**Approved by OMB**

**3060-1122**

**Expires: March 31, 2025**

**Estimated time per response: 10-55 hours**

Annual Collection of Information

Related to the Collection and Use of 911 and E911 Fees by States and Other Jurisdictions

Pursuant to OMB authorization 3060-1122, the FCC’s Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau (the Bureau) seeks the following specific information in order to fulfill the Commission’s obligations under Section 6(f)(2) of the NET 911 Act, as amended by Section 902.[[1]](#footnote-2)

**Instructions for Filling Out the Questionnaire**

**Please read and follow these general instructions:**

* Please complete all sections of this form.
* Please enter only numeric responses where requested.
  + Dollar or percentage signs, decimal points, and thousands separator commas are acceptable.
  + Blank responses, “None”, “Unknown”, or “N/A” are also acceptable.
  + To facilitate the Bureau’s calculations for the Annual Fee Report, please avoid stray characters such as: \*, ~, (), or [] in numeric responses.
* Use the associated Addendum fields to enter other information, such as footnotes, qualifiers, text, descriptions, and/or explanations.
* All responses should pertain to calendar year (January 1 – December 31), not fiscal year.
* Unless otherwise directed, please provide requested information directly on this form, rather than submit, refer to, and/or rely on supplemental materials.
* Please consolidate separate response forms (and/or responses to individual questions) completed by counties, municipalities, or other local jurisdictions into one response form for the entire state, using sums and averages as appropriate.

1. **Filing Information**

**A1. Name of State or Jurisdiction**

|  |
| --- |
| **State or Jurisdiction** |
| Kansas |

**A2. Name, Title and Organization of Individual Filing Report**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Title** | **Organization** |
| Scott A. Ekberg | NG911 Administrator | KS 911 Coordinating Council |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section A** |
| As the statutory 911 Authority for the State, information has been collected and aggregated from the 121 PSAPs in Kansas for this report. |

1. **Overview of State or Jurisdiction 911 System**

**B1. Please provide the total number of active primary and secondary Public Safety Answering Points (PSAPs) in your state or jurisdiction that received funding derived from the collection of 911/E911 fees during the annual period ending December 31, 2022. PSAPs that did not receive funding derived from the collection of 911/E911 fees need not be included in the response boxes, but may be reported in Addendum Section B1.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **PSAP Type[[2]](#footnote-3)** | **Number of PSAPs** |
| Primary | 121 |
| Secondary | 8 |
| **Total** | 129 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section B1** |
| Secondary PSAP information was provided by the individual primary PSAPs and may or may not be accurate. |

**B2. Please provide the total number of active telecommunicators[[3]](#footnote-4) in your state or jurisdiction that were funded through the collection of 911 and E911 fees during the annual period ending December 31, 2022. Telecommunicators that were not funded through the collection of 911 and E911 fees need not be included in the response boxes, but may be reported in Addendum Section B2.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Telecommunicator Type** | **Number of Active Telecommunicators Funded by 911/E911 Fees** |
| Full Time | 0 |
| Part Time | 0 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section B2** |
| Telecommunicator wages are not an approved use of 911 funds in Kansas. The local units of government fund these positions with property taxes collected at the local level. Kansas PSAPs reported a total of 1175 full time and 129 part time Telecommunicators actively working in Kansas. |

**B3. For the annual period ending December 31, 2022, please provide an estimate of the total cost to provide 911/E911 service in your state or jurisdiction.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Amount ($)** | 120,614,547 |

**B3a. If an amount cannot be provided, please explain why.**

|  |
| --- |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section B3** |
| The amount provided includes estimates of wages paid in some cases, based on a $12.50 per hour wage and a benefit rate of 35%. Not all PSAPs were able to provide data in response to this question, so estimates based on the number of Telecommunicators reported for the PSAP were used. The estimate is conservative as other costs associated with providing 911, funded by local tax dollars, are not included. |

**B4. Please provide the total number of 911 voice calls that your state or jurisdiction received during the period January 1, 2022 to December 31, 2022.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Type of Service** | **Total 911 Voice Calls** |
| Wireline | 96,413 |
| Wireless | 1,351,143 |
| VoIP | 152,890 |
| Other (report 911 texts separately below in B.4a) |  |
| **Total** | 1,600,446 |

**B4a. Please provide the total number of 911 texts that your state or jurisdiction received during the period January 1, 2022 to December 31, 2022.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Texts to 911 | 11,024 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section B4** |
|  |

1. **Description of Authority Enabling Establishment of 911/E911 Funding Mechanisms**

**C1. Has your State, or any political subdivision, Indian Tribe, village or regional corporation therein as defined by Section 6(f)(1) of the NET 911 Act, established a funding mechanism designated for or imposed for the purposes of 911 or E911 support or implementation (please include a citation to the legal authority for such mechanism)?** *Check one.*

* Yes …………………..
* No ………………..…..

