: Spring, Texas 77382
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll for a fee in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953061 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:44:10 PM
City/State/Zip: Manassas, Virginia 20112
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
This is not a situation that can be resolved by the 'market'. Attempting this will obviously result in each ISP becoming its own walled garden. The Internet as an openly connected medium needs to remain unrestricted. A “monopoly” on the wires along our streets does not grant license to capture all of the advertising revenue based on those connected customers. You know that this is the real issue, we’re watching Someone Else's Ads. We have to suffer this regime until more open wireless providers can roll out connectivity. Act in the public interest -- You've been doing good at this lately, FCC, keep it up! Commerce, Government, and Community are increasingly requiring that citizens have Internet access. If Comcast is not capable to provide me (for example) 100MB/s on a 24/7 basis, they have no standing to sell me such a product. If that is what they do have, they do owe it to me because that is what I am paying for, it is what they have sold to me. Tiers are naked strategies to monetize, which does not serve a PUBLIC UTILITY properly and that is what we truly need for open Internet connectivity - PUBLIC UTILITY networking. And these jerks are lobbying cities and communities to prevent that from happening.

---

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages
broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[b] [b]
Ticket: # 953062 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:44:18 PM
City/State/Zip: Flagstaff, Arizona 86001
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953063 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:44:29 PM
City/State/Zip: Sylmar, California 91392
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953064 - Joseph's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:44:37 PM
City/State/Zip: New York, New York 10011
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953065 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:44:40 PM
City/State/Zip: Montpelier, Vermont 05602
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953067 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:44:42 PM
City/State/Zip: Blue Ridge, Georgia 30513
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953068 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

**Date:** 5/5/2016 2:44:43 PM  
**City/State/Zip:** Lansing, Michigan 48906  
**Company Complaining About:** Comcast

**Description**

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953069 - XXXXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:44:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Lisle, Illinois 60532
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953070 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:44:49 PM
City/State/Zip: Plymouth, Michigan 48170
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

I've been seeing YouTube videos default to a lower resolution, and anything from 7:20 P to 10:50 p is really impossible to load up in a reasonable amount of time.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953071 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:44:49 PM
City/State/Zip: San Francisco, California 94107
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

It is obvious that the internet has become our global brain. Our one opportunity to share information for the betterment of humanity. To learn, to grow, to exercise our freedom of speech and freedom for information. Last year Net Neutrality was protected by the FCC, but as usual, cable and phone companies are at it again, trying to tier the internet so they can profit and people can be stuck with lame corporate sites and advertisements instead of the open internet where truly useful ideas can geminate.

THIS IS ILLEGAL. The FCC needs to stop Verizon from trying to manipulate the system. This decision should also be made in public so the stakeholders (you know, the billions of people who use the internet) can be included in the process to ensure it is fair.

The Open Internet must be protected. Verizon is trying to charge websites and apps to be exempted from customers data caps so that users find zero-rated content more attractive. This is another way to tier the internet. Web companies who want to compete will have to pay, and this is essentially a toll on the internet, making website ownership more expensive. Startups and entrepreneurs may not be able to afford this. This is not free, fair, or an open internet, and it is bad for the economy, and bad for the future of our world, which requires innovation, exploration, information, and opportunity to all.

This hurts users like me. This is not an equal or fair marketplace if users like me are effectively punished for using sites that don't pay the toll and raising the cost of services that pay verizong to be zero-rated.

As a Verizon customer (for 15 years) I don't want Verizon to ruin the internet. This will hurt democracy, hurt education, hurt art, and everything else that matters.

I request an immediate FCC investigation into Verizon's moves to get around the Open Internet rules. If they succeed, they win, and ALL customers lose. These plans need to be stopped and Congress needs to encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, and support the FCC in it's regulatory capacities.

Thank you!

[b] (6)
Ticket: # 953072 - XXXXX X's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:44:52 PM
City/State/Zip: Columbia, Missouri 65201
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953174 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/3/2016 2:54:10 PM
City/State/Zip: Pleasant Hill, California 94523
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Two years ago I had to leave my apartment, walk downstairs (rain or shine) to the rear of my building order to make a phone call. My provider was AT&T.

When I moved to this location, I waited until my phone service through Verizon was completed, and my computer connection through Comcast was completed, and I fired AT&T. Mind you, as a senior citizen, I was terrified of being ill and unable to call out. Interesting that AT&T never told me that municipal fire and police departments required 24/7 connectivity. Nobody ever told me that.

I was one of the millions of disaffected citizens who spoke out, demanding net neutrality. Last year the FCC passed strong Net Neutrality rules. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The European Union provides faster, better internet than anything the U.S. offers. I travel there. No matter the country, the EU does a better job and it costs almost one half of what U.S. companies charge for the same kind of service. Why is that?

Open Internet rules were supposed to prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.
As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953075 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:44:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Danbury, Connecticut 06810
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953076 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:45:12 PM
City/State/Zip: Huntington, Massachusetts 01050
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll for a fee in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll for a fee in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953078 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:45:13 PM
City/State/Zip: Denver, Colorado 80206
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses—except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953081 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:45:27 PM
City/State/Zip: Nashville, Tennessee 37216
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953084 - XXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:45:36 PM
City/State/Zip: Minneapolis, Minnesota 55403
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953086 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:45:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Kailua Kona, Hawaii 96740
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953087 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:46:01 PM
City/State/Zip: Austin, Texas 78729
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953088 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:46:04 PM

City/State/Zip: Walnut Cove, North Carolina 27052

Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll for a fee in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953089 - XXXXXXX Xxxxxxxxxx's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:46:05 PM
City/State/Zip: Swarthmore, Pennsylvania 19081
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953091 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:46:19 PM
City/State/Zip: San Antonio, Texas 78258
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953092 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:46:20 PM
City/State/Zip: Jemison, Alabama 35085
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953093 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:46:22 PM
City/State/Zip: San Francisco, California 94102
Company Complaining About: AT&T

**Description**

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953095 - XXXXX XXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:46:50 PM
City/State/Zip: Lyons, Colorado 80540
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953102 - XXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:47:42 PM
City/State/Zip: Deming, New Mexico 88030
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should
not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where
and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select
video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video
providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-
ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video
only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking
video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through
the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this
controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical
requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of
requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine
an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone
company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these
kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make
these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number
of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953098 - XXXXXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:46:59 PM
City/State/Zip: El Sobrante, California 94803
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953135 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:50:13 PM
City/State/Zip: Yorktown, Indiana 47396
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953101 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:47:30 PM  
City/State/Zip: Albuquerque, New Mexico 87107  
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953103 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:47:46 PM
City/State/Zip: Grass Valley, California 95945
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953104 - agm's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:47:56 PM
City/State/Zip: San Clemente, California 92672
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953105 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:48:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Medford, Oregon 97504
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. Here we go again. The same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953106 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:48:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Hartford, Connecticut 06153
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These plans also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953107 - XXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:48:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Corpus Christi, Texas 78414
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That's not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don't want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don't pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don't want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953112 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating  
Date: 5/5/2016 2:48:34 PM  
City/State/Zip: Portsmouth, New Hampshire 03801  
Company Complaining About: Comcast  

Description  
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953113 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:48:37 PM
City/State/Zip: Austin, Texas 78748
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC's net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953114 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

**Date:** 5/5/2016 2:48:38 PM

**City/State/Zip:** Simpsonville, South Carolina 29681

**Company Complaining About:** T Mobile

**Description**

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile's substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953125 - XXXXX XX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:49:40 PM
City/State/Zip: Colorado Springs, Colorado 80905
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953116 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:48:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Tumwater, Washington 98512
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953119 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:49:10 PM
City/State/Zip: Encino, California 91316
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953120 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:49:18 PM

City/State/Zip: Manchester, Connecticut 06040

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953121 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:49:22 PM
City/State/Zip: Rensselaer, New York 12144
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953122 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:49:33 PM
City/State/Zip: Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15232
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953124 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:49:40 PM
City/State/Zip: San Diego, California 92107
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6) [redacted]
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953129 - Grace's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:49:54 PM
City/State/Zip: Yorktown Heights, New York 10598
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953130 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:49:56 PM
City/State/Zip: Waukegan, Illinois 60085
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953131 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:50:01 PM

City/State/Zip: Baltimore, Maryland 21201

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

I was one of many Americans who wrote to the FCC to support strong net neutrality provisions during the open comment period; I was relieved and happy when the FCC agreed to protect the open internet by banning providers from speeding preferred content or slowing non-preferred content.

I am writing now to ask the FCC to take action on Comcast's attempts to skirt the rules by using so-called "zero rating" policy. The scheme involves placing data caps on their broadband customers, who have historically been charged a flat monthly rate for service, then telling customers that their use of Comcast's streaming tv/movie service will not count against the data cap. Comcast and its lawyers consider that this is not technically barred by the FCC net neutrality rules, because it doesn't explicitly speed/slow content. However, this is a clear violation of the spirit of the net neutrality rules, which were implemented to prevent ISPs from exploiting their control over people's access to the internet to hinder access to content they would rather customers didn't access.

Comcast is very clearly using this data cap scheme to guide customers to their streaming content while deterring customers from using competing streaming services. Obviously if Comcast and other providers feel that costs or unbalanced usage of their internet service by customers utilizing streaming media require them to institute a data cap or otherwise change their pricing structure, that is their business. But they should not be allowed to create exemptions to these data caps in a way that steers users to or away from particular content providers. Either cap the data or don't, across the board!

I urge you to not be persuaded by claims by Comcast and other providers that they need these selective, "zero rating" schemes to somehow provide better service to their customers or lower costs. The only people they are providing better service for are their stockholders.

This comment is being provided through a consumer advocacy group and therefore I am not providing my phone number (for privacy reasons). I can be contacted instead at my e-mail address and am happy to provide a phone number to a verifiable representative of the FCC.
Ticket: # 953132 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:50:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Portsmouth, Ohio 45662
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953137 - XXXXXXXX XXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:50:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Fishers, Indiana 46038
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not
be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and
how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T
created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps.
Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their
caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee -
in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and
racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and
non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer
have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps,
the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me
who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other
Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t
want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the
costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of
money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I
request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet
rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these
kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make
these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should
not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where
and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so
Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’
data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts
against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to
enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on
the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small
companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls
and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to
have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the
caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users
like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other
Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t
want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the
costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a
lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our
democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the
Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953142 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:50:37 PM
City/State/Zip: Hillsboro, Oregon 97123
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953143 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:50:38 PM
City/State/Zip: Raleigh, North Carolina 27604
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[Redacted]
Ticket: # 953162 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:53:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Lenexa, Kansas 66215
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953145 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:50:47 PM
City/State/Zip: Bloomingdale, New Jersey 07403
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953148 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:51:16 PM

City/State/Zip: Malden On Hudson, New York 12453

Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953150 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:51:22 PM
City/State/Zip: Atwater, Ohio 44201
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953151 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:51:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Gainesville, Florida 32605
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953152 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:51:31 PM
City/State/Zip: Port Saint Lucie, Florida 34987
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953154 - XXXXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:52:01 PM
City/State/Zip: Brookhaven, Pennsylvania 19015
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953155 - XXXXXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:52:07 PM
City/State/Zip: Lewis Run, Pennsylvania 16738
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953158 - Ann's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:52:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Boulder Creek, California 95006
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of public and business internet users who spoke out (I'm in both those categories, and filed a public comment on that regulation). But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

As the owner of a small media company, I rely on fast, reliable internet in my small home office, and I rely on common carrier ISPs (in my case Comcast) to provide that connection. I have no choice for a local provider that can provide the speeds I need, so I have to place my trust in the FCC to regulate Comcast to provide the service I need and treat my traffic equally.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. My own content is metered, but the content Comcast sells gets through unmetered. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage, and to the disadvantage of small, independent media producers and other network-heavy small businesses who cannot (or do not want to) distribute their content through Comcast's systems. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, it hurts independent producers, and it hurts the online video services (big and small) that I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.
Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953160 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:52:38 PM
City/State/Zip: Lenexa, Kansas 66215
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. You should not be making this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses — except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953163 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:53:09 PM
City/State/Zip: Ann Arbor, Michigan 48103
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not
be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and
how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T
created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps.
Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their
caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee -
in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and
racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and
non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer
have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps,
the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me
who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other
Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t
want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the
costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of
money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy.
I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet
rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these
kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make
these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953165 - XXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:53:27 PM
City/State/Zip: Highland Park, Illinois 60035
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

FCC: I urge you to put a stop to the Verizon & Comcast "zero rating" scam! It's a transparent violation of net neutrality!

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953166 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:53:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Alpine, Utah 84004
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953169 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:53:50 PM
City/State/Zip: Phoenix, Arizona 85053
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953172 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:54:08 PM
City/State/Zip: Nashville, Tennessee 37219
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953173 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:54:08 PM
City/State/Zip: East Orange, New Jersey 07018
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953175 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:54:11 PM
City/State/Zip: Phoenix, Arizona 85044
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[b] (6)
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953180 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:54:50 PM
City/State/Zip: Bakersfield, California 93309
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953181 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:55:02 PM
City/State/Zip: Aurora, Colorado 80012
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953183 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:55:14 PM
City/State/Zip: Austin, Texas 78746
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953186 - XXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:55:31 PM
City/State/Zip: El Cerrito, California 94530
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953187 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:55:31 PM
City/State/Zip: Gainesville, Florida 32605
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don't want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don't pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953189 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:55:32 PM
City/State/Zip: Honaunau, Hawaii 96726
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll for a fee in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That's not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953191 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:56:01 PM
City/State/Zip: Columbus, Ohio 43203
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953201 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:57:45 PM
City/State/Zip: Chester, New Hampshire 03036
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953194 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:56:32 PM
City/State/Zip: Los Angeles, California 90033
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not
be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T
created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps.
Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their
caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee -
in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and
racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and
non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer
have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps,
the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me
who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other
Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t
want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the
costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of
money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy.
I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet
rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these
kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make
these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953195 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:56:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Haddon Heights, New Jersey 08035
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

Thank you.
Ticket: # 953197 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:56:41 PM
City/State/Zip: Lytle, Texas 78052
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
I am a senior citizen on a limited budget. My internet/wireless connection has already slowed down and sometimes loses any connection at all. Now AT&T has informed me that they will charge me as follows: ($10 charge for each 50GB of data you use over the allowance amount. The maximum overage charge will be $100/mo. If you choose to bundle your U-verse Internet with DIRECTV® or U-verse TV you will be provided an unlimited Internet data allowance with a $30 value at no additional charge, as a benefit of bundling). This is not net neutrality and I thoroughly agree with the following information.

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.
Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953199 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:56:54 PM
City/State/Zip: Roseburg, Oregon 97471
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. So now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating new scams that are another serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it and this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so what does Verizon do, charge websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. That way users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps so if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This is just a toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers will face cost barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. Not really the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low, lower caps means more pressure on websites to pay up. So these "programs" ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (that cost just gets passed on to me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. The FCC needs to investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

In sum, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon will find any way they can to get around the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These scams need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953200 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:57:12 PM
City/State/Zip: Forest Hills, New York 11375
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953203 - XXXX XXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:57:54 PM
City/State/Zip: New Rochelle, New York 10804
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953206 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:57:57 PM
City/State/Zip: Lexington, South Carolina 29073
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953238 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:00:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97210
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953208 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:57:58 PM
City/State/Zip: San Francisco, California 94115
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953209 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:58:00 PM
City/State/Zip: Kapaau, Hawaii 96755
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953211 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 2:58:02 PM
City/State/Zip: San Francisco, California 94114
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953219 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:58:34 PM
City/State/Zip: Lansing, Michigan 48911
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953222 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:58:40 PM
City/State/Zip: Gaithersburg, Maryland 20882
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953223 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:58:45 PM
City/State/Zip: Mishawaka, Indiana 46544
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953224 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:58:48 PM
City/State/Zip: Richmond, Virginia 23220
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953228 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:59:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Augusta, Georgia 30907
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953229 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 2:59:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Durham, California 95938
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953251 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 3:01:56 PM
City/State/Zip: Great Neck, New York 11023
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953234 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:00:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Port Allegany, Pennsylvania 16743
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953235 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:00:33 PM
City/State/Zip: Poughkeepsie, New York 12604
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953248 - Bruce's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:01:41 PM  
City/State/Zip: St Pete Beach, Florida 33706  
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953243 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:01:25 PM
City/State/Zip: Burlington, Vermont 05408
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953245 - XXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:01:30 PM
City/State/Zip: New York, New York 10025
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953246 - XXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:01:32 PM
City/State/Zip: Brooklyn, New York 11230
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953249 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:01:45 PM
City/State/Zip: Chicago, Illinois 60654
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953250 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 3:01:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Boulder, Colorado 80304
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953256 - XX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:02:18 PM
City/State/Zip: Addy, Washington 99101
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953260 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:02:36 PM
City/State/Zip: American Fork, Utah 84003
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll – for a fee – in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953261 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:02:38 PM
City/State/Zip: Tracy, California 95391
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953262 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:02:43 PM
City/State/Zip: Albuquerque, New Mexico 87122
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953263 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:02:47 PM

City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98116

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953265 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:03:06 PM
City/State/Zip: Indianapolis, Indiana 46240
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953267 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:03:25 PM
City/State/Zip: Arlington, Virginia 22209
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953268 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:03:27 PM

City/State/Zip: Towson, Maryland 21204

Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll for a fee in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953269 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:03:52 PM
City/State/Zip: Lowville, New York 13367
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953270 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:03:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Biddeford, Maine 04005
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953271 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:03:57 PM
City/State/Zip: Albuquerque, New Mexico 87123
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953272 - X XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:04:06 PM
City/State/Zip: Glenview, Illinois 60025
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953273 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:04:09 PM
City/State/Zip: Arlington, Virginia 22209
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953276 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:04:18 PM
City/State/Zip: Thousand Oaks, California 91360
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

Please stop this! The Internet should be free and open to everyone. No person or company should ever be able to "control" the internet.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953277 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:04:25 PM
City/State/Zip: Lexington, Massachusetts 02420
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953282 - XXXX XXXXX's XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:05:17 PM
City/State/Zip: San Francisco, California 94130
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses — except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953292 - XX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:06:16 PM
City/State/Zip: Mountain View, Missouri 65548
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953286 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:05:22 PM
City/State/Zip: San Bernardino, California 92404
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953287 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:05:31 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97210
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953288 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 3:05:37 PM
City/State/Zip: Steilacoom, Washington 98388
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Comcast has been providing me with high speed internet service and well over my current 250GB cap for years without problem. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. I never paid for this cap in the past, and they have recently introduced this cap in an attempt to punish me for canceling my cable TV subscription. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, punishes those that chose the internet over cable TV subscription service who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953289 - XXXXXXXXX XXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:05:38 PM
City/State/Zip: Saint Louis, Missouri 63122
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Hey! Just stop it. Stop trying to squelch our free and open internet in the interest of making more money for no reason other than to have more money. That is greedy and selfish and I will not stand for it, especially when it comes at the cost of my Internet experience and the experiences of millions like me. Open up the closed doors here people, you can't take make secret deals about things that affect me. NOT OK!

