

Dear Federal Communications Commission:

This is comment on the proposed case before the FCC concerning the merger of Time/Warner and AOL. Their acceptable use policy, for termination of a subscriber's Account, depicts their corporation to be the sole reviewer as to whether a subscriber has Violated those rights. This combination will create a monopoly that will inhibit free trade And commerce both on the internet and in the Brick & Mortar community of businesses.

Although some courts may consider this anecdotal evidence, I believe there is Evidence of suppression of first amendment rights by the Time/Warner corporation In the facts laid out in this letter, as well as plain theft of intellectual property. Since being on an alleged blacklist in 1986, the internet has proven the sole outlet For the continuation of my work as a filmmaker. 1st Amendment Freedom on the Internet means the difference between life and death to an individual as disenfranchised And bloodied by violence as myself.

**BACKGROUND: ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE/
BLACKLISTING AND THE NEWS MEDIA**

Importantly, my story starts in the Fall of 1985. When the Editor of a newspaper in central Florida, The Ledger, one of the New York Times newspapers, wrote a damning editorial About the electronic media coverage of his attempt to drive the then Sheriff of Polk County Florida out of office. The Editorial admitted that he had NEVER in his decades as an Editor been so attacked by the electronic media, TV, for any actions he had taken. The Sheriff Was accused of NEPOTISM, having KKK members in his department, and Sex Discrimination within his department. During that same period I had the beginnings of a Lawsuit against the Ledger for NEPOTISM, reverse discrimination, and sex discrimination. I forced from The Ledger in January 1986 while still employed by a corporation owned by The RCA company. RCA, according to the ITU, has holdings in the type of technology That could be used for electronic surveillance.

Allegedly The Ledger took dramatic steps to control their damages by creating false Police records and false allegations against me, but not until after I had worked with one Of their reporters in the Spring of 1986 at a Homicide scene. I also was a witness for the Sheriff's Department at that same time. I was pursuing an independent documentary.

By the Fall of 1986 the Sheriff was being sued by two newspapers, The Ledger and The Tampa Tribune. He would subsequently leave office under Grand Jury Investigation. Late Governor Chiles wrote a letter to the F.D.L.E. later in 1991 requesting they investigate The NEPOTISM allegations I made against The Ledger because the police officer who had Written all the reports, at their instigation, had a brother who took my former job at The Ledger and another relative at that paper. The threats against me at that time were severe, Threatening institutionalization. The oppression was extreme and severe.

In the Spring/Summer of 1987 the Electronic Media began invasive interactive Use of surveillance technology in my household. According to a Complaint I filed The United Nation's Human Rights Commission's Committee on the Prevention of Discrimination against women and minorities, CNN engaged in hazing using members Of Congress. Rewarding them with more air time for engaging in that covert hazing.

As the FCC's own letters to me indicate the International Telecommunications Union is the final resource for information on regulation of technology for Eavesdropping and surveillance that is satellite based. F.Y.I., the I.T.U. on two Separate occasions confirmed to me that what I was saying was happening, probably Was happening. The first time was in the summer of 1988 when a Mr. Nichols, in HDTV as of 1993, told me he was aware that technology for two way feedback using Surveillance and TV was viable but he was not aware it was in use YET. He also advised Me against returning to the United States. In 1993 when I traveled to Geneva I was Writing a supplement for the Supreme Court concerning the severity of the surveillance. I met with the Attorney for the ITU and we discussed protocol, I also met with a person In the Radio division who confirmed to me that electronic theft using satellite Technology is possible if not highly probable. "Paranoids", as it was being called here, In Europe were a dime a dozen, highly educated ones.

I have since 1988 publically held out that CNN in combination with other electronic Media was Engaging in illegal activities that amounted to electronic theft and covert hazing. Had it not been for the good people at the ITU I would have given in to the severe Degradation and oppression. The Internet and places like THE FILE ROOM have been The sole outlets for writing about these very important issues.

I raised this issue in my lawsuit, based on an EEOC complaint filed in the Federal Court in the Middle District of Florida, on Appeal to the 11th Circuit it was case 91-3147. Although this case was denied Cert. By the U.S. Supreme Court, I wrote A supplement to that court further demonstrating a causal relationship between The electronic surveillance I was under and acts of violence, purportedly against Me and/or surrounding me during this period

A string of child abuse issues have factually been closely related to this alleged Ongoing electronic surveillance. In 1988 I walked out on last job interview I had before pursuing My lawsuit, after I was granted the Right to Sue by the EEOC in Tampa. It Was with a Graphics Editor. By 1991 that Editor, at the Bradenton Herald, Ted Schultz Was brought before the Florida State courts on multiple charges of child molestation.

This short letter cannot begin to describe the oppression that this surveillance By CNN and other members of the Electronic Media caused. For certain there is At least one connection to Time/Life that dates back to 1986. In 1986 Eddie Adams Was in charge of procuring passes for the Time/Life photographers going to the Olympics. He mentioned in a class I was taking from him, where he most certainly Noticed me because I fought with him, that many newspaper did not use up their pass

privileges And that Time would bargain for those extra passes. At that time, because I had A sex discrimination case, I was certain that these passes were not going to women, I set out to investigate it more. Needless to say it did not make Michele Verdier Of the Olympic Committee happy to hear what The Time Corporation was doing.

On a regular basis when I have attempted to speak about these subjects in the United States, although I have an open forum in Europe, I have been deliberately called Names, cut off or told to sh-sh! What if someone had believed me before Ted Shultz Got to his next few child victims. Since nobody would allow me to be heard in my Country, the oppression with this type of electronic surveillance is severe, we won't Ever know if crimes or discrimination could have been prevented.

The timing of the electronic surveillance and feedback is consistent with some Known forms of brainwashing. It would not be possible for CNN management to Not know this was going on. Further, the United Nations committee meetings have Records of non-governmental representatives commenting on this type of behavioral Control. I have extracts records at:

[Http://www.geocities.com/hazelflagg/HITECH.HTM](http://www.geocities.com/hazelflagg/HITECH.HTM)

Under the links to the United Nations minutes, Pax Romana's comments.

It is clear from the past behavior of Time/Warner that their purposes are Consistent with oppression of FREE SPEECH. They cannot be trusted to review Behavior of individuals on the internet.

The Jpegs relative to this complaint are online Geocities, they include the Seal Of the FCC and signature of Donna Searcy. Concerning your reply to my request For information on electronic surveillance dating back to 1988. As the letters under The Seal of the FCC demonstrate, after terminating employment with a New York Times Company and subsequently a company that was owned by the RCA company, I experienced electronic phone jamming/switching and electronic theft across International borders. My phones were cut off when I was talking to people. The isolation was severe.

This was a quickly written comment that cannot possible explain the effects Of 14 years of electronic theft/isolation and oppression to both myself as an individual And to the public both here and abroad. But it is clear from the reactions of individuals, Which are of hatred, that this electronic surveillance and feedback is controlling them And creating that hatred.

[Http://www.geocities.com/hazelflagg/FCCSEAL.HTM](http://www.geocities.com/hazelflagg/FCCSEAL.HTM)

[Http://www.geocities.com/hazelflagg/FBIAFF.HTM](http://www.geocities.com/hazelflagg/FBIAFF.HTM)

The First Amendment does not only belong to the Press, which is in BUSINESS To protect their own interests and not those of the Public.

Sincerely,
Pamela Jean Curry