**C1a. If YES, provide a citation to the legal authority for such a mechanism.**

|  |
| --- |
| Kansas 911 Act (K.S.A. 12-5362 et seq) |

**C1b. If YES to C1, during the annual period January 1, 2022 to December 31, 2022, did your state or jurisdiction amend, enlarge, or in any way alter the funding mechanism?** *Check one (leave blank if NO to C1).*

* Yes …………………..
* No ………………..…..
* Unknown ………..…..

**C1c. If YES to C1b., provide a description of amendments, enlargements, or alterations to the funding mechanism, if applicable.**

|  |
| --- |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section C1** |
|  |

**C2. Which of the following best describes the type of authority arrangement for the collection of 911/E911 fees**? *Check one*. *If both State and local authorities collect fees, please check the “hybrid approach” box only.*

* The State collects the fees …………………………………..
* A local authority collects the fees ……………………….…
* A hybrid approach where two or more governing bodies

(*e.g.*, state and local authority) collect the fees ……………..

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section C2** |
|  |

**C3. Describe how the funds collected are made available to localities.**

|  |
| --- |
| The collected funds are remitted by the service providers to the Local Collection Point  Administrator (LCPA) which is a contract employee of the 9-1-1 Coordinating Council. The funds are then distributed by the LCPA to the individual PSAPs based on a funding formula established in K.S.A. 12-5374. This formula ensures that every PSAP within the state receives a minimum annual 911 fee disbursement of $60,000 |

1. **Description of State or Jurisdictional Authority That Determines How 911/E911 Fees are Spent**

**D1. Indicate which entities in your state have the authority to approve the expenditure of funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes.** *Check one*.

* The State has authority to approve the expenditure of funds ………………….…..
* One or more local authorities has authority to approve the expenditure of funds…
* A hybrid approach where two or more governing bodies (e.g., state or local authority) have authority to approve the expenditure of funds ……………………………….

**D1a. Please briefly describe any limitations on the approval authority per jurisdiction (*e.g.*, limited to fees collected by the entity, limited to wireline or wireless service, etc.).**

|  |
| --- |
| Expenditure of 911 fee funds is limited by statute. |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section D1** |
| Written criteria of allowable use of 911 fee funds is established in K.S.A. 12-5375. This statue provides  the following approved uses of 911 fee monies: (1) Implementation of 911 services; (2) purchase of 911  equipment and upgrades; (3) maintenance and license fees for 911 equipment; (4) training of personnel;  (5) monthly recurring charges billed by service suppliers; (6) installation, service establishment and  nonrecurring start-up charges billed by the service supplier; (7) charges for capital improvements and  equipment or other physical enhancements to the 911 system; or (8) the original acquisition and  installation of road signs designed to aid in the delivery of emergency service. Such costs shall not  include expenditures to lease, construct, expand, acquire, remodel, renovate, repair, furnish or make  improvements to buildings or similar facilities. Such costs shall also not include expenditures to  purchase subscriber radio equipment.  To ensure that the funds are expended appropriately, the Council requires an annual report of  expenditures from the local PSAPs. The Council reviews these reports and requests additional information or documentation of any questioned expenditures. If expenditures are deemed to be unallowable, the PSAPs are required to reimburse the amount of such unallowable expenditures into their 911 fund and provide documentation of the reimbursement. |

**D2. Has your state established a funding mechanism that mandates *how* collected funds can be used? *Check one*.**

* Yes …………………..
* No ………………..…..