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953291 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:05:42 PM
City/State/Zip: Oakland, California 94612
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953293 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:06:21 PM
City/State/Zip: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107

Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953294 - Emily's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 3:06:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Fort Worth, Texas 76177
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers' data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That's not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don't want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don't pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As a FORMER AT&T customer, I don't want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

I AM NO LONGER AN AT&T CUSTOMER DUE TO THIS AND OTHER UNFAIR, GREEDY AND MANIPULATIVE TACTICS.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953295 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:06:47 PM
City/State/Zip: Chicago, Illinois 60618
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953296 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:06:54 PM
City/State/Zip: New York, New York 10036
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953297 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:06:54 PM
City/State/Zip: Langley, Washington 98260
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953306 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 3:08:01 PM
City/State/Zip: Phoenix, Arizona 85040
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953315 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:09:36 PM
City/State/Zip: San Francisco, California 94109
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953300 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:07:11 PM
City/State/Zip: Butte, Montana 59701
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953304 - XXXX XXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

**Date:** 5/5/2016 3:08:00 PM

**City/State/Zip:** Atlanta, Georgia 30317

**Company Complaining About:** AT&T

---

**Description**

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953305 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:08:00 PM
City/State/Zip: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19136
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953308 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:08:08 PM
City/State/Zip: Rochester, New York 14620
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses — except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953310 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:08:18 PM
City/State/Zip: Columbus, Ohio 43204
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953311 - XXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:08:18 PM
City/State/Zip: Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73127
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953312 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:08:31 PM
City/State/Zip: Mountlake Terrace, Washington 98043
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953314 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:09:30 PM

City/State/Zip: Minneapolis, Minnesota 55408

Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953316 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:09:52 PM
City/State/Zip: Porter, Texas 77365
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953320 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:11:00 PM  
City/State/Zip: Jeffersonville, Indiana 47130  
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953323 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:11:10 PM
City/State/Zip: Irving, Texas 75063
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953324 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:11:13 PM
City/State/Zip: Elizabeth, New Jersey 07202
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953326 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:11:44 PM

City/State/Zip: Elizabeth, New Jersey 07202

Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953342 - XXXXXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:13:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Annapolis, Maryland 21403
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953360 - XX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:16:20 PM
City/State/Zip: Elmira, California 95625
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953336 - XXXXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 3:12:46 PM
City/State/Zip: Annapolis, Maryland 21403
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953339 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:12:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Pomona, Missouri 65789
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953340 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 3:13:10 PM
City/State/Zip: Mentor, Ohio 44060
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953343 - XXXXXX XXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:14:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Lake Bluff, Illinois 60044
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953346 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:14:17 PM

City/State/Zip: Minneapolis, Minnesota 55408

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953348 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 3:14:28 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97211
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953351 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:15:06 PM
City/State/Zip: Brooklyn, New York 11206
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953354 - XX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:15:25 PM
City/State/Zip: Elmira, California 95625
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953395 - Gayla's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating  
Date: 5/5/2016 3:20:33 PM  
City/State/Zip: Louisburg, North Carolina 27549  
Company Complaining About: AT&T  

Description  
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953357 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 3:15:56 PM
City/State/Zip: Kalamazoo, Michigan 49007
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953358 - Stephen's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:16:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Marshfield, Massachusetts 02050
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953359 - XXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:16:16 PM
City/State/Zip: Chatsworth, California 91311
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953361 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:16:22 PM
City/State/Zip: Warren, New Jersey 07059
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953364 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:17:10 PM
City/State/Zip: South Barrington, Illinois 60010
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953368 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:17:47 PM
City/State/Zip: Clemson, South Carolina 29631
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses—except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953379 - XXXXX XXXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:18:58 PM  
City/State/Zip: Yorklyn, Delaware 19736  
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 966389 - XXXX XXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/9/2016 12:49:14 PM  
City/State/Zip: Kirkland, Washington 98033  
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Millions of people spoke up to preserve net neutrality and the FCC heard. The FCC responded to us by protecting the open Internet with strong Net Neutrality rules.

I am informed that the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953371 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:18:02 PM
City/State/Zip: Euless, Texas 76039
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953372 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 3:18:03 PM
City/State/Zip: East Hartford, Connecticut 06108
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953376 - XXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:18:23 PM
City/State/Zip: El Dorado Hills, California 95762
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953378 - Ellen's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 3:18:52 PM
City/State/Zip: Los Angeles, California 90025
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953380 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 3:18:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Sun City, Arizona 85351
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet. For many people, access to the Internet is nearly impossible, except in going to the library. Equal access to public information must be protected and allowed.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953382 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

**Date:** 5/5/2016 3:19:07 PM
**City/State/Zip:** Hayward, California 94545
**Company Complaining About:** Comcast

**Description**
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953383 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:19:09 PM
City/State/Zip: Atlanta, Georgia 30338
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953384 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 3:19:17 PM
City/State/Zip: Long Beach, California 90803
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

The homogenization of the internet population is yet one more step toward the fraying of real democracy and simultaneously toward the whim of the power brokers. The sunlight of transparency always levels the playing field. Let us always honor the values of the people.
Ticket: # 953385 - XXXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:19:21 PM
City/State/Zip: Chicago, Illinois 60622
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953386 - Ellen's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:19:25 PM
City/State/Zip: Los Angeles, California 90025
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953387 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:19:34 PM
City/State/Zip: Augusta, Georgia 30909
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953388 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:19:39 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97213
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I have been a Comcast customer for more than a decade, and if I had any credible alternative, I would switch in an instant. They are pretty much the worst utility I have ever dealt with.

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953390 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:19:57 PM
City/State/Zip: Rotonda West, Florida 33947
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC's net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953391 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 3:20:01 PM
City/State/Zip: Ames, Iowa 50010
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953400 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:21:30 PM
City/State/Zip: Austin, Texas 78752
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953394 - XXXXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 3:20:30 PM
City/State/Zip: Kansas City, Missouri 64109
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953396 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 3:20:38 PM
City/State/Zip: Richmond, California 94804
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That's not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don't want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don't pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don't want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953397 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:20:42 PM
City/State/Zip: Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27516
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953398 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:20:59 PM
City/State/Zip: Augusta, Georgia 30907
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

And yes, I did read this entire thing, and I agree with every bit of it. In fact, every bit should be strengthened. Don't let the monopoly that is Comcast destroy America's already sub-par internet infrastructure.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953404 - Rebecca's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:21:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Pittsfield, Massachusetts 01201
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953407 - XXXXX X's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:22:07 PM
City/State/Zip: Ashburn, Virginia 20147
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953409 - Lauren's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:22:16 PM
City/State/Zip: El Segundo, California 90245
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enrollind AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953412 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:22:43 PM
City/State/Zip: Londonderry, New Hampshire 03053
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Please, I beg you pay attention to this. Please do not allow telcos and ISPs to prioritize traffic. They should focus on providing excellent connectivity and nothing else.

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953413 - XXX XXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:22:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Fort Worth, Texas 76108
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953417 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:23:10 PM

City/State/Zip: Londonderry, New Hampshire 03053

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Please, I beg you pay attention to this. Please do not allow telcos and ISPs to prioritize traffic. They should focus on providing excellent connectivity and nothing else.

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953419 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:23:12 PM
City/State/Zip: Riverwoods, Illinois 60015
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953422 - XXXXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:23:47 PM
City/State/Zip: San Francisco, California 94132
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953423 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:23:48 PM
City/State/Zip: Grand Junction, Colorado 81501
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Verizon customer, I should be able to choose whichever site I wish to access regardless of whether or not Verizon has financial ties to it.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Verizon hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Please take this opportunity to remind Verizon and the other companies pushing this end-run around the FCC's regulatory authority that their ability to do business is at the pleasure of the People of this
country--and that the People are not pleased by their ongoing shenanigans. Thank you for your efforts in that regard.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953425 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 3:24:02 PM
City/State/Zip: Delray Beach, Florida 33484
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not
be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and
how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T
created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps.
Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their
caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee -
in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and
racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and
non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer
have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps,
the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me
who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other
Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t
want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the
costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of
money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy.
I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet
rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these
kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make
these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953427 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:24:08 PM
City/State/Zip: San Diego, California 92103
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953428 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:24:19 PM
City/State/Zip: Pasadena, Texas 77505
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953439 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:25:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Tacoma, Washington 98444
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet. I am appalled that this thinly veiled attack on a free and open Internet continues to reappear after the original Net Neutrality ruling.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As an American customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953440 - XXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:26:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Beaverton, Oregon 97006
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953441 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 3:26:12 PM
City/State/Zip: Dover, New Hampshire 03820
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953445 - XXXX XXXXX’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:26:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Pompano Beach, Florida 33069
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953446 - XXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:26:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Beaverton, Oregon 97006
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953471 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:30:01 PM  
City/State/Zip: Medford, Massachusetts 02155  
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953451 - XXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:27:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Golden, Colorado 80401
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
com·pro·mise  (kŏm′prə-mĭz′)
n.
1.
a. A settlement of differences in which each side makes concessions.
b. The result of such a settlement.

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.
Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953454 - X XX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:27:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Inver Grove Heights, Minnesota 55076
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953468 - XXXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:29:21 PM
City/State/Zip: Naples, Florida 34119
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953461 - X XX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:28:41 PM
City/State/Zip: Inver Grove Heights, Minnesota 55076
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953472 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:30:13 PM
City/State/Zip: Salt Lake City, Utah 84105
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953464 - X XXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:29:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Port Orchard, Washington 98366
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953465 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:29:07 PM
City/State/Zip: Southborough, Massachusetts 01772
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953470 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:29:55 PM
City/State/Zip: North Hollywood, California 91601
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC's net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[5] (6)
Ticket: # 953473 - XXXXX XXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:30:17 PM
City/State/Zip: Morehead, Kentucky 40351
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953474 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

**Date:** 5/5/2016 3:30:25 PM  
**City/State/Zip:** Lakewood, Washington 98499  
**Company Complaining About:** Verizon

**Description**
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if "web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953475 - XX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:30:25 PM
City/State/Zip: Grosse Pointe Woods, Michigan 48236
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953476 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:30:27 PM
City/State/Zip: Iowa City, Iowa 52240
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953479 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:31:09 PM
City/State/Zip: Terre Haute, Indiana 47803
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953480 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 3:31:37 PM
City/State/Zip: Bainbridge Island, Washington 98110
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953481 - Chase's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:31:42 PM
City/State/Zip: Morgantown, West Virginia 26508
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953482 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:31:50 PM
City/State/Zip: Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15236
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don't want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don't pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953486 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:32:26 PM
City/State/Zip: Fair Oaks, California 95628
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Stop the end run around your own ruling and expectations.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953491 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:33:02 PM
City/State/Zip: Bakersfield, California 93301
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953494 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:33:15 PM
City/State/Zip: Long Beach, California 90803
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953495 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:33:15 PM
City/State/Zip: Stephens City, Virginia 22655
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953497 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 3:33:28 PM
City/State/Zip: Aurora, Illinois 60505
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[Redacted]
Ticket: # 953528 - XXXXXXXXXXX XXXXXX’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:37:27 PM
City/State/Zip: Furlong, Pennsylvania 18925
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953503 - XXXX Xxxxxxxxxx's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 3:34:21 PM
City/State/Zip: Huntington Beach, California 92646
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email. 

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953506 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:34:35 PM

City/State/Zip: Highland, Indiana 46322

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953510 - christopher's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:35:20 PM
City/State/Zip: Sicklerville, New Jersey 08081
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953511 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 3:35:28 PM
City/State/Zip: Fremont, California 94538
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953512 - XXXXX XXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:35:40 PM
City/State/Zip: Morehead, Kentucky 40351
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll for a fee in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953514 - XXXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:36:06 PM

City/State/Zip: Cuyahoga Falls, Ohio 44221

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953516 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:36:15 PM
City/State/Zip: Atlanta, Georgia 30308
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953522 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:36:47 PM
City/State/Zip: Beaverton, Oregon 97006
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses—except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953541 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:38:33 PM
City/State/Zip: Rancho Cucamonga, California 91701
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953527 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:37:26 PM
City/State/Zip: Corpus Christi, Texas 78414
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953531 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:37:47 PM
City/State/Zip: Cedar Creek, Texas 78612
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953538 - Xxxxxxx xxx's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:38:07 PM
City/State/Zip: Cedar Creek, Texas 78612
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953540 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 3:38:17 PM
City/State/Zip: Menasha, Wisconsin 54952
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953544 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:39:14 PM
City/State/Zip: Saint George, Utah 84770
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953545 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:39:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Chanhassen, Minnesota 55317
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953570 - XXXXXX XXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:42:11 PM
City/State/Zip: Plainville, Massachusetts 02762
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953551 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:40:08 PM
City/State/Zip: Jacksonville, Florida 32217
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953553 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:40:22 PM
City/State/Zip: Beaverton, Oregon 97006
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953554 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:40:26 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97220
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953568 - XXXX XXXXXX’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:41:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Irvine, California 92618
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953559 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:40:50 PM
City/State/Zip: Oakland, California 94607
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953573 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:42:38 PM
City/State/Zip: Shelby, Ohio 44875
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can't be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile's substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953565 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 3:41:09 PM
City/State/Zip: Chanhassen, Minnesota 55317
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953574 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating  
Date: 5/5/2016 3:42:39 PM  
City/State/Zip: Fowler, California 93625  
Company Complaining About: T Mobile 

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953575 - XXXX XXXXXX’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:42:48 PM
City/State/Zip: Ferndale, Michigan 48220
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953579 - Sydney's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:43:27 PM
City/State/Zip: Baltimore, Maryland 21239
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet. Everyone has lost sight of the fact that the success of the worldwideweb/internet was created by small startups and individuals, and now Internet providers/monopolies are gouging and controlling every aspect. Their profits are already obscene and what's more despicable is they are becoming the greatest dictators if our time!

Verizon is is one of the monopolies breaking the rules, and the FCC must put a stop to it. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay they’ll need to enroll (for a fee) in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. Penalizing the same groups that attributed to the success of the Internet. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

These plans hinder my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. Punishing me and all customers for using sites that don’t pay the toll while unfairly raising the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated.

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. The FCC must investigate Verizon and the other providers for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

These practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them, penalizing everyone on the Internet; except the providers that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers - the dictators of the internet. My hope is you understand this not only affects me and all of their customers, but YOU and YOUR FAMILY!
The FCC must protect all consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon and all providers. Moreover, Congress should ensure that the FCC insists these providers follow the rules, and not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953582 - XXXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 3:44:15 PM
City/State/Zip: Mountain View, California 94043
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953585 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:44:49 PM
City/State/Zip: New York, New York 10019
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953587 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:45:04 PM
City/State/Zip: San Francisco, California 94122
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953602 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:46:52 PM
City/State/Zip: Katy, Texas 77450
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953593 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 3:46:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Santa Fe, New Mexico 87508
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953596 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:46:14 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97206
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953600 - XXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:46:37 PM
City/State/Zip: Rio Rancho, New Mexico 87144
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953603 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:46:59 PM
City/State/Zip: Oakland, California 94610
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953616 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:49:14 PM
City/State/Zip: Ellenwood, Georgia 30294
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953617 - XXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:49:18 PM
City/State/Zip: Glen Rock, New Jersey 07452
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953606  - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:47:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Oakland, California 94610
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953608 - XXXXXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:47:41 PM
City/State/Zip: Milpitas, California 95035
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953609 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 3:47:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Ellenwood, Georgia 30294
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I’d like to preface my complaint with this. Already i am paying an exorbitant amount extra to have decent Internet access due to Comcast's data caps, which are there only to prevent people from "threatening" their video services. I have to have both Xfinity TV and Comcast business Internet - otherwise my internet usage would cap out in less than a week per month. I know this is a streaming strategy, because a Comcast installer told me, and I watched the usage fly up while watching streaming video. You need to do something to end these practices!

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these
kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make
these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number
of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953611 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:48:20 PM
City/State/Zip: Urbana, Illinois 61802
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953612 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 3:48:39 PM
City/State/Zip: Peekskill, New York 10566
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Tickets: # 953618 - XX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

**Date:** 5/5/2016 3:49:22 PM  
**City/State/Zip:** Los Angeles, California 90019  
**Company Complaining About:** AT&T

---

**Description**

Please continue the Net Neutrality process. Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953620 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:49:45 PM
City/State/Zip: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19114
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953627 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating  
Date: 5/5/2016 3:51:20 PM  
City/State/Zip: Olympia, Washington 98502  
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953628 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:51:33 PM
City/State/Zip: Bonifay, Florida 32425
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953629 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:51:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Azusa, California 91702
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953631 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:52:10 PM
City/State/Zip: Doral, Florida 33178
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don't want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don't pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953632 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:52:12 PM
City/State/Zip: Santa Rosa, California 95405
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953633 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:52:19 PM
City/State/Zip: Oregon City, Oregon 97045
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953637 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 3:52:33 PM
City/State/Zip: Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27516
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953638 - XXXXXXX Xxxxxxx's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:53:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Benicia, California 94510
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953640 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:53:13 PM
City/State/Zip: Princeton, New Jersey 08542
Company Complaining About: Comcast

**Description**

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953642 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 3:53:18 PM
City/State/Zip: Glendale, Arizona 85308
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953644 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:53:59 PM
City/State/Zip: Washington, New Jersey 07882
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953646 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:54:41 PM
City/State/Zip: Concord, California 94520
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953650 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:55:10 PM
City/State/Zip: Tacoma, Washington 98422
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953654 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:55:12 PM
City/State/Zip: Winston Salem, North Carolina 27106
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953655 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:55:16 PM
City/State/Zip: Minneapolis, Minnesota 55422
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can't be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers' data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile's substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953656 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:55:18 PM
City/State/Zip: Saint Louis, Missouri 63119
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should
not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where
and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so
Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’
data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts
against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to
enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on
the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small
companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls
and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to
have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the
caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users
like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other
Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t
want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the
costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a
lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our
democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the
Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953674 - XXXXXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:57:41 PM
City/State/Zip: Biloxi, Mississippi 39531
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953675 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:57:43 PM

City/State/Zip: Colfax, Washington 99111

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953676 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 3:57:49 PM
City/State/Zip: Elk Grove, California 95624
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953680 - XXXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 3:58:12 PM
City/State/Zip: Morehead City, North Carolina 28557
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953704 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:02:16 PM
City/State/Zip: Santa Monica, California 90405
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953687 - Frederick's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 3:59:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Estero, Florida 33928
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953688 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:00:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Henrico, Virginia 23238
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953710 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:03:25 PM
City/State/Zip: Augusta, Georgia 30906
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953690 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXX's XXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:00:20 PM
City/State/Zip: Lenox, Michigan 48048
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[b] (6)
Ticket: # 953691 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:00:22 PM
City/State/Zip: Lenox, Michigan 48048
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953696 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:01:05 PM
City/State/Zip: Jacksonville, Florida 32224
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953699 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:01:43 PM
City/State/Zip: Jupiter, Florida 33477
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses—except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953701 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating  
(Date: 5/5/2016 4:01:50 PM)  
City/State/Zip: Corvallis, Oregon 97333  
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953705 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:02:19 PM
City/State/Zip: Los Angeles, California 90066
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953707 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:02:34 PM
City/State/Zip: Corvallis, Oregon 97333
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953708 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:02:37 PM

City/State/Zip: Lake Station, Indiana 46405

Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953709 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:02:45 PM
City/State/Zip: Buffalo, New York 14226
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953712 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:03:39 PM
City/State/Zip: Brooklyn, New York 11223
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953731 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:06:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Kenai, Alaska 99611
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy.

I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953715 - XXXXXX XXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:03:49 PM
City/State/Zip: Los Osos, California 93402
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953716 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:03:49 PM
City/State/Zip: Waldorf, Maryland 20602
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953718 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:03:57 PM
City/State/Zip: Greenwood, South Carolina 29649
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953720 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:04:17 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97222
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953722 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:04:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Mendon, Massachusetts 01756
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953724 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:05:16 PM
City/State/Zip: Metamora, Indiana 47030
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953725 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:05:31 PM
City/State/Zip: Champaign, Illinois 61821
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[redacted]
Ticket: # 953730 - Laura's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:06:38 PM
City/State/Zip: Arlington, Massachusetts 02476
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953733 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:07:20 PM
City/State/Zip: Gardnerville, Nevada 89460
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953734 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:07:30 PM
City/State/Zip: Alfred, New York 14802
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Today is the FCC’s last day to accept comments on Net Neutrality. The FCC must act to protect your right to choose what you watch online without Comcast controlling what you can and can’t see. 

Here’s how you can tell the FCC to do the right thing:

1. **Comment:** Fill out a comment in the FCC’s docket.
   - [Link to the docket](https://www.fcc.gov/edocket-comments)
   - Your comment: "Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

2. **Email:** Write to FCC commissioners.
   - [Email template](https://www.net neutrality.org/contact)
   - "I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection."

3. **Tweet:** Share the FCC’s docket with your followers.
   - Use the hashtag #SaveNetNeutrality

4. **Call:** Contact your elected representatives.
   - [Find your representatives](https://www.govtrack.us)
   - "I want the FCC to protect the open Internet and stop Comcast from interfering with my choice of video services.