**D2a.** **If you checked YES, provide a legal citation to the funding mechanism of any such criteria.**

|  |
| --- |
| K.S.A. 12-5375 |

**D2b.** **If you checked NO, describe how your state or jurisdiction decides how collected funds can be used.**

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. **Description of Uses of Collected 911/E911 Fees**

**E1. Provide a statement identifying with specificity all activities, programs, and organizations for whose benefit your state, or political subdivision thereof, has obligated or expended funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes and how these activities, programs, and organizations support 911 and E911 services or enhancements of such services.**

|  |
| --- |
| Collected 911 fees were utilized by the PSAPs for purchases totaling $23,494,356 in the following  areas:  • Implementation of 911 services – 4.0% of total expenditures  • Purchase of 911 equipment and upgrades – 21.5% of total expenditures  • Maintenance and license fees for 911 equipment – 25.9% of total  • expenditures  • Training of PSAP personnel – 2.0% of total expenditures  • Monthly recurring charges billed by service suppliers – 44.2% of total  • expenditures  • Installation, service establishment and nonrecurring start-up charges billed by  • the service supplier – 0.3% of total expenditures  • Charges for capital improvements and equipment or other physical  • enhancements to the 911 system – 2.2% of total expenditures  • The original acquisition and installation of road signs designed to aid in the  • delivery of emergency service – 0.0% of total expenditures  Additionally, the Council expended $7,792,383, in state operation funds on the following statewide  projects:  • Statewide NG911 System – 85.05%  • Council Admin and other expenses – 2.31%  • NG911 Program Support Services – 8.23%  • GIS and program technical support – 4.40% |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **E2. Please identify the uses of the collected funds.[[4]](#footnote-5) *Check all that apply*.** | | | |
| **Type of Cost** | | **Yes** | **No** |
| **PSAP operating costs, including technological innovation that supports 911** | Lease, purchase, maintenance, replacement, and upgrade of customer premises equipment (CPE) (hardware and software) |  |  |
| Lease, purchase, maintenance, replacement, and upgrade of computer aided dispatch (CAD) equipment (hardware and software) |  |  |
| Lease, purchase, maintenance, replacement, and upgrade of PSAP building/facility |  |  |
| NG911, cybersecurity, pre-arrival instructions, and emergency notification systems (ENS) |  |  |
| **PSAP personnel costs** | Telecommunicators’ Salaries |  |  |
| Training of Telecommunicators |  |  |
| **PSAP administrative costs** | Program Administration |  |  |
| Travel Expenses |  |  |
| **Costs for integration and interoperability of 911 systems and public safety/first responder radio systems** | Integrating public safety/first responder dispatch and 911 systems, including lease, purchase, maintenance, and upgrade of CAD hardware and software to support integrated 911 and public safety dispatch operations |  |  |
| Providing for the interoperability of 911 systems with one another and with public safety/first responder radio systems |  |  |
| **Grant programs** |  | **If YES, see E2a.** |  |
| **E2a. During the annual period ending December 31, 2022, describe the grants that your state paid for through the use of collected 911/E911 fees and the purpose of such grants.** | | | |
| None during CY 2022 | | | |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section E2** |
| No grants were awarded. Based on the limited funding created by the $0.01 of every 911 fee collected (approx. $300,000 annually) grants are limited to emergency replacement of equipment where budget authority is not present to replace failed equipment during a budget year for a PSAP. We have had no such situations arise during 2022 |

1. **Description of 911/E911 Fees Collected**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **F1. Please describe the amount of fees or charges imposed for the implementation and support of 911 and E911 services. Please distinguish between state and local fees for each service type.** | | | | |
| **Service Type – provide *either* fee ($) or percentage (%)** *(leave inapplicable cell blank for each type)* | **Fee/Charge Imposed** | **Jurisdiction Receiving Remittance**  *Check one for each Service Type. If both State and County/Local Authorities receive remittances, please check the “Combination” box only.* | | |
| **State** | **County or Local Authority** | **Combination of State and County/Local** |
| **Wireline – monthly fee ($) or percentage (%)** | $0.90 per subscriber account |  |  |  |
| % |
| **Wireless – monthly fee ($) or percentage (%)** | $0.90 per subscriber account |  |  |  |
| % |
| **Prepaid Wireless –flat fee ($) or percentage (%) per retail transaction** | $ |  |  |  |
| 2.06% |
| **Voice Over Internet Protocol (VoIP) – monthly fee ($) or percentage (%)** | $0.90 per subscriber account |  |  |  |
| % |
| **Other – monthly fee ($) or percentage (%)** | $0.90 per subscriber account |  |  |  |
| % |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section F1** |
| The statute that created the 911 fee in Kansas states that every device capable of contacting 911 is charged the fee. At this time we do not collect fees on wi-fi enabled devices capable of contacting 911. |

**F2. For the annual period ending December 31, 2022, please report the total amount collected pursuant to the assessed fees or charges described in Question F1.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Service Type** | **Total Amount Collected ($)** |
| Wireline | Included in Wireless Amount |
| Wireless | 32,623,396 |
| Prepaid Wireless | 2,424,462 |
| Voice Over Internet Protocol (VoIP) | Included in Wireless Amount |
| Other | 0 |
| **Total** | 35,047,858 |

**F2a. If an amount cannot be provided, please explain why.**

|  |
| --- |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section F2** |
|  |

**F3. Please identify any other sources of 911/E911 funding.**

|  |
| --- |
| Local government general fund monies are used extensively to fund 911 in Kansas. These funds are derived from property taxes and account for approximately 76% of total funding in CY 2022. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** |
| **F4. For the annual period ending December 31, 2022, were any 911/E911 fees that were collected by your state or jurisdiction combined with any federal, state or local funds, grants, special collections, or general budget appropriations that were designated to support 911/E911/NG911 services?** *Check one.* |  |  |
| **F4a.** **If YES, please describe the federal, state or local funds and amounts that were combined with 911/E911 fees.** | | |
| Local general fund monies are used extensively to fund 911 in Kansas. These funds are derived from property taxes and account for approximately 76% of total funding in CY 2022. | | |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section F4** |
|  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **F5. Please provide an estimate of the proportional contribution from each funding source towards the total cost to support 911 in your state or jurisdiction.** | **Percent (%)** |
| State 911 Fees | 24% |
| Local 911 Fees |  |
| General Fund - State |  |
| General Fund - County | 76% |
| Federal Grants |  |
| State Grants |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section F5** |
|  |

1. **Description of Diversion or Transfer of 911/E911 Fees for Other Uses**

For the purposes of this questionnaire, diversion is the obligation or expenditure of a 911 fee or charge for a purpose or function other than the purposes and functions identified in 47 CFR § 9.23 of the Commission’s rules as acceptable.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | | **Yes** | **No** |
| **G1. In the annual period ending December 31, 2022, were funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes in your state or jurisdiction obligated or expended solely for acceptable purposes and functions as provided under 47 CFR § 9.23?** *Check one*. | |  |  |
| **G1a.** **If NO, please identify what amount of funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes were obligated or expended for purposes or functions other than those designated as acceptable under 47 CFR § 9.23, including any funds transferred, loaned, or otherwise used for the state's general fund. Along with identifying the amount, please include a statement identifying the purposes or functions for such funds.** | | | |
| **Amount of Funds ($)** | **Identify the purposes or functions other than those designated as acceptable by the Commission for which the 911/E911 funds were obligated or expended. (*If you need more rows for your response, please enter the information in Addendum Section G1.*)** | | |
|  |  | | |
|  |  | | |
|  |  | | |
|  |  | | |
|  |  | | |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section G1** |
|  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | | **Yes** | **No** |
| **G2. In the annual period ending December 31, 2022, were funds collected for 911 or E911 purposes in your state or jurisdiction obligated or expended for the purchase, maintenance, replacement, or upgrade of public safety radios, networks, equipment, or related infrastructure?** *Check one*. | |  |  |
| **G2a. If YES to G2, are all of the public safety radios, networks, equipment, or related infrastructure on which funds were obligated or expended used to deliver 911-originated information to emergency responders? For the purposes of this questionnaire, 911-originated information includes all data and information delivered between the 911 request for assistance and the emergency responders.** | |  |  |
| **G2a(i). If NO to G2a, please explain.** | | | |
|  | | | |
| **G2b.** **If YES to G2, please itemize the amounts that were obligated or expended and include descriptions of the public safety radios, networks, equipment, or related infrastructure.** | | | |
| **Amount of Funds ($)** | **Description of such obligations or expenditures. (*If you need more rows for your response, please enter the information in Addendum Section G2.*)** | | |
|  |  | | |
|  |  | | |
|  |  | | |
|  |  | | |
|  |  | | |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section G2** |
| By statute, only PSAP control station radios and radio infrastructure used for radio dispatch of 911 calls are allowable expenditures of 911 funds. Subscriber radios (mobile and portable radios) are not allowed. The Council's Expenditure Review Committee reviews all expenditures of 911 funds each year and demands confirmation from any PSAP expending money for the purchase or maintenance of radio equipment that the expenditure does not include subscriber radios. The dollar amounts of expenditures for PSAP radios and infrastructure are not readily available. |