5. **Sign:** Petition the FCC to protect the open Internet.
   - [Sign the petition](https://www.netneutrality.org/petition)

6. **Follow:** Stay updated on the FCC’s decision.
   - [Net Neutrality on Twitter](https://twitter.com/NetNeutrality)

Make your voice heard today! The FCC must act to protect your rights online. 

---

If you need help with your comment, here’s a guide:

**1. Personalize your comment.**
   - Why are you commenting today?
   - What does Net Neutrality mean to you?

**2. Be specific.**
   - Describe the practices Comcast is using.
   - Explain how these practices affect you.

**3. Show your work.**
   - Reference the FCC’s docket.
   - Cite sources.

**4. Be clear.**
   - "Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

---

The FCC must act to protect the open Internet. Please join us in telling the FCC to do the right thing!

---

Net Neutrality

Find us on Twitter: NetNeutrality

Like us on Facebook: Net Neutrality
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953742 - XXXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:10:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Upland, California 91786
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile's substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953743 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:10:40 PM
City/State/Zip: Lake Elsinore, California 92530
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953745 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:10:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Ogden, Utah 84401
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953746 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:11:14 PM
City/State/Zip: Boulder, Colorado 80301
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953748 - Tait's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating  
Date: 5/5/2016 4:11:52 PM  
City/State/Zip: Fort Collins, Colorado 80525  
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953749 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:12:14 PM
City/State/Zip: Wheaton, Illinois 60189
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953752 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:12:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Crowley, Texas 76036
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953753 - XXXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:12:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Melvindale, Michigan 48122
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953755 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:13:14 PM
City/State/Zip: Wheaton, Illinois 60189
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953756 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:13:16 PM
City/State/Zip: Chillicothe, Ohio 45601
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953764 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:14:17 PM
City/State/Zip: Colfax, Washington 99111
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy.
I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953772 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:14:42 PM
City/State/Zip: New Britain, Connecticut 06053
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953773 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:14:47 PM
City/State/Zip: Picayune, Mississippi 39466
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953778 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:15:15 PM
City/State/Zip: Providence, Rhode Island 02909
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers' data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That's not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don't want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don't pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don't want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953780 - XXXXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:15:18 PM
City/State/Zip: Ann Arbor, Michigan 48103
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[Redacted]
Ticket: # 953782 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:15:27 PM
City/State/Zip: Loch Hill, Maryland 21239
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses — except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953791 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:16:07 PM  
City/State/Zip: Bethlehem, Pennsylvania 18015  
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
As a consumer there is little choice in internet service. Sure, there may be a single cable provider, one or two dsl (which is technologically inferior) providers and a handful of wireless services. They are all however pretty much doing the same thing.. they are reducing their bandwidth caps and increasing their prices year after year.

On the surface by offering to allow consumers to consume bandwidth from certain services without counting against our shrinking bandwidth allotments carriers seem to be offering us something more. I would argue however that this is actually something less. It is in effect steering us to favor those services possibly even to the complete exclusion of others. This practice flies against the very core of net neutrality principles.

The continuation of this practice will harm all consumers by preventing new players from competing in the content market. It will also allow the shrinking of data caps to continue or even accelerate as doubtlessly the biggest current content providers will be among the first to pay for this preferred service. Consumers will see their reported data use dropping making them more amicable to purchasing plans with lower limits. This will ensure that there is no room in the average consumer’s monthly bandwidth budget to try any new content providers. This ensures a future where the big names in media are the same or a subset of the big names today and the only changes to content are stagnation not innovation.

This will also be the death of any sort of in the home amateur technological development. Take the 'Maker movement' and the prevalence of 'Internet of Things' devices. Who would set up for example their own home security system when accessing while away (probably through their cellphones) results in big data charges? Meanwhile they can rent (never own) a black box package from some big company, in some cases maybe even the internet provider themselves and it will be exempt from counting against their bandwidth?

Historically the FCC has been a great supporter of building and maintaining a technologically competent public. (See part 97 97.1a for example) Increasingly the Internet is replacing all other means of communication and in a generation or two will likely be the only relevant medium. Please continue to help keep the future open technologically for everyone, not just a few large corporations.
Ticket: # 953793 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:16:17 PM
City/State/Zip: Poughquag, New York 12570
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953794 - Kevin's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:16:28 PM
City/State/Zip: Campbell, California 95008
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953795 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:16:29 PM
City/State/Zip: San Diego, California 92103
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953796 - XXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:16:34 PM
City/State/Zip: San Diego, California 92111
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953797 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:16:52 PM
City/State/Zip: Campbell, California 95008
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953802 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:17:08 PM
City/State/Zip: Wallingford, Connecticut 06492
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
I am tired of people trying to control what I watch and read on the Internet for their own gains. I already pay for a service and should not be limited as to how I use it. Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy.

I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953803 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:17:21 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97209
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953804 - Jeffrey gomes's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:17:23 PM
City/State/Zip: East Providence, Rhode Island 02914
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953806 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:17:27 PM
City/State/Zip: Saratoga Springs, Utah 84045
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953807 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:17:28 PM
City/State/Zip: Plainville, Connecticut 06062
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953809 - XXXXXXXX XXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:17:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Andover, Minnesota 55304
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC's net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b)(6)
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953811 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:17:40 PM
City/State/Zip: Albuquerque, New Mexico 87120
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll for a fee in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953812 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:17:41 PM
City/State/Zip: Valley Cottage, New York 10989
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953815 - Rhoda’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:17:53 PM
City/State/Zip: New York, New York 10003
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953817 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:17:57 PM
City/State/Zip: Richland, Washington 99354
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953818 - XXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:17:57 PM
City/State/Zip: Cape Coral, Florida 33914
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953819 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:18:02 PM
City/State/Zip: West Hills, California 91307
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953820 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:18:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Whitefield, Maine 04353
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953821 - Jerry's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:18:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Houston, Texas 77019
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953822 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:18:05 PM
City/State/Zip: Houston, Texas 77079
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953823 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:18:06 PM
City/State/Zip: Medford, Oregon 97504
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can't be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers' data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile's substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC's net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953824 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:18:07 PM
City/State/Zip: Fort Edward, New York 12828
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953825 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:18:08 PM
City/State/Zip: Goshen, Kentucky 40026
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953826 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:18:10 PM
City/State/Zip: Berkeley, California 94702
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile's substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953827 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:18:24 PM
City/State/Zip: Castro Valley, California 94552
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953828 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:18:27 PM
City/State/Zip: Tucson, Arizona 85745
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953829 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:18:27 PM

City/State/Zip: Millington, Tennessee 38053

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953830 - Sharon Barnes's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:18:28 PM
City/State/Zip: Encino, California 91436
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953831 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:18:28 PM
City/State/Zip: Lowell, Massachusetts 01852
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don't want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953834 - XXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:18:37 PM
City/State/Zip: Beaverton, Oregon 97007
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll for a fee in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[Redacted]
Ticket: # 953836 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:18:43 PM
City/State/Zip: Acton, Massachusetts 01720
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953837 - XXXXXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:18:43 PM
City/State/Zip: Aurora, Colorado 80010
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953838 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:18:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Medford, Oregon 97504
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953839 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:18:46 PM
City/State/Zip: Lowell, Massachusett 01852
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should
not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where
and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select
video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video
providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-
ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video
only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking
video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through
the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this
controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical
requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of
requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine
an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone
company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these
kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make
these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number
of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953844 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:19:02 PM
City/State/Zip: Valrico, Florida 33596
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953845 - XXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:19:06 PM
City/State/Zip: Saint Paul, Minnesota 55128
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953847 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:19:12 PM
City/State/Zip: Phoenix, Arizona 85016
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953849 - XXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:19:21 PM
City/State/Zip: Evans, Georgia 30809
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should
not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where
and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so
Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’
data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts
against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to
enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on
the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small
companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls
and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to
have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the
caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users
like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other
Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t
want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the
costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a
lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our
democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the
Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953850 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:19:33 PM
City/State/Zip: Redmond, Washington 98052
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[b] (6)
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953853 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:19:39 PM
City/State/Zip: West Warwick, Rhode Island 02893
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953854 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:20:01 PM
City/State/Zip: Austin, Texas 78756
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953867 - XXXXXXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:20:41 PM
City/State/Zip: Camdenton, Missouri 65020
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953856 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:20:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Baltimore, Maryland 21229
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953857 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:20:07 PM
City/State/Zip: Ellington, Connecticut 06029
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953858 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:20:10 PM
City/State/Zip: Elkhart, Indiana 46514
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
**Ticket: # 953859 - Dana's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating**

**Date:** 5/5/2016 4:20:12 PM  
**City/State/Zip:** Lombard, Illinois 60148  
**Company Complaining About:** Comcast

---

**Description**

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[b] [b] [b] [b]
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953865 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:20:32 PM
City/State/Zip: Brandon, Florida 33510
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953868 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:20:43 PM
City/State/Zip: Sebastopol, California 95472
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses—except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953870 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:20:45 PM
City/State/Zip: Anderson, South Carolina 29625
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953872 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:20:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Brooklyn, New York 11209
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That's not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don't want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don't pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don't want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953873 - XXXXXXXX XXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:20:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Tampa, Florida 33604
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953874 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:20:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Wilsonville, Oregon 97070
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953875 - XXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:20:56 PM
City/State/Zip: Aptos, California 95003
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953876 - XXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:20:57 PM
City/State/Zip: Pasadena, California 91101
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Cable and phone companies fought hard to destroy Net Neutrality are continue to pose threats to the open Internet.
AT&T is breaking FCC rules. Why has the FCC not put a stop to it?
The FCC must commit to open, public processes to enforce 'Open Internet' and neutrality rules.

Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from charging websites and apps to be in the fast lane. Now AT&T has created another toll, charging websites and apps to be exempted from onerous "data caps". This toll for data delivery on the Internet racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, smaller companies, and non-commercial sources will eventually face financial barriers by these new tolls. No longer will every person have a fair shot at reaching others online. That will destroy the sort of Internet which benefits USA society and political processes.

These programs create perverse incentives for AT&T to commandeer public resources. As AT&T sets lower 'data caps', pressure increases on websites to pay up. AT&T programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who will have less access to data to use on the apps I use. As an AT&T customer, I don't want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where only the wealthy receive neutral footing. That hurts the economy and our democracy. Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them.

The FCC's mandate exists to serve consumers and protect the USA public from all the varied abuses AT&T pursues. Make these companies follow the rule, and terminate their interference with FCC regulations.

I request that the FCC investigate AT&T, its 'zero rating' proposal, and all the variety of schemes developed to negate Open Internet rules.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953878 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:21:00 PM
City/State/Zip: Dorchester, Massachusetts 02122
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953879 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:21:01 PM
City/State/Zip: New Rochelle, New York 10805
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy.

I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953880 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:21:08 PM
City/State/Zip: Providence, Kentucky 42450
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953882 - XXXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:21:19 PM  
City/State/Zip: New York, New York 10028  
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create pernicious incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953884 - XXX XXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:21:22 PM
City/State/Zip: Evans, Georgia 30809
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953885 - XXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:21:22 PM
City/State/Zip: Aptos, California 95003
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953914 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:22:56 PM
City/State/Zip: Fairfax, Virginia 22031
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can't be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers' data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile's substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC's net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953889 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:21:32 PM  
City/State/Zip: Downers Grove, Illinois 60515  
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953891 - Danny's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:21:42 PM
City/State/Zip: Liberty, Missouri 64068
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953893 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:21:48 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97202
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953894 - XXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:21:50 PM
City/State/Zip: Los Angeles, California 90034
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not
be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and
how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T
created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps.
Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their
caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee -
in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and
racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and
non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer
have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These plans also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps,
the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me
who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other
Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t
want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the
costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of
money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy.
I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet
rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these
kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make
these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953895 - Brett’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:21:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Maine 04101
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953896 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:21:56 PM
City/State/Zip: Niles, Illinois 60714
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953898 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:22:06 PM
City/State/Zip: Herriman, Utah 84096
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953915 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:22:56 PM
City/State/Zip: Anchorage, Alaska 99504
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953899 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:22:10 PM
City/State/Zip: Medfield, Massachusetts 02052
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953900 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:22:14 PM
City/State/Zip: Westminster, Colorado 80023
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953901 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:22:16 PM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98103
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses — except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953902 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:22:16 PM
City/State/Zip: Wall Township, New Jersey 07719
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953903 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:22:18 PM
City/State/Zip: San Luis Obispo, California 93401
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953906 - XXXX XXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:22:24 PM
City/State/Zip: Hilliard, Ohio 43026
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many startups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953916 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:22:56 PM
City/State/Zip: Saint Louis, Missouri 63144
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953908 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:22:36 PM
City/State/Zip: Williston, Florida 32696
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953909 - XXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:22:43 PM
City/State/Zip: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19146
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953910 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:22:48 PM
City/State/Zip: San Jose, California 95132
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

**Description**

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953913 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:22:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Greeley, Colorado 80634
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses – except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953917 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:23:01 PM
City/State/Zip: Gaithersburg, Maryland 20878
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953918 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:23:01 PM
City/State/Zip: Austin, Texas 78741
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953919 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:23:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Niles, Illinois 60714
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953920 - XXXX XXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:23:08 PM
City/State/Zip: Whitestone, New York 11357
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953921 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:23:10 PM
City/State/Zip: Monroe, New Hampshire 03771
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description:

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953923 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:23:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Miami, Florida 33169
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953926 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:23:30 PM
City/State/Zip: Saint Louis, Missouri 63144
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953927 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:23:32 PM
City/State/Zip: Arcadia, California 91006
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should
not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where
and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select
video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video
providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-
ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video
only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking
video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through
the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this
controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical
requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of
requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine
an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone
company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these
kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make
these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number
of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953929 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:23:36 PM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98119
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953930 - XXXXXXXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:23:36 PM
City/State/Zip: San Jose, California 95123
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953931 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:23:37 PM
City/State/Zip: Nashua, New Hampshire 03062
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953932 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:23:38 PM
City/State/Zip: Houston, Texas 77045
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953933 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:23:40 PM
City/State/Zip: Glasgow, Kentucky 42141
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953936 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:23:45 PM
City/State/Zip: Waterbury, Connecticut 06710
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953938 - XXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:23:47 PM

City/State/Zip: Hollywood, Florida 33024

Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953941 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:23:52 PM
City/State/Zip: Marietta, Georgia 30066
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses--except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953942 - XXXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:23:54 PM
City/State/Zip: Houston, Texas 77045
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC's net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953944 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:23:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Chicago, Illinois 60615
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses—except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953946 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:24:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Sault Sainte Marie, Michigan 49783
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953948 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:24:08 PM
City/State/Zip: San Rafael, California 94903
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953949 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:24:12 PM
City/State/Zip: Auburn, Washington 98002
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953950 - XXXX XXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:24:14 PM
City/State/Zip: San Bruno, California 94066
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953951 - Caton's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:24:17 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97211
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953952 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:24:17 PM
City/State/Zip: Alameda, California 94501
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile's substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[5] (6)
Ticket: # 953953 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:24:22 PM
City/State/Zip: Shakopee, Minnesota 55379
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953955 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:24:38 PM
City/State/Zip: Bellevue, Washington 98006
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953956 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:24:39 PM
City/State/Zip: Jackson, Georgia 30233
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953959 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:24:43 PM
City/State/Zip: Springfield, Georgia 31329
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953961 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:24:52 PM
City/State/Zip: Plano, Texas 75093
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953963 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:24:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Lansdale, Pennsylvania 19446
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953964 - XXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:24:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Waterloo, Iowa 50703
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953966 - XXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:25:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Lake Geneva, Wisconsin 53147
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953968 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:25:08 PM
City/State/Zip: Los Angeles, California 90018
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953971 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:25:22 PM
City/State/Zip: Edmonds, Washington 98020
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953972 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:25:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Lancaster, Pennsylvania 17602
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953973 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:25:27 PM
City/State/Zip: Eastpointe, Michigan 48021
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953974 - XXXXX XXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:25:29 PM
City/State/Zip: Rio Rancho, New Mexico 87144
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953977 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:25:46 PM
City/State/Zip: Nanuet, New York 10954
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead — just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses — except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953993 - XXX XXXXXX’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:26:41 PM
City/State/Zip: Abington, Pennsylvania 19001
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953978 - XXXXX XXXX’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:25:47 PM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98102
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953987 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:26:25 PM
City/State/Zip: Little Egg Harbor Twp, New Jersey 08087
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953980 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:25:54 PM
City/State/Zip: Saint Albans, Vermont 05478
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953985 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:26:07 PM
City/State/Zip: Gainesville, Virginia 20155
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953986 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:26:17 PM
City/State/Zip: Waynesboro, Pennsylvania 17268
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953988 - XXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:26:29 PM
City/State/Zip: Lake Worth, Florida 33461
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

I CANCELED MY VERIZON ACCOUNT.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953990 - Bhavana's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:26:31 PM
City/State/Zip: Langley, Washington 98260
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[b] (6)
Ticket: # 953991 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:26:34 PM
City/State/Zip: Graniteville, South Carolina 29829
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953992 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:26:40 PM
City/State/Zip: Brooklyn, New York 11222
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953994 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:26:42 PM
City/State/Zip: Oxford, Georgia 30054
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 953995 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:26:45 PM
City/State/Zip: Los Angeles, California 90018
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953997 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:26:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Rollinsville, Colorado 80474
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953998 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:26:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Tacoma, Washington 98445
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 953999 - XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:26:57 PM
City/State/Zip: Myerstown, Pennsylvania 17067
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954000 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:27:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Provo, Utah 84606
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

 Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954003 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:27:15 PM
City/State/Zip: El Cerrito, California 94530
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should
not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where
and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select
video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video
providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-
ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video
only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking
video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through
the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this
controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical
requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of
requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine
an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone
company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these
types of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make
these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number
of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954007 - Jason's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:27:29 PM  
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97205  
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954008 - XXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:27:31 PM

City/State/Zip: Madison, Connecticut 06443

Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954009 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:27:32 PM
City/State/Zip: Austin, Texas 78749
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954011 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:27:36 PM
City/State/Zip: Kearny, New Jersey 07032
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954012 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:27:43 PM
City/State/Zip: Gloucester, Massachusetts 01930
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954014 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:27:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Victorville, California 92395
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954024 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:28:24 PM

City/State/Zip: Saint Paul, Minnesota 55116

Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954016 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:27:59 PM
City/State/Zip: Kittery, Maine 03904
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[(b) (6)]
Ticket: # 954019 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:28:09 PM
City/State/Zip: Imperial Beach, California 91932
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not
be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and
how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T
created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps.
Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their
caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee -
in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and
racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and
non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer
have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps,
the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me
who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other
Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t
want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the
costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of
money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy.
I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet
rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these
kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make
these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954020 - XX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:28:18 PM

City/State/Zip: Shawnee, Kansas 66203

Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954021 - XXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:28:19 PM
City/State/Zip: Lake Worth, Florida 33461
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

They have slowed down certain websites right now! They are breaking the rules as I write this, even slowed this very site I'm writing this complaint on! Not to mention that I WISH I COULD CANCEL MY COMCAST ACCOUNT BUT THE REALITY OF A TRUE MONOPOLY EXISTS IN PALM BEACH FLORIDA FOR MANY YEARS NOW. Some say it is not, but the options are not even competitive in service, so it is a monopoly supported by the FCC and other gov't entities.

But I digress...

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954022 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:28:20 PM

City/State/Zip: Warrenton, Oregon 97146

Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954025 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:28:25 PM
City/State/Zip: Grovetown, Georgia 30813
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954027 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:28:32 PM
City/State/Zip: Milton, New York 12547
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
This is a violation of privacy. Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954029 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:28:37 PM
City/State/Zip: Richmond, California 94801
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954031 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:28:40 PM
City/State/Zip: Celina, Texas 75009
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954033 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:28:45 PM
City/State/Zip: Tujunga, California 91042
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy.