**Safe Harbor for Multi-Purpose Fees**. Section 9.23(d) of the rules provides an elective safe harbor for states and taxing jurisdictions that designate multi-purpose fees or charges for “public safety,” “emergency services,” or other similar purposes where a portion of those fees or charges supports 911 services. *See* 47 CFR § 9.23(d). The rule provides that the obligation or expenditure of such a fee or charge will not constitute diversion if the state or taxing jurisdiction (i) specifies the amount or percentage of such fees or charges that is dedicated to 911 services; (ii) ensures that the 911 portion of such fees or charges is segregated and not commingled with any other funds; and (iii) obligates or expends the 911 portion of such fees or charges for acceptable purposes and functions as defined under the Commission’s rules.

**G3. Does your state or taxing jurisdiction collect multi-purpose fees or charges designated for “public safety,” “emergency services,” or other similar purposes where a portion of those fees or charges supports 911 services?**[[5]](#footnote-6) *Check one.*

* Yes …………………..
* No ………………..…..

**If YES to G3, please answer Questions G3a – G3c below. If NO to G3 above, leave Questions G3a – G3c below blank.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** |
| **G3a. Does the state or taxing jurisdiction specify the amount or percentage of such fees or charges that is dedicated to 911 services?** *Check one.* |  |  |
| **Question** | **Response** | |
| **G3a(i). Cite to the authority by which the state or taxing jurisdiction specifies the amount or percentage.** |  | |
| **G3a(ii). Indicate the amount or percentage of such a fee dedicated to 911 services. Provide *either* dollar amount or percentage.** *(Leave inapplicable cell blank.)* | $ | |
| % | |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** |
| **G3b. Does the state or taxing jurisdiction ensure that the 911 portion of such fees or charges is segregated and not commingled with any other funds?** *Check one.* |  |  |
| **G3b(i). Cite to the authority by which the state or taxing jurisdiction segregates such fees.** | | |
|  | | |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** |
| **G3c. Does the state or taxing jurisdiction obligate or expend the 911 portion of such fees or charges only for the purposes and functions designated by the Commission as acceptable pursuant to 47 CFR § 9.23?** *Check one.* |  |  |
| **G3c(i). If NO to G3c, please explain.** | | |
|  | | |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section G3** |
|  |

1. **Oversight and Auditing of Collection and Use of 911/E911 Fees**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** |
| **H1. Has your state established any oversight or auditing mechanisms or procedures to determine whether collected funds have been obligated or expended for acceptable purposes and functions as designated under the Commission’s rules?** *Check one.* |  |  |
| **H1a.** **If YES, provide a description of: (i) the mechanisms or procedures and (ii) any enforcement or other corrective actions undertaken in connection with such auditing authority, for the annual period ending December 31, 2022.** *(Enter “None” if no actions were taken.)* | | |
| PSAPs are required to submit annual expenditure reports of 911 fee funds. The Council' Expenditure Review Committee reviews these reports and requests additional information or documentation for any questioned expenditures. If questioned expenditures are deemed to be unallowable under the statute, the PSAP is required to  reimburse their 911 fund for these expenditures and provide documentation of the transfer of funds to the Council. Each PSAP is required to submit invoices supporting five randomly selected expenditures reported. If a PSAP reports less than five expenditures for the year, then all reported expenditures require submission of the invoice.  Additionally, the statute requires a legislative post audit be conducted every five years to determine (1)  Whether the moneys received by PSAPs pursuant to this act are being used appropriately; (2) whether the amount of moneys collected pursuant to this act is adequate; and (3) the status of 911 service implementation. The LCPA is required to be audited annually by the statute. | | |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section H1** |
|  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Yes** | | **No** | |
| **H2. Does your state have the authority to audit service providers to ensure that the amount of 911/E911 fees collected from subscribers matches the service provider’s number of subscribers?** *Check one.* |  | |  | |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** | | **N/A** |
| **H2a. Did your state conduct an audit of service providers in connection with such auditing authority during the annual period ending December 31, 2022?** *Check one; check N/A if Question H2 response above is NO*. |  |  | |  |
| **H2b. If YES to H2 and H2a, provide a description of any auditing or enforcement or other corrective actions undertaken in connection with such auditing authority for the annual period ending December 31, 2022.** *(Leave blank if not applicable / no actions were taken.)* | | | | |
|  | | | | |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section H2** |
|  |