I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number
of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954034 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:28:47 PM
City/State/Zip: Talent, Oregon 97540
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954035 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:28:50 PM
City/State/Zip: Hackettstown, New Jersey 07840
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954045 - XXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:29:16 PM
City/State/Zip: Somerville, Massachusetts 02145
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954039 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:29:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Spokane, Washington 99205
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954041 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:29:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98105
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954042 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:29:07 PM
City/State/Zip: Normal, Illinois 61761
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954044 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:29:07 PM
City/State/Zip: Little Silver, New Jersey 07739
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954046 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:29:19 PM
City/State/Zip: Evansville, Indiana 47720
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954047 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:29:26 PM
City/State/Zip: Tujunga, California 91042
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954048 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:29:26 PM

City/State/Zip: Houston, Texas 77025

Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954049 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:29:27 PM
City/State/Zip: Los Angeles, California 90025
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954050 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:29:30 PM
City/State/Zip: Curtice, Ohio 43412
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954053 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:29:38 PM
City/State/Zip: Lutherville Timonium, Maryland 21093
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954054 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:29:38 PM
City/State/Zip: Long Beach, California 90804
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954056 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:29:42 PM
City/State/Zip: Frostproof, Florida 33843
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email. They also need to bring fiber optic high speed internet into rural areas. We out here are prisoners to satellite internet and are dealing with these same issues.
Ticket: # 954059 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:29:49 PM
City/State/Zip: Milton, New York 12547
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Thus is a violation of privacy and free speech. Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954060 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:29:49 PM
City/State/Zip: Orange, California 92869
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954062 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:29:57 PM
City/State/Zip: Fort Collins, Colorado 80521
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll for a fee in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954063 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:30:00 PM
City/State/Zip: Phoenix, Arizona 85043
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954066 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:30:06 PM
City/State/Zip: Santa Barbara, California 93110
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile's substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC's net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954067 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:30:08 PM
City/State/Zip: York, South Carolina 29745
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954068 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:30:09 PM
City/State/Zip: Brooklyn, New York 11215
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954070 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:30:12 PM
City/State/Zip: Wilton Manors, Florida 33311
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954071 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:30:15 PM
City/State/Zip: Helendale, California 92342
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954073 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:30:18 PM
City/State/Zip: Scranton, Pennsylvania 18505
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954076 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:30:31 PM
City/State/Zip: Spokane, Washington 99205
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954077 - XXXX XXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:30:34 PM
City/State/Zip: Sandwich, Massachusetts 02563
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954078 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:30:36 PM

City/State/Zip: Hopkins, Minnesota 55305

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year we cut the cord by buying basic cable from Comcast, then streaming most of our shows from internet providers like Netflix and Amazon.

We are saving a lot of money and getting much higher quality and choice of programs than we had with Comcast.

Please don't let Comcast stop us from streaming

Comcast is starting to apply arbitrary data caps. Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Please protect consumers from abuses by Comcast.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

Thank you for your consideration.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954079 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:30:43 PM
City/State/Zip: Naperville, Illinois 60563
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954080 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:30:45 PM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98115
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954081 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:30:46 PM
City/State/Zip: Methuen, Massachusetts 01844
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954086 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:30:57 PM
City/State/Zip: Chicago, Illinois 60614
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954088 - XXXXXXXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:31:02 PM
City/State/Zip: Hoboken, New Jersey 07030
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

([b] (6))
Ticket: # 954089 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:31:08 PM
City/State/Zip: Sterling Heights, Michigan 48310
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can't be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954091 - XXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:31:17 PM
City/State/Zip: Pomona, California 91768
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954095 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:31:29 PM
City/State/Zip: Poughkeepsie, New York 12601
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954097 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:31:31 PM

City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97230

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954098 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:31:34 PM
City/State/Zip: Carrboro, North Carolina 27510
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954099 - XXXXX XXXXXXX’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating  
Date: 5/5/2016 4:31:41 PM  
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97217  
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954100 - XXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:31:46 PM
City/State/Zip: Pomona, California 91768
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954101 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:31:47 PM
City/State/Zip: Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57107
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954102 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:31:48 PM
City/State/Zip: Virginia Beach, Virginia 23455
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll for a fee in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954103 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:31:56 PM
City/State/Zip: Charlottesville, Virginia 22901
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954105 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:32:05 PM
City/State/Zip: San Francisco, California 94109
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should
not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where
and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some
websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick
winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all
competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for
its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by
discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and
punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete
with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have
nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps
while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages
broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their
expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s
traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to
choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these
kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make
these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954114 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:32:34 PM
City/State/Zip: Weston, Massachusetts 02493
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954107 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:32:17 PM
City/State/Zip: Kalispell, Montana 59901
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954108 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:32:22 PM
City/State/Zip: Weston, Massachusetts 02493
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954109 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:32:23 PM
City/State/Zip: West Hartford, Connecticut 06119
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954112 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:32:31 PM
City/State/Zip: Miami, Florida 33157
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 1020793 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 6/6/2016 5:14:33 PM
City/State/Zip: Raleigh, North Carolina 27610
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954115 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:32:40 PM
City/State/Zip: Andover, Massachusetts 01810
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954117 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:32:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Louisville, Kentucky 40222
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954118 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:32:52 PM

City/State/Zip: Blacksburg, Virginia 24060

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954120 - Matt's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:32:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Staten Island, New York 10306
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954121 - XXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:33:00 PM
City/State/Zip: Burtonsville, Maryland 20866
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954122 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:33:02 PM
City/State/Zip: Austin, Texas 78746
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954125 - X X's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:33:18 PM
City/State/Zip: Porterville, California 93258
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954127 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:33:21 PM
City/State/Zip: Palmdale, California 93550
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954128 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:33:25 PM
City/State/Zip: Los Angeles, California 90034
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954129 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:33:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Commerce Township, Michigan 48390
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954131 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:33:49 PM
City/State/Zip: Huntington, New York 11743
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954132 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:33:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Dover, Delaware 19904
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954133 - XXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:33:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Lincoln, Nebraska 68524
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954134 - Charles's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:33:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Shorewood, Illinois 60404
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954135 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:33:56 PM
City/State/Zip: Middletown, Pennsylvania 17057
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954137 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:34:05 PM
City/State/Zip: Kent, Washington 98032
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[b) (6)
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954140 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:34:15 PM
City/State/Zip: Lincoln, Nebraska 68524
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954142 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:34:28 PM
City/State/Zip: San Francisco, California 94102
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954144 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:34:29 PM
City/State/Zip: Brighton, Massachusetts 02135
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

These blatant attempts to circumvent net neutrality laws are disgusting. Obviously, offering preferential treatment to certain providers or content is a violation of FEC regulations.

We are not blind.

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954145 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:34:31 PM
City/State/Zip: Middletown, Pennsylvania 17057
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954146 - XXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:34:34 PM

City/State/Zip: West Newton, Pennsylvania 15089

Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954148 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:34:38 PM
City/State/Zip: Sammamish, Washington 98075
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should
not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where
and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some
websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick
winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all
competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for
its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by
discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and
punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete
with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have
nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps
while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages
broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their
expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's
traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to
choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these
kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make
these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954150 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:34:39 PM
City/State/Zip: Murfreesboro, Tennessee 37130
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954166 - Laurie's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:35:54 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97224
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954152 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:34:56 PM
City/State/Zip: Redding, California 96002
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954153 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:34:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Brighton, Massachusetts 02135
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954154 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:35:01 PM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98119
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954156 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:35:09 PM
City/State/Zip: Coconut Creek, Florida 33066
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954157 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:35:10 PM
City/State/Zip: Tempe, Arizona 85283
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954158 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:35:28 PM

City/State/Zip: Woodstock, Georgia 30189

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954161 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:35:40 PM
City/State/Zip: Villa Park, Illinois 60181
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954162 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:35:41 PM
City/State/Zip: Brooklyn, New York 11201
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: #954163 - Kathy's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:35:46 PM
City/State/Zip: Tucson, Arizona 85716
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954164 - XXXXXXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:35:48 PM
City/State/Zip: Hoboken, New Jersey 07030
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954168 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:36:05 PM
City/State/Zip: Topanga, California 90290
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954169 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:36:09 PM
City/State/Zip: Lake Forest, California 92630
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954170 - Laurie's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:36:16 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97224
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954172 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:36:34 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97221
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954173 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:36:41 PM

City/State/Zip: Villa Park, Illinois 60181

Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954174 - David's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:36:43 PM
City/State/Zip: East Moriches, New York 11940
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to pay a fee - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: #954175 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:37:06 PM
City/State/Zip: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954177 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:37:17 PM
City/State/Zip: Atlanta, Georgia 30306
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954178 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:37:36 PM
City/State/Zip: Phoenix, Arizona 85032
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954179 - Robert's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:37:39 PM
City/State/Zip: Los Angeles, California 90024
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954182 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:38:07 PM
City/State/Zip: Longmont, Colorado 80504
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses—except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954184 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:38:13 PM
City/State/Zip: Santa Monica, California 90405
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses — except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954185 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:38:15 PM
City/State/Zip: Santa Monica, California 90404
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll for a fee in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954188 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:38:24 PM
City/State/Zip: Greenwood Village, Colorado 80121
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954189 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:38:24 PM
City/State/Zip: Chicago, Illinois 60647
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses — except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954192 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:38:43 PM  
City/State/Zip: Chicago, Illinois 60647  
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

**Description**

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description
Comcast wants to turn internet access into something that is equivalent to cell phone service where they charge per gigabyte so that they can create artificial scarcity and make money from it or drive traffic to their own services.

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954194 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:39:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Dundalk, Maryland 21222
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954197 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:39:17 PM
City/State/Zip: Washington, District Of Columbia 20005
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954198 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:39:23 PM  
City/State/Zip: Spanish Fork, Utah 84660  
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954201 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:39:31 PM
City/State/Zip: Libertyville, Iowa 52567
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954205 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:39:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Arlington, Texas 76016
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954206 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:39:56 PM
City/State/Zip: Schenectady, New York 12308
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll for a fee in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954208 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:40:25 PM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98104
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile and other companies are breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices demonstrate that T-Mobile doesn't respect the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[Note: redacted information]

Ticket: # 954209 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:40:25 PM
City/State/Zip: Jasper, Alabama 35503
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954215 - XXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:41:00 PM
City/State/Zip: Columbus, Indiana 47201
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954216 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:41:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Suttons Bay, Michigan 49682
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954217 - 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:41:08 PM
City/State/Zip: Colorado Springs, Colorado 80910
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954218 - [b] (6) [a]'s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:41:33 PM
City/State/Zip: Naalehu, Hawaii 96772
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954244 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:47:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Eureka, California 95501
Company Complaining About: Verizon

**Description**

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954220 - XXXXXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:41:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Dover, Delaware 19901
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954223 - Janet's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:42:32 PM  
City/State/Zip: Louisville, Kentucky 40205  
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[b] [6]
Ticket: # 954225 - Jonathan's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:43:39 PM
City/State/Zip: Carlisle, Massachusetts 01741
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954229 - Herman's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:44:17 PM
City/State/Zip: South Padre Island, Texas 78597
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954249 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating  
Date: 5/5/2016 4:47:55 PM  
City/State/Zip: Fuquay Varina, North Carolina 27526  
Company Complaining About: Verizon  

Description  
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954250 - Charles's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:47:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Shorewood, Illinois 60404
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email. Charles
Ticket: # 954251 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:47:56 PM
City/State/Zip: San Leandro, California 94577
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954243 - Larry's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:47:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Jonesboro, Georgia 30236
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954246 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:47:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Erie, Pennsylvania 16503
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954247 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:47:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Cooper City, Florida 33328
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should
not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where
and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select
video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video
providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-
ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video
only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking
video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through
the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this
controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical
requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of
requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine
an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone
company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these
kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make
these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number
of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954248 - XXXXXXXX XX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:47:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Blue Island, Illinois 60406
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954253 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating  
**Date:** 5/5/2016 4:47:58 PM  
**City/State/Zip:** Yorktown, Virginia 23693  
**Company Complaining About:** Verizon

**Description**  
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954254 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:48:00 PM
City/State/Zip: Lexington, North Carolina 27292
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954255 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:48:00 PM
City/State/Zip: San Diego, California 92107
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954256 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:48:01 PM
City/State/Zip: Terryville, Connecticut 06786
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954257 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:48:11 PM
City/State/Zip: Gig Harbor, Washington 98335
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954259 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:48:18 PM
City/State/Zip: Visalia, California 93292
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954263 - Cynthia's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:48:31 PM
City/State/Zip: Demarest, New Jersey 07627
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954264 - XXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:48:34 PM
City/State/Zip: Somerville, Massachusetts 02143
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954265 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:48:38 PM  
City/State/Zip: Columbus, Ohio 43230  
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses—except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954268 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:48:46 PM
City/State/Zip: Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15239
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954270 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:48:55 PM
City/State/Zip: San Diego, California 92131
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

Imagine the effect this would have as data caps are lowered. Customers would be forced to avoid sites that haven’t paid their tolls to Verizon et al. These programs create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As a Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954277 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:49:34 PM
City/State/Zip: Loveland, Colorado 80537
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954281 - XXXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:49:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Orrington, Maine 04474
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954282 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:49:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Boulder, Colorado 80304
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954285 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:50:14 PM
City/State/Zip: Winfield, West Virginia 25213
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954287 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:50:25 PM
City/State/Zip: Wheaton, Minnesota 56296
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954290 - Nathan's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:50:50 PM
City/State/Zip: Redwood City, California 94063
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954292 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:50:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Brooklyn, New York 11220
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954294 - XXXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:51:01 PM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98126
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954295 - Nathan's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:51:02 PM
City/State/Zip: Redwood City, California 94063
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954296 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:51:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Chittenango, New York 13037
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954297 - XXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

**Date:** 5/5/2016 4:51:15 PM

**City/State/Zip:** Saint Cloud, Florida 34770

**Company Complaining About:** AT&T

---

**Description**

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954298 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:51:16 PM
City/State/Zip: Arlington, Massachusetts 02474
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954299 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:51:21 PM
City/State/Zip: Carson City, Nevada 89701
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954300 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:51:30 PM
City/State/Zip: Aurora, Colorado 80014
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954302 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:51:33 PM
City/State/Zip: Newcastle, California 95658
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954303 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:51:34 PM
City/State/Zip: Holtwood, Pennsylvania 17532
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile's substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954305 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:51:46 PM
City/State/Zip: Downingtown, Pennsylvania 19335
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954308 - XXXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:51:54 PM
City/State/Zip: Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33312
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954309 - XXXXXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:52:21 PM
City/State/Zip: Duluth, Georgia 30097
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954310 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:52:30 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97222
Company Complaining About: Comcast

**Description**

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954311 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:52:31 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97202
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954312 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:52:34 PM
City/State/Zip: Trinidad, California 95570
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954314 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:52:36 PM
City/State/Zip: Lafayette, California 94549
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954315 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:52:42 PM
City/State/Zip: Warrington, Pennsylvania 18976
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954318 - Howard's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:52:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Beaverton, Oregon 97008
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954319 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:52:59 PM
City/State/Zip: Austin, Texas 78745
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954320 - XX XXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:53:02 PM
City/State/Zip: New York, New York 10034
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

Ms (b) (6)
Ticket: # 954322 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:53:36 PM
City/State/Zip: Los Angeles, California 90025
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That's not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don't want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don't pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don't want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954324 - XXXXXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:53:41 PM
City/State/Zip: Duluth, Georgia 30097
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954325 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:53:46 PM
City/State/Zip: Nevada City, California 95959
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954328 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:54:09 PM
City/State/Zip: Memphis, Tennessee 38115
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954329 - XX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:54:17 PM
City/State/Zip: Midlothian, Virginia 23112
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954331 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:54:27 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97209
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954333 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:54:37 PM
City/State/Zip: Spanaway, Washington 98387
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954334 - XXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:54:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98122
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954339 - XXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:55:37 PM  
City/State/Zip: Chicago, Illinois 60610  
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954341 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:55:47 PM
City/State/Zip: Loveland, Colorado 80538
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954342 - David's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:55:49 PM
City/State/Zip: Leesburg, Florida 34788
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

David
Ticket: # 954343 - XX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:55:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Park City, Utah 84098
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954345 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:56:06 PM
City/State/Zip: Longmont, Colorado 80504
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954347 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:56:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Salt Lake City, Utah 84106
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954349 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:56:28 PM
City/State/Zip: New Haven, Connecticut 06510
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954350 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:56:30 PM
City/State/Zip: Sun Valley, California 91352
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954353 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:56:40 PM
City/State/Zip: Hesperia, California 92345
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[b] (6)
Ticket: # 954355 - XXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:56:41 PM
City/State/Zip: Cooperstown, New York 13326
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954373 - XXXXXX XXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 4:57:48 PM
City/State/Zip: Des Moines, Iowa 50316
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954359 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:56:57 PM
City/State/Zip: Chicago, Illinois 60612
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954361 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:57:12 PM
City/State/Zip: San Francisco, California 94117
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954365 - XXXXX XX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:57:23 PM
City/State/Zip: La Porte, Indiana 46350
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954367 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:57:31 PM  
City/State/Zip: Albuquerque, New Mexico 87114  
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should
not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where
and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so
Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’
data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts
against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to
enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on
the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small
companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls
and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to
have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the
caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users
like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other
Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t
want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the
costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a
lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our
democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the
Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954371 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:57:42 PM
City/State/Zip: Arlington, Virginia 22201
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954372 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:57:45 PM

City/State/Zip: Douglasville, Georgia 30135

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954374 - Jordan's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:57:48 PM
City/State/Zip: Billings, Montana 59102
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Keep the Internet fair. Don't let corporate greed make everyone else suffer.

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers' data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That's not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don't want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don't pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don't want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Verizon hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

Jordan
Ticket: # 954377 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:58:18 PM

City/State/Zip: Santee, California 92071

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.]

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954778 - XXXX XXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:30:42 PM
City/State/Zip: Bolinas, California 94924
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954382 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

**Date:** 5/5/2016 4:58:32 PM  
**City/State/Zip:** Potomac, Maryland 20854  
**Company Complaining About:** Verizon

### Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954384 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:58:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Cranford, New Jersey 07016
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954385 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:59:07 PM
City/State/Zip: Highlands Ranch, Colorado 80126
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954387 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:59:19 PM
City/State/Zip: Bellingham, Washington 98226
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954388 - XXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:59:21 PM
City/State/Zip: Xenia, Ohio 45385
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954391 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:59:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Albuquerque, New Mexico 87114
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954393 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:59:42 PM

City/State/Zip: Rohnert Park, California 94928

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954395 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 4:59:46 PM
City/State/Zip: Wheeling, Illinois 60090
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954399 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:00:12 PM
City/State/Zip: Clovis, California 93612
Company Complaining About: Comcast

**Description**

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954401 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating  
Date: 5/5/2016 5:00:16 PM  
City/State/Zip: Brighton, Colorado 80601  
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954404 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:00:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Twisp, Washington 98856
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll for a fee in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954405 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:00:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Liberty, South Carolina 29657
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954408 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:01:07 PM
City/State/Zip: Oakland, California 94610
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954409 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:01:12 PM
City/State/Zip: Azle, Texas 76020
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That's not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954410 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:01:19 PM
City/State/Zip: Nevada City, California 95959
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954411 - XXXX XXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:01:20 PM
City/State/Zip: Virginia Beach, Virginia 23464
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC must put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision must not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. I don't want to have that kind of Internet, I want to keep having the kind of Internet that I have now.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated and then force Verizon to pass that cost onto me.