1. **Description of Next Generation 911 Services and Expenditures**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** |
| **I1. Does your state or jurisdiction classify expenditures on Next Generation 911 (NG911) as within the scope of acceptable purposes and functions for the obligation or expenditure of 911 fees or charges?** *Check one.* |  |  |
| **I1a. If YES, please cite any specific legal authority:** | | |
| K.S.A. 12-5375 authorizes the use of 911 fees for purchases of 911 equipment and upgrades and also for physical enhancements of the 911 system. K.S.A. 12-5368 mandates that state grant funds, derived from 911 fees, be used for very limited purposes, one of which is “projects involving the development and implementation of next generation 911 services”. | | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | | **Yes** | **No** |
| **I2. In the annual period ending December 31, 2022, has your state or jurisdiction expended funds on NG911 programs?** *Check one.* | |  |  |
| **I2a. If YES, please enter the dollar amount that has been expended during the annual period.** | | | |
| **Amount**  **($)** | 12,869,819 | | |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section I2** |
|  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **I3. For the annual period ending December 31, 2022, please provide the number of PSAPs that operated on each type of NG911 Emergency Service IP Network(s) (ESInets) that operated within your state.** | | | | | |
| **Type of ESInet** | **Yes** | **No** | **If Yes, Enter Total PSAPs Operating on the ESInet** | **If Yes, does the type of ESInet interconnect with other state, regional or local ESInets?** | |
| **Yes** | **No** |
| I3a. A single, state-wide ESInet |  |  | 106 |  |  |
| I3b. Local (*e.g.*, county) ESInet(s) |  |  |  |  |  |
| I3c. Regional ESInets |  |  | [If one Regional ESInet is in operation, provide the total PSAPs on the first line below. If more than one Regional ESInet is in operation, provide the total PSAPs operating on each ESInet.] |  |  |
| Name of Regional ESInet 1:  Mid-America Regional Council (MARC) Hosted System | | | 12 |  |  |
| Name of Regional ESInet 2: | | |  |  |  |
| Name of Regional ESInet 3: | | |  |  |  |
| Name of Regional ESInet 4: | | |  |  |  |
| Name of Regional ESInet 5: | | |  |  |  |
| Name of Regional ESInet 6: | | |  |  |  |
| Name of Regional ESInet 7: | | |  |  |  |
| **If more Regional ESInets operate in your state or taxing jurisdiction, please list the names of Regional ESInets 8 and higher, and numbers of associated PSAPs, in the space below:** | | | | | |
|  | | | | | |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section I3** |
|  |

**I4. Please provide a description of any NG911 projects completed or underway during the annual period ending December 31, 2022.**

|  |
| --- |
| Statewide NG911 system implementation continued throughout 2022, with a total of 106 PSAPs on the system by year’s end. An additional 3 PSAPs will join in 2023. All of these PSAPs are (or will be) connected via IP to the AT&T Nationwide ESInet in an i3 routing configuration. Migration of the statewide system PSAPs to geospatial call routing was completed by August of 2020. All PSAPs on the system are currently text enabled.    The MARC system has completed replacement of legacy selective routers with IP Selective routers and a planned migration to NGCS and i3 routing is underway. A part of that migration plan includes interconnection with the statewide ESInet. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **I4a. Based on your response to I4, please indicate which categories of NG911 expenditures from this non-exhaustive list apply.** | ***Check all that apply*.** |
| **General Project or Not Specified** |  |
| **Planning or Consulting Services** |  |
| **ESInet Construction** |  |
| **NG911 Core Services** |  |
| **Hardware or Software Purchases or Upgrades** |  |
| **GIS** |  |
| **NG911 Security Planning** |  |
| **Training** |  |

**I5. As of December 31, 2022, how many PSAPs within your state have implemented text-to-911 and are accepting texts? Please refrain from non-numeric responses such as “all PSAPs.” Enter any text in Addendum Section I5.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Total Number of PSAPs Accepting Texts as of December 31, 2022** | 118 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section I5** |
| Three PSAPs in the state do not provide Text-to-911 service. |

**I6. By the end of the *next* annual period ending December 31, 2023, how many *total* PSAPs do you anticipate will have implemented text-to-911 and will be accepting texts?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Estimated Total Number of PSAPs Accepting Texts as of December 31, 2023** | 121 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section I6** |
| Only two counties, served by 3 PSAPs, in the State do not provide text-to-911 service. All three of these PSAPs have committed to coming onto the statewide system in 2023. When they go live on the system they will be text enabled and at that time Kansas will be 100% text-to-911 capable. |

1. **Cybersecurity Expenditures**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Check the appropriate box** | | **If Yes,**  **Amount Expended ($)** |
| **J1. During the annual period ending December 31, 2022, did your state expend funds on cybersecurity programs for PSAPs?** | Yes | No | 138,983 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section J1** |
| 23 PSAPs reported that they have a cybersecurity program in place, but many could not provide a cost for those programs as they are a part of an overall county/city cyber program and not paid by the PSAP. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Total PSAPs** |
| **J2. During the annual period ending December 31, 2022, how many PSAPs in your state either had a cybersecurity program or participated in a regional or state-run cybersecurity program?** | 23 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section J2** |
| The 106 PSAPs that participate in the statewide call handling system benefit from the Council's efforts to ensure cyber security is maintained on the system. The costs of this cyber effort is rolled up in the overall system costs. In 2023 the Council plans to implement a cybersecurity monitoring platform to ensure that cyber efforts made by the system vendor are validated. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** | **Unknown** |
| **J3. Does your state or jurisdiction adhere to the National Institute of Standards and Technology *Framework for Improving Critical Infrastructure Cybersecurity* (April 2018) for networks supporting one or more PSAPs in your state or jurisdiction?**[[6]](#footnote-7) *Check one.* |  |  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Addendum Section J3** |
| 64 PSAPs reported that their local jurisdictions are NIST compliant. |

1. **Measuring Effective Utilization of 911/E911 Fees**

**K1. Please provide an assessment of the effects achieved from the expenditure of state 911/E911 or NG911 funds, including any criteria your state or jurisdiction uses to measure the effectiveness of the use of 911/E911 fees and charges.**  **If your state conducts annual or other periodic assessments, please provide an electronic copy (*e.g.*, Word, PDF) of the latest such report upon submission of this questionnaire to the FCC or provide links to online versions of such reports in the space below.**

|  |
| --- |
| Expenditure of 911 funds allows PSAPs to maintain their legacy 911 systems or NG911 systems and  accompanying support systems (radio, recorders, CAD, etc.). The structure of the statute allows these  funds to be carried forward from year to year, unlike general funds, allowing PSAPs to accrue the funds  for major purchases. Through the use of 911 funds and general fund supplements, the entire State of  Kansas is served by Phase 2, E911, and 98% of the state's Counties by an ESInet. The Council is utilizing prepaid wireless fees to provide great benefit to all PSAPs participating in the statewide system. Kansas is a leader in the nation in the migration to ESInet with geospatial routing and i3 services. This has been accomplished with funds generated by the state 911 fee.  Some examples of statements from the PSAPs in regard to this question:  • OPD is able to stay up to date and have equipment capable of handling and dispatching 911 calls. We were able to purchase a 911 recording system with our 911 funds that will also be ready for when we are getting pictures and videos to 911 in the future. Our radio consoles are well maintained. Dispatchers are able to get out and go to training that make them better 911 operators and they are able to network and make contacts from other areas to bring more ideas back to the psap.  • Without the 911 fee fund Republic County would not have been afforded the ability to enhance the equipment and operations of the center. For the County to bear the total costs to operate a 911 PSAP there would have been sacrifices made with equipment that would have hindered the accuracy and response to a lifesaving 911 calls. With the State imposed tax lid, budgetary dollars have been cut and this halts any expenditures that would be needed to be able to update and take advantage of the new technology that is available for 911 PSAP’s and this in returns hurts the 911 caller to get the best service they deserve.  Criteria to measure the effectiveness of the use of 911 funding is measured by the improved response time. This is attributed to the more accurate mapping module, the faster more detailed hosted phone system, and the ability for the dispatchers to zone in on the caller’s location. Also, the positive public comments about more efficient 911 service.  Staying up to date with the new technology that is continuously being developed, is nothing more than a must. If we fall behind it will be a disaster and costly, in money and lives.  • We are only capable of providing the enhanced level of service we do because of 911 fees, so by that measure, they are highly effective. If we were to rely solely on general fund and property tax revenues, I’m confident that many tools we have at our disposal today would not be affordable for our county. Our county is highly conservative and attempts to keep tax levies low to enhance economic strength and development. 911 fees are paid by everyone who uses a telephone, not just limited to property owners, therefore everyone who has the ability to access emergency services shares in the cost.  • The 911 fee funds have allowed our agency to purchase and utilize the equipment needed to assure an updated and effective emergency center for our citizens. |