I am NOT a Verizon customer, but I still don’t want Verizon or any other Big cable or phone company to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules. Verizon doesn't want to let us keep these Net Neutrality rules forever like they should be required to. In the long run, if Verizon keeps doing what it's doing now to get around the rules, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers. And that's extremely unacceptable.
These plans must be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress has to encourage the FCC to do its job and force these companies to always accept and follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via either snail mail or email.
Ticket: # 954412 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:01:26 PM
City/State/Zip: Valley, Alabama 36854
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954437 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:04:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Buffalo, New York 14207
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954418 - XXXXXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:02:01 PM
City/State/Zip: Long Beach, California 90813
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers' data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That's not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don't want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don't pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don't want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Verizon hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954421 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:02:22 PM
City/State/Zip: Temple City, California 91780
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954422 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:02:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Temple City, California 91780
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954423 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:02:42 PM
City/State/Zip: Atlanta, Georgia 30350
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses—except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954426 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:03:07 PM
City/State/Zip: Fort Wayne, Indiana 46805
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954429 - DON's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:03:28 PM
City/State/Zip: Geneva, Illinois 60134
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

DON
Ticket: # 954431 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:03:37 PM
City/State/Zip: Shelton, Washington 98584
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[(b) (6)]
Ticket: # 954433 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:03:45 PM
City/State/Zip: San Diego, California 92102
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954435 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:03:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Los Angeles, California 90024
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don't want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don't pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll for a fee in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954440 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating  
Date: 5/5/2016 5:04:13 PM  
City/State/Zip: Austin, Texas 78758  
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954443 - Kathi's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:04:36 PM  
City/State/Zip: Pittstown, New Jersey 08867  
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email. Kathi
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954446 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:04:52 PM
City/State/Zip: Oceanside, New York 11572
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954448 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:05:07 PM
City/State/Zip: Mountain View, California 94040
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954449 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:05:09 PM
City/State/Zip: Los Angeles, California 90065
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
**Description**

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954453 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:05:43 PM
City/State/Zip: Pine Lake, Georgia 30072
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954454 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:05:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Syosset, New York 11791
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954457 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:05:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Sumner, Washington 98390
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954459 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:06:27 PM
City/State/Zip: Fayette, Missouri 65248
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954460 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:06:29 PM
City/State/Zip: Anthony, Kansas 67003
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954461 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:06:32 PM
City/State/Zip: Laurel, Maryland 20723
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954462 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:06:33 PM
City/State/Zip: Saint Helens, Oregon 97051
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[(b) (6) [(b) (6)]]
Ticket: # 954463 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:06:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Reseda, California 91335
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

Susan White
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

Is this really all just a big game? We have been fighting this for years and each time they just come up with something new or worse, why are they allowed to keep doing this? It’s wrong, plain and simple. These giant companies need to be held accountable for putting so much effort into trying to hurt and gouge it's customers, my bill is already (for TV internet and phone) as large as a car or small house payment it is insanity. Please put an end to this, as it feels more and more like the government and the corporation's are all just out to get us, and that is just sad. Thank you for your time, please make a difference!
Ticket: # 954466 - XXXXXXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXX’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:07:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Minneapolis, Minnesota 55413
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954467 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:07:19 PM
City/State/Zip: San Jose, California 95110
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954468 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:07:20 PM
City/State/Zip: Maple Valley, Washington 98038
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954471 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:07:38 PM
City/State/Zip: Clearwater, Florida 33761
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954472 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:07:38 PM
City/State/Zip: Magalia, California 95954
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954473 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:07:46 PM
City/State/Zip: Brattleboro, Vermont 05301
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I am a software engineering student, and real Net Neutrality is important to me. My career opportunities will be restricted by an unfair internet, and so on a personal level strong enforcement of neutrality matters to my career. I also believe in this country, I think that everyone here should have an opportunity to get ahead through hard work. Comcast's behavior endangers this chance for people who want to create web based services, this could really hurt friends of mine when the graduate if they want to sell web-services and are faced with an unfair marketplace.

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954475 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:08:02 PM
City/State/Zip: San Francisco, California 94121
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954476 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:08:07 PM
City/State/Zip: Aliso Viejo, California 92656
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954477 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:08:12 PM
City/State/Zip: Sandy, Utah 84093
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses — except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954478 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:08:13 PM
City/State/Zip: Los Angeles, California 90026
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don't want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

Josh
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954482 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:08:27 PM
City/State/Zip: San Francisco, California 94121
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954483 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:08:36 PM
City/State/Zip: Culver City, California 90232
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954484 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:08:38 PM
City/State/Zip: Norman, Oklahoma 73072
Company Complaining About: AT&T

**Description**

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954485 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:08:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Boston, Massachusetts 02128
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954486 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:08:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Springfield, Vermont 05156
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954489 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:09:01 PM
City/State/Zip: Sioux City, Iowa 51104
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

earl
Ticket: # 954511 - XXX XXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:11:26 PM
City/State/Zip: Bryan, Texas 77802
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954496 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:09:55 PM  
City/State/Zip: San Francisco, California 94122  
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954497 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:10:01 PM

City/State/Zip: Frederick, Maryland 21701

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954499 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:10:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Oakland, California 94610
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954512 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:11:34 PM
City/State/Zip: Mesa, Arizona 85203
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954505 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:10:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Campbell, California 95008
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954506 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:11:06 PM
City/State/Zip: Panama City, Florida 32409
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I am a Comcast customer and the only service I buy from them is their high-speed internet; is the only access I have to the web.

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954507 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:11:07 PM
City/State/Zip: Baldwin Park, California 91706
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954509 - Jeff's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:11:08 PM
City/State/Zip: Charlotte, North Carolina 28277
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

Jeff
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954514 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:11:46 PM
City/State/Zip: Loveland, Colorado 80538
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954515 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:11:50 PM
City/State/Zip: Rensselaer, New York 12144
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954517 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:12:39 PM
City/State/Zip: Cocoa Beach, Florida 32931
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should
not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where
and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select
video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video
providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-
ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video
only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking
video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through
the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this
controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical
requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of
requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine
an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone
company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these
kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make
these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number
of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954518 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:12:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Jacksonville, Illinois 62650
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954519 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

**Date:** 5/5/2016 5:12:52 PM  
**City/State/Zip:** Leverett, Massachusetts 01054  
**Company Complaining About:** Verizon

---

**Description**

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954520 - XXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:12:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Issaquah, Washington 98029
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

Mr. [b] [b]
Ticket: # 954521 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:13:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98109
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954522 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:13:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Maplewood, New Jersey 07040
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954523 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:13:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Salem, Oregon 97306
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954524 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:13:22 PM
City/State/Zip: Becket, Massachusetts 01223
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954527 - XXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:13:31 PM  
City/State/Zip: Crescent City, California 95531  
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should
not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where
and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some
websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick
winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all
competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for
its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by
discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and
punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete
with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have
nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps
while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages
broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their
expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s
traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to
choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these
kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make
these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954531 - XXXXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:14:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Chicago, Illinois 60625
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954534 - XXXXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:14:14 PM
City/State/Zip: Kingston, New York 12401
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954535 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:14:20 PM
City/State/Zip: Williamsport, Pennsylvania 17701
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: #954538 - XX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

**Date:** 5/5/2016 5:14:27 PM  
**City/State/Zip:** Tampa, Florida 33606  
**Company Complaining About:** Comcast

---

**Description**

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954541 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:14:52 PM
City/State/Zip: Springfield, Massachusetts 01108
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers. It would be absolutely against the freedoms we as America say we protect.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954542 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:14:54 PM
City/State/Zip: Forestville, California 95436
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC's net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954543 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:14:57 PM
City/State/Zip: Tumwater, Washington 98512
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses — except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[Redacted]
Ticket: # 954544 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:15:05 PM
City/State/Zip: Chesterfield, Virginia 23832
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954545 - XXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:15:09 PM
City/State/Zip: Rapid City, South Dakota 57702
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954546 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:15:12 PM
City/State/Zip: Fort Stockton, Texas 79735
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954549 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:15:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98126
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954553 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:16:19 PM
City/State/Zip: Sacramento, California 95831
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954556 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:16:34 PM
City/State/Zip: Fort Collins, Colorado 80525
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954557 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:16:37 PM
City/State/Zip: Antioch, Tennessee 37013
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954559 - Johnny's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:16:57 PM
City/State/Zip: Jackson, Wyoming 83001
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Johnny
Ticket: # 954560 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:17:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Haleyville, Alabama 35565
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954561 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:17:17 PM
City/State/Zip: Riverside, California 92509
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954567 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:18:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Whittier, California 90604
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

Jim
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954570 - Lori's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:18:24 PM
City/State/Zip: Palm Beach, Florida 33480
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Lori
Ticket: # 954572 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:18:46 PM
City/State/Zip: Plantation, Florida 33324
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954575 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Marrero, Louisiana 70072
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954577 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:05 PM
City/State/Zip: Lubbock, Texas 79415
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954579 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:06 PM
City/State/Zip: Tucson, Arizona 85716
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954580 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:08 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97220
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954582 - XX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:09 PM
City/State/Zip: Bear, Delaware 19701
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954583 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:11 PM
City/State/Zip: Beach Haven, New Jersey 08008
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954584 - XXXXXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:12 PM
City/State/Zip: San Marcos, Texas 78666
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954585 - XXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:13 PM
City/State/Zip: Corpus Christi, Texas 78414
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954587 - XXXX XXX's XXXX XXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:15 PM
City/State/Zip: New Rochelle, New York 10804
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll for a fee in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954588 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:16 PM
City/State/Zip: Kapaau, Hawaii 96755
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954591 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:18 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97210
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954592 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:19 PM
City/State/Zip: Bakersfield, California 93301
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954593 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:20 PM
City/State/Zip: San Francisco, California 94130
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954594 - Sydney's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:21 PM
City/State/Zip: Baltimore, Maryland 21239
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet. Everyone has lost site of the fact that the success of the worldwideweb/internet was created by small startups and individuals, and now Internet providers/monopolies are gouging and controlling every aspect. Their profits are already obscene and what's more despicable is they are becoming the greatest dictators if our time!

Verizon is is one of the monopolies breaking the rules, and the FCC must put a stop to it. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay they’ll need to enroll (for a fee) in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. Penalizing the same groups that attributed to the success of the Internet. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

These plans hinder my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. Punishing me and all customers for using sites that don’t pay the toll while unfairly raising the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated.

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. The FCC must investigate Verizon and the other providers for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

These practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them, penalizing everyone on the Internet; except the providers that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers - the dictators of the internet. My hope is you understand this not only affects me and all of their customers, but YOU and YOUR FAMILY!
The FCC must protect all consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon and all providers. Moreover, Congress should ensure that the FCC insists these providers follow the rules, and not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954595 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:21 PM
City/State/Zip: Plainville, Connecticut 06062
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954596 - Rhoda's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:22 PM
City/State/Zip: New York, New York 10003
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Rhoda
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954600 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:26 PM
City/State/Zip: Plano, Texas 75093
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954601 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:30 PM
City/State/Zip: River Falls, Wisconsin 54022
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954604 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:30 PM
City/State/Zip: San Francisco, California 94122
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954605 - XXXX XXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:30 PM
City/State/Zip: Denville, New Jersey 07834
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they will need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954606 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:32 PM
City/State/Zip: Doral, Florida 33178
Company Complaining About: Comcast

**Description**

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954607 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXX’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:33 PM
City/State/Zip: Frankfort, Illinois 60423
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast adjusting my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954608 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:33 PM
City/State/Zip: Sherman Oaks, California 91423
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954609 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Oakland, California 94607
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954610 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:35 PM
City/State/Zip: San Jose, California 95128
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954611 - XXXXX XXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:35 PM

City/State/Zip: Sellersburg, Indiana 47172

Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954613 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:36 PM
City/State/Zip: Dallas, Texas 75287
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954614 - XXXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:37 PM
City/State/Zip: San Antonio, Texas 78222
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy.

I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954615 - XXXXXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:38 PM
City/State/Zip: Villa Rica, Georgia 30180
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954616 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:38 PM
City/State/Zip: Reese, Michigan 48757
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954618 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:39 PM
City/State/Zip: Downey, California 90242
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954619 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:39 PM
City/State/Zip: Spotsylvania, Virginia 22553
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954621 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:41 PM
City/State/Zip: San Gabriel, California 91776
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll for a fee in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954623 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:42 PM
City/State/Zip: Cumming, Georgia 30041
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954632 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:47 PM
City/State/Zip: Arroyo Grande, California 93420
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Note: Some of us know SBC changed its name to AT&T---but the former's business practices continues.

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. Is this going to be a forever fight as these corporations continue to sneak their agenda over the public's outcry for fairness and net neutrality internet. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954627 - Dimitri's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Duarte, California 91010
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email. Dimitri
Ticket: # 954628 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Suttons Bay, Michigan 49682
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954629 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:45 PM
City/State/Zip: Dixon, Illinois 61021
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. Thank you, because you helped millions of people. Now, though, the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating figuring out ways to weasel around the Net Neutrality rules and continue restricting the Internet for their customers.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC must put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. By calling it an "IP Cable" service, they’re trying to pull the wool over the FCC's eyes and escape the Net Neutrality hammer. However, "IP Cable" is a fancy way of saying "This is on the internet, but with a whitelist for Comcast subscriber IP addresses." This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I subscribe to Netflix, and I don’t want Comcast to start messing with my ability to use other services. If Comcast wants to compete with other video streaming services, force them to offer better content! Don't let them data-cap the competition out of existence.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist — at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have *nothing to do* with managing congestion. Their customer support documentation even explicitly states that representatives are NOT TO TELL CUSTOMERS UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES that the caps are for managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with and mucking up these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses — except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of despicable abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954633 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:47 PM
City/State/Zip: Venice, Florida 34285
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954634 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating  
**Date:** 5/5/2016 5:19:49 PM  
**City/State/Zip:** Cranston, Rhode Island 02910  
**Company Complaining About:** Verizon  

**Description**  
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954635 - XXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:49 PM
City/State/Zip: Westwood, Massachusetts 02090
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954636 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:50 PM
City/State/Zip: Lacey, Washington 98503
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
We are sick and tired of you filing the same insane actions against our interests and wishes!

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That's not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954637 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Los Angeles, California 90012
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954638 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Fair Lawn, New Jersey 07410
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954639 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:51 PM

City/State/Zip: San Jose, California 95116

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954640 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:53 PM
City/State/Zip: West Jordan, Utah 84081
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954659 - XXXX XXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:20:06 PM
City/State/Zip: Kihei, Hawaii 96753
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954641 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Houston, Texas 77043
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should
not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where
and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some
websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick
winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all
competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for
its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by
discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and
punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete
with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have
nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps
while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages
broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their
expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s
traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to
choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these
kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make
these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954642 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Denver, Colorado 80210
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954643 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:56 PM
City/State/Zip: Los Angeles, California 90006
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not
be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and
how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T
created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps.
Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their
caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee -
in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and
racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and
non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer
have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps,
the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me
who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other
Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t
want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the
costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of
money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy.
I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet
rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these
kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make
these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954646 - XXXX XXXXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Brookeland, Texas 75931
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954647 - Miles's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Cincinnati, Ohio 45242
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

Miles
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

Jared
Ticket: # 954649 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:19:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Walla Walla, Washington 99362
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954651 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:20:00 PM

City/State/Zip: Denver, Colorado 80210

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954652 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:20:02 PM
City/State/Zip: Pico Rivera, California 90660
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year, the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. Now, the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors--in other words, NOT business as usual. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers on line by slowing down some web sites and applications while speeding up others. Now, Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality, and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging monthly fees for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV on line.

Comcast customers should be able to choose freely whether they want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or to watch video on line instead—just as they should be able to choose which online video they want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses--except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job (even though Congress fails to set that example) and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: For privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via e-mail.
Ticket: # 954653 - Kimberly's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:20:02 PM
City/State/Zip: Kittanning, Pennsylvania 16201
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email. Kimberly
Ticket: # 954654 - XXXXX Xxxxxxxx's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:20:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Mountain Home Afb, Idaho 83648
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954657 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:20:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Harpster, Ohio 43323
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954658 - XXXXXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:20:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Key West, Florida 33040
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T and Verizon are breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T and Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T and Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T and Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T and Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T and Verizon customer, I don’t want AT&T and/or Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T and Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T and Verizon hate the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and are doing everything they can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T and Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954660 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:20:06 PM  
City/State/Zip: Longview, Texas 75604  
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954663 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:20:08 PM
City/State/Zip: Bluemont, Virginia 20135
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954664 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:20:09 PM
City/State/Zip: Brooklyn, New York 11231
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Please defend net neutrality, do not let corporations bully or find loopholes to skirt the rules.

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile's substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954665 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:20:09 PM
City/State/Zip: Eureka, California 95501
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC's net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954666 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

**Date:** 5/5/2016 5:20:10 PM

**City/State/Zip:** Boynton Beach, Florida 33436

**Company Complaining About:** AT&T

---

**Description**

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses — except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954668 - Jeff's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:20:10 PM
City/State/Zip: Corona, California 92879
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Jeff
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules, just like they did the first time.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s exactly the same problem we had the first time with Net Neutrality.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses — except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954670 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:20:12 PM
City/State/Zip: Albuquerque, New Mexico 87111
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC's net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[b] (6)
Ticket: # 954671 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:20:12 PM
City/State/Zip: Las Vegas, Nevada 89144
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954672 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:20:13 PM
City/State/Zip: Sacramento, California 95818
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954675 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:20:15 PM
City/State/Zip: Davis, California 95616
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954676 - X XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:20:15 PM
City/State/Zip: Los Altos, California 94024
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954678 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXX’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:20:19 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Maine 04103
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954679 - chris's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:20:19 PM
City/State/Zip: Sherman, Illinois 62684
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
chris
Ticket: # 954680 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating  
Date: 5/5/2016 5:20:22 PM  
City/State/Zip: Rancho Mirage, California 92270  
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954681 - XXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:20:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Norton Shores, Michigan 49444
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses — except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year, the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and respectfully, the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now, Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: It applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. Also, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I do not want Comcast impeding my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who rather would give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead — just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we always have known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses — except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. With respect, it is the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: For privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal telephone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via Email. Thank you.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954684 - XXXX XXXXXX’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:20:25 PM

City/State/Zip: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19135

Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954685 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:20:25 PM
City/State/Zip: Cheshire, Connecticut 06410
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Every time I look I'm disgusted by the companies that rely on my business that are fighting to screw me over willingly making or breaking the law in their own image. The only protection available to the citizens is the FCC.

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

Please continue to protect the rights of the citizens to access and share information.
Ticket: # 954686 - XXX XXXXXX’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:20:25 PM
City/State/Zip: Brooklyn, New York 11219
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954696 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:21:17 PM
City/State/Zip: Kamuela, Hawaii 96743
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954689 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:20:27 PM
City/State/Zip: Jackson, Mississippi 39212
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954690 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:20:29 PM
City/State/Zip: Indianapolis, Indiana 46219
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll for a fee in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954694 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:20:48 PM
City/State/Zip: Sherman, Illinois 62684
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954695 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:20:55 PM  
City/State/Zip: Bloomington, Indiana 47403  
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954697 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:21:22 PM
City/State/Zip: Ankeny, Iowa 50023
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954698 - XXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:21:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Columbus, Ohio 43212
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile's substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC's net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses — except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Greetings, once again we need your help to stem the tide of corruption by the greedy ISP’s who are constantly trying to find "workarounds" to an unregulated internet enabling them to mine our pockets and eliminate ANY competition or regulation that would interfere with their bottom line. I live in the heart of San Francisco and was denied any other choice in TV or high speed internet service other than Comcast because they were allowed to move right in and cut a deal with the building owners having them REMOVE our building antenna/dish as a condition to wire our building, and there is NO other competition? rather curious don’t you think? It is a low income building, so a lot of my neighbors are unable to afford their 2 year contracts with unreliable rates that start out too high!This is an old story with the corruption of these ISP's like AT@T, Comcast and Time Warner and we need to stop this greed.

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy.
I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954701 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:22:12 PM
City/State/Zip: Astoria, Oregon 97103
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy.

I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954702 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:22:16 PM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98109
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: the lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954703 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:22:26 PM
City/State/Zip: Speedwell, Tennessee 37870
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954705 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:22:39 PM
City/State/Zip: Gaston, Oregon 97119
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954706 - XXXX XXXXX 's XXXX XXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:22:49 PM
City/State/Zip: Worcester, Massachusetts 01604
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954707 - Barbara's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:22:49 PM
City/State/Zip: Cumming, Georgia 30040
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

Barbara
Ticket: # 954709 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:22:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Omak, Washington 98841
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll for a fee in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not
be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and
how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T
created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps.
Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their
caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee -
in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and
racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and
non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer
have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps,
the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me
who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other
Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t
want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the
costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of
money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy.
I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet
rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these
kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make
these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954714 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:23:10 PM
City/State/Zip: Tacoma, Washington 98405

Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don't pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954715 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:23:15 PM
City/State/Zip: Rockville, Maryland 20850
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954717 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:23:17 PM
City/State/Zip: Tucson, Arizona 85747
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954721 - complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:23:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Festus, Missouri 63028
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954723 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:24:22 PM
City/State/Zip: Santa Barbara, California 93101
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954724 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:24:24 PM
City/State/Zip: Placitas, New Mexico 87043
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices. I get my Internet access from Comcast but not my TV. They should not be able to pick and choose what I get to watch.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954726 - XXXXX XXXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:24:26 PM
City/State/Zip: Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15204
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954731 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:24:40 PM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98112
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
I am a T Mobile customer, and I find their skirting of net neutrality rules to be a blatant disregard for the FCCs order on favor of net neutrality, which clearly requires data to be treated equally. Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954741 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:26:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Midvale, Utah 84047
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954735 - XXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:25:08 PM
City/State/Zip: Collinsville, Illinois 62234
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Don't help me decide how to spend my time online. I pay AT&T to supply the connection, not promote or limit the services I use. They can recommend I use caution products, like the ones that were pre-installed on my phone, but beyond that let me make the choice hour to spend my time and money online.