1. **Underfunding of 911**

For the purposes of this questionnaire, underfunding occurs when funding levels are below the levels required for optimal performance of 911 operations.

**L1. Describe the impact of any underfunding of 911 services in your state or taxing jurisdiction during the annual period ending December 31, 2022.** *Indicate N/A if your state or taxing jurisdiction did not experience underfunding*.

|  |
| --- |
| The answer to this varies from PSAP to PSAP. Many feel that the funding is adequate, while some feel that the funding falls short of their needs. This variation relates to the types of additional services beyond answering, processing and dispatching 911 calls that the individual PSAP performs. All PSAPs received adequate funding to support the base level of service. |

**L2. Describe how any fee diversion affected 911 underfunding in your state or taxing jurisdiction during the annual period ending December 31, 2022.** *Indicate N/A if your state or taxing jurisdiction did not divert.*

|  |
| --- |
| N/A |

**We have estimated that your response to this collection of information will take an average of 10 to 55 hours. Our estimate includes the time to read the instructions, look through existing records, gather and maintain required data, and actually complete and review the form or response. If you have any comments on this estimate, or on how we can improve the collection and reduce the burden it causes you, please write the Federal Communications Commission, Office of Managing Director, AMD‑PERM, Washington, DC 20554, Paperwork Reduction Act Project (3060‑1122). We will also accept your PRA comments via the Internet if you send an e-mail to** [**PRA@fcc.gov**](mailto:PRA@fcc.gov)**.**

**Please DO NOT SEND COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. You are not required to respond to a collection of information sponsored by the Federal government, and the government may not conduct or sponsor this collection, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number and/or we fail to provide you with this notice. This collection has been assigned an OMB control number of 3060‑1122.**

**THIS NOTICE IS REQUIRED BY THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT OF 1995, PUBLIC LAW 104-13, OCTOBER 1, 1995, 44 U.S.C. SECTION 3507.**

1. *See* Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021, Public Law 116-260, Division FF, Title IX, section 902. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
2. A Primary PSAP is one to which 911 calls are routed directly from the 911 Control office. A secondary PSAP is one to which 911 calls are transferred from a Primary PSAP. *See* National Emergency Number Association (NENA), Master Glossary of 9-1-1 Terminology at 174 (June 22, 2021), <https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.nena.org/resource/resmgr/standards-archived/nena-adm-000.24-2021_final_2.pdf>. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
3. For the purposes of this questionnaire, a telecommunicator, also known as a call taker or a dispatcher, is a person employed by a PSAP who is qualified to answer incoming emergency voice, text, and multi-media calls and/or who provides for the appropriate emergency response either directly or through communication with the appropriate PSAP. *See* <https://nenawiki.org/wiki/Telecommunicator>. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
4. *See* 47 CFR § 9.23(b)(1)–(5). [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
5. For purposes of this question, please report only multi-purpose fees or charges “applicable to commercial mobile services, IP-enabled voice services, or other emergency communications services,” where a portion of those fees or charges supports 911 services. 47 CFR § 9.22. Please do not report multi-purpose fees or charges applicable to other types of items (e.g., do not report multi-purpose fees on real estate where a portion of those fees supports 911 services). [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
6. National Institute of Standards and Technology, Framework for Improving Critical Infrastructure Cybersecurity (2018), <https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/cswp/nist.cswp.04162018.pdf>. [↑](#footnote-ref-7)