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers' data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That's not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don't want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don't pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don't want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: AT&T hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these
kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make
these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number
of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954737 - Emma's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:25:29 PM
City/State/Zip: Sacramento, California 95817
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954739 - XXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:25:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Deer Isle, Maine 04627
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy.

I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact us about our complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954740 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:26:02 PM
City/State/Zip: Webster, New York 14580
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can't be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers' data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile's substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC's net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954742 - XXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:26:05 PM
City/State/Zip: Pflugerville, Texas 78660
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954743 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:26:09 PM
City/State/Zip: Modesto, California 95355
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954744 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:26:30 PM
City/State/Zip: Laguna Woods, California 92637
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954749 - XXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:27:25 PM
City/State/Zip: Washougal, Washington 98671
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954750 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:27:25 PM
City/State/Zip: San Francisco, California 94115
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954752 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:27:34 PM
City/State/Zip: Crete, Illinois 60417
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954754 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:28:08 PM
City/State/Zip: Midvale, Utah 84047
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954756 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:28:18 PM
City/State/Zip: Plant City, Florida 33563
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954758 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:28:41 PM
City/State/Zip: Lincoln, Nebraska 68510
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954761 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:28:56 PM
City/State/Zip: Bradenton, Florida 34207
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954762 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:29:00 PM
City/State/Zip: Tuttle, Oklahoma 73089
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954764 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:29:19 PM
City/State/Zip: Port Saint Lucie, Florida 34983
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954765 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:29:25 PM
City/State/Zip: Hartford, Connecticut 06106
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954768 - XXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:29:48 PM
City/State/Zip: Addison, Texas 75001
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy.

I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954770 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:30:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Charlotte, North Carolina 28203
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Comcast and many other companies are directly violating rules passed by the FCC to help protect Network Neutrality. They data caps with exceptions depending on the source of that data, which is their own streaming service. You could not have a more perfect textbook example of not following net neutrality. They are favoring their own data over anyone else’s and this prevents other streaming services from having the same level playing field.

Comcast and other companies should not dictate which services it's customers will use simply because they are the endpoint providers. This is exactly why the FCC needs to stand up to them and shutdown this ILLEGAL activity now. The FCC needs to stand up for the consumer's rights because no one else can, not side with them. You passed The Open Internet rules and they are blatantly violating them because they do not like an open internet even though the majority of the populous is in favor of it.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954771 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:30:09 PM
City/State/Zip: Superior, Colorado 80027
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954773 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:30:28 PM
City/State/Zip: Kent, Ohio 44240
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should
not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where
and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so
Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’
data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts
against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to
enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on
the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small
companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls
and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to
have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the
caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users
like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other
Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t
want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the
costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a
lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our
democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the
Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954775 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:30:31 PM
City/State/Zip: Aurora, Colorado 80012
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954776 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:30:38 PM
City/State/Zip: Rockford, Illinois 61107
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954779 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:30:43 PM
City/State/Zip: Issaquah, Washington 98027
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954781 - XXXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:30:52 PM  
City/State/Zip: Franklin Park, Illinois 60131  
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954783 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:31:00 PM
City/State/Zip: Issaquah, Washington 98027
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954784 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:31:17 PM
City/State/Zip: Naples, Florida 34119
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954786 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:31:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Selma, Texas 78154
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954787 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:31:42 PM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98117
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954792 - XXXXXXXX XX’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:32:31 PM
City/State/Zip: San Francisco, California 94112
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954795 - XXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:32:45 PM
City/State/Zip: Austin, Texas 78729
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954797 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:33:10 PM
City/State/Zip: High Point, North Carolina 27265
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954799 - Kenneth's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:33:13 PM  
City/State/Zip: Kennett Square, Pennsylvania 19348  
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954800 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:33:52 PM
City/State/Zip: Gridley, California 95948
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954805 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:34:31 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97219
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954807 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:34:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Lebanon, Tennessee 37087
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

Sincerely,

(b) (6)
(b) (6)
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954811 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:36:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Sacramento, California 95819
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954814 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:36:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Oak Lawn, Illinois 60453
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954816 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:36:52 PM
City/State/Zip: Kirkland, Washington 98033
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954819 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:37:38 PM
City/State/Zip: Los Angeles, California 90036
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954820 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:37:48 PM

City/State/Zip: Travelers Rest, South Carolina 29690

Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954822 - XXXXXX XXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:38:06 PM
City/State/Zip: Boston, Massachusetts 02111
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954824 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:38:11 PM
City/State/Zip: Lebanon, Tennessee 37087
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

Sincerely,
Ticket: # 954827 - XXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating  
**Date:** 5/5/2016 5:38:44 PM  
**City/State/Zip:** Prescott Valley, Arizona 86314  
**Company Complaining About:** Comcast

**Description**

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954828 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:38:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Frederick, Maryland 21701
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954835 - Justin's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:40:27 PM
City/State/Zip: San Francisco, California 94118
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954837 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:40:30 PM
City/State/Zip: Lewiston, Idaho 83501
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954838 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:40:33 PM

City/State/Zip: San Jose, California 95120

Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954840 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:40:47 PM
City/State/Zip: Stratford, New Jersey 08084
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954842 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:40:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Richmond, California 94804
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954843 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:40:57 PM
City/State/Zip: Columbus, Ohio 43220
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954845 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:41:05 PM
City/State/Zip: Longmeadow, Massachusetts 01106
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954848 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:41:12 PM
City/State/Zip: Saratoga Springs, Utah 84045
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954855 - XXXXX XXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:42:26 PM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98144
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954857 - XXXXXXX X's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:42:42 PM
City/State/Zip: Albany, California 94706
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954859 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:42:52 PM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98103
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954862 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:43:20 PM

City/State/Zip: Hickory, North Carolina 28601

Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954863 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:43:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98103
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954865 - XXXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:43:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Burlington, Vermont 05401
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954867 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:43:52 PM

City/State/Zip: Olympia, Washington 98506

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

To whom it may concern,

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954868 - XXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:43:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Shawnee, Kansas 66203
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll for a fee in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954871 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:44:11 PM
City/State/Zip: Harwich, Massachusetts 02645
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should
not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where
and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some
websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick
winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all
competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power
for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by
discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and
punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete
with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have
nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps
while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages
broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their
expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's
traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to
choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these
kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make
these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954872 - Teresa's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:44:21 PM
City/State/Zip: San Antonio, Texas 78217
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954873 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:44:26 PM
City/State/Zip: Humble, Texas 77346
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954874 - XXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:44:27 PM
City/State/Zip: Yadkinville, North Carolina 27055
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954875 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:44:33 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97217
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954879 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:45:00 PM
City/State/Zip: Austin, Texas 78704
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954883 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:45:26 PM
City/State/Zip: Arlington, Massachusetts 02476
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses—except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954884 - XXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:45:36 PM
City/State/Zip: Moneta, Virginia 24121
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954887 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:46:10 PM
City/State/Zip: Santa Fe, New Mexico 87507
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954893 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:46:39 PM
City/State/Zip: Santa Fe, New Mexico 87507
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954895 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:47:01 PM
City/State/Zip: Stuart, Florida 34997
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don't pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954897 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:47:32 PM

City/State/Zip: Sterling Heights, Michigan 48312
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954899 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:47:38 PM
City/State/Zip: Boulder Creek, California 95006
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers' data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That's not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don't want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don't pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don't want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: AT&T hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954911 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:49:48 PM
City/State/Zip: Marietta, Georgia 30066
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954913 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:50:24 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97215
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954905 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:49:07 PM
City/State/Zip: Austin, Texas 78723
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954906 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:49:18 PM
City/State/Zip: Austin, Texas 78736
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can't charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discouages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954909 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:49:26 PM
City/State/Zip: Mckinney, Texas 75070
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954917 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:52:01 PM
City/State/Zip: Tecate, California 91980
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954926 - XXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:54:46 PM
City/State/Zip: Hockessin, Delaware 19707
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954928 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 5:55:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Amsterdam, New York 12010
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954939 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:57:54 PM
City/State/Zip: Ann Arbor, Michigan 48108
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses—except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954960 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:01:31 PM
City/State/Zip: Burlington, Vermont 05408
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954945 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:58:29 PM
City/State/Zip: Salinas, California 93902
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954947 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:59:21 PM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98117
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954951 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 5:59:56 PM
City/State/Zip: Topanga, California 90290
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954953 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:00:31 PM
City/State/Zip: Royal Oak, Michigan 48067
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954964 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:02:01 PM
City/State/Zip: Dover, New Hampshire 03820
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954965 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:02:02 PM
City/State/Zip: Houston, Texas 77082
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954972 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:03:02 PM
City/State/Zip: Collingswood, New Jersey 08108
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954968 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:02:18 PM
City/State/Zip: New Castle, Colorado 81647
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954986 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:04:59 PM
City/State/Zip: Pittsburg, California 94565
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

Note number two: Keep fucking with the populace, and see what happens.
Ticket: # 954971 - XXXXXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:02:32 PM
City/State/Zip: Columbus, Ohio 43235
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954974 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 6:03:14 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97214
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954978 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 6:03:42 PM
City/State/Zip: Boston, Massachusetts 02112
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 954980 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:03:54 PM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98117
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954981 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:03:57 PM
City/State/Zip: Sacramento, California 95841
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954982 - XXXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 6:04:02 PM
City/State/Zip: Pearblossom, California 93553
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954997 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 6:07:00 PM
City/State/Zip: Brooklyn, New York 11226
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954984 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:04:25 PM
City/State/Zip: San Jose, California 95116
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954985 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:04:26 PM

City/State/Zip: North Easton, Massachusetts 02356

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954988 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 6:06:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Lima, Ohio 45805
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954992 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:06:30 PM
City/State/Zip: Highmore, South Dakota 57345
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954995 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:06:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97223
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 954998 - XXX XXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 6:07:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Tulsa, Oklahoma 74110
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can't be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955001 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:07:14 PM
City/State/Zip: Cudahy, Wisconsin 53110
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messeng with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955002 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:07:16 PM
City/State/Zip: Mount Pleasant, South Carolina 29464
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955003 - XXXXXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:07:18 PM
City/State/Zip: Dallas, Texas 75231
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955004 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:07:27 PM
City/State/Zip: Ocala, Florida 34479
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955007 - XXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:07:41 PM
City/State/Zip: Parker, Colorado 80138
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955008 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:07:48 PM
City/State/Zip: Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Please enforce the Net Neutrality rules that we all fought so hard to bring about! This type of behavior is unacceptable.

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955010 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 6:08:12 PM
City/State/Zip: Columbus, Ohio 43224
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955011 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:08:14 PM
City/State/Zip: Claremont, California 91711
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955014 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:08:42 PM
City/State/Zip: Euless, Texas 76039
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Good afternoon,

I am a Time Warner/Comcast customer. Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955015 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 6:08:45 PM
City/State/Zip: Washington, District Of Columbia 20010
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should
not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where
and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so
Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’
data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts
against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to
enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on
the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small
companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls
and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to
have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the
caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users
like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other
Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t
want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the
costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a
lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our
democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the
Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955017 - XXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:08:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53213
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955029 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:10:37 PM
City/State/Zip: Chandler, Arizona 85225
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
This matter is extremely important to me. Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955022 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:09:32 PM
City/State/Zip: Lafayette, Colorado 80026
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses — except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955025 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:10:08 PM

City/State/Zip: Royal Oak, Michigan 48067

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should
not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where
and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so
Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’
data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts
against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to
enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on
the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small
companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls
and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to
have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the
caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users
like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other
Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t
want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the
costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a
lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our
democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the
Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955030 - James's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:10:43 PM
City/State/Zip: Richardson, Texas 75081
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955031 - XX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:10:59 PM
City/State/Zip: Belleville, Michigan 48111
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955032 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:11:19 PM
City/State/Zip: Moscow, Idaho 83843
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955034 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:11:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Santa Rosa, California 95405
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955035 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:12:39 PM
City/State/Zip: Rapid City, South Dakota 57703
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955036 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:12:42 PM
City/State/Zip: Graham, Washington 98338
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955037 - XXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 6:12:45 PM
City/State/Zip: Duncanville, Texas 75137
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955038 - XXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:13:25 PM
City/State/Zip: Cape Coral, Florida 33909
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
As a Comcast customer I am asking that Comcast not be allowed to place data caps on my service while illegally exempting their own streaming sites from these same data caps.

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955039 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:13:33 PM
City/State/Zip: Wichita, Kansas 67210
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955043 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:14:27 PM
City/State/Zip: Kent, Washington 98031
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955045 - Lawrence's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:14:45 PM
City/State/Zip: Louisville, Colorado 80027
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955049 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 6:15:36 PM
City/State/Zip: Shoreline, Washington 98155
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
The FCC protected a free and open internet with the strong Net Neutrality rules passed last year. But now Comcast (and others) are trying to once again cheat the system. They are breaking the rules and the FCC should stop them. We need accountability and open discourse. Do not allow big corporations to stifle business and free trade of information and entertainment and commerce and whatever else people want to do on the internet. Data caps are completely ridiculous and don't help with managing congestion at all. This is about Comcast trying to illegally stack the deck in their favor.

Please, help enforce Net Neutrality and stop Comcast (and Tmobile and AT&T and Verizon) from taking away the freedoms of our internet and becoming the censors/gate keepers of media and information.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955052 - XXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:15:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Cape Coral, Florida 33909
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
As a T-Mobile customer I ask that T-Mobile not be allowed to break the rules on net neutrality.

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955055 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:16:30 PM
City/State/Zip: Kyle, Texas 78640
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955059 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:16:42 PM
City/State/Zip: South Bend, Indiana 46614
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955060 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 6:16:50 PM
City/State/Zip: Chatsworth, California 91311
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955062 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:16:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Riverdale, Georgia 30274
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955063 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:16:56 PM
City/State/Zip: Bothell, Washington 98011
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should
not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where
and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some
websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick
winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all
competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for
its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by
discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and
punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete
with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have
nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps
while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages
broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their
expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's
traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to
choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these
kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make
these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955068 - XXXXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:17:14 PM
City/State/Zip: Henderson, Nevada 89014
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955073 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:17:49 PM
City/State/Zip: Maryland Heights, Missouri 63043
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955075 - XXX XXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:17:49 PM
City/State/Zip: Oxnard, California 93030
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955084 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:18:26 PM
City/State/Zip: San Diego, California 92105
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955077 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:17:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Orange Park, Florida 32065
Company Complaining About: Comcast

**Description**

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955079 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:18:11 PM
City/State/Zip: Riverdale, Georgia 30274
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can't be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955082 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:18:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Geneva, New York 14456
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955088 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:18:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Chandler, Arizona 85225
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate. Allowing Comcast to continue is the same as permitting all other services that wish to do the same, and once they do Net Neutrality is dead in everything but name. Again, once Net Neutrality is dead the Internet becomes little more than a cash grab for Big Cable and like-minded ISPs, to the vast detriment of their customers and the promise of the Internet as a medium of progress and innovation.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955090 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:19:05 PM
City/State/Zip: Dunlap, Illinois 61525
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955092 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:19:08 PM
City/State/Zip: Newton Center, Massachusetts 02459
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955095 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:19:39 PM  
City/State/Zip: Grand Rapids, Michigan 49544  
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955096 - Michael's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:19:40 PM

City/State/Zip: Edmonds, Washington 98026

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955099 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:20:24 PM
City/State/Zip: Farmington, Connecticut 06032
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955101 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:20:27 PM
City/State/Zip: Chelsea, Massachusetts 02150
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955105 - XX XXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:20:50 PM
City/State/Zip: Beaverton, Oregon 97006
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955107 - XXXX XXXXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:21:08 PM
City/State/Zip: Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15228
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955117 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:23:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Tacoma, Washington 98407
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955119 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:23:12 PM
City/State/Zip: Woodside, New York 11377
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955120 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating  
Date: 5/5/2016 6:23:51 PM  
City/State/Zip: Pleasantville, New Jersey 08232  
Company Complaining About: Comcast  

Description  
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.  

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.  

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.  

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.  

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.  

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.  

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.  

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955124 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 6:24:27 PM
City/State/Zip: Mesa, Arizona 85208
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should
not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where
and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select
video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video
providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-
ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video
only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking
video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through
the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this
controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical
requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of
requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine
an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone
company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these
kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make
these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number
of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955125 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:24:29 PM
City/State/Zip: Mesa, Arizona 85208
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can't be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers' data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile's substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC's net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955129 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:25:19 PM
City/State/Zip: Cumming, Georgia 30041
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955130 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:25:52 PM
City/State/Zip: Eastpointe, Michigan 48021
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955133 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:26:09 PM
City/State/Zip: Frisco, Texas 75033
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955136 - XXXXX XXXXX’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:26:49 PM
City/State/Zip: Decatur, Georgia 30033
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955137 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating  
Date: 5/5/2016 6:26:55 PM  
City/State/Zip: Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15208  
Company Complaining About: Verizon  

Description  
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955138 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 6:27:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Liverpool, New York 13088
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955142 - m's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 6:27:25 PM
City/State/Zip: Cloverdale, California 95425
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955143 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:27:25 PM
City/State/Zip: Laie, Hawaii 96762
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955144 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:27:44 PM

City/State/Zip: Petaluma, California 94952

Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile's substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC's net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[b] (6)
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955148 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

**Date:** 5/5/2016 6:28:09 PM  
**City/State/Zip:** Brookfield, Wisconsin 53005  
**Company Complaining About:** Comcast

---

**Description**

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955149 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:28:37 PM
City/State/Zip: Pasadena, California 91103
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955150 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:28:56 PM
City/State/Zip: Cincinnati, Ohio 45238
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955151 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:29:02 PM
City/State/Zip: Brentwood, California 94513
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955152 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:29:18 PM
City/State/Zip: Louisville, Kentucky 40207
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955153 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 6:29:33 PM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98122
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955154 - XXXXXXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 6:29:37 PM
City/State/Zip: Madison, Wisconsin 53719
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[Redacted]
Ticket: # 955155 - Nathan's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:29:59 PM
City/State/Zip: Saint George, Utah 84770
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955157 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:30:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Minneapolis, Minnesota 55416
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955159 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:30:56 PM
City/State/Zip: Wilmette, Illinois 60091
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
The FCC is meant to regulate companies that attempt to manipulate the market and/or population's actions via unfair and unethical means. PLEASE step in.

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955160 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:31:14 PM
City/State/Zip: Long Beach, California 90814
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll for a fee in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955161 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:31:39 PM
City/State/Zip: Indianapolis, Indiana 46268
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955165 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:32:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Richardson, Texas 75082
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses—except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955170 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 6:32:33 PM
City/State/Zip: Rochester, New York 14606
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should
not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where
and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so
Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’
data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts
against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to
enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on
the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small
companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls
and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to
have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the
caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users
like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other
Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t
want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the
costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a
lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our
democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the
Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955180 - XXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 6:35:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Wahiawa, Hawaii 96786
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955173 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 6:32:47 PM
City/State/Zip: Boulder, Colorado 80301
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
The FCC is meant to regulate companies that attempt to manipulate the market and/or population's actions via unfair and unethical means. This is yet again the case with comcast. PLEASE step in.

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955177 - XXXXXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:33:06 PM
City/State/Zip: Anderson, Indiana 46013
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[Redacted]
Ticket: # 955178 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:33:15 PM
City/State/Zip: Sacramento, California 95825
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955181 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:35:07 PM
City/State/Zip: East Amherst, New York 14051
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955183 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:35:28 PM
City/State/Zip: Tucson, Arizona 85748
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955184 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 6:35:32 PM
City/State/Zip: Sun City, California 92586
Company Complaining About: Verizon

**Description**

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955193 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:37:34 PM
City/State/Zip: Rancho Cucamonga, California 91730
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses—except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955188 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:36:21 PM
City/State/Zip: Branford, Connecticut 06405
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[b] (6)
Ticket: # 955189 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:36:46 PM

City/State/Zip: Batavia, Illinois 60510

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955200 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:38:45 PM
City/State/Zip: Colorado Springs, Colorado 80911
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955192 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:37:22 PM
City/State/Zip: Columbus, Ohio 43230
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955194 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 6:37:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Emeryville, California 94608
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955195 - Yvonne's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:37:54 PM
City/State/Zip: Brookline, Massachusetts 02446
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955196 - XXXX XXXXXXX’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:37:56 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97215
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955198 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:38:31 PM
City/State/Zip: Quakertown, Pennsylvania 18951
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955201 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 6:38:49 PM
City/State/Zip: Efland, North Carolina 27243
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955203 - Geri McGillicuddy's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:39:17 PM
City/State/Zip: Aptos, California 95003
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should
not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where
and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select
video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video
providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-
ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video
only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking
video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through
the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this
controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical
requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of
requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine
an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone
company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these
different of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make
these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number
of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955205 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:39:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Mount Pleasant, Wisconsin 53406
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955209 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:39:41 PM
City/State/Zip: Southfield, Michigan 48075
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955226 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:42:28 PM
City/State/Zip: Laguna Beach, California 92651
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
While the FCC protected an open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules last year, my understanding is now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast appears to be breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. And the FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. And Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service (discouraging customers from going elsewhere). This is a case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist. In fact, Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Plus Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

Comcast customers should be able to choose freely whether they want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether they want to watch video online instead.

Comcast's actions and plans need to be investigated. I want the FCC to protect consumers from any sort of abuses. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules -- and Congress should not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: For privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email. Thank you.
Ticket: # 955210 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 6:39:46 PM
City/State/Zip: Inverness, Illinois 60067
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955225 - XXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:41:52 PM
City/State/Zip: Hermosa Beach, California 90254
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955213 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:39:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Atascadero, California 93422
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955216 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:40:13 PM
City/State/Zip: Danville, California 94526
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955228 - Randolph's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:42:43 PM
City/State/Zip: Cabazon, California 92230
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955219 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:40:48 PM
City/State/Zip: Hackettstown, New Jersey 07840
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955220 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 6:41:05 PM
City/State/Zip: Brooklyn, New York 11215
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955222 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:41:37 PM
City/State/Zip: Salem, Oregon 97309
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955223 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:41:48 PM
City/State/Zip: Hinesburg, Vermont 05461
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955224 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:41:52 PM
City/State/Zip: Avoca, Pennsylvania 18641
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955229 - Randolph's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:42:48 PM
City/State/Zip: Cabazon, California 92230
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955230 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:42:50 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97214
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955231 - XXXXXXX XXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:43:52 PM
City/State/Zip: Williamston, North Carolina 27892
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955233 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:44:56 PM

City/State/Zip: Chesapeake, Virginia 23321

Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll for a fee in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: the lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955245 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 6:47:47 PM
City/State/Zip: Madison, Tennessee 37115
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955246 - XXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:47:52 PM
City/State/Zip: Lansdale, Pennsylvania 19446
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don't want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955240 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 6:46:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98122
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955243 - XXXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 6:47:35 PM
City/State/Zip: New Orleans, Louisiana 70115
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955244 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:47:38 PM
City/State/Zip: Thermal, California 92274
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. (I say this as a long-time Verizon customer.) Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. I’m in that category myself--I have a web-based small business, and I need people to be able to find me--plus I subcontract to other firms with whom I frequently need to exchange very large files. Verizon’s is not the version of the Internet I want or need.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955249 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 6:49:12 PM
City/State/Zip: Miami, Oklahoma 74354
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I am a former customer of Verizon, T-Mobile, and Comcast. I currently am a client of AT&T, using their internet service. (I live in a rural area, and have few choices.) The internet is where I work and where I "play" games and chat with friends. I shop there, I keep up with news, local and worldwide.

I have worked on the internet since its inception, and have always enjoyed the fact I choose where I go. That seems to be in jeopardy, despite the FCC recent rulings.

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast or any provider I pay for messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

If I were a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955251 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 6:49:24 PM
City/State/Zip: Ashburn, Virginia 20147
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As a Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955252 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:49:27 PM
City/State/Zip: Piedmont, Alabama 36272
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955253 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:49:45 PM
City/State/Zip: Tucson, Arizona 85741
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955254 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:49:56 PM
City/State/Zip: El Dorado Hills, California 95762
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955256 - Merilee's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 6:50:28 PM
City/State/Zip: West Hills, California 91307
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should
not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where
and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so
Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’
data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts
against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to
enroll for a fee in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on
the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small
companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls
and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to
have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the
caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users
like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other
Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t
want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the
costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a
lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our
democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the
Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses – except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955270 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:53:31 PM
City/State/Zip: Napa, California 94558
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955262 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:51:18 PM
City/State/Zip: Milford, New Hampshire 03055
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955264 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 6:51:45 PM
City/State/Zip: Los Angeles, California 90014
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should
not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where
and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select
video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video
providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-
ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video
only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking
video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through
the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this
controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical
requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of
requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine
an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone
company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: the phone industry hates the FCC’s Net
Neutrality rules and they are doing everything they can to get around the law. In the long run,
everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge
tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these
kinds of abuses by T-Mobile and other spectrum monopolists. Meanwhile, Congress should
encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the
FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number
of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955265 - XXXX XXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:51:48 PM
City/State/Zip: Bradenton, Florida 34210
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955279 - Kate's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:54:31 PM
City/State/Zip: South Abington Township, Pennsylvania 18411
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955272 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 6:54:01 PM
City/State/Zip: San Marcos, California 92078
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955274 - XXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:54:06 PM
City/State/Zip: Boston, Massachusetts 02111
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955275 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:54:11 PM
City/State/Zip: Rockford, Illinois 61108
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955278 - XXXX XXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:54:24 PM
City/State/Zip: Snellville, Georgia 30078
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955289 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:56:40 PM

City/State/Zip: New Smyrna Beach, Florida 32168

Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955280 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:54:54 PM
City/State/Zip: Fort Wayne, Indiana 46825
Company Complaining About: Comcast

**Description**

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
What ISPs could do is, if they feel that they need to alleviate congestion, chip in towards upgrading the backbone of the internet. The backbone hasn't had a major upgrade in decades.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955281 - XXXX XXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:55:01 PM
City/State/Zip: Snellville, Georgia 30078
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can't be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers' data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile's substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC's net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955282 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:55:18 PM
City/State/Zip: Ellicott City, Maryland 21043
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955283 - XXXX XXXXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:55:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Madison, Wisconsin 53704
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out; I was one of those millions. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet. It’s time to stand up to these companies and say No Means No. The masses have spoken; millions vs 4????

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules. Don't forget who pays your wages...the millions...

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don't want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. People like me who are on a fixed income or who work for $7.00 an hour. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make
these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate. Congress too, needs

to remember who pays their wages and we have the power to elect them in to office and out of office.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number

of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955285 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 6:55:31 PM
City/State/Zip: Aberdeen, Washington 98520
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955287 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:56:08 PM
City/State/Zip: Rockledge, Florida 32955
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 1020794 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 6/6/2016 5:14:33 PM
City/State/Zip: Spokane, Washington 99205
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955290 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:57:05 PM
City/State/Zip: New Smyrna Beach, Florida 32168
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955294 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:57:56 PM
City/State/Zip: Frankfort, Illinois 60423
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955295 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:58:05 PM
City/State/Zip: Cleveland, Tennessee 37323
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable company that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality is creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955297 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:58:29 PM
City/State/Zip: Albany, New York 12234
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955299 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:58:34 PM

City/State/Zip: Overland Park, Kansas 66207

Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Ticket: # 955300 - XXXXX XXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:58:42 PM
City/State/Zip: Alexandria, Virginia 22314
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
The below is a bit of boilerplate from the advocacy group I'm sending this thing through. Reads nicely and with far less vulgarity than if I'd done it myself. I'm a Comcast customer by "choice," or rather, the only choice for my particular place of residence, so this impacts me as a consumer. I'm also a patent examiner, so I'm somewhat used to companies trying to weasel out advantages for themselves with a bit of clever draftsmanship a lot of attorney's fees. Not sure what peeves me more, that Comcast and friends are pulling this crap again, or that this time I'm personally on the receiving end. Regardless, I'm annoyed and concerned enough that I've done one of these mail campaign thingies for the first time, and that, at least I hope gets across. Anyway, the boilerplate:

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.
Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955302 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating  
Date: 5/5/2016 6:59:09 PM  
City/State/Zip: Davis, California 95616  
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955304 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:59:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Redlands, California 92374
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955306 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:59:38 PM
City/State/Zip: Tulsa, Oklahoma 74112
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955307 - Rima's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:59:41 PM
City/State/Zip: Santa Barbara, California 93108
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955310 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 6:59:42 PM
City/State/Zip: Davis, California 95616
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955311 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 6:59:52 PM
City/State/Zip: Provo, Utah 84604
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955312 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:00:01 PM
City/State/Zip: Los Angeles, California 90042
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile's substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955316 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 7:00:18 PM
City/State/Zip: Atlanta, Georgia 30318
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955317 - Charles's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 7:00:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955318 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:00:36 PM  
City/State/Zip: Eugene, Oregon 97402  
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead — just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955319 - XXX XXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:00:51 PM

City/State/Zip: Nederland, Colorado 80466

Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955320 - XXX XXXXXXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:01:06 PM
City/State/Zip: Atlanta, Georgia 30349
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Charter Communications doesn't have data caps or any limitations on their Internet; why should you and other providers? Your caps are the single biggest dislike I have of your service. It adds $40/mo or more to my bill. This is a completely unnecessary additional charge, only serving to add to your profits.

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955321 - Sandra's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:01:50 PM
City/State/Zip: Brooklyn, New York 11218
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955323 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:02:02 PM
City/State/Zip: San Juan Capistrano, California 92675
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955326 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:02:31 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97225
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Overall, Comacast is just full of assholes and I'm counting on you to do something about it. Don't let America turn into a steaming pile of shit.

Thanks.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955330 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:03:32 PM
City/State/Zip: Bellevue, Washington 98005
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955331 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 7:03:36 PM
City/State/Zip: Newport, Oregon 97365
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955332 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:03:46 PM
City/State/Zip: Livermore, California 94551
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955333 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:03:48 PM
City/State/Zip: Chicago, Illinois 60645
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955334 - Eddie's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:04:25 PM
City/State/Zip: Sierra Madre, California 91024
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955337 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:06:02 PM
City/State/Zip: Chicago, Illinois 60659
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955340 - XXXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:07:02 PM
City/State/Zip: Soquel, California 95073
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955341 - XXX XXXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:07:05 PM
City/State/Zip: Marion, Ohio 43302
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955344 - Jeffrey Luckay's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:07:45 PM
City/State/Zip: Cuyahoga Falls, Ohio 44221
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955347 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:08:21 PM
City/State/Zip: Conroe, Texas 77302
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955351 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:09:08 PM
City/State/Zip: Atlanta, Georgia 30360
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955367 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:12:13 PM
City/State/Zip: Downers Grove, Illinois 60515
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955370 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:12:31 PM
City/State/Zip: Alexandria, Virginia 22308
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955358 - XXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:10:26 PM
City/State/Zip: Foster City, California 94404
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955359 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:10:27 PM
City/State/Zip: Centennial, Colorado 80122
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955362 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:11:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Kamuela, Hawaii 96743
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955364 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:11:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Villa Hills, Kentucky 41017
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955365 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:12:00 PM
City/State/Zip: Groton, New York 13073
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955373 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:12:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97211
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955378 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:14:00 PM
City/State/Zip: Sausalito, California 94965
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955379 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:14:10 PM
City/State/Zip: Washington, District Of Columbia 20002
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955382 - XXXXXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:14:24 PM
City/State/Zip: Ridley Park, Pennsylvania 19078
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955383 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 7:15:07 PM
City/State/Zip: Orange, Virginia 22960
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955384 - XXXXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 7:15:21 PM
City/State/Zip: Ridley Park, Pennsylvania 19078
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955385 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 7:15:31 PM  
City/State/Zip: Long Beach, California 90802  
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955387 - XXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:15:39 PM
City/State/Zip: Canyon Country, California 91351
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955388 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:15:41 PM
City/State/Zip: Brooksville, Florida 34602
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955389 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:15:50 PM
City/State/Zip: Chicopee, Massachusetts 01020
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955392 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:16:25 PM  
City/State/Zip: Dorchester, Massachusetts 02125  
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955393 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:16:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Boerne, Texas 78006
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955394 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:16:57 PM
City/State/Zip: West Jordan, Utah 84088
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955397 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:17:34 PM
City/State/Zip: New York, New York 10011
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses — except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955452 - Joseph's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 7:27:38 PM
City/State/Zip: Tigerton, Wisconsin 54486
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955401 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:18:48 PM
City/State/Zip: North Richland Hills, Texas 76180
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955403 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:19:36 PM
City/State/Zip: Eugene, Oregon 97402
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As a Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955404 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:19:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Grand Rapids, Michigan 49546
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955406 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:19:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Wixom, Michigan 48393
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955407 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:19:54 PM
City/State/Zip: North Richland Hills, Texas 76180
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[b] (6) [b] (6)
Ticket: # 955408 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:20:11 PM

City/State/Zip: Saint Louis, Missouri 63146

Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955410 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:20:24 PM
City/State/Zip: Kent, Washington 98030
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955411 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:20:43 PM

City/State/Zip: Suquamish, Washington 98392

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955413 - Fernando's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:20:52 PM
City/State/Zip: Slidell, Louisiana 70461
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955414 - XXXXXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:20:57 PM
City/State/Zip: Ojai, California 93023
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955417 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:21:18 PM
City/State/Zip: Tacoma, Washington 98405
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955418 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:21:21 PM
City/State/Zip: Adelanto, California 92301
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955420 - XXXXXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:21:30 PM
City/State/Zip: Tallahassee, Florida 32303
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955421 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 7:21:46 PM
City/State/Zip: Denton, Texas 76208
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955432 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:23:11 PM
City/State/Zip: Seattle, Washington 98144
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email. Thank you.
Ticket: # 955433 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:23:13 PM
City/State/Zip: Warren, Michigan 48089
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Keep the internet open! Step up efforts to prevent Comcast and other companies from extorting their customers with unnecessary, anticompetitive data caps.

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955441 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXX’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 7:25:19 PM
City/State/Zip: Trumansburg, New York 14886
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955445 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:25:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Bonham, Texas 75418
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955446 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:25:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Emmons, Minnesota 56029
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955448 - XXXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:26:19 PM
City/State/Zip: South Burlington, Vermont 05403
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955454 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 7:27:47 PM
City/State/Zip: Claremont, New Hampshire 03743
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955455 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:27:56 PM  
City/State/Zip: San Jose, California 95131  
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955459 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 7:29:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Fayetteville, Georgia 30215
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955461 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 7:30:07 PM
City/State/Zip: Boulder, Colorado 80303
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should
not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where
and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some
websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick
winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all
competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for
its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by
discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and
punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete
with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have
nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps
while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages
broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their
expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s
traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to
choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these
kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make
these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955462 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:30:09 PM
City/State/Zip: Fayetteville, Georgia 30215
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955466 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:30:15 PM
City/State/Zip: Amityville, New York 11701
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955467 - XXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:30:17 PM
City/State/Zip: San Juan Capistrano, California 92675
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955480 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:32:39 PM
City/State/Zip: Jacksonville, Florida 32207
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955471 - XXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 7:31:06 PM
City/State/Zip: Medford, New York 11763
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead — just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955475 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:32:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Ashfield, Massachusetts 01330
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955476 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:32:12 PM
City/State/Zip: Lansing, Michigan 48906
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile's substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC's net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955479 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:32:35 PM  
City/State/Zip: Aurora, Oregon 97002  
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955484 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 7:33:07 PM
City/State/Zip: Pecos, Texas 79772
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955485 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:33:19 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97203
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955501 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 7:38:59 PM
City/State/Zip: Lynden, Washington 98264
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955490 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:34:14 PM
City/State/Zip: Sumner, Washington 98390
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955491 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:34:38 PM
City/State/Zip: Colonia, New Jersey 07067
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955492 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 7:34:47 PM
City/State/Zip: Denver, Colorado 80218
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955494 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:35:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Alameda, California 94501
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955496 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:36:19 PM
City/State/Zip: Lakewood, California 90713
Company Complaining About: Verizon

**Description**

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955497 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:36:19 PM
City/State/Zip: Mukwonago, Wisconsin 53149
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955498 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:36:39 PM
City/State/Zip: Barrington, New Hampshire 03825
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955500 - XXXXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:38:33 PM
City/State/Zip: Wasilla, Alaska 99623
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955502 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 7:39:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Carson, Washington 98610
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955505 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:39:38 PM
City/State/Zip: Lynden, Washington 98264
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955508 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:41:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Griffin, Georgia 30223
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955510 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:41:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Sausalito, California 94966
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955512 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:41:27 PM
City/State/Zip: Chicago, Illinois 60640
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices, and they are charging me a pretty penny to do so!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955513 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:41:37 PM
City/State/Zip: Ellsworth, Maine 04605
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955514 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:41:54 PM
City/State/Zip: Reno, Nevada 89512
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955516 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:42:17 PM
City/State/Zip: Reno, Nevada 89512
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955521 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:43:00 PM
City/State/Zip: East Brunswick, New Jersey 08816
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email. 

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955522 - X XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:43:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Scarsdale, New York 10583
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Verizon, along with all US carriers, is stealing from customers and stockholders. And the government is not doing its job. I pay 6 times as much to Verizon as I pay to the French carrier for my service in Paris, where I have unlimited free calls to the US and all of Europe, WiFi, TV hundred channels (CNN at $1 per month is an extra charge) and even 100 MB/month free cell phone service, all for $40 per month (36 Euro). And Verizon gets to buy AOL for $4 billion? For whom does that make sense - presumably the executives who are part of the infamous 1%.

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.
Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Verizon hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955523 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:43:16 PM
City/State/Zip: Dunnellon, Florida 34433
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955527 - Ambrosia’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:45:43 PM
City/State/Zip: Reno, Nevada 89512
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955529 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:46:22 PM  
City/State/Zip: Wheaton, Illinois 60187  
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955537 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:48:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Waynesboro, Georgia 30830
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955538 - XXXXX XXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:48:46 PM
City/State/Zip: Vancouver, Washington 98662
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955531 - XXXXXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:46:36 PM
City/State/Zip: Columbus, Georgia 31906
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955532 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:46:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Saint Johns, Florida 32259
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955533 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:47:17 PM
City/State/Zip: Beaver Dam, Wisconsin 53916
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955535 - XXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:47:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Flint, Michigan 48507
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955536 - Teresa's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating  
Date: 5/5/2016 7:48:42 PM  
City/State/Zip: Nashville, Tennessee 37211  
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955539 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:48:50 PM
City/State/Zip: Plano, Texas 75093
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[(b) (6)]
Ticket: # 955540 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:48:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Boynton Beach, Florida 33436
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955541 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:49:34 PM
City/State/Zip: Pottsville, Pennsylvania 17901
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955542 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 7:49:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Plano, Texas 75093
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955544 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:49:50 PM

City/State/Zip: Los Angeles, California 90025

Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955548 - XXXXX XXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:50:31 PM
City/State/Zip: Vancouver, Washington 98662
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955550 - XXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:50:51 PM

City/State/Zip: Belding, Michigan 48809

Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC's net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955551 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:51:00 PM
City/State/Zip: Bowie, Maryland 20715
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955552 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 7:51:27 PM
City/State/Zip: Cohoes, New York 12047
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955553 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:51:41 PM
City/State/Zip: North Billerica, Massachusetts 01862
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
I used to have an Internet-only contract with Comcast, but recently they refused to renew it and required me to also pay for cable TV which I never use CX including renting the cable-box. Their sales refused to offer a simple, data-only, contract.

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955555 - Eric's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 7:51:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Flowery Branch, Georgia 30542
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955556 - XXXXX XXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:52:39 PM
City/State/Zip: Frederick, Maryland 21701
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955557 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:52:47 PM
City/State/Zip: Baraboo, Wisconsin 53913
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955568 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:55:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Los Osos, California 93402
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[b] (6)
Ticket: # 955558 - XXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:53:05 PM
City/State/Zip: Sacramento, California 95811
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955560 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 7:53:47 PM
City/State/Zip: Heber City, Utah 84032
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955563 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:54:34 PM
City/State/Zip: Mystic, Connecticut 06355
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955565 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:54:56 PM
City/State/Zip: Endicott, New York 13760
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955570 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:55:48 PM
City/State/Zip: Hollywood, Florida 33020
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955571 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:55:50 PM
City/State/Zip: Austin, Texas 78750
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955574 - XXX XXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

**Date:** 5/5/2016 7:56:39 PM  
**City/State/Zip:** Houston, Texas 77045  
**Company Complaining About:** T Mobile

**Description**

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955575 - XXXX Xxxxxxxx's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:57:12 PM
City/State/Zip: Port Republic, Maryland 20676
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
And of course, Comcast is the only available broadband provider in my area. I have no other choice for a high speed connection.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955585 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:59:11 PM
City/State/Zip: North Billerica, Massachusetts 01862
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

I recently switched to Verizon over Comcast because Verizon finally offered an internet-only contract. No cable-box and no phone, for a better price than Comcast's bundle. I did this through gritted teeth as I hate Verizon's public comments and anti-Net Neutrality actions. All providers need to understand that they do no one any good by dragging the US ISP business away from fairness, progress, and respect for all content providers both big and small.

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.
Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955580 - Keith's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:58:24 PM
City/State/Zip: Turner, Maine 04282
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don't want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don't pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955583 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:58:48 PM
City/State/Zip: Santa Ana, California 92799
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955586 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 7:59:19 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97222
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955592 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:00:15 PM
City/State/Zip: Goodrich, North Dakota 58444
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955593 - XXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:00:34 PM
City/State/Zip: Shreveport, Louisiana 71119
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955594 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955595 - XXXXXX XXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:01:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Newnan, Georgia 30263
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955596 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:02:36 PM
City/State/Zip: Tarzana, California 91356
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955598 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:03:18 PM
City/State/Zip: Fayetteville, Arkansas 72701
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955601 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:03:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Healdsburg, California 95448
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955602 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:03:50 PM
City/State/Zip: Akron, Ohio 44303
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955603 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:03:53 PM
City/State/Zip: Ocean City, New Jersey 08226
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955604 - XXX XXXXXXXXXX 's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:03:55 PM
City/State/Zip: North Richland Hills, Texas 76182
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955605 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:04:24 PM
City/State/Zip: Flushing, New York 11367
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955610 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:07:29 PM

City/State/Zip: New Orleans, Louisiana 70123

Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955615 - XXXXXXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:08:47 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97202
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955617 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:09:17 PM
City/State/Zip: Elgin, Illinois 60124
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955618 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXX’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:09:40 PM
City/State/Zip: Monroeville, New Jersey 08343
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955620 - Dylan's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 8:10:20 PM
City/State/Zip: Simi Valley, California 93063
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955628 - XXXXXX XXXXXX ‘s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:11:10 PM
City/State/Zip: Spotswood, New Jersey 08884
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955621 - Brandon's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:10:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Charlottesville, Virginia 22902
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955623 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:10:48 PM

City/State/Zip: Custer, South Dakota 57730

Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955624 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:10:59 PM
City/State/Zip: Colorado Springs, Colorado 80906
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online. Even their high speed Internet prices are outrageous if you don't bundle with cable, and as a customer I've seen them throttle our family's services to try and get us to upgrade to services we don't want.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955625 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:11:00 PM
City/State/Zip: Asheville, North Carolina 28804
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll for a fee in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955626 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:11:03 PM
City/State/Zip: Carrollton, Texas 75006
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955629 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:11:18 PM
City/State/Zip: Issaquah, Washington 98027
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955630 - Charles's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:11:43 PM
City/State/Zip: Sylmar, California 91342
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[b] (6) [b] (6)
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll for a fee in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955632 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:12:52 PM
City/State/Zip: Houston, Texas 77008
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses — except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955634 - Joshua's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:13:15 PM
City/State/Zip: Mckinney, Texas 75070
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955636 - XXXXXXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 8:13:30 PM
City/State/Zip: New York, New York 10011
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955638 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:13:51 PM  
City/State/Zip: North Miami, Florida 33161  
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955655 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:19:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Kansas City, Missouri 64112
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

I think you have seen how important net neutrality is with the SOPA thing. Please stop fucking with the internet.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955643 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:16:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19116
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

Please don't feed the greed! Thank you!
Ticket: # 955646 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:17:44 PM

City/State/Zip: Downingtown, Pennsylvania 19335

Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955648 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:18:02 PM

City/State/Zip: Norfolk, Nebraska 68701

Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955649 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 8:18:13 PM
City/State/Zip: San Francisco, California 94103
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955651 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:18:35 PM
City/State/Zip: Charlotte, North Carolina 28205
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955653 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:18:52 PM
City/State/Zip: Wichita, Kansas 67212
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955657 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:21:00 PM
City/State/Zip: Chicago, Illinois 60628
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955658 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:21:25 PM
City/State/Zip: Chicago, Illinois 60628
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955679 - XXXX XXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:25:39 PM
City/State/Zip: Saint Augustine, Florida 32092
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955662 - Zachary's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 8:22:09 PM
City/State/Zip: Peoria, Illinois 61604
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955664 - XXXXXX X's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:22:48 PM
City/State/Zip: Marina Del Rey, California 90295
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955665 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:23:11 PM
City/State/Zip: Hamel, Minnesota 55340
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955666 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:23:18 PM
City/State/Zip: Middle River, Maryland 21220
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955668 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 8:23:33 PM
City/State/Zip: Milton, Delaware 19968
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955669 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:23:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Irving, Texas 75063
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile's substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955671 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:23:52 PM
City/State/Zip: Hamel, Minnesota 55340
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955675 - XXXXX XXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:24:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Saint Augustine, Florida 32092
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955678 - Sabina's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 8:24:58 PM
City/State/Zip: Emeryville, California 94608
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955681 - XXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:25:56 PM
City/State/Zip: Milpitas, California 95035
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

FCC, don’t let these ISP's walk all over you. Keep them in check and be advocates for an open and free internet that creates a platform for positive change. Don’t let the people with money dictate winners and losers on the internet which you regulate.

Thanks for your time,
Ticket: # 955683 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:26:32 PM
City/State/Zip: Villa Park, Illinois 60181
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955686 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating  
Date: 5/5/2016 8:27:14 PM  
City/State/Zip: Vashon, Washington 98070  
Company Complaining About: Comcast  

Description  
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955687 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:27:37 PM
City/State/Zip: Alameda, California 94501
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955688 - XXXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 8:27:50 PM
City/State/Zip: Somerton, Arizona 85350
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955690 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:28:18 PM
City/State/Zip: Elizabethton, Tennessee 37643
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they're charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can't afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don't want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955692 - XXX XXXXXXXX’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:28:20 PM
City/State/Zip: Olympia, Washington 98506
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955695 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:28:34 PM
City/State/Zip: Melrose, Florida 32666
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955697 - XXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 8:28:47 PM
City/State/Zip: Olympia, Washington 98506
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955698 - XXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:28:56 PM
City/State/Zip: Dayton, Ohio 45429
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
The FCC must enforce the law. Verizon and their ilk make plenty of revenue without holding the internet hostage.

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955699 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 8:29:01 PM
City/State/Zip: Los Angeles, California 90066
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955700 - Francisco's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:29:39 PM  
City/State/Zip: North Hills, California 91343  
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955703 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:30:15 PM
City/State/Zip: Prescott, Arizona 86303
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955704 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:30:20 PM
City/State/Zip: Round Rock, Texas 78665
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955706 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:30:50 PM
City/State/Zip: Manhattan, Kansas 66502
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955709 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:31:21 PM
City/State/Zip: Colorado Springs, Colorado 80909
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

They have billions of dollars to hire lawyers to help creatively escape the law.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

It's critical considering the way the internet already works, to stay open.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955710 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 8:31:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Stow, Massachusetts 01775
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can't afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don't pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955712 - Sandra's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:31:50 PM
City/State/Zip: Tucson, Arizona 85710
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don't pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955713 - XXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:31:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Grand Prairie, Texas 75052
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955714 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:32:19 PM
City/State/Zip: Monticello, New York 12701
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955716 - XXXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:32:36 PM
City/State/Zip: North Chesterfield, Virginia 23237
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955717 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 8:33:01 PM
City/State/Zip: Canoga Park, California 91304
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955720 - XXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:33:36 PM
City/State/Zip: Rock Island, Illinois 61201
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955723 - XXXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:33:56 PM
City/State/Zip: Anacortes, Washington 98221
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate. Thank you.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955724 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:34:02 PM
City/State/Zip: Atlanta, Georgia 30339
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955725 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:34:42 PM
City/State/Zip: Cornelius, Oregon 97113
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955726 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:35:14 PM
City/State/Zip: Newburyport, Massachusetts 01950
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955727 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:35:30 PM
City/State/Zip: Pomona, California 91767
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll for a fee in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses — except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955732 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:36:45 PM
City/State/Zip: Wailuku, Hawaii 96793
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955733 - XXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:37:00 PM
City/State/Zip: Champaign, Illinois 61821
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955736 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:37:19 PM
City/State/Zip: Miami, Florida 33175
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As a former Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955740 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:38:08 PM
City/State/Zip: Costa Mesa, California 92627
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955741 - XXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:38:12 PM
City/State/Zip: Los Angeles, California 90044
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955743 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:38:38 PM
City/State/Zip: Enfield, Connecticut 06082
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon's sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955744 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 8:39:09 PM
City/State/Zip: Terre Haute, Indiana 47804
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955745 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:39:56 PM
City/State/Zip: Concord, California 94519
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955746 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 8:40:19 PM
City/State/Zip: Smiths Station, Alabama 36877
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955751 - XXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:41:57 PM
City/State/Zip: Wilkes Barre, Pennsylvania 18702
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955754 - XXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 8:42:36 PM
City/State/Zip: Talent, Oregon 97540
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955758 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 8:43:22 PM
City/State/Zip: Albuquerque, New Mexico 87109
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955762 - XXXXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:44:23 PM
City/State/Zip: Portland, Oregon 97230
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll for a fee in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an internet user and American, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955767 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:45:47 PM
City/State/Zip: Cherry Valley, California 92223
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955775 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:48:13 PM
City/State/Zip: Slidell, Louisiana 70458
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955778 - XXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:50:05 PM
City/State/Zip: Boulder, Colorado 80304
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955780 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:50:51 PM
City/State/Zip: Boulder, Colorado 80304
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955781 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 8:51:01 PM
City/State/Zip: Vashon, Washington 98070
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955783 - XXXX XXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:51:18 PM
City/State/Zip: Tamarac, Florida 33321
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955784 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:51:46 PM
City/State/Zip: Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15206
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955785 - XXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:52:10 PM
City/State/Zip: Randolph, New Jersey 07869
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955786 - Sherilyn's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 8:52:14 PM
City/State/Zip: San Antonio, Texas 78212
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955787 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating  
**Date:** 5/5/2016 8:52:25 PM  
**City/State/Zip:** Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15206  
**Company Complaining About:** T Mobile

---

**Description**

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955788 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:52:50 PM
City/State/Zip: Rohnert Park, California 94928
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955791 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:53:05 PM
City/State/Zip: Winter Park, Florida 32792
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll for a fee in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955802 - XXXXX XXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:55:59 PM
City/State/Zip: East Northport, New York 11731
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they'll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955793 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:54:11 PM
City/State/Zip: Richmond, California 94805
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955795 - XXXXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 8:54:27 PM
City/State/Zip: Ypsilanti, Michigan 48197
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955796 - XXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:54:28 PM
City/State/Zip: Mercer Island, Washington 98040
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955797 - Nathan's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:54:41 PM
City/State/Zip: Tampa, Florida 33604
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955798 - XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 8:55:11 PM
City/State/Zip: Murrysville, Pennsylvania 15668
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955800 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:55:52 PM  
City/State/Zip: Fort Collins, Colorado 80524  
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, , they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955808 - XXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:56:57 PM
City/State/Zip: Powell, Ohio 43065
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should
not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where
and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some
websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick
winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all
competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for
its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by
discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and
punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete
with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have
nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps
while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages
broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their
expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s
traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to
choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these
kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make
these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955811 - Sandra's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:57:25 PM
City/State/Zip: Dripping Springs, Texas 78620
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955813 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating  
Date: 5/5/2016 8:57:52 PM  
City/State/Zip: Brooklyn, New York 11235  
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955815 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:58:09 PM
City/State/Zip: Ferndale, Michigan 48220
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955816 - XXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:58:20 PM
City/State/Zip: Ocala, Florida 34473
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955817 - XXXXXX XXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 8:58:44 PM
City/State/Zip: Wickliffe, Ohio 44092
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955818 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 9:00:04 PM
City/State/Zip: Ocala, Florida 34473
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Supposedly the FCC protected the open Internet by passing Net Neutrality rules, but now cable and phone companies are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955821 - XXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 9:01:00 PM
City/State/Zip: New York, New York 10002
Company Complaining About: T Mobile

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

(b) (6)
Ticket: # 955824 - XXX XX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 9:01:34 PM
City/State/Zip: Honolulu, Hawaii 96818
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955825 - XXXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

**Date:** 5/5/2016 9:01:36 PM
**City/State/Zip:** Brewster, Massachusetts 02631
**Company Complaining About:** AT&T

---

**Description**

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955834 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 9:03:57 PM

City/State/Zip: La Center, Washington 98629

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955829 - XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXXXX’s complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 9:02:55 PM
City/State/Zip: Chesapeake, Virginia 23324
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to choose the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy.

I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you'd like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955831 - XXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 9:03:23 PM

City/State/Zip: Encino, California 91316

Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses — except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955836 - XXXXXX XXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 9:04:30 PM
City/State/Zip: Fremont, California 94536
Company Complaining About: Comcast

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast's caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don't want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There's no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially "cord-cutting" by users who'd rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast's traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we've always known: Comcast hates the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It's the FCC's job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC's power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Ticket: # 955837 - XXXX XXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating

Date: 5/5/2016 9:04:43 PM  
City/State/Zip: Columbus, Ohio 43212  
Company Complaining About: AT&T

Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

AT&T is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so AT&T created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in AT&T’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for AT&T to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay AT&T to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an AT&T customer, I don’t want AT&T to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate AT&T for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: AT&T hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by AT&T. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I'm providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

T-Mobile is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The FCC rules say that ISPs can’t be gatekeepers online. T-Mobile exempts the content of select video providers from customers’ data caps as part of its Binge On program, but only if those video providers meet T-Mobile’s substantial technical requirements. This makes it difficult for many start-ups, small players, and non-commercial speakers to join. These exemptions are available for video only, so T-Mobile is favoring some kinds of uses over others.

Not only that, T-Mobile is downgrading all video across its network just to pull off the plan, breaking video on the sites of many independent creators and small services by forcing viewers to sit through the infamous “spinning wheel of death” as videos load or buffer. After coming under fire for this controversy, T-Mobile gave video sites a way to opt out, but they still have to meet specific technical requirements to do that.

These requirements are a ridiculous and unsustainable burden for small sites, and the idea of requiring special deals to access customers flies in the face of the FCC’s net neutrality rules. Imagine an Internet where small websites had to enter into technical conversations with every single cellphone company in the world, just to make sure their videos were not interfered with!

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: T-Mobile hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by T-Mobile. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
[b] (6)
Description

Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to
the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so
hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the
open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should
not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where
and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some
websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick
winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all
competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for
its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by
discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and
punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete
with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have
nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps
while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages
broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their
expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s
traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead— just as I should be able to
choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality
rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet
loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as
gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these
kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make
these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Comcast is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules prevent ISPs from picking winners and losers online by slowing down some websites and applications while speeding up others. But now Comcast has found another way to pick winners and losers: it applies arbitrary data caps, but exempts its own video content while counting all competing video services toward those caps. This is a textbook case of an ISP abusing its power for its own competitive advantage. In addition, Comcast’s caps favor its own traditional cable service by discouraging customers from cutting the cord.

I don’t want Comcast messing with my choice of video services by privileging its own content and punishing the rest. That hurts me, and it hurts the online video services I might use if they compete with Comcast by offering better price, quality and selection.

There’s no legitimate reason for data caps to exist at all. Comcast has admitted that its caps have nothing to do with managing congestion. Moreover, Comcast is limiting Internet use with data caps while charging a monthly fee for customers to get out from under those caps. This discourages broadband Internet use overall, and especially “cord-cutting” by users who’d rather give up their expensive cable TV packages and watch TV online.

As a Comcast customer, I should be able to choose freely whether I want to subscribe to Comcast’s traditional cable service or whether I want to watch video online instead—just as I should be able to choose which online video I want to watch. Comcast is interfering with these choices.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Comcast hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.

These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Comcast. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.
Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.

[redacted]
Ticket: # 955841 - XXX XXXXXXXXX's complaint re: ISP Zero Rating
Date: 5/5/2016 9:06:18 PM
City/State/Zip: Mundelein, Illinois 60060
Company Complaining About: Verizon

Description
Last year the FCC protected the open Internet by passing strong Net Neutrality rules in response to the millions of people who spoke out. But now the same cable and phone companies that fought so hard to destroy Net Neutrality are creating harmful new schemes that pose a serious threat to the open Internet.

Verizon is breaking the rules, and the FCC should put a stop to it. Furthermore, this decision should not be made behind closed doors. The FCC should have an open, public process to decide where and how to enforce these rules.

The Open Internet rules say that ISPs can’t charge websites and apps to be in the fast lane, so Verizon created another toll: they’re charging websites and apps to be exempted from customers’ data caps. Data shows that users find zero-rated content more attractive than content that counts against their caps. Thus, if web companies want to compete with those who pay, they’ll need to enroll - for a fee - in Verizon’s sponsored data program. This creates a new toll for data traveling on the Internet and racks up charges for websites, applications, and content providers. Startups, small companies, and non-commercial speakers may face huge barriers if they can’t afford to pay new tolls and no longer have their fair shot at reaching people online. That’s not the kind of Internet I want to have.

These programs also create perverse incentives for Verizon to keep data caps low: The lower the caps, the more pressure on websites to pay up. Thus, these programs ultimately hurt Internet users like me who have less data to use on the apps they really want to use.

Finally, these plans distort my ability to use the applications of my choice by pushing me and other Internet users toward sites with deep pockets and away from those who can’t afford the toll or don’t want to pay it. They effectively punish me for using sites that don’t pay the toll and unfairly raise the costs of the services that pay Verizon to be zero-rated (who then must pass that cost onto me).

As an Verizon customer, I don’t want Verizon to turn the Internet into a place where those without a lot of money can no longer compete on an equal footing. That would hurt our economy and our democracy. I request that the FCC investigate Verizon for using this zero rating scheme to skirt the Open Internet rules.

Altogether, these practices prove what we’ve always known: Verizon hates the FCC’s Net Neutrality rules and is doing everything it can to get around them. In the long run, everyone on the Internet loses -- except carriers in the middle that get to impose data caps, charge tolls, and act as gatekeepers.
These plans need to be investigated and stopped. It’s the FCC’s job to protect consumers from these kinds of abuses by Verizon. Meanwhile, Congress should encourage the FCC to do its job and make these companies follow the rules, not interfere with the FCC’s power to regulate.

Note: for privacy reasons, rather than providing my personal phone number, I’m providing the number of an advocacy group. If you’d like to contact me about my complaint, please do so via